arXiv:1104.3366v1 [math.CV] 18 Apr 2011

Mixed twistor D-module

Takuro Mochizuki

Abstract

We introduce mixed twistor D-module, which is a twistor version of mixed Hodge module, and a mixed version of pure twitstor D-module. We show the basic functoriality for the standard functors.

Keywords: mixed twistor D-module, holonomic D-modue
MSC: 32C38

1 Introduction

In this paper, we will study mixed twistor D-module, which might be regarded as a twistor version of mixed Hodge module due to M. Saito ([48] and [50]), and a mixed version of pure twistor D-module due to C. Sabbah ([45] and [46]). See also [35] and [38]. We shall study their basic property and functoriality. A theory of mixed twistor D-module is one of the ultimate goal in the study suggested by Simpson's Meta Theorem, and it might play a role in the Hodge theory for holonomic D-modules possibly with irregular singularity.

We closely follow the fundamental strategy due to Saito for mixed Hodge module, although there are several issues to overcome partially because of the difference of the ingredients in Hodge modules and twistor D-modules. We hope that this study would be a part of the foundation for the further study on twistor D-modules and Hodge modules.

Pure twistor D-module Let us recall very briefly what is pure twistor D-module, which was introduced by Sabbah, and studied by himself and the author. For a complex manifold, we consider the product $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$. We have the sheaf of relative differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$, and the relative tangent sheaf $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$. Then, $\mathcal{R}_X$ is the sheaf of subalgebras generated by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\lambda \cdot \Theta_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$.

We have two basic conditions on $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules. One is strictness, i.e., flat over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$. The other is holonomicity. Namely, the characteristic variety of $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is defined as in the case of D-modules, denoted by $Ch(\mathcal{M})$. It is a subvariety in $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times T^*X$. If $Ch(\mathcal{M})$ is contained in the product of $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ and a Lagrangian subvariety in T^*X, the $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is called holonomic.

An $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple is a tuple of $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ with a Hermitian sesqui-linear pairing C. To explain what is Hermitian sesqui-linear paring, we need a preparation. Let $\boldsymbol{S}$ denote the circle $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda \,|\, |\lambda| = 1\}$. Let $\sigma : \boldsymbol{S} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{S}$ be given by $\sigma(\lambda) = -\lambda = -\overline{\lambda}^{-1}$. The induced involution $\boldsymbol{S} \times X \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{S} \times X$ is also denoted by σ.

Let $\mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$ denote the sheaf of distributions on $\boldsymbol{S} \times X$ which are continuous in the $\boldsymbol{S}$-direction in an appropriate sense. This sheaf is naturally a module over $\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \otimes \sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$. Then, a hermitian sesqui-linear pairing of $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ is an $\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \otimes \sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$-homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{1|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \otimes \sigma^*\mathcal{M}_{2|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$. An $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple is called strict (resp. holonomic), if the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-modules are strict (resp. holonomic). The category of pure twistor D-module is constructed as a full subcategory of strict holonomic $\mathcal{R}$-triples.

Let us recall how to impose some conditions on strict holonomic $\mathcal{R}$-triples. In the case of variation of Hodge structures, which is a local system with Hodge filtrations, it is defined to be pure, if its restriction to the fiber over each point is pure. But, for $\mathcal{R}$-triples or even for D-modules, the restriction to a point is not so well behaved. Instead, for holonomic D-modules, there is a nice theory for restriction to hypersurfaces. Namely, we have the nearby and vanishing cycle functors, which describes the behaviour of the holonomic D-modules around the hypersurface in some degree. To define some condition for holonomic D-modules, it seems natural to consider the conditions on nearby and vanishing cycle sheaves inductively, instead of the restriction to a point. Similarly, to define some concition for $\mathcal{R}$-triples, we would like to consider the condition on the appropriately defined nearby and vanishing cycle functor for $\mathcal{R}$-triples. This is a basic strategy due to Saito, and it may lead us to an inductive definition of pure and mixed twistor D-modules, as a variant of pure and mixed Hodge modules.

A strict holonomic $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{T}$ is called pure of weight w, if the following holds. First, we have the decomposition $\mathcal{T} = \bigoplus \mathcal{T}_Z$ by strict support, where Z runs through closed irreducible subsets of X. (More precisely, we impose that $\mathcal{T}$ is strictly S-decomposable.) We impose the conditions on each $\mathcal{T}_Z$. If Z is a point, $\mathcal{T}_Z$ is the push-forward of pure twistor structure of weight w by the inclusion of Z into X. In the positive dimensional case, for any open subset of X, any function on U, if we take Gr of the weight filtration of the naturally induced nilpotent morphism on the nearby cycle functors, the m-th graded piece is pure of weight $w+m$. Then, inductively, the notion of pure twistor D-module is defined. Precisely, we should consider the polarizable object. A polarization of $\mathcal{T}$ is defined as a Hermitian sesqui-linear duality of weight w satisfying some condition on positivity, which is also given in an inductive way using the nearby cycle functor.

Remark 1.1 *We consider only polarizable objects. Hence, we omit the adjective "polarizable". We also omit "wild".* ▮

Let $\mathrm{MT}(X,w)$ denote the category of polarizable wild pure twistor D-module of weight w. Let us recall some of their fundamental properties. (i) The category $\mathrm{MT}(X,w)$ is abelian and semisimple. (ii) Let $\mathcal{T}_i \in \mathrm{MT}(X,w_i)$. Let $f:\mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ be a morphism as $\mathcal{R}$-triples. If $w_1 > w_2$, then $f=0$. (iii) Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MT}(X,w)$. Then, $f_\dagger^i\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MT}(Y,w+i)$. Moreover, $f_\dagger\mathcal{T} \simeq \bigoplus f_\dagger^i\mathcal{T}[-i]$ in the derived category of $\mathcal{R}$-triples. (iv) Any semisimple algebraic holonomic D-module naturally has a structure of pure twistor D-module.

Mixed twistor D-module To define mixed twistor D-module, we first consider a filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triple $(\mathcal{T},L)$ such that $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T})$ are pure of weight w. Such a naive object is called pre-mixed twistor D-module. They have nice functoriality for projective push-forward. However, we need to impose additional conditions for more standard functoriality such as push-forward for open embeddings and pull back. Very briefly, to define mixed twistor D-module, we impose (i) the strict compatibility of L and the V-filtrations, and (ii) the existence of relative monodromy filtration on the nearby and vanishing cycle sheaves, and we obtain mixed twistor D-modules with smaller supports. (It will be explained in Section 7.)

It is easy to show that mixed twistor D-modules have nice functorial property for nearby and vanishing cycle functors and projective push-forward. However, it is not so easy to show the functorial property, for example, for the open embedding $X\setminus H \longrightarrow X$, where H is a hypersurface. To show more detailed property, we need a concrete description of a mixed twistor D-module as the gluing of admissible variation of mixed twistor structures.

Gluing procedure For perverse sheaves and holonomic D-modules, there are well established theories to glue an object on $\{f=0\}$ and an object on $\{f\neq 0\}$ ([2], [29], [58]). We need such gluing procedure for $\mathcal{R}$-triples. Because of the difference of ingredients, it is not clear if the method for gluing prepared in [50] for Hodge modules could be applied for $\mathcal{R}$-triples. Instead, we adopt the method of Beilinson in [2], which reduces the issue to the construction of canonical prolongations $\mathcal{T}[\star t]$ ($\star = *, !$). (See Sections 3–4.)

Admissible variation of mixed twistor structure We prepare a general theory for admissible variation of mixed twistor structure (Section 9), which is a natural generalization of admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure, introduced by Steenbrink-Zucker [55] in the one dimensional case and generalized by Kashiwara [22] in the arbitrary dimensional case. Very briefly, it is a filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triple $(\mathcal{V},L)$ on a complex manifold X with poles along a normal crossing hypersurface D. We impose the conditions (i) each $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{V})$ comes from a good wild harmonic bundle, (ii) $\mathcal{V}$ has good-KMS structure along D compatible with L, (iii) the residues along the divisors have relative monodromy filtrations. It is important to understand the specialization of admissible variation of mixed twistor structure along the divisors. For that purpose, it is essential to study the relative monodromy filtrations and their compatibility. So, as in [22], we study the infinitesimal version of admissible variation of mixed twistor structure, called infinitesimal mixed twistor module in Section 8. We can show that it has nice property as in the Hodge case.

Then, we study the canonical prolongation of admissible mixed twistor structure $(\mathcal{V}, L)$ on (X, D) to pre-mixed twistor D-modules on X. Let $D = D^{(1)} \cup D^{(2)}$ be a decomposition. Recall that a good meromorphic flat bundle V on (X, D) is extended to a D-module $V[*D^{(1)}!D^{(2)}]$ on X. We prepare similar procedure to make a pre-mixed twistor D-module $(\mathcal{V}, L)[*D^{(1)}!D^{(2)}]$ from $(\mathcal{V}, L)$. First, we construct the $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{V}[*D^{(1)}!D^{(2)}]$ in Section 5. One of the main tasks is to construct a correct weight filtration $\widetilde{L}$ on $\mathcal{V}[*D^{(1)}!D^{(2)}]$. By applying the procedure in Section 5 to each $L_j\mathcal{V}$, we obtain a naively induced filtration L on $\mathcal{V}[*D^{(1)}!D^{(2)}]$. But, this is not the correct filtration. Indeed, $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{V}[*D^{(1)}!D^{(2)}])$ are not pure of weight w, in general. We need much more considerations for the construction of the correct weight filtration. It is essentially contained in [22] and [50], but we shall give rather details, which is one of the main themes in Sections 6 and 8–10.

Once we obtain canonical prolongations of admissible variations of mixed twistor structures across normal crossing hypersurfaces, we can glue them to obtain pre-mixed twistor D-modules, which are called good pre-mixed twistor D-modules. It is one of the main theorems to show that a good pre-mixed twistor D-module is a mixed twistor D-module (Theorem 10.15). Then, by a rather formal argument, we can show that any mixed twistor D-module can be expressed as gluing of admissible variation of mixed twistor D-modules as in Section 11.1. We can deduce some basic fucntoriality by using this description. See Sections 11.2–11.3.

Remark 1.2 *In this paper, we will often omit "variation of" just for simplification. For example, an admissible variation of mixed twistor structure is often called admissible mixed twistor structure.* ∎

Dual In Section 12, we study the dual of mixed twistor D-module, which should be compatible with the dual for the underlying D-modules. Briefly, there are two issues we should address. The dual of a holonomic D-module in the derived functor is also a holonomic D-module. We cannot expect such a property for a general holonomic $\mathcal{R}$-module. This issue already appeared in the Hodge case, and solved by Saito. Even in the twistor case, we can apply Saito's method in a rather straightforward way. The other issue is the construction of Hermitian sesqui-linear pairing for the dual, which did not appear in the Hodge case. It is non-trivial even for hermitian pairings of holonomic D-modules. Let M_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be holonomic D-modules. Let $C : M_1 \otimes \overline{M_2} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$ be a $D_X \otimes D_{\overline{X}}$-homomorphism. We need to study the induced pairing for $\boldsymbol{D}M_1 \otimes \overline{\boldsymbol{D}M_2} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$. We obviously have such a pairing, if M_i are smooth, i.e., flat bundles. It is not difficult to construct it in the case of regular holonomic D-modules, thanks to the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. But, at this moment, an additional argument is required in the non-regular case. (It will be given in Section 14.) Once we have such a pairing in the case of D-modules, it is rather formal to construct it in the case of mixed twistor D-modules. By using the dual, we can introduce the notion of real structure for mixed twistor D-modules with nice functorial property.

Acknowledgement This study grew out of my attempt to understand the works due to Masaki Kashiwara [22] and Morihiko Saito [50]. The readers can find most essential ideas in their papers. I thank Claude Sabbah for numerous discussions on many occasions. I hope that this study would be useful for further study on twistor D-modules. I thank Carlos Simpson, whose principle (Simpson's Meta-Theorem) lead us to this study. I would like to express my gratitude to Yves André, Philip Boalch, Claus Hertling, Maxim Kontsevich, Kyoji Saito, Masa-Hiko Saito, and Christian Sevenheck, for some discussions. Special thanks go to Kari Vilonen. I thank Akira Ishii and Yoshifumi Tsuchimoto for their constant encouragement.

I thank the organizer of the conference "International Conference on Noncommutative Geometry and Physics" in which I gave a talk on this topic. This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 22540078), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Part I
Gluing and specialization of $\mathcal{R}$-triples

2 Preliminary

2.1 $\mathcal{R}$-triple

We review $\mathcal{R}$-triple [45] and its variants.

2.1.1 $\mathcal{R}$-module

Let X be a complex manifold. Let D be a hypersurface of X. We set $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$ and $\mathcal{D} := \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times D$. The projections $\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow X$ and $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow D$ are denoted by p_λ. We set $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)} := \mathcal{R}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}(*\mathcal{D})$. The sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$ and its Rees ring are Noetherian. The notions of left and right $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules are naturally defined. They are exchanged by a standard formalism for D-modules or $\mathcal{R}$-modules. Namely, let $\omega_\mathcal{X} := \lambda^{-n} \cdot p_\lambda^* \omega_X$ as the subsheaf of $p_\lambda^* \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}\bigl(*(\{0\} \times X)\bigr)$, where $n = \dim X$. Then, for any left $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we have the natural right $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module structure on $\mathcal{M}^r := \omega_\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{M}$. We consider left $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules in this paper, unless otherwise specified. A left $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is naturally regarded as a family of flat λ-connections. Namely, $\mathcal{M}$ is equipped with a differential operator $\mathbb{D} : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \Omega^1_\mathcal{X}$, where $\Omega_\mathcal{X} := \lambda^{-1} p_\lambda^* \Omega^1_X$, such that (i) $\mathbb{D}(f\, s) = \lambda\, d(f)\, s + f\, \mathbb{D}(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}(*\mathcal{D})$ and $s \in \mathcal{M}$, (ii) $\mathbb{D} \circ \mathbb{D} = 0$.

An $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module is called strict, if it is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$-flat. If an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module M is (i) pseudo-coherent as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}(*\mathcal{D})}$-module, (ii) locally finitely generated as an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module, then it is a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module. The sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$ is naturally filtered by the order of differential operators, and we have the notion of coherent filtration for $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules as in the case of D-modules. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module on $U \subset \mathcal{X}$. We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is *good*, if, for any $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda$, and for any compact subset K of X such that $\{\lambda_0\} \times K \subset U$, there exist a neighbourhood U' of $\{\lambda_0\} \times K$ in U, and a finite filtration F of $\mathcal{M}_{|U'}$ such that $\mathrm{Gr}^F(\mathcal{M}_{|U'})$ has a coherent filtration.

The push forward and the pull back of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules are defined by the formula of those for $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules in [45]. Let $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let D be a hypersurface of X, and we put $D' := f^{-1}(D)$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{X' \to X} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}} f^{-1}\mathcal{R}_X$, which is a naturally $\bigl(\mathcal{R}_{X'}, f^{-1}\mathcal{R}_X\bigr)$-module. We set $\mathcal{R}_{X \leftarrow X'} := \omega_{\mathcal{X}'} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{X' \to X} \otimes f^{-1}\omega_\mathcal{X}$. For an $\mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')}$-module $\mathcal{M}'$, we have $f_\dagger(\mathcal{M}') := Rf_*\bigl(\mathcal{R}_{X \leftarrow X'} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_{X'}} \mathcal{M}'\bigr)$ in $D^b(\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)})$. Note that $\mathcal{R}_{X \leftarrow X'} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_{X'}} \mathcal{M}' \simeq \mathcal{R}_{X \leftarrow X'}(*D') \otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')}} \mathcal{M}'$, and that an $f^{-1}\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-injective resolution of $\mathcal{R}_{X \leftarrow X'} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_{X'}} \mathcal{M}'$ is naturally an $f^{-1}\mathcal{R}_X$-injective resolution. Similarly, for an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module $\mathcal{N}$, we have $f^\dagger \mathcal{N} := \mathcal{R}_{X' \to X} \otimes^L_{f^{-1}\mathcal{R}_X} f^{-1}\mathcal{N}$ in $D^b(\mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')})$. If $\mathcal{M}'$ is good, $f_\dagger \mathcal{M}$ is cohomologically good, which can be shown by the argument in the case of D-modules.

Lemma 2.1 *Assume that f is projective and birational, and it induces an isomorphism $X' \setminus D' \simeq X \setminus D$. Then, we have natural isomorphisms $f_\dagger \mathcal{M}' \simeq f_* \mathcal{M}'$ and $f^\dagger \mathcal{M} \simeq f^* \mathcal{M} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}$. They give equivalences of the categories of good $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules and good $\mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')}$-modules.*

Proof Because $\mathcal{R}_{X' \to X}(*D') \simeq \mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')}$, we obtain the first claim. For an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ of the form $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}} M$, where M is $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$-coherent, we have $f^\dagger \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}} f^* M$. For an $\mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')}$-module $\mathcal{M}'$ of the form $\mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}} M'$, where M' is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}$-coherent, we have $f_\dagger \mathcal{M}' \simeq \mathcal{R}_{X(*D)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}} f_*(M')$. Then, the second claim is clear. ▮

2.1.2 Strict specializability for $\mathcal{R}$-modules

The notion of strict specializability for $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module is defined as in the case of $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules. Let $\mathbb{C}_t$ be a complex line with a coordinate t. Let X_0 be a complex manifold. We put $X := X_0 \times \mathbb{C}_t$. We identify X_0 and $X_0 \times \{0\}$. Let D be a hypersurface of X.

The case $X_0 \not\subset D$ We set $D_0 := X_0 \cap D$. We put $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)} := V_0\mathcal{R}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}(*\mathcal{D})$. For any point $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda$, let $\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}$ denote a small neighbourhood of $\{\lambda_0\} \times X$. We use the symbol $\mathcal{X}_0^{(\lambda_0)}$ in a similar meaning. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module on $\mathcal{X}$. It is called strictly specializable along $t = 0$ at λ_0, if $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}}$ is equipped with a filtration $V^{(\lambda_0)}$ by coherent $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules indexed by $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying Conditions 22.3.1 and 22.3.2 in [38]. Such a filtration is unique, if it exists. We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is called strictly specializable along t, if it is strictly specializable along t at any λ_0. In this case, we obtain an $\mathcal{R}_{X_0(*D_0)}$-module $\psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M})$ as in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-modules. Its push-forward by $X_0 \longrightarrow X$ is also denoted by $\psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M})$. If $\mathcal{M}_i$ are strictly specializable along t with a morphism $F : \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$, then F is compatible with the V-filtrations, and we have the induced morphism $\psi_{t,u}(F) : \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}_1) \longrightarrow \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}_2)$. If the cokernel of $\psi_{t,u}(F)$ is strict, F is called strictly specializable. In that case, F is strictly compatible with the V-filtrations. We have the naturally defined morphisms $\eth_t : \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \psi_{t,u+\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})$, and $t : \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \psi_{t,u-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})$, where $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (1,0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$. We set $\widetilde{\psi}_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}) := \varinjlim \psi_{t,u-N\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})$ for $u \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\})$.

The case $X_0 \subset D$ If $X_0 \subset D$, we decompose $D = X_0 \cup D'$, where $X_0 \not\subset D'$. We put $D'_0 := D' \cap X_0$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)} = \mathcal{R}_{X(*D')}(*t)$-module. It is called strictly specializable along t at λ_0 if $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}}$ is equipped with a filtration $V^{(\lambda_0)}$ by coherent $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*D')}$-modules indexed by $\mathbb{R}$, satisfying the conditions in Definition 22.4.1 in [38]. Similarly, we obtain $\mathcal{R}_{X_0(*D'_0)}$-modules $\psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M})$. The induced morphisms $t : \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \psi_{t,u-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})$ are isomorphisms.

2.1.3 Some sheaves

We recall some sheaves, following Sabbah in [44] and [46], to which we refer for more details and precision. Let X be an n-dimensional complex manifold. Let T be a real C^∞-manifold. Let V be an open subset of $X \times T$. Let $\mathcal{E}^{(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V)$ denote the space of C^∞-sections of $\Omega^{n,n}_{X\times T/T}$ on V with compact supports. Let $\mathrm{Diff}_{X\times T/T}(V)$ denote the space of C^∞-differential operators on V relative to T, i.e., we consider only differentials in the X-direction on V. For any compact subset $K \subset V$ and $P \in \mathrm{Diff}_{X\times T/T}(V)$, we have the semi-norm $\|\varphi\|_{P,K} = \sup_K |P\varphi|$. For any closed subset $Z \subset X$, let $\mathcal{E}^{<Z,(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V)$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{E}^{(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V)$, which consists of the sections φ such that $(P\varphi)_{|\widehat{(Z\times T)}\cap V} = 0$ for any $P \in \mathrm{Diff}_{X\times T/T}(V)$. We have the induced semi-norms $\|\cdot\|_{P,K}$ on the space $\mathcal{E}^{<Z,(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V)$. By the semi-norms, the spaces $\mathcal{E}^{(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{<Z,(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V)$ are locally convex topological spaces. Let $C^0_c(T)$ denote the space of continuous functions on T with compact supports. It is a normed vector space with the sup norms.

Let $\mathfrak{Db}_{X\times T/T}(V)$ denote the space of continuous $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps from $\mathcal{E}^{(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V)$ to $C^0_c(T)$. Let D be a hypersurface of X. Let $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}(V)$ denote the space of continuous $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps from $\mathcal{E}^{<D,(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V)$ to $C^0_c(T)$. Any elements of $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}(V)$ are called distributions with moderate growth along D. Let $\mathfrak{Db}_{X\times T/T,D}(V)$ be the space of continuous $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps $\Phi : \mathcal{E}^{(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V) \longrightarrow C^0_c(T)$ whose supports are contained in $D \times T$, i.e., $\Phi(\varphi) = 0$ if $\varphi = 0$ on some neighbourhood of $D \times T$. They give the sheaves $\mathfrak{Db}_{X\times T/T}$, $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}$ and $\mathfrak{Db}_{X\times T/T,D}$ on $X \times T$. We have the following lemma as in [30].

Lemma 2.2 *The natural sequence* $0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_{X\times T/T,D}(V) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_{X\times T/T}(V) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}(V) \longrightarrow 0$ *is exact.* ∎

Corollary 2.3 $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}(V)$ *is also isomorphic to the image of* $\mathfrak{Db}_{X\times T/T}(V) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_{X\times T/T}(V \setminus D)$. ∎

The following lemma can be shown by using a standard argument. Let V be an open subset of X with a real coordinate $(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$. For a given $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_{2n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n}_{\geq 0}$, we set $\partial^J := \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \partial^{j_i}_{x_i}$ and $|J| = \sum j_i$.

Lemma 2.4 *Let Φ be an element of $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}(V)$. Let K be any compact region in V. We have some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $C > 0$ such that $\sup_T\bigl|\Phi(\varphi)\bigr| \leq C \sup_{|J|\leq m} |\partial^J\varphi|_K$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^{<D\,(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V)$ with $\mathrm{Supp}(\varphi) \subset K$.* ∎

Corollary 2.5 *Let g be a holomorphic function such that $g^{-1}(0) \subset D$. Let $\Phi \in \mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}(V)$. For any compact subset K in V, there exists $C_K > 0$ such that we have the well defined pairing $\Phi\bigl(|g|^s \cdot \varphi\bigr) \in C^0_c(T)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^{(n,n)}_{X\times T/T,c}(V)$ with $\mathrm{Supp}(\varphi) \subset K$ and for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > C_K$. It depends on s in a holomorphic way.* ∎

Let $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{X\times T}$ be the sheaf of C^∞-functions on $X \times T$. Let D be a hypersurface of X. Let $U \subset X \times T$ be an open subset. Let φ be a C^∞-function on an open subset $U \setminus (D \times T)$. We say that f is a C^∞-function of moderate growth along D, if the following holds for any $(Q_1, Q_2) \in U \cap (D \times T)$.

- For any $P \in \mathrm{Diff}_{X\times T/T}(U)$, we have $\bigl|P\varphi\bigr| = O\bigl(|g|^{-N}\bigr)$ around (Q_1, Q_2) for some N.

Let $\mathcal{C}^{\infty\,\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T}$ denote the sheaf of C^∞-functions on $X \times T$ with moderate growth along D. It is standard to show that the sheaf is c-soft.

Let $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let D be a hypersurface of X. We put $D' := f^{-1}(D)$.

Lemma 2.6 *Assume that f is projective and birational. We have natural isomorphisms $a_1 : f_! \mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D'}_{X'\times T/T} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}$ and $a_2 : f^{-1}\mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,D'}_{X'\times T}$. We also have $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D'}_{X'\times T/T} \simeq f^{-1}\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T} \simeq f_*\mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,D'}_{X'\times T}$.*

Proof The morphism a_2 is clearly injective. The natural morphism $\mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,D}(X\times T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\ D'}(X'\times T')$ is bijective. Because $\mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,D'}_{X'\times T}$ is c-soft, we obtain that a_2 is an isomorphism. It is also easy to show $\mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T} \simeq f_*\mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,D'}_{X'\times T}$.

Let V be an open subset in $X\times T$, and $V' := f^{-1}(V)$. The natural continuous morphism $\mathcal{E}^{(n,n)\,<D}_{X\times T/T,c}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(n,n)\,<D}_{X'\times T/T,c}(V')$ is bijective. It is a homeomorphism, which follows from Lemma 2.7 below. Then, we obtain the second claim for $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D'}_{X'\times T/T}$ and $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}$. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 2.7 *Let Y be a complex manifold with a hypersurface D_1. Let g be a holomorphic function on Y such that $g^{-1}(0)\subset D_1$. Let $K\subset Y$ be a compact subset. Let $P\in \mathrm{Diff}_{Y\times T/T}$ and $N\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then, there exist $C>0$ and $P_i \in \mathrm{Diff}_{Y\times T/T}$ $(i=1,\ldots,M)$ such that $\sup_K |g^{-N}P\varphi| \leq C\sum_i \sup_K|P_i\varphi|$ for any $\varphi\in\mathcal{E}^{(n,n)<D_1}_{Y\times T/T,c}(K\times T)$.*

Proof We give only a sketch of the proof. We have only to consider the case $P=1$. We need estimates only around any point of $K\times T$. First, let us consider the case dg is nowhere vanishing on X. We may assume that X is equipped with a holomorphic coordinate $(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ and $z_1=g$. Let $z_i = x_i+\sqrt{-1}y_i = r_i\,e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}$. By using the relation $r_1^L\partial_{r_1}^L = \sum_{q=0}^{L}(\!(L,q)\!)\,x_1^q y_1^{L-q}\,\partial_{x_1}^q\partial_{y_1}^{L-q}$, where $(\!(L,q)\!)$ are binomial coefficients, we can easily deduce the desired estimate for $\bigl|g^{-N}\varphi\bigr|$. If $g=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}z_i$, we set $g_j=\prod_{i=1}^{j}z_i$, and we can deduce $|g^{-N}\varphi|\leq C\sum\bigl|g_{j-1}^{-N}Q_{j,i}\varphi\bigr|$ by an easy induction. Let us consider the general case. We take a projective birational morphism $F : X_1\longrightarrow X$ such that $F^{-1}(D)$ is normal crossing. By the above consideration, we have an estimate $\bigl|F^{-1}(g^{-N}\varphi)\bigr| \leq C\sum\bigl|P_i\,F^{-1}(\varphi)\bigr|$ on $X_1\times T$, where $P_i\in\mathrm{Diff}_{X_1\times T/T}$. It is easy to find $\widetilde{P}_{i,j}\in\mathrm{Diff}_{X\times T/T}$ $(j=1,\ldots,M_i)$ such that $\bigl|P_i\,F^{-1}(\varphi)\bigr|\leq\sum\bigl|F^{-1}(\widetilde{P}_{i,j}\varphi)\bigr|$ for any φ. Thus, we obtain the estimate on $X\times T$. $\blacksquare$

Remark 2.8 *$\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{X\times T/T}$ is also denoted by $\mathfrak{Db}_{X(*D)\times T/T}$ or $\mathfrak{Db}_{X\times T/T}(*D)$ in the following.* $\blacksquare$

2.1.4 $\mathcal{R}$-triple

Let X be a complex manifold, and let D be a hypersurface of X. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{C}^*_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*_\lambda$ given by $\sigma(\lambda) = -\overline{\lambda}^{-1}$. If $|\lambda|=1$, we have $\sigma(\lambda)=-\lambda$. The induced morphisms $\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda\times X\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda\times X$ and $\boldsymbol{S}\times X\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{S}\times X$ are also denoted by σ.

We have the natural action of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ on $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$. We also have the action of $\sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ on $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$ by $\sigma^*(f)\bullet F = \overline{\sigma^*(f)}\cdot F$ and $\sigma^*(\eth_i)\bullet F = -\lambda^{-1}\overline{\eth}_i F$. We set $\overline{\eth}_i := -\lambda^{-1}\overline{\eth}_i$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ be $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules. A hermitian sesqui-linear pairing of $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ is an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$-morphism $C : \mathcal{M}_{1|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{M}_{2|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$. Such a tuple $(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)$ is called an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple. It is called good (coherent, strict, etc.), if the underlying $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules are good (coherent, strict, etc.). A morphism $(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{M}_1',\mathcal{M}_2',C')$ is a pair of morphisms $\varphi_1 : \mathcal{M}_1'\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\varphi_2 : \mathcal{M}_2\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_2'$ such that $C\bigl(\varphi_1(m_1'),\sigma^*(m_2)\bigr) = C'\bigl(m_1',\sigma^*\varphi_2(m_2)\bigr)$. The category of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triples is abelian, and denoted by $\mathcal{R}$-Tri(X,D).

The push-forward for $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triples is defined as in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-triples [45]. The following lemma follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.9 *Let $f : X'\longrightarrow X$ be a projective birational morphism. Let $D\subset X$ be a hypersurface, and we put $D' := f^{-1}(D)$. Assume that f gives an isomorphism $X'\setminus D'\simeq X\setminus D$. Then, $f_\dagger$ induces an equivalence of the categories of good $\mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')}$-triples and good $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triples.* $\blacksquare$

Let us consider the case $X = X_0\times\mathbb{C}_t$. For simplicity, we assume that $D=D_0\times\mathbb{C}_t$ for a hypersurface D_0 of X_0. Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}'',C)$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}(*t)$-triple, which is strictly specializable along t. We have the induced $\mathcal{R}_{X_0(*D_0)}$-triple $\psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{T})$ for $u\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$ as in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-triples. We have the induced morphism

$t\partial_t : \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}')\,\lambda \longrightarrow \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}')$ and $t\partial_t : \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}'') \longrightarrow \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}'')\,\lambda^{-1}$. The nilpotent part is denoted by N' and N''. Then, we obtain $(N', N'') : \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$, where $\boldsymbol{T}(-1) = \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\,\lambda,\, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\,\lambda^{-1},\, C_0\big)$ and $C_0(s_1, \sigma^* s_2) = s_1 \cdot \overline{\sigma^* s_2}$.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple, which is strictly specializable along t. We naturally obtain a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}(*t)$-triple $\mathcal{T}(*t)$, which is strictly specializable along t. We define $\widetilde{\psi}_{t,u}(\mathcal{T}) := \psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{T}(*t))$, as in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-triples.

For a holomorphic function g, we define $\psi_{t,u}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{t,u}$ by considering $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{T}$, where $\iota_g : X \longrightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}_t$ is the graph, as usual.

Let $j : \mathbb{C}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be given by $j(\lambda) = -\lambda$. The induced morphism $\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is also denoted by j. Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}(X, D)$. We have the naturally defined pairing $j^*C : j^*\mathcal{M}_{1|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \times \sigma^* j^* \mathcal{M}_{2|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$ by which we have $j^*\mathcal{T} := (j^*\mathcal{M}_1, j^*\mathcal{M}_2, j^*C) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}(X, D)$. We have a natural identifications $j^*(\mathcal{T}^*) = (j^*\mathcal{T})^*$.

2.1.5 Integrable $\mathcal{R}$-triple

Let us recall the concept of integrable $\mathcal{R}$-modules and integrable $\mathcal{R}$-triples, introduced in Section 7 of [45]. Let $D_{\mathcal{X}}$ denote the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators on $\mathcal{X}$, and we set $D_{\mathcal{X}(*\mathcal{D})} := D_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{D})$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}\langle \lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle \subset D_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the sheaf of subalgebras generated by $\mathcal{R}_X$ and $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$. A module over $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ is called an integrable $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module. For integrable $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$, an $\mathcal{R}_X$-homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ is called integrable, if it gives an $\mathcal{R}_X\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$-homomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be integrable $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules. A hermitian pairing C of $\mathcal{M}_i$ is called integrable, if the following holds:

$$\partial_\theta C\big(m_1, \overline{\sigma^* m_2}\big) = C\big(\partial_\theta m_1, \overline{\sigma^* m_2}\big) + C\big(m_1, \overline{\sigma^*(\partial_\theta m_2)}\big)$$

Here, $\partial_\theta m_i := i\lambda\partial_\lambda m_i = \big(i\lambda\partial_\lambda - i\overline{\lambda}\partial_{\overline{\lambda}}\big) m_i$. If C is extended to a pairing $\mathcal{M}_{1|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda \times X} \times \sigma^*\mathcal{M}_{2|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda\times X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}^{an\ \mathrm{mod}\,D}_{\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda\times X/\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda}$, where $\mathfrak{Db}^{an,\,\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda\times X/\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda}$ denote the sheaf of λ-holomorphic distributions on $\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda \times X$ with moderate growth along D, then we have $\lambda\partial_\lambda C\big(m_1, \overline{\sigma^*(m_2)}\big) = C\big(\lambda\partial_\lambda m_1, \overline{\sigma^*(m_2)}\big) + C\big(m_1, \overline{\sigma^*(\lambda\partial_\lambda m_2)}\big)$. A tuple of integrable $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules and an integrable hermitian sesqui-linear pairing is called an integrable $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple. If $\mathcal{T}$ is an integrable $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple, $\mathcal{T}^*$ and $j^*\mathcal{T}$ are also naturally integrable. For integrable $\mathcal{T}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$, a morphism $\mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ in $\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}(X, D)$ is called integrable, if the underlying morphisms of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules are integrable.

Let $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let D be a hypersurface of X, and we put $D' := f^{-1}(D)$. Let $\mathcal{T}'$ be a good integrable $\mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')}$-module. We have the push-forward $f^i_\dagger\mathcal{T}'$ as $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple. As observed in [45], $f^i_\dagger\mathcal{T}'$ is naturally an integrable $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple. Similarly, for an integrable $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple $\mathcal{T}$ on X, the pull back $f^\dagger\mathcal{T}$ is naturally integrable. We have the integrable variant of Lemma 2.9.

Lemma 2.10 *Assume that f is projective and induces an isomorphism $X' \setminus D' \simeq X \setminus D$. Then, $f_\dagger$ induces an equivalence of the categories of good integrable $\mathcal{R}_{X'(*D')}$-triples and good integrable $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triples.* ▮

We recall the following in [45].

Lemma 2.11 *Let X and D be as in Subsection 2.1.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module, which is strictly specializable along t. If $\mathcal{M}$ is integrable, the V-filtrations are preserved by $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$. In particular, the nearby cycle sheaves $\psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{t,u}(\mathcal{M})$ are naturally integrable.* ▮

2.1.6 Smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triple and some functoriality

Let X be a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface D. An $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is called a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module, if it is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{D})$-module. A smooth hermitian sesqui-linear pairing of smooth $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ is a $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \otimes \sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$-homomorphism $C : \mathcal{M}_{1|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \otimes \sigma^*\mathcal{M}_{2|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\ D}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ such that its restriction to $\boldsymbol{S} \times (X \setminus D)$ is extended to the pairing of $\mathcal{M}_{1|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda\times(X\setminus D)}$ and $\sigma^*\mathcal{M}_{1|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda\times(X\setminus D)}$ to the sheaf of C^∞-functions on $\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda \times (X \setminus D)$ which are λ-holomorphic. Let $\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}_{\mathrm{sm}}(X, D)$ denote the full subcategory of smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triples in $\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}(X, D)$.

Twist Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)\in\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}_{\mathrm{sm}}(X,D)$. Let $\mathcal{T}'=(\mathcal{M}_1',\mathcal{M}_2',C')\in\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}(X,D)$. We set $\mathcal{M}_i'':=\mathcal{M}_i\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{M}_i'$. We have the naturally defined pairing C'' of $\mathcal{M}_i''$ $(i=1,2)$, given as follows:

$$C''\bigl(m_1\otimes m_1',\sigma^*(m_2\otimes m_2')\bigr)=C(m_1,\sigma^*m_2)\cdot C(m_1',\sigma^*m_2')$$

The pairing C'' is also denoted by $C\otimes C'$. The $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple $(\mathcal{M}_1'',\mathcal{M}_2'',C'')$ is denoted by $\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}'$. If $\mathcal{T}'$ is smooth, $\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}'$ is also smooth. If $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are integrable, $\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}'$ is also integrable.

Pull back Let $f:X_1\longrightarrow X_2$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let D_i be hypersurfaces of X_i such that $D_1=f^{-1}(D_2)$. Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)\in\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}_{\mathrm{sm}}(X_2,D_2)$. We set $f^*\mathcal{M}_i:=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_2}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}}f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_i$, which are naturally $\mathcal{R}_{X_1(*D_1)}$-modules. We have a pairing $f^{-1}C$ of $f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_1$ and $f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_2$ obtained as the composition of the following:

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_1)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X_1}\times\sigma^*f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_2)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X_1}\longrightarrow f^{-1}\mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,D_2}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X_2}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,D_1}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X_1}$$

It induces a hermitian sesqui-linear pairing f^*C of $f^*\mathcal{M}_1$ and $f^*\mathcal{M}_2$. Thus, we obtain a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X_1(*D_1)}$-triple $f^*\mathcal{T}:=(f^*\mathcal{M}_1,f^*\mathcal{M}_2,f^*C)$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, $f^*\mathcal{T}$ is also integrable.

Lemma 2.12 *Assume that f is birational projective morphism, and it gives an isomorphism $X_1\setminus D_1\simeq X_2\setminus D_2$. Then, f^* gives an equivalence of the categories $\mathcal{R}$-Tri$_{\mathrm{sm}}(X_i,D_i)$.* ▮

A projection formula Let $\mathcal{T}'$ be a good $\mathcal{R}_{X_1(*D_1)}$-triple. Assume that the support of $\mathcal{T}'$ is proper over X_2. Let $\mathcal{T}\in\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}_{sm}(X_2,D_2)$.

Lemma 2.13 *We have a natural isomorphism $f_\dagger\bigl(f^*(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{T}'\bigr)\simeq\mathcal{T}\otimes f_\dagger\mathcal{T}'$.*

Proof Let us construct an isomorphism in the level of $\mathcal{R}$-modules. We have only to consider the case $\mathcal{M}'=M'\otimes\mathcal{R}_{X_1}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}_1}^{-1}$, where M' is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}$-module. We will freely use an isomorphism in Lemma 2.14 below. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X_2}(*H_2)$-module. Then, we have the following isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}f_\dagger\bigl(f^*\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{M}'\bigr)\simeq f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\otimes f_*\bigl(M\otimes\mathrm{Forget}(f^*\mathcal{M})\bigr)\simeq\bigl(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\bigr)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\bigl(f_*(M)\otimes\mathrm{Forget}(\mathcal{M})\bigr)\\ \simeq\mathcal{M}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}\bigl(f_*(M)\otimes\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\bigr)\simeq\mathcal{M}\otimes f_\dagger(\mathcal{M}')\end{aligned}\quad(1)$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ has a frame $\boldsymbol{v}$ such that $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}}\boldsymbol{v}=0$, i.e., $\mathcal{M}\simeq\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\oplus r}$, then the isomorphism is equal to the natural one $f_\dagger\mathcal{M}'^{\oplus r}\simeq f_\dagger(\mathcal{M}')^{\oplus r}$. We can find such a frame locally around any point of $\boldsymbol{S}\times(X_2\setminus H_2)$. Then, we can easily compare the pairings $f_\dagger\bigl(f^*(C)\otimes C'\bigr)$ and $C\otimes f_\dagger C'$. ▮

Appendix Let X be a complex manifold. Let N be an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-module. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module. Let Forget$(\mathcal{M})$ be the underlying $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-module. We obtain an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module on $\Bigl(\bigl(N\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{R}_X\bigr)\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\Bigr)\otimes\mathcal{M}$, where we use the left multiplication of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{R}_X$ for the tensor product of N and $\mathcal{R}_X$. We also have an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\bigl(N\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathrm{Forget}(\mathcal{M})\bigr)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$, where we use the left multiplication of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{R}_X$ for the tensor product. Let us recall the following isomorphism from [45] and [48].

Lemma 2.14 *We have a natural $\mathcal{R}_X$-isomorphism*

$$\bigl(N\otimes\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\bigr)\otimes\mathcal{M}\simeq\bigl(N\otimes\mathrm{Forget}(\mathcal{M})\bigr)\otimes\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}.$$

Proof We have a natural $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-homomorphism $N\otimes\mathrm{Forget}(\mathcal{M})\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\longrightarrow\bigl(N\otimes\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\bigr)\otimes\mathcal{M}$. It is naturally extended to an $\mathcal{R}_X$-homomorphism $N\otimes\mathrm{Forget}(\mathcal{M})\otimes(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})\longrightarrow\bigl(N\otimes\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\bigr)\otimes\mathcal{M}$, which is an isomorphism. ▮

2.1.7 Variation of twistor structure

Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)\in\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}_{sm}(X,D)$. It is called a variation of twistor structure on (X,D), (or simply a twistor structure on (X,D)), if the following holds:

- If X is a point and $D=\emptyset$, the extended pairing $\mathcal{M}'_{|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda}\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''_{|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda}$ is perfect, i.e., the induced morphism $$\mathcal{M}'_{|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda}}\bigl(\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''_{|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda},\,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda}\bigr)$$ is an isomorphism.
- In the general case, ι_P^*C is a twistor structure for any point $\iota_P:\{P\}\longrightarrow X\setminus D$.

Let $\mathrm{TS}(X,D)$ denote the full subcategory of twistor structures on (X,D) in $\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}_{\mathrm{sm}}(X,D)$. For $\mathcal{T}_i\in\mathrm{TS}(X,D)$, we have $\mathcal{T}_1\otimes\mathcal{T}_2\in\mathrm{TS}(X,D)$. For $f:(X_1,D_1)\longrightarrow(X_2,D_2)$, we naturally have the pull back $f^*:\mathrm{TS}(X_2,D_2)\longrightarrow\mathrm{TS}(X_1,D_1)$.

Dual Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)\in\mathrm{TS}(X,D)$. We set $\mathcal{M}_i^\vee:=\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{D})}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_i,\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{D})\bigr)$. We shall observe that we have a naturally induced pairing $C^\vee:\mathcal{M}^\vee_{1|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\times\sigma^*\mathcal{M}^\vee_{2|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^{\infty\,\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$, and we shall set $\mathcal{T}^\vee:=(\mathcal{M}_1^\vee,\mathcal{M}_2^\vee,C^\vee)$, which is called the dual of $\mathcal{T}$. For a neighbourhood $U(\lambda_0)$ of λ_0 in $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$, let $\boldsymbol{I}(\lambda_0):=\boldsymbol{S}\cap U(\lambda_0)$.

First, let us consider the case $D=\emptyset$. We set $\mathcal{M}'_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}:=\mathcal{M}'\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ and $\mathcal{M}''_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}:=\mathcal{M}''\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ on $\boldsymbol{S}\times X$. Then, $\mathcal{M}'_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ and $\mathcal{M}''_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ are naturally $\mathrm{Diff}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$-modules. For a section $P\in\mathrm{Diff}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$ on $\boldsymbol{I}(\lambda_0)\times X$ and $m''\in\mathcal{M}''_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ on $\boldsymbol{I}(-\lambda_0)\times X$, we set $P\cdot\sigma^*m'':=\sigma^*\bigl(\overline{\sigma^*(P)}\cdot m''\bigr)$. Thus, $\sigma^*(\mathcal{M}''_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X})$ is a $\mathrm{Diff}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$-module.

We have the induced pairing of $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$-modules
$$C:\mathcal{M}'_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\times\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}.\tag{2}$$

We have induced morphisms of $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$-modules
$$\Psi_C:\mathcal{M}'_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''^\vee_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X},\qquad\Phi_C:\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}'^\vee_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$$
given as follows:
$$\bigl\langle\Psi_C(m'),\sigma^*(m'')\bigr\rangle:=C(m',\sigma^*m''),\qquad\bigl\langle\Phi_C(\sigma^*m''),m'\bigr\rangle:=C(m',\sigma^*m'')\tag{3}$$

Note that we use the pairing $\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''^\vee_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\times\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ given by $\langle\sigma^*n'',\sigma^*m''\rangle=\overline{\sigma^*\langle n',m''\rangle}$. By direct computations, we can check that Ψ_C and Φ_C are $\mathrm{Diff}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$-homomorphisms. Because $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{TS}(X,D)$, Ψ_C and Φ_C are isomorphisms. Hence, we have the induced $\mathrm{Diff}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$-morphism:
$$C^\vee:\mathcal{M}'^\vee_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''^\vee_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X},\qquad C^\vee(n',\sigma^*n''):=C\bigl(\Psi_C^{-1}(\sigma^*n''),\Phi_C^{-1}(n')\bigr)$$

The composition $\mathcal{M}'^\vee_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\times\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''^\vee_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}'^\vee_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\times\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''^\vee_{C^\infty,\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ is also denoted by $C^\vee$, which is the desired smooth hermitian pairing.

Let us consider the case that D is not necessarily empty. By the previous consideration, we have the smooth pairing $C_0^\vee:\mathcal{M}'_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times(X\setminus D)}\times\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times(X\setminus D)}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\boldsymbol{S}\times(X\setminus D)}$.

Lemma 2.15 *$C_0^\vee$ is extended to a pairing $C^\vee:\mathcal{M}'_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\times\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^{\infty\,\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$.*

Proof Let us consider the case $\mathrm{rank}\,\mathcal{M}'=\mathrm{rank}\,\mathcal{M}''=1$. Let $P\in D$. We will shrink X around P. We may assume that the monodromy of $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}''$ are trivial. Let $\lambda_0\in\boldsymbol{S}$. Let $U(\lambda_0)$ be a small neighbourhood of λ_0 in $\mathbb{C}$, and let $U(-\lambda_0):=\sigma(U(\lambda_0))$. We can find a frame m' of $\mathcal{M}'$ on $U(\lambda_0)\times X$, and a frame m'' of $\mathcal{M}''$ on $U(-\lambda_0)\times X$. We have meromorphic functions $\mathfrak{a}'$ and $\mathfrak{a}''$ such that (i) the poles are contained in $\mathcal{D}$, (ii) $\mathbb{D}m'=m'\,d\mathfrak{a}'$ and $\mathbb{D}m''=m''d\mathfrak{a}''$.

Lemma 2.16 *We have $\mathfrak{a}' = \sigma^*\mathfrak{a}''$ modulo holomorphic functions.*

Proof We set $F = C(m', \sigma^* m'')$. We obtain $F = G(\lambda)\,\exp\Big(2\sqrt{-1}\,\mathrm{Im}(\lambda^{-1}\mathfrak{a}') + \lambda\overline{\big(\mathfrak{a}' - \sigma^*\mathfrak{a}''\big)}\Big)$. Because F is of moderate growth, we obtain the claim of Lemma 2.16. ▮

In particular $\mathfrak{a}'$ and $\mathfrak{a}''$ are independent of λ. By considering the tensor product with the rank one object, we may assume that $\mathfrak{a}' = \mathfrak{a}'' = 0$. Then, $C_0^{\vee}$ is clearly extended. Moreover, we have a bound of $C(m', \sigma^* m'')$ from below. Then, we can deduce the claim of Lemma 2.15 by using the exterior product. ▮

The following lemma is easy to see.

Lemma 2.17 *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{TS}(X, D)$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, $\mathcal{T}^{\vee}$ is naturally integrable.* ▮

Real structure If C is non-degenerate, we have natural identifications $(\mathcal{T}^*)^{\vee} = (\mathcal{T}^{\vee})^*$ and $j^*(\mathcal{T}^{\vee}) = (j^*\mathcal{T})^{\vee}$. We formally set $\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\mathcal{T} := j^*\big(\mathcal{T}^{\vee}\big)^*$. We naturally have $\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^* \circ \widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathcal{T}$. A real structure of $\mathcal{T}$ is defined to be an isomorphism $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ such that $\kappa \circ \widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\kappa = \mathrm{id}$.

Remark 2.18 *$\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*$ is the counterpart of the pull back of vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1$ by $\gamma : \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, where $\gamma([z_0 : z_1]) = [\overline{z}_1 : \overline{z}_0]$.* ▮

Let $f : X_1 \longrightarrow X_2$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let D_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be hypersurfaces of X_i such that $D_1 = f^{-1}(D_2)$. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{TS}(X_2, D_2)$. We have natural isomorphisms $f^*(\mathcal{T}^*) = (f^*\mathcal{T})^*$, $f^*(\mathcal{T}^{\vee}) \simeq f^*(\mathcal{T})^{\vee}$, $f^*j^*(\mathcal{T}) \simeq j^*f^*(\mathcal{T})$. A real structure is functorial with respect to the pull back.

Remark 2.19 *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{TS}(X, D)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ be the underlying $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules. If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{TS}(X, D)$ is equipped with a real structure, each $\mathcal{M}_{i|P}^{\lambda}$ for $(\lambda, P) \in \boldsymbol{S} \times (X \setminus D)$ has an induced real structure. If moreover $\mathcal{T}$ is equipped with an integrable structure, the flat bundle $\mathcal{M}_{i|\mathbb{C}_\lambda^* \times (X\setminus D)}$ has an induced real structure.* ▮

2.1.8 Tate object

We have integrable $\mathcal{R}$-triples $\boldsymbol{T}(w) := \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\lambda^{-w},\, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\lambda^{w},\, C_0\big)$ for $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, where C_0 is the natural pairing:

$$C_0(f, \sigma^* g) = f \cdot \overline{\sigma^* g}.$$

We naturally have $\boldsymbol{T}(w)^* = \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\lambda^{w},\, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\lambda^{-w},\, C_0\big)$. We shall use the twisted identification $c_w : \boldsymbol{T}(w)^* \simeq \boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ given by $\big((-1)^w, (-1)^w\big)$.

We shall also use the natural identifications $d_w : \boldsymbol{T}(w)^{\vee} \simeq \boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ and $j^*\boldsymbol{T}(w) \simeq \boldsymbol{T}(w)$. Then, as the composition of the above morphisms, we obtain an induced real structure $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\boldsymbol{T}(w) \simeq \boldsymbol{T}(w)$ given by $\big((-1)^w, (-1)^w\big)$.

Remark 2.20 *The real part of the fiber $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda|1}$ is given by $(\sqrt{-1})^w\mathbb{R}$.* ▮

Let $\mathbb{T}^S(w)$ be the smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triple given by $\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda},\, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda},\, (\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{-2w}\big)$. Note that we have an isomorphism $\Psi_w : \boldsymbol{T}(w) \simeq \mathbb{T}^S(w)$ given by the pair of the following morphisms:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\lambda^{-w} \xleftarrow{(\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{-w}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}, \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\lambda^{w} \xrightarrow{(-\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{-w}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$$

Then, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{T}(w)^* \arrow[r, "c_w"] & \boldsymbol{T}(-w) \arrow[d, "\Psi_{-w}"'] \\
\mathbb{T}^S(w)^* \arrow[u, "\Psi_w^*"] \arrow[r, "c_w^S:=(\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id})"] & \mathbb{T}^S(-w)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, we may replace the family $\big(\mathbb{T}^S(w), c_w^S \,\big|\, w \in \mathbb{Z}\big)$ with $\big(\boldsymbol{T}(w), c_w \,\big|\, w \in \mathbb{Z}\big)$.

Remark 2.21 *Let X be a complex manifold. For the canonical morphism a_X of X to a point, the pull back $a_X^*\boldsymbol{T}(w)$ is denoted by $\boldsymbol{T}(w)_X$, or just by $\boldsymbol{T}(w)$, when there is no risk of confusion.* ∎

Remark 2.22 *Let f be a holomorphic function on X, and let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C)$ be an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple which is strictly specializable along f with ramified exponential twist. For $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{a} \in t_n^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t_n^{-1}]$, we have $\widetilde{\psi}_{f,u,\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{T})$ with a morphism $\mathcal{N} : \widetilde{\psi}_{f,u,\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{f,u,\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathbb{T}^S(-1)$. By using the isomorphisms, we can replace $\mathcal{N}$ with $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} : \widetilde{\psi}_{f,u,\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{f,u,\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. If f is a coordinate function t, $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}', \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'')$ are induced by the nilpotent parts of $\partial_t t = (\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{-1}(\sqrt{-1}\eth_t t) = (-\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{-1}(-\sqrt{-1}\eth_t t)$.* ∎

2.1.9 Variant

For $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, let $\mathcal{U}(p,q)$ denote the integrable smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\,\lambda^p, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\,\lambda^q, C_0\bigr)$. We have an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{T}^S\bigl((q-p)/2\bigr) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(p,q)$ given by $\bigl((\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{-p}, (-\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^q\bigr)$. Then, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T}^S\bigl((q-p)/2\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Psi"'] & \mathbb{T}^S\bigl((q-p)/2\bigr)^* \otimes \mathbb{T}^S\bigl((q-p)\bigr) \\
\mathcal{U}(p,q) \arrow[r, "{((-1)^p,(-1)^p)}"] & \mathcal{U}(p,q)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}\bigl(-(p-q)\bigr) \arrow[u, "\Psi^*\otimes\Phi"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, we use the polarization of $\mathcal{U}(p,q)$ given by $\bigl((-1)^p, (-1)^p\bigr)$.

2.1.10 Other basic examples of smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triples with integrable and real structure of rank one

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a meromorphic function on X whose pole is contained in D. Let $L(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{O}_{X(*D)}\,e$ with $h(e,e) = 1$ and $\theta = d\mathfrak{a}$. We have $\overline{\partial}e = 0$, $\partial e = 0$ and $\theta^\dagger = d\overline{\mathfrak{a}}$. The associated family of λ-flat bundles $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a})$ has a frame $v = e\,\exp(-\lambda\overline{\mathfrak{a}})$. We have the pairing $C(v,\sigma^*v) = h(v,\sigma^*v) = \exp(-\lambda\overline{\mathfrak{a}} + \lambda^{-1}\mathfrak{a})$ on $\boldsymbol{S} \times X$, which takes values in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty\,\mathrm{mod}\,D}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$. We obtain a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \bigl(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a}), \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a}), C\bigr)$. We have the natural isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}^* = \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ given by $(\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id})$. We also have natural isomorphisms $j^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{-\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}^\vee \simeq \mathcal{T}_{-\mathfrak{a}}$. Hence, we have a natural isomorphism $\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, which is a real structure of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $L(a) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z^*}\,e$ with $h(e,e) = |z|^{-2a}$ and $\theta = 0$. We have $\overline{\partial}e = 0$, $\partial e = e\,(-a)\,dz/z$ and $\theta^\dagger = 0$. We have $(\overline{\partial} + \lambda\theta^\dagger)e = 0$, $\mathbb{D}e = e(-\lambda\,a)\,dz/z$ and $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\,e = 0$. We have the pairing $C(e,\sigma^*e) = |z|^{-2a}$. We obtain an $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{T}_a = \bigl(\mathcal{L}(a), \mathcal{L}(a), C\bigr)$.

We have a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_a^* \simeq \mathcal{T}_a$ given by $(\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id})$ with which $\mathcal{T}_a$ is a polarized pure twistor $D(*z)$-module of weight 0. We have a natural isomorphism $j^*\mathcal{T}_a \simeq \mathcal{T}_a$. We have a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_a^\vee \simeq \mathcal{T}_{-a}$. Hence, for $0 < a < 1/2$, we have a real structure of $\mathcal{T}_a \oplus \mathcal{T}_{-a}$, given as follows:

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\mathcal{T}_a \oplus \widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\mathcal{T}_{-a} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{-a} \oplus \mathcal{T}_a \simeq \mathcal{T}_a \oplus \mathcal{T}_{-a},$$

where the second isomorphism is the exchange of the components. If $a = 1/2$, we have a real structure of $\mathcal{T}_{1/2}$ given by $\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\mathcal{T}_{1/2} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{-1/2} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{1/2}$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $L(\alpha) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z^*}\,e$ with $h(e,e) = 1$ and $\theta\,e = e\,\alpha\,dz/z$. We put $v = e\,\exp(-\lambda\overline{\alpha}\,\log|z|^2)$, which gives a frame of $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \mathbb{C}_z^*$. We have $z\partial_z v = v\,(\lambda^{-1}\alpha + \lambda\overline{\alpha})$. We have the pairing $C(v,\sigma^*v) = |z|^{2(-\lambda\overline{\alpha}+\lambda^{-1}\alpha)}$. We obtain an $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{T}_\alpha = \bigl(\mathcal{L}(\alpha), \mathcal{L}(\alpha), C_\alpha\bigr)$. We have natural isomorphisms $\mathcal{T}_\alpha^* \simeq \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{T}_\alpha^\vee \simeq \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha}$ and $j^*\mathcal{T}_\alpha \simeq \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha}$. Hence, we have a real structure $\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\mathcal{T}_\alpha \simeq \mathcal{T}_\alpha$. But, it is easy to observe that $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ cannot be integrable, i.e., $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ cannot underlie an integrable $\mathcal{R}$-module. Indeed, assume that it is integrable. We have a meromorphic function A whose poles is contained in $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^* \times \{0\}$ such that $\partial_\lambda v = v\,A$ on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^* \times X$. We obtain the relation $z\partial_z A = -\overline{\alpha} - \lambda^2\alpha$, which contradicts with the condition on A.

2.2 Deformation associated to nilpotent morphisms

An $\mathcal{R}$-triple with a tuple of nilpotent morphisms has a natural deformation. Such a deformation was used in the contexts of variation of twistor structures in [35] and [39], which originated from the procedure to construct nilpotent orbit in the Hodge theory.

2.2.1 Twistor nilpotent orbit in $\mathcal{R}$-triple

Let X be a complex manifold, and let D be a hypersurface. Let Λ be a finite set. Let Λ-$\mathcal{R}$-Tri(X,D) be the category of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple $\mathcal{T}$ with a tuple $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}=(\mathcal{N}_i\,|\,i\in\Lambda)$ of mutually commuting morphisms $\mathcal{N}_i:\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. A morphism $F:(\mathcal{T}_1,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ in Λ-$\mathcal{R}$-Tri(X,D) is a morphism F in $\mathcal{R}$-Tri(X,D) such that $F\circ\mathcal{N}_i=\mathcal{N}_i\circ F$ for $i\in\Lambda$.

For $I\subset\Lambda$, we put $X\{I\}:=X\times\mathbb{C}^I$ and $D\{I\}:=\bigl(D\times\mathbb{C}^I\bigr)\cup\bigcup_{i\in I}\{z_i=0\}$. We shall construct a functor

$$\mathrm{TNIL}_I:\Lambda\text{-}\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}(X,D)\longrightarrow\Lambda\text{-}\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}\bigl(X\{I\},D\{I\}\bigr)\tag{4}$$

Let $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\in\Lambda$-$\mathcal{R}$-Tri$(X,D)$. Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}'',C)$. Recall that morphisms $\mathcal{N}_i=(\mathcal{N}_i',\mathcal{N}_i'')$ are given as

$$\mathcal{M}'\xleftarrow{\mathcal{N}_i'}\mathcal{M}'\cdot\lambda,\qquad\mathcal{M}''\xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_i''}\mathcal{M}''\cdot\lambda^{-1}.$$

The underlying $\mathcal{R}_{X\{I\}(*D\{I\})}$-modules of $\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{N})$ are given as follows, with the natural actions of $\mathcal{R}_X$:

$$\mathcal{M}'\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}\{I\}}(*\mathcal{D}\{I\}),\quad z_i\eth_i m'=\lambda\mathcal{N}_i'm',\qquad\mathcal{M}''\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}\{I\}}(*\mathcal{D}\{I\}),\quad z_i\eth_i m''=\lambda\mathcal{N}_i''m''$$

We would like to define the pairing $\widetilde{C}$ of $\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{N})$ by the following formula:

$$\widetilde{C}(m',\sigma^*m'')=C\Bigl(\exp\Bigl(\sum_{i\in I}\mathcal{N}_i'\log|z_i|^2\Bigr)m',\,\sigma^*m''\Bigr)\tag{5}$$

Because $C\bigl(\mathcal{N}_i'm',\sigma^*m''\bigr)=C\bigl(m',\sigma^*(\mathcal{N}_i''m'')\bigr)$, the right hand side of (5) is equal to the following:

$$C\Bigl(m',\,\sigma^*\Bigl(\exp\Bigl(\sum_{i\in I}\mathcal{N}_i''\log|z_i|^2\Bigr)m''\Bigr)\Bigr)$$

Let us show that $\widetilde{C}$ is an $\mathcal{R}_{X\{I\}(*D\{I\})}\times\sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X\{I\}(*D\{I\})}$-homomorphism. For that purpose, we have only to show the following equalities:

$$z_i\partial_i\widetilde{C}(m',\sigma^*m'')=\widetilde{C}(z_i\partial_i m',\sigma^*m''),\qquad\overline{z}_i\partial_{\overline{z}_i}\widetilde{C}(m',\sigma^*m'')=\widetilde{C}\bigl(m',\sigma^*(z_i\partial_i m'')\bigr)\tag{6}$$

We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}z_i\partial_i\widetilde{C}(m',\sigma^*m'')&=z_i\partial_iC\Bigl(\exp\Bigl(\sum_{j\in I}\mathcal{N}_j'\log|z_j|^2\Bigr)m',\sigma^*m''\Bigr)\\&=C\Bigl(\exp\Bigl(\sum_{j\in I}\mathcal{N}_i'\log|z_j|^2\Bigr)\mathcal{N}_i'\,m',\ \sigma^*m''\Bigr)=\widetilde{C}(z_i\partial_i m',\sigma^*m'')\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

We also have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{z}_i\partial_{\overline{z}_i}\widetilde{C}(m',\sigma^*m'')&=\overline{z}_i\partial_{\overline{z}_i}C\Bigl(m',\sigma^*\Bigl(\exp\Bigl(\sum_{j\in I}\mathcal{N}_j''\log|z_i|^2\Bigr)m''\Bigr)\Bigr)\\&=C\Bigl(m',\sigma^*\Bigl(\exp\Bigl(\sum_{j\in I}\mathcal{N}_j''\log|z_j|^2\Bigr)\mathcal{N}_i''\,m''\Bigr)\Bigr)=\widetilde{C}\bigl(m',\sigma^*(z_i\partial_i m'')\bigr)\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

Hence, we obtain (6), and $\widetilde{C}$ gives a hermitian sesqui-linear pairing of $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}''$.

By using the commutativity of $\mathcal{N}_j$ and $\mathcal{N}_i$ $(i\in I)$, we obtain $\widetilde{C}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_j'm',\sigma^*m''\bigr)=\widetilde{C}\bigl(m',\sigma^*\mathcal{N}_j''m''\bigr)$. Hence, we have morphisms $\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{N}_j):\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\longrightarrow\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ for $j\in\Lambda$. In particular, an $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ with $\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})=\bigl(\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{N}_i)\,|\,i\in\Lambda\bigr)$ is an object of Λ-$\mathcal{R}$-Tri$\bigl(X\{I\},D\{I\}\bigr)$. Thus, we obtain the functor (4).

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ is strictly specializable along z_i $(i\in I)$, and we have

$$\psi_{z_i,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\,\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})=\mathrm{TNIL}_{I\setminus i}(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}).$$

The following lemma is easy to see.

Lemma 2.23 *If* $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ *is integrable,* $\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ *is naturally integrable.* ∎

Hermitian adjoint For $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\in\Lambda\text{-}\mathcal{R}\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}(X,D)$, we set $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})^*:=(\mathcal{T}^*,-\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}^*)$, which gives a contravariant functor on $\Lambda\text{-}\mathcal{R}\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}(X,D)$.

Lemma 2.24 *We have a natural identification* $\bigl(\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\bigr)^*=\mathrm{TNIL}_I\bigl((\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})^*\bigr)$.

Proof Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}'',C)$ and $\mathcal{N}_i=(\mathcal{N}_i',\mathcal{N}_i'')$. Because the identification $c_{-1}:\boldsymbol{T}(-1)^*\simeq\boldsymbol{T}(1)$ is given by $(-1,-1)$, we have $-\mathcal{N}_i^*=(\mathcal{N}_i'',\mathcal{N}_i')$. Hence, the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-modules are naturally identified.

Let us compare the pairings. Let $\widetilde{C}^*$ be the pairing for $\bigl(\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\bigr)^*$. We have

$$\widetilde{C}^*(m'',\sigma^*m')=\overline{\sigma^*\widetilde{C}(m',\sigma^*m'')}=\overline{\sigma^*C\Bigl(\exp\Bigl(\sum_{i\in I}\mathcal{N}_i'\log|z_i|^2\Bigr)m',\,\sigma^*m''\Bigr)}$$
$$=C^*\Bigl(m'',\sigma^*\Bigl(\exp\Bigl(\sum_{i\in I}\mathcal{N}_i'\log|z_i|^2\Bigr)m'\Bigr)\Bigr)\quad(9)$$

This is exactly the pairing for $\mathrm{TNIL}_I\bigl((\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})^*\bigr)$. ▮

Dual If $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{TS}(X,D)$, we set $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})^\vee:=(\mathcal{T}^\vee,-\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}^\vee)$.

Lemma 2.25 *We have a natural identification* $\bigl(\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\bigr)^\vee=\mathrm{TNIL}_I\bigl((\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})^\vee\bigr)$.

Proof The underlying $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules are naturally identified. Let us compare the pairings. We have only to consider the case that Λ consists of one element. For $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}'',C)$, let Ψ_C and Φ_C be defined as in (3). Similarly, we obtain $\Psi_{\widetilde{C}}$ and $\Phi_{\widetilde{C}}$ from the pairing $\widetilde{C}$. We have $\Psi_C\circ\mathcal{N}'=\sigma^*(\mathcal{N}'')^\vee\circ\Psi_C$. Indeed,

$$\bigl\langle\Psi_C(\mathcal{N}'\,m'),\sigma^*m''\bigr\rangle=C(\mathcal{N}'\,m',\sigma^*m'')=C\bigl(m',\sigma^*(\mathcal{N}''\,m'')\bigr)=\bigl\langle\Psi_C(m'),\,\sigma^*(\mathcal{N}''\,m'')\bigr\rangle$$
$$=\bigl\langle\sigma^*(\mathcal{N}'')^\vee\Psi_C(m'),\,\sigma^*m''\bigr\rangle\quad(10)$$

Similarly, we have $\Phi_C\circ\sigma^*\mathcal{N}''=(\mathcal{N}')^\vee\circ\Phi_C$. We have

$$\Psi_{\widetilde{C}}=\Psi_C\circ\exp\bigl(\log|z|^2\mathcal{N}'\bigr)=\exp\bigl(\log|z|^2\sigma^*(\mathcal{N}'')^\vee\bigr)\circ\Psi_C$$

Indeed, we have the equalities:

$$\langle\Psi_{\widetilde{C}}(m'),\sigma^*m''\rangle=\widetilde{C}(m',\sigma^*m'')=C\Bigl(\exp\bigl(\log|z|^2\mathcal{N}'\bigr)\,m',\,\sigma^*m''\Bigr)=\bigl\langle\Psi_C\bigl(\exp(\log|z|^2\mathcal{N}')\,m'\bigr),\,\sigma^*m''\bigr\rangle$$

Similarly, we have $\Phi_{\widetilde{C}}=\Phi_C\circ\exp\bigl(\log|z|^2\sigma^*\mathcal{N}''\bigr)=\exp\bigl(\log|z|^2(\mathcal{N}')^\vee\bigr)\circ\Phi_C$. Then, we obtain the following:

$$(\widetilde{C})^\vee(n',\sigma^*n'')=C\Bigl(\exp(\log|z|^2\mathcal{N}')\Psi_{\widetilde{C}}^{-1}(\sigma^*n''),\Phi_{\widetilde{C}}^{-1}(n')\Bigr)=C\Bigl(\Psi_C^{-1}(\sigma^*n''),\,\Phi_C^{-1}\bigl(\exp(-\log|z|^2(\mathcal{N}')^\vee)\,n'\bigr)\Bigr)$$
$$=C^\vee\Bigl(\exp(-\log|z|^2(\mathcal{N}')^\vee),\,\sigma^*n''\Bigr)=\widetilde{(C^\vee)}(n',\sigma^*n'')\quad(11)$$

Thus, we are done. ▮

Real structure We also have a natural isomorphism $j^*\,\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\simeq\mathrm{TNIL}_I\,j^*(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$. Hence, we have a natural isomorphism $\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\,\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\simeq\mathrm{TNIL}_I\,\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$.

A real structure of an object $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ in $\Lambda\text{-}\mathcal{R}\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}(X,D)$ is an isomorphism $\kappa:\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\simeq(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ such that $\kappa\circ\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\kappa=\mathrm{id}$. A real structure of $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ naturally induces a real structure of $\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$.

2.2.2 Variant

Let $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \in \Lambda\text{-}\mathcal{R}\text{-Tri}(X,D)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=(\varphi_i\,|\,i\in\Lambda)$ be a tuple of meromorphic functions whose poles are contained in D. We shall construct an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple $\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$. We define a new $\mathcal{R}$-action on $\mathcal{M}'$ by $f\bullet m' = f\,m'$ for $f\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $v\bullet m' = v\,m' + \sum_i \mathcal{N}_i'(m')\,v(\varphi_i)$ for $v\in\lambda\,\Theta_X$. We define a new $\mathcal{R}$-action on $\mathcal{M}''$ in the same way. The $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules are denoted by $\mathcal{M}'_{(\varphi,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})}$ and $\mathcal{M}''_{(\varphi,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})}$. We define a hermitian sesqui-linear pairing $C_{(\varphi,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})}$ of $\mathcal{M}'_{(\varphi,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})}$ and $\mathcal{M}''_{(\varphi,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})}$ as follows:

$$C_{(\varphi,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})} := C\Big(\exp\big(\boldsymbol{\varphi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big)\,m',\ \sigma^*\big(\exp\big(\varphi\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}''\big)\,m''\big)\Big)$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}' := \sum_{i\in\Lambda}\varphi_i\,\mathcal{N}_i'$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'' := \sum_{i\in\Lambda}\varphi_i\,\mathcal{N}_i''$. The triple is denoted by $\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$. The following lemma can be checked by an argument in Subsection 2.2.1.

Lemma 2.26 *We have natural isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\big((\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\big)^* \simeq \mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\big((\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})^*\big),\quad \mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\big((\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\big)^{\vee} \simeq \mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\big((\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})^{\vee}\big),\quad j^*\,\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \simeq \mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\big(j^*(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\big)$$

In particular, we have $\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*\,\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \simeq \mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\big(\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathrm{sm}}^*(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\big)$. ▮

A real structure of $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ naturally induces a real structure of $\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$. If $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ is integrable, $\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ is also integrable.

The following lemma can be checked directly.

Lemma 2.27 *We have a natural identification* $\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}'}\big(\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\big) = \mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}'+\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$. ▮

Let Y be a complex manifold with a hypersurface D_Y. Let $\iota_j : (Y,D_Y)\subset (X\{I\},D\{I\})$ $(j=1,2)$ be open embeddings such that (i) the compositions $Y\xrightarrow{\iota_j} X\{I\}\longrightarrow X$ are equal, where the latter is the natural projection, (ii) $\iota_1^*(z_i) = e^{\varphi_i}\iota_2^*(w_i)$ for $i\in I$, where φ_i are holomorphic on Y. The following lemma can be checked directly.

Lemma 2.28 *We have a natural isomorphism* $\iota_1^*\,\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \simeq \mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\Big(\iota_2^*\,\mathrm{TNIL}_I(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\Big)$.

2.3 Beilinson triples

We introduce a special type of smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triples, which we call Beilinson triples. It will be used in the construction of Beilinson functor in Section 4.

2.3.1 Triples on a point

We put $A := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}[\lambda s,(\lambda s)^{-1}]$. We set $A^a := (\lambda s)^a\,\mathcal{O}[\lambda s]\subset A$, and we put

$$\mathbb{I}_1^{a,b} := A^{-b+1}/A^{-a+1} \simeq \bigoplus_{a\le i<b}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\cdot(\lambda s)^{-i},\qquad \mathbb{I}_2^{a,b} := A^{a}/A^{b} \simeq \bigoplus_{a\le i<b}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\cdot(\lambda s)^{i}.$$

Let $C_0^{(i)}$ be the pairing of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\cdot(\lambda s)^{-i}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\cdot(\lambda s)^{i}$ given by $C_0^{(i)}(f\,s^{-i},\sigma^*g\,s^i) = f\cdot\overline{\sigma^*g}$. They induce a hermitian sesqui-linear pairing $C_{\mathbb{I}}$ of $\mathbb{I}_1^{a,b}$ and $\mathbb{I}_2^{a,b}$. The integrable $\mathcal{R}$-triple is denoted by $\mathbb{I}^{a,b}$. We have a natural identification $\mathbb{I}^{a,b} = \oplus_{a\le i<b}\mathbb{I}^{i,i+1}$. We also use the identification $\mathbb{I}^{i,i+1}\simeq \boldsymbol{T}(i)$ given by $s^j \longleftrightarrow 1$ $(j=i,-i)$.

The multiplication of s induces a morphism $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}} = (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I},1},\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I},2}) : \mathbb{I}^{a,b}\longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^{a,b}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$:

$$\mathbb{I}_1^{a,b} \xleftarrow{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I},1}} \mathbb{I}_1^{a,b}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\lambda,\qquad \mathbb{I}_2^{a,b} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I},2}} \mathbb{I}_2^{a,b}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\lambda^{-1}.$$

Hermitian adjoint We shall use the identification $\mathcal{S}^{a,b} : (\mathbb{I}^{a,b})^* \simeq \mathbb{I}^{-b+1,-a+1}$ given by $\bigoplus_{a\le i<b}(-1)^i c_i$, where $c_i : (\mathbb{I}^{i,i+1})^*\simeq \mathbb{I}^{-i,-i+1}$ are induced by $c_i : \boldsymbol{T}(i)^*\simeq\boldsymbol{T}(-i)$ given in Subsection 2.1.8. Then, it gives an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}^{a,b} : (\mathbb{I}^{a,b},\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}})^* \simeq (\mathbb{I}^{-b+1,-a+1},\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}}).$$

Dual We shall use the identification $(\mathbb{I}^{a,b})^\vee \simeq \mathbb{I}^{-b+1,-a+1}$ given by $\bigoplus_{a\leq i<b}(-1)^i d_i$, where $d_i : (\mathbb{I}^{i,i+1})^\vee \simeq \mathbb{I}^{-i,-i+1}$ are natural isomorphisms. Then, we have

$$(\mathbb{I}^{a,b},\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}})^\vee \simeq (\mathbb{I}^{-b+1,-a+1},\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}}).$$

Real structure We naturally have $j^*(\mathbb{I}^{a,b},\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}}) \simeq (\mathbb{I}^{a,b},\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}})$. By composition of the above isomorphisms we obtain a real structure $\kappa_{\mathbb{I}} : \widetilde{\gamma}^*_{\mathrm{sm}}(\mathbb{I}^{a,b},\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}}) \simeq (\mathbb{I}^{a,b},\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}})$. The real structure is the same as the direct sum $\bigoplus_{a\leq i<b}\boldsymbol{T}(i)$, under the natural isomorphism $\mathbb{I}^{a,b} \simeq \bigoplus_{a\leq i<b}\boldsymbol{T}(i)$.

2.3.2 The associated twistor nilpotent orbit

We obtain integrable $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_t}(*t)$-triples $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b} := \mathrm{TNIL}(\mathbb{I}^{a,b},\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}}) = \bigl(\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_1,\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_2,\widetilde{C}_{\mathbb{I}}\bigr)$. The underlying $\mathcal{R}$-modules are as follows:

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_1 = \bigoplus_{a\leq i<b} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2_{\lambda,t}}(*t)\cdot(\lambda\, s)^{-i}, \qquad \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_2 = \bigoplus_{a\leq i<b} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2_{\lambda,t}}(*t)\cdot(\lambda\, s)^{i}, \quad t\eth_t(\lambda\, s)^i = (\lambda\, s)^{i+1}$$

The pairing $\widetilde{C}_{\mathbb{I}}$ is given as follows:

$$\widetilde{C}_{\mathbb{I}}\bigl((\lambda\, s)^i,\sigma^*(\lambda\, s)^j\bigr) = \frac{(\log|t|^2)^{-i-j}}{(-i-j)!}(-1)^j\lambda^{i-j}\cdot\chi_{i+j\leq 0} \tag{12}$$

Here, $\chi_{i+j\leq 0}$ is 1 if $i+j\leq 0$, and 0 if $i+j>0$. Indeed, we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{C}_{\mathbb{I}}\Bigl((\lambda\, s)^i,\, \sigma^*\bigl((\lambda\, s)^j\bigr)\Bigr) &= C_{\mathbb{I}}\Bigl(\exp\bigl(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I},1}\log|t|^2\bigr)\,(\lambda\, s)^i,\, \sigma^*\bigl((\lambda\, s)^j\bigr)\Bigr)\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(\log|t|^2)^k}{k!}C_{\mathbb{I}}\bigl((\lambda\, s)^i s^k,\ \sigma^*\bigl((\lambda\, s)^j\bigr)\bigr) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty\frac{(\log|t|^2)^k}{k!}\lambda^i(-\lambda)^{-j}\delta_{i+k+j,0}\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Here, $\delta_{m,0}$ is 0 if $m\neq 0$ and 1 if $m=0$. Then, we obtain (12).

We have the induced isomorphisms $(\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b})^* \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{-b+1,-a+1}$, $j^*\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b} \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}$ and $(\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b})^\vee \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{-b+1,-a+1}$. It is equipped with the induced real structure $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}^*_{\mathrm{sm}}\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b} \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}$.

Pull back Let X be a complex manifold. Let f be a holomorphic function on X. We obtain the smooth $\mathcal{R}(*f)$-triple $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_f := f^*\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}$. The following lemma is clear by construction.

Lemma 2.29 *Let $g = e^\varphi\cdot f$, where φ is holomorphic. We have a natural isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_g \simeq \mathrm{Def}_\varphi(\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_f,\mathcal{N})$.* ∎

2.3.3 Appendix

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module with a nilpotent morphism $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{N}^L = 0$. We put $\mathcal{N}''_{\mathbb{I}} := \lambda\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I},2}$. For integers $M_1\leq M_2$, we consider the morphism $\mathcal{N}\otimes\mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{N}''_{\mathbb{I}} : \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M_1,M_2}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M_1,M_2}$. The inclusion $\mathbb{I}_2^{M_1,M_1+1}\longrightarrow\mathbb{I}_2^{M_1,M_2}$ induces the following morphism:

$$\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M_1,M_1+1}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Cok}\bigl(\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M_1,M_2}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M_1,M_2}\bigr) \tag{14}$$

The projection $\mathbb{I}_2^{M_1,M_2}\longrightarrow\mathbb{I}_2^{M_2-1,M_2}$ induces the following morphism:

$$\mathrm{Ker}\bigl(\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M_1,M_2}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M_1,M_2}\bigr)\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M_2-1,M_2} \tag{15}$$

The following lemma can be checked by direct computations.

Lemma 2.30 *If $M_2-M_1>2L$, then the morphisms* (14) *and* (15) *are isomorphisms.* ∎

Let $a \leq b$. Let $M >> \max\{|a|,|b|\}$. We have the following natural commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_1"'] & \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{b,M+1} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{N}\otimes\mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{N}''_{\mathbb{I}}"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{a,M+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Cok}_1 \arrow[d, "\varphi_2"'] \\
\mathrm{Ker}_2 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{b,M} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{N}\otimes\mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{N}''_{\mathbb{I}}"] & \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{a,M} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Cok}_2
\end{tikzcd} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}_3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_3"'] & \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M,b} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{N}\otimes\mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{N}''_{\mathbb{I}}"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M,a} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Cok}_3 \arrow[d, "\varphi_4"'] \\
\mathrm{Ker}_4 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M-1,b} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{N}\otimes\mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{N}''_{\mathbb{I}}"] & \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M-1,a} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Cok}_4
\end{tikzcd} \tag{17}$$

We obtain the following lemma from Lemma 2.30.

Lemma 2.31 *The morphisms φ_i are isomorphisms.* ▮

We mention variants of Lemma 2.30. Let $M >> \max\{|a|,|b|\}$. Let ψ_1 be the composite of the following morphism:

$$\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M,b} \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M,a} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}\otimes\mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{N}''_{\mathbb{I}}} \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M,a}$$

Let ψ_2 be the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{b,M} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}\otimes\mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{N}''_{\mathbb{I}}} \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{b,M} \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{a,M}$$

The natural inclusion $\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M,-M+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M,a}$ induces $\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M,-M+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cok}\,\psi_1$. The natural projection $\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{b,M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M-1,M}$ induces $\mathrm{Ker}\,\psi_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M-1,M}$. The following lemma can be checked easily.

Lemma 2.32 *The morphisms $\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{-M,-M+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cok}\,\psi_1$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\,\psi_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{M-1,M}$ are isomorphisms.* ▮

3 Canonical prolongations

Let M be a holonomic D-module on a complex manifold X. Let D be a hypersurface of X. We have the canonically defined D-modules $M[*D] := M\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$ and $M[!D] := \boldsymbol{D}_X\big((\boldsymbol{D}_X M)(*D)\big)$, where $\boldsymbol{D}_X$ denotes the dual of D_X-modules. We would like to define such prolongations for an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple which is strictly specializable when $D=\{t=0\}$ for a coordinate function.

3.1 Canonical prolongations of $\mathcal{R}(*t)$-modules

First, we study the canonical prolongations of $\mathcal{R}(*t)$-modules, for a coordinate function t, i.e., t is a holomorphic function whose derivative dt is nowhere vanishing. Then, the canonical prolongations of $\mathcal{R}$-modules $\mathcal{M}$ are given as the canonical prolongation of $\mathcal{M}(*t)$, as in Subsection 3.1.6.

3.1.1 Strictly specializable $\mathcal{R}(*t)$-module

Let $X := X_0\times\mathbb{C}_t$. Let H be a hypersurface of X such that $\{t=0\}\not\subset H$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-module which is strictly specializable along t. Let $V^{(\lambda_0)} = \big(V^{(\lambda_0)}_a \,\big|\, a\in\mathbb{R}\big)$ be the V-filtration of $\mathcal{M}$ at λ_0 on a neighbourhood $\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}$ of $\{\lambda_0\}\times X$, i.e., (i) each $V^{(\lambda_0)}_a(\mathcal{M})$ is $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-coherent, (ii) each $\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_a(\mathcal{M})$ is strict, (iii) $t\,V^{(\lambda_0)}_a(\mathcal{M}) = V^{(\lambda_0)}_{a-1}(\mathcal{M})$, $\eth_t V^{(\lambda_0)}_a(\mathcal{M}) \subset V^{(\lambda_0)}_{a+1}$, (iv) for each $P\in X_0$, there exists a discrete subset $\mathcal{KMS}(\mathcal{M},P)\subset\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\prod_{\substack{u\in\mathcal{KMS}(\mathcal{M},P)\\ \mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=a}} \big(-\eth_t t + \mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u)\big)$$

is nilpotent on $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M})$ around P. We may assume that $u+\boldsymbol{\delta}\in\mathcal{KMS}(\mathcal{M},P)\Longleftrightarrow u\in\mathcal{KMS}(\mathcal{M},P)$, where $\boldsymbol{\delta}=(1,0)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$. We have the decomposition

$$\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M})=\bigoplus_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}\\ \mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=a}}\psi_{t,u}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}),$$

such that $-\eth_t t+\mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u)$ is locally nilpotent on $\psi_{t,u}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$. For a section $f\in\mathcal{M}$, we have $\inf\bigl\{a\in\mathbb{R}\,\big|\,f\in V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})\bigr\}$ in $\mathbb{R}\cup\{-\infty\}$, which is denoted by $\deg^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(f)$. It is also denoted by $\deg(f)$, if there is no risk of confusion.

3.1.2 The $\mathcal{R}$-module $\mathcal{M}[*t]$

Let $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$ denote the $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}}$ generated by $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 3.1

- $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$ *is strict,* $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$*-coherent, and strictly specializable. The* V*-filtration is given by*

$$V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])=\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]\cap V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}).\tag{18}$$

- $V_a^{(\lambda_0)}$ *are described as follows:*

$$V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])=\begin{cases}V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}) & (a\leq 0)\\ \eth_t V_{a-1}^{(\lambda_0)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]\bigr)+V_{<a}^{(\lambda_0)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]\bigr) & (a>0)\end{cases}$$

In particular, we have

$$\eth_t:\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])\xrightarrow{\simeq}\psi_{u+\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]),\qquad \mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)>-1\tag{19}$$

$$t:\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])\xrightarrow{\simeq}\psi_{u-\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]),\qquad \mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)\leq 0\tag{20}$$

Proof It is clear that $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$ is $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-coherent. Let $V^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])$ be the filtration given by (18). By construction, we have (i) $tV_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])\subset V_{a-1}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])$, (ii) $\eth_t V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])\subset V_{a+1}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])$, (iii) $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])\subset\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M})$ is strict. We have the decomposition

$$\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])=\bigoplus_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}\\ \mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=a}}\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])$$

such that $-\eth_t t+\mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u)$ are nilpotent on $\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])$.

Let $a\leq 0$. Because $V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})\subset\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$, we have $V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])=V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$. In particular, they are $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-coherent, and we have $t\cdot V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])=V_{a-1}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])$ for $a\leq 0$.

Take $u\in\mathcal{KMS}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\mathfrak{e}(\lambda_0,u)\neq 0$. The induced action of $-\eth_t t$ on $\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])$ is invertible, and hence $t:\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])\longrightarrow\psi_{u-\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])$ is injective, and $\eth_t:\psi_{u-\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])\longrightarrow\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t])$ is surjective.

If $\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)>-1$, the function $\mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u+\boldsymbol{\delta})$ of λ is not constantly 0. The action of $-t\eth_t+\mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u+\boldsymbol{\delta})$ on $\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$ is locally nilpotent. Hence, the action of $-t\eth_t$ on $\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$ is injective. Therefore, $\eth_t:\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\psi_{u+\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$ is injective. It implies that $\eth_t:\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M})$ is injective for any $a>-1$. Hence, if $[g]\neq 0$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M})$ for some $-1<a\leq 0$, then $[\eth_t^N g]\neq 0$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_{a+N}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M})$.

For any $f\in\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$, we have an expression $f=\sum_{j=0}^N\eth_t^j f_j$, where $f_j\in V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$. We can assume $-1<\deg(f_j)\leq 0$ for $j\geq 1$. If f is not contained in $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}$, we have $j+\deg(f_j)<N+\deg(f_N)$ for any $j<N$.

Let us show $V_a^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t] = V_{<a}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t] + \eth_t V_{a-1}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$ for $a > 0$. Take $f \in V_a^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$ such that the induced section $[f]$ of $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]\bigr)$ is non-zero. Then, we have $N+\deg(f_N) = a$, and $[f] = [\eth_t^N f_N]$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$. It follows that $V_a^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t] = V_{<a}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}[*t]+\eth_t V_{a-1}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$. In particular, $V_a^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}[*t]$ ($a > 0$) are $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-coherent. Hence the filtration $V^{(\lambda_0)}$ gives a V-filtration. ▮

Lemma 3.2 *Around* $\{\lambda_0\} \times X$*, we have a natural isomorphism* $\mathcal{R}_X \otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X} V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$*.*

Proof We put $\mathcal{M}_1 := \mathcal{R}_X \otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X} V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}$. We have a naturally defined surjection $\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$. The composite of the morphisms $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_X \otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is injective, where the first morphism is given by $m \longmapsto 1 \otimes m$. Hence, $1 \otimes V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_X \otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X} \mathcal{M}$ is injective. For $a \leq 0$, we set $V_a^{(\lambda_0)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_1\bigr) := 1 \otimes V_a^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}$. For $a > 0$, we define $V_a^{(\lambda_0)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_1\bigr) := \sum_{b+n\leq a, b\leq 0} \eth_t^n V_b^{(\lambda_0)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_1\bigr)$. For $a > 0$, we have $-1 < a_0 \leq 0$ such that $n := a - a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Gr}_{a_0}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}_1 \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\eth_t^n"'] & \mathrm{Gr}_{a_0}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}[*t] \arrow[d, "\eth_t^n"', "\simeq"] \\
\mathrm{Gr}_{a}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}_1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Gr}_{a}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}[*t]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, we obtain $\mathrm{Gr}_{a_0}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}_1$ is injective. It is also surjective by construction. Hence, $\mathrm{Gr}_{a_0}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}_1$ is an isomorphism. Hence, $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}[*t]$ is an isomorphism. Then, we obtain that $\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}[*t]$ is an isomorphism. ▮

The following obvious lemma will be used implicitly.

Lemma 3.3 *If* λ_0 *is generic,* $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t] = \mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}}$*.* ▮

We obtain a globally defined module.

Lemma 3.4 *Let* λ_1 *be sufficiently close to* λ_0*, and let* $\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_1)} \subset \mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}$ *be a neighbourhood of* $\{\lambda_1\} \times X$*. Then, we have* $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_1)}[*t] = \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]_{|\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_1)}}$*. Therefore, we have a globally defined* $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$*-module* $\mathcal{M}[*t]$*.*

Proof We take a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Then, $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*t]$ is generated by $V_\epsilon^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$ over $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$. Because $V_\epsilon^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})_{|\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_1)}} = V_\epsilon^{(\lambda_1)}(\mathcal{M})$, we are done. ▮

The module $\mathcal{M}[*t]$ has the following universal property.

Lemma 3.5 *Let* $\mathcal{M}_1$ *be a coherent* $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$*-module such that (i)* $\mathcal{M}_1(*t) = \mathcal{M}$*, (ii)* $\mathcal{M}_1$ *is strictly specializable along* t*. Then, the natural morphism* $\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1(*t) = \mathcal{M}$ *factors through* $\mathcal{M}[*t]$*.*

Proof We have only to argue around $\{\lambda_0\} \times X$ for any λ_0. The image of $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}_1$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}$. Note that $V_0\mathcal{M}_1$ is the inverse image of $V_{-1}\mathcal{M}_1$ by $t : \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$. Because $\mathcal{M}_1$ is generated by $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$, the image of $\mathcal{M}_1$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in $\mathcal{M}[*t]$. ▮

The following lemma is clear by construction.

Lemma 3.6 *If* $\mathcal{M}$ *is integrable,* $\mathcal{M}[*t]$ *is also naturally integrable.* ▮

Lemma 3.7 *If* $\mathcal{M}$ *is strict, then* $\mathcal{M}[*t]$ *is also strict.*

Proof Because $\mathcal{M}[*t] \subset \mathcal{M}(*t)$, the claim is clear. ▮

3.1.3 The $\mathcal{R}$-module $\mathcal{M}[!t]$

Let $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[!t]$ be the $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module on $\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[!t] := \mathcal{R}_{X(*H)} \otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}} V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M}$$

Because the composite of $1 \otimes V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is injective, we can naturally regard $V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M}$ as a $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[!t]$, which will be used implicitly.

Lemma 3.8

- $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[!t]$ *is strict,* $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$*-coherent and strictly specializable along* t*.*
- *We have a natural isomorphism* $V^{(\lambda_0)}_a\big(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[!t]\big) \simeq V^{(\lambda_0)}_a(\mathcal{M})$ *for any* $a < 0$*.*
- *The natural morphism* $\eth_t : \psi^{(\lambda_0)}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[!t]) \longrightarrow \psi^{(\lambda_0)}_0(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[!t])$ *is an isomorphism.*
- *We have a globally defined* $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$*-module* $\mathcal{M}[!t]$*.*

Proof We have only to consider the issues locally on X, which we will implicitly use in the following argument. We consider the following $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-submodules:

$$V^{(\lambda_0)}_a\mathcal{M}[!t] := \begin{cases} V^{(\lambda_0)}_a\mathcal{M} & (a < 0) \\ \eth_t V^{(\lambda_0)}_{a-1}\mathcal{M}[!t] + V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<a}\mathcal{M}[!t] & (a \geq 0) \end{cases}$$

By the construction, we have (i) $tV^{(\lambda_0)}_a \subset V^{(\lambda_0)}_{a-1}$, (ii) $\eth_t V^{(\lambda_0)}_a \subset V^{(\lambda_0)}_{a+1}$, (iii) $\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_a \mathcal{M}[!t] \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_a \mathcal{M}$ for $a < 0$, (iv) the natural morphism $\eth_t : \mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_a \mathcal{M}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{a+1} \mathcal{M}[!t]$ is onto for $a \geq -1$. Let us show the claims (A1) $\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_a(\mathcal{M}[!t])$ is strict, (A2) the induced action of

$$\prod_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{KMS}(\mathcal{M}) \\ \mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=a}} (-\eth t + \mathfrak{e}(\lambda, u))$$

is nilpotent on $\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_a(\mathcal{M}[!t])$. If $a < 0$, they are clear by the construction.

Let us consider the case $a = 0$. If $f \in V^{(\lambda_0)}_0\mathcal{M}[!t]$, we have $f = f_0 + \eth_t \otimes f_1$, where $f_0 \in V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}$ and $f_1 \in V^{(\lambda_0)}_{-1}$. For $\lambda \neq 0$, we put $\mathcal{M}^\lambda := \mathcal{M}_{|\{\lambda\}\times X}$, which can naturally be regarded as a D-module. We have the following commutative diagram for any generic λ:

$$\begin{tikzcd} V^{(\lambda_0)}_0\mathcal{M}[!t] \arrow[r, "|\lambda"] & V_0\big(\mathcal{M}^\lambda[!t]\big) \\ V^{(\lambda_0)}_{-1}\mathcal{M} \arrow[u, "\eth_t"] \arrow[r, "|\lambda"'] & V_{-1}\mathcal{M}^\lambda \arrow[u, "\eth_t"] \end{tikzcd}$$

If $\eth_t(f_{1|\lambda}) \in V_{<0}\mathcal{M}^\lambda[!t]$, we obtain $f_{1|\lambda} \in V_{<-1}\mathcal{M}^\lambda$. Hence, if $\eth_t \otimes f_1 \in V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M}[!t]$, then we have $f_{1|\lambda} \in V_{<-1}\mathcal{M}^\lambda$ for any generic $\lambda \neq 0$. We obtain $f_1 \in V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<-1}\mathcal{M}$, because $\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{-1} \mathcal{M}$ is strict. Thus, we obtain the injectivity of $\eth_t : \mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{-1} \mathcal{M}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_0 \mathcal{M}[!t]$, and hence it is an isomorphism. It implies (A1) and (A2) for $\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_0(\mathcal{M}[!t])$.

Let us consider the case $a > 0$. For $0 < a \leq 1$, we obtain the injectivity of $t : \mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_a \mathcal{M}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{a-1} \mathcal{M}[!t]$ from the injectivity of $t\eth_t$ on $\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{a-1} \mathcal{M}[!t]$. Then, we obtain (i), (ii) for $\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_a \mathcal{M}[!t]$. By an easy inductive argument, we obtain (i) and (ii) if $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$. We also obtain that $\eth_t : \mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_a(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{a+1}(\mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism for $a > -1$.

Because $V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M}$ is $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-coherent, we obtain that $\mathcal{M}[!t]$ is $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-coherent. Note that both $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$ are Noetherian. Because $V_a(\mathcal{M}[!t])$ are $\mathcal{O}_X(*H)$-pseudo-coherent and locally $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-finitely generated, it is $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-coherent. Thus, we obtain that $\mathcal{M}[!t]$ is strictly specializable along t, and the V-filtration is given by $V^{(\lambda_0)}$. We also obtain $\psi^{(\lambda_0)}_u\mathcal{M}[!t] \simeq \psi^{(\lambda_0)}_u\mathcal{M}$ if $u \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$, by the above consideration.

We take a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. A natural morphism $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)} \otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}} V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<-\epsilon}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[!t]$ is an isomorphism. Because $V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<-\epsilon|\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_1)}} = V^{(\lambda_1)}_{<-\epsilon}$, we obtain the global well definedness of $\mathcal{M}[!t]$. ▮

Corollary 3.9 *For a generic λ, the specialization $(\mathcal{M}[!t])^{\lambda}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}[!t]$.* ▮

The following lemma is clear by construction.

Lemma 3.10 *Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ be a coherent strict $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module such that (i) $\mathcal{M}_1$ is strictly specializable along t, (ii) $\mathcal{M}_1(*t) = \mathcal{M}$. Then, we have a uniquely defined morphism $\mathcal{M}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$.*

Proof We have only to consider the issue around $\{\lambda_0\} \times X$. We have $V^{(\lambda_0)}_a(\mathcal{M}_1) = V^{(\lambda_0)}_a(\mathcal{M})$ for any $a < 0$. In particular, $V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_1$. Hence, we obtain the uniquely induced morphism $\mathcal{M}[!t] = \mathcal{R}_{X(*H)} \otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}} V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$. ▮

The following lemma is also clear by construction.

Lemma 3.11 *If $\mathcal{M}$ is integrable, $\mathcal{M}[!t]$ is also integrable.* ▮

Lemma 3.12 *If $\mathcal{M}$ is strict, $\mathcal{M}[!t]$ is also strict.*

Proof We have only to argue the issue locally around any point $(\lambda, P) \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \{t = 0\}$. Because $V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}(*t)$, it is strict. By construction, each $\psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M}[!t])$ is strict. Hence, we obtain that $\mathcal{M}[!t]$ is strict. ▮

Appendix: The case of D-modules Let M be a coherent D_X-module with a V-filtration along t. (We fix an appropriate total order $\leq_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathbb{C}$.) For simplicity, we assume $M = M(*t)$. Assume that we are given morphisms of D_{X_0}-modules $\psi_1 M \xrightarrow{u} Q \xrightarrow{v} \psi_0 M$ such that $v \circ u$ is equal to the induced map $\partial_t : \psi_{-1}M \longrightarrow \psi_0 M$. Although the following lemma is known, we give rather details for our understanding.

Lemma 3.13 *We have a coherent D-module $\widetilde{M}$ with a V-filtration along t such that (i) $\widetilde{M}(*t) = M$, (ii) we have $\psi_0(\widetilde{M}) \simeq Q$, and the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_{-1}(\widetilde{M}) \arrow[r, "\partial_t"] \arrow[d, "="'] & \psi_0(\widetilde{M}) \arrow[r, "t"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_{-1}(\widetilde{M}) \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\psi_{-1}(M) \arrow[r, "u"] & Q \arrow[r, "t\circ v"] & \psi_{-1}(M)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof In general, let N be a nilpotent endomorphism of D_{X_0}-module Q. Let $G(Q,N) := Q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\partial_t]$. Let $t : G(Q,N) \longrightarrow G(Q,N)$ be given by $t(m\,\partial_t^j) = (-j-N)m\,\partial_t^{j-1}$. Because it is nilpotent, we obtain an $\mathcal{O}_X$-action on $G(Q,N)$. We set $(\partial_t t)(m\,\partial_t^j) = (-j-N)m\,\partial_t^j$. For $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$, let $\mu_f : G(Q,N) \longrightarrow G(Q,N)$ be the multiplication of f. We can check that $[(\partial_t t), \mu_f] = \mu_{[\partial_t t, f]}$. Hence, with the above actions, $G(Q,N)$ is a $V_0 D_X$-module. Let $\partial_t : G(Q,N) \longrightarrow G(Q,N)$ be given by $\partial_t(m\,\partial_t^j) = m\,\partial_t^{j+1}$. Then, we have $\mu_t \circ \partial_t = (\partial_t t) - \mathrm{id}$. We also have $\partial_t \circ \mu_t = (\partial_t t) + N\pi_0$, where π_0 is the projection of $G(Q,N)$ onto the 0-th degree part Q. Hence, we have $[\partial_t, \mu_t] = \mathrm{id} + N \circ \pi_0$.

Let N on Q be the composite of the morphisms $Q \xrightarrow{v} \psi_0(M) \xrightarrow{-t} \psi_{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{u} Q$. Let N on $\psi_0(M)$ be $-\partial_t t$. Because $N \circ v = v \circ N$, we naturally have $V_0 D_X$-homomorphism $G(Q,N) \longrightarrow G(\psi_0(M), N)$, denoted by ρ_1. Note that $G(\psi_0(M), N)$ is natrually a direct summand of $M/V_{<0}M$ as $V_0 D_X$-module. Let ρ_2 be the composite of the $V_0 D_X$-homomorphisms $M \longrightarrow M/V_{<0}M \longrightarrow G(\psi_0(M), N)$. We consider the $V_0 D_X$-submodule $\widetilde{M}$ of $G(Q,N) \oplus M$ given as follows:

$$\widetilde{M} = \big\{(m_1, m_2) \in G(Q,N) \oplus M \,\big|\, \rho_1(m_1) = \rho_2(m_2)\big\}$$

We shall make a ∂_t-action on $\widetilde{M}$. Let $L > 1$. We have the decomposition $M/V_{-L}M \simeq \bigoplus_{-L<_{\mathbb{C}}b} \psi_b M$ of the $p^{-1}D_{X_0}$-module compatible with the action of $t\partial_t$, where $p : X \longrightarrow X_0$ be the projection. Let π_{-1} denote the projection onto ψ_{-1}. It is independent of a choice of L. Then, let $\partial_t : \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-linear morphism given as follows:

$$\partial_t(m_1, m_2) = \bigl(\partial_t m_1 + u(\pi_{-1}(m_2)),\, \partial_t m_2\bigr)$$

By construction, we have $[\partial_t, P] = 0$ for $P \in p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$. For $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$, let $\mu_f : \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}$ be the multiplication of f. We can check $[\partial_t, \mu_f] = \mu_{\partial_t f}$ by a direct computation. Hence we obtain the structure of an D_X-module on $\widetilde{M}$. It is easy to observe that $\widetilde{M}$ is the desired one. ∎

Applying the above construction, in the case $Q = \psi_{-1}M$, $u = \mathrm{id}$ and $v = \partial_t$, we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.14 *We have a coherent D_X-module $M[!t]$ with a V-filtration along t, such that $\partial_t : \psi_{-1}(M[!t]) \longrightarrow \psi_0(M[!t])$ is an isomorphism.* ∎

We have a natural isomorphism $D_X \otimes_{V_0 D_X} V_{<0}M \simeq M[!t]$. Indeed, we have the naturally defined surjection $D_X \otimes_{V_0 D_X} V_{<0}M \longrightarrow M[!t]$. We can show the injectivity by using the argument in Lemma 3.8. Similarly, we obtain a natural isomorphism $D_X \otimes_{V_0 D_X} V_0 M \simeq M = M(*t)$.

3.1.4 Characterization

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-module which is strictly specializable along t.

Lemma 3.15 *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module which is strictly specializable along t such that $\mathcal{Q}(*t) \simeq \mathcal{M}$.*

- *If $t : \psi_0(\mathcal{Q}) \simeq \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{Q})$, we naturally have $\mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathcal{M}[*t]$.*
- *If $\eth_t : \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{Q}) \simeq \psi_0(\mathcal{Q})$, we naturally have $\mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathcal{M}[!t]$.*

Proof Let us show the first claim. Both $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{M}[*t]$ are $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-submodules of $\mathcal{M}$. It is easy to observe the coincidence of V_0. Because they are generated by V_0, they are the same.

Let us consider the second claim. First, we have $V_{<0}\mathcal{M}[!t] \simeq V_{<0}\mathcal{Q}$. By the construction of $\mathcal{M}[!t]$ we have $\mathcal{M}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. Because they are generated by $V_{<0}$, it is surjective. Because $\psi_0(\mathcal{M}[!t]) \longrightarrow \psi_0(\mathcal{Q})$ is an isomorphism, we can deduce that it is an isomorphism. ∎

3.1.5 Morphisms

Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-modules which are strictly specializable along t.

Lemma 3.16 *For $\star = *, !$, we have a natural bijection*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_1[\star t], \mathcal{M}_2[\star t]\bigr) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2\bigr).$$

If $\mathcal{M}_i$ are integrable, we have a bijection between integrable homomorphisms.

Proof The map $\longrightarrow$ is given by $*t$. If we are given $f : \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$, we have $f : V_{<0}\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow V_{<0}\mathcal{M}_2$ and $t^{-1}V_{-1}\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow t^{-1}V_{-1}\mathcal{M}_2$, which induce $\mathcal{M}_1[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2[!t]$ and $\mathcal{M}_1[*t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2[*t]$. Hence, we obtain $\longleftarrow$. ∎

Let $f : \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ be a morphism. Recall that it preserves V-filtrations $V^{(\lambda_0)}$ at each λ_0, and we have the induced morphisms $\psi_u(f) : \psi_u(\mathcal{M}_1) \longrightarrow \psi_u(\mathcal{M}_2)$. Recall the following lemma.

Lemma 3.17 *Assume that f is strictly specializable, i.e., $\mathrm{Cok}\,\psi_u(f)$ are strict. Then, the following holds:*

- *f is strict with respect to the filtrations $V^{(\lambda_0)}$ for each λ_0.*
- *$\mathrm{Ker}(f)$, $\mathrm{Im}(f)$ and $\mathrm{Cok}(f)$ are strictly specializable along t. The V-filtrations are the same as the naturally induced filtrations $V^{(\lambda_0)}$.*
- *We naturally have $\psi_u \mathrm{Ker}(f) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\,\psi_u(f)$, $\psi_u \mathrm{Im}(f) \simeq \mathrm{Im}\,\psi_u(f)$ and $\psi_u \mathrm{Cok}(f) \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\,\psi_u(f)$.* ∎

As we have already remarked, we have the induced morphism $f[\star t] : \mathcal{M}_1[\star t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2[\star t]$.

Lemma 3.18 *Under the assumption of Lemma* 3.17*, we have the following natural isomorphisms:*

$$(\operatorname{Ker} f)[\star t] \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\bigl(f[\star t]\bigr), \quad (\operatorname{Im} f)[\star t] \simeq \operatorname{Im}\bigl(f[\star t]\bigr), \quad (\operatorname{Cok} f)[\star t] \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\bigl(f[\star t]\bigr)$$

Proof We naturally have $(\operatorname{Ker} f)[\star t](\ast t) \simeq (\operatorname{Ker} f)(\ast t) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\bigl(f[\star t]\bigr)(\ast t)$. Then, we obtain the first isomorphism by the characterization. The others are obtained similarly. ■

Let $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ be a bounded complex of $\mathcal{R}_{X(\ast H)}(\ast t)$-modules such that each $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ are strict and strictly specializable along t.

Corollary 3.19 *Assume that $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(\psi_u \mathcal{M}^\bullet)$ are strict for any $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$. Then, the following holds:*

- *The differential of $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is strict with respect to the V-filtrations.*
- *$\mathcal{H}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}^\bullet)$ are strictly specializable along t. The V-filtrations are the same as the naturally induced filtrations $V^{(\lambda_0)}$.*
- *We have natural isomorphisms $\psi_u \mathcal{H}^\bullet \simeq \mathcal{H}^\bullet \psi_u$ and $\mathcal{H}^\bullet\bigl(\mathcal{M}^\bullet[\star t]\bigr) \simeq \mathcal{H}^\bullet\bigl(\mathcal{M}^\bullet\bigr)[\star t]$.* ■

3.1.6 Canonical prolongation of $\mathcal{R}$-module

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(\ast H)}$-module which is strictly specializable along t. Note that $\mathcal{M}(\ast t)$ is a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(\ast H)}(\ast t)$-module, and it is strictly specializable along t. For $\star = \ast, !$, we define $\mathcal{M}[\star t] := \bigl(\mathcal{M}(\ast t)\bigr)[\star t]$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is integrable, $\mathcal{M}[\star t]$ are naturally also integrable.

Lemma 3.20 *We have a natural morphism $\iota : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}[\ast t]$. We have the following naturally defined isomorphisms:*

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\iota) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\psi_0(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{t} \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\Bigr)[\eth_t], \qquad \operatorname{Cok}(\iota) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\psi_0(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{t} \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\Bigr)[\eth_t] \tag{21}$$

For any $u \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$, we have a natural isomorphism $\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\iota) : \psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}[\ast t])$.

Proof We have a naturally defined morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\ast t)$, for which the image of $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$ is contained in $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}(\ast t)\bigr) = V_0^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}[\ast t])$. Because $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}}$ is generated by $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$ over $\mathcal{R}_{X(\ast H)}$, we obtain $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}[\ast t]$. Let us consider $\psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\iota) : \psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \psi_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}[\ast t])$. It is an isomorphism if $\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0, u) < 0$. If $u \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$, we obtain $\psi_u(\iota)$ is an isomorphism by using an easy induction and isomorphisms (19).

The kernel and the cokernel of $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}[\ast t]$ are naturally isomorphic to those of

$$\mathcal{M}/V_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}[\ast t]/V_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}[\ast t],$$

which are naturally isomorphic to those for $\psi_0^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})[\eth_t] \longrightarrow \psi_0^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}[\ast t])[\eth_t]$ by the above consideration. Note that the induced morphism $\psi_0^{(\lambda_0)}(\iota)$ is naturally identified with $t : \psi_0^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$ under the natural identification $t : \psi_0^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}[\ast t]) \simeq \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}[\ast t]) = \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$. Then, we obtain (21). ■

Similarly, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.21 *We have a naturally defined morphism $\iota : \mathcal{M}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. The induced morphism $\psi_u(\iota)$ is an isomorphism unless $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$. We have natural isomorphisms*

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\iota) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\eth_t} \psi_0(\mathcal{M})\Bigr)[\eth_t], \qquad \operatorname{Cok}(\iota) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\eth_t} \psi_0(\mathcal{M})\Bigr)[\eth_t].$$

Proof It can be shown as in the case of $\mathcal{M}[\ast t]$. ■

Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be strict coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(\ast H)}$-modules, which are strictly specializable along t. We obtain the following lemma from Lemma 3.16.

Lemma 3.22 *We have a natural morphism* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}(\mathcal{M}_1[\star t],\mathcal{M}_2[\star t])$. *If* $\mathcal{M}_i$ *are integrable, we have the morphism between spaces of integrable homomorphisms.* ▮

We also obtain the following lemma.

Corollary 3.23 *We have natural bijections:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\big(\mathcal{M}_1[*t],\mathcal{M}_2[*t]\big)\overset{a_1}{\simeq}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\big(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2[*t]\big)$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\big(\mathcal{M}_1[!t],\mathcal{M}_2[!t]\big)\overset{a_2}{\simeq}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\big(\mathcal{M}_2[!t],\mathcal{M}_2\big).$$

If $\mathcal{M}_i$ *are integrable, we have the bijections of integrable homomorphisms.*

Proof The morphisms $\mathcal{M}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_1[*t]$ and $\mathcal{M}_2[!t]\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_2$ induce a_i. We can construct the converse by using Lemma 3.16. ▮

3.2 Canonical prolongations of $\mathcal{R}$-triple

3.2.1 Canonical prolongations of $\mathcal{R}(*t)$-modules

We use the setting in Subsection 3.1.1. Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}'',C)$ be an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triple which is strictly specializable along t, i.e., $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}''$ are $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-modules which are strictly specializable along t, and C is a sesqui-linear pairing of $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}''$, which is an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-homomorphism

$$C:\mathcal{M}'_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,H}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}(*t)$$

Proposition 3.24 *We have Hermitian sesqui-linear pairings*

$$C[!t]:\mathcal{M}'[*t]_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''[!t]_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,H}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$$

$$C[*t]:\mathcal{M}'[!t]_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''[*t]_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,H}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$$

such that $C[\star t]_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times(X\setminus\{t=0\})}=C_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times(X\setminus\{t=0\})}$. *They are determined uniquely by the conditions. In particular, we obtain* $\mathcal{R}$*-triples*

$$\mathcal{T}[!t]=\big(\mathcal{M}'[*t],\mathcal{M}''[!t],C[!t]\big),\qquad\mathcal{T}[*t]=\big(\mathcal{M}'[!t],\mathcal{M}''[*t],C[*t]\big)$$

Proof By the uniqueness, we have only to consider it locally. We have the given pairing

$$V_{<0}\mathcal{M}'_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\otimes\sigma^*V_{<0}\mathcal{M}''_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,H}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}(*t).$$

Let us observe that it is extended to the following pairing:

$$t^{-1}V_{-1}\mathcal{M}'_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\otimes\sigma^*V_{<0}\mathcal{M}''_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,H}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}\tag{22}$$

Let $X_1\subset X$ be open. Let $U(\lambda_0)$ be a neighbourhood of λ_0 in $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$. Let u and v be local sections of $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}''$ on $U(\lambda_0)\times X_1$ and $U(-\lambda_0)\times X_1$ respectively. We put $\boldsymbol{I}(\lambda_0):=U(\lambda_0)\cap\boldsymbol{S}$. Let ϕ be a C^∞-section of $p_\lambda^*\Omega_X^{n,n}$ on $\boldsymbol{I}(\lambda_0)\times X_1$ with compact support such that $\phi_{|\boldsymbol{I}(\lambda_0)\times\widehat{H}}=0$. Note that $\big\langle C(u,v),\,|t|^{2s}\phi\big\rangle$ is well defined for $s\in\mathcal{H}:=\big\{s\in\mathbb{C}\,\big|\,\mathrm{Re}\,s>>0\big\}$, and holomorphic for s and continuous for $\lambda\in\boldsymbol{S}$. By a standard argument, if $u\in t^{-1}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{-1}\mathcal{M}'$ and $v\in V^{(-\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M}''$, it is extended to a function on $\boldsymbol{S}\times\big\{s\in\mathbb{C}\,\big|\,\mathrm{Re}(s)>-\epsilon\big\}$ for some $\epsilon>0$. (See the proof of Lemma 20.10.9 of [38], for example.) We can take the value at $s=0$, denoted by $\widetilde{C}(u,v)$. It gives a section of $\mathfrak{Db}^{\mathrm{mod}\,H}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$. Thus, we obtain (22).

Lemma 3.25 *Let* $\lambda_0\in\boldsymbol{S}$. *Assume* $\sum_{i=0}^N\partial_t^if_i=0$ *in* $\mathcal{M}[*t]=0$, *where* $f_i\in V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}'[*t]$. *Let* $g\in V_{<0}^{(-\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}''$. *Then, we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^N\partial_t^i\widetilde{C}(f_i,\sigma^*g)=0.\tag{23}$$

Assume $\sum_{j=0}^{N}\partial_t^j\otimes g_j=0$ *in* $\mathcal{M}''[!t]$*, where* $g_j\in V_{<0}^{(-\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}''$*. Let* $f\in V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}'[*t]$*. Then, we have*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N}\overline{\partial}_t^{\,j}\,\widetilde{C}(f,\sigma^*g_j)=0.$$

Proof Let us show (23). The other can be shown in a similar way. We use an induction on N. In the case $N=0$, the claim is trivial. If $\sum_{i=0}^{N}\partial_t^i f_i=0$, we have $f_N\in V_{-1}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}[*t]$, and hence $\partial_t f_N\in V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}[*t]$. By using the hypothesis of the induction, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-2}\partial_t^i\widetilde{C}(f_i,\sigma^*g)+\partial_t^{N-1}\widetilde{C}\big(f_{N-1}+\partial_t f_N,\sigma^*g\big)=0.$$

So, we have only to show that $\widetilde{C}(\partial_t f,\sigma^*g)=\partial_t\widetilde{C}(f,\sigma^*g)$ for $f\in V_{-1}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}')$. For a test form ϕ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle\widetilde{C}(\partial_t f,\sigma^*g),\,\phi\big\rangle=\big\langle C(\partial_t f,\sigma^*g),\,|t|^{2s}\phi\big\rangle_{|s=0}&=-\big\langle C(f,\sigma^*g),\,|t|^{2s}\,\partial_t\phi\big\rangle_{|s=0}-\Big(s\big\langle C(f,\sigma^*g),\,|t|^{2s-2}\overline{t}\,\phi\big\rangle\Big)_{|s=0}\\&=\big\langle\partial_t\widetilde{C}(f,\sigma^*g),\,\phi\big\rangle-\Big(s\big\langle C(f,\sigma^*g),\,|t|^{2s-2}\overline{t}\,\phi\big\rangle\Big)_{|s=0}\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

By using $f\in V_{-1}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}'$ and $g\in V_{<0}^{(-\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}''$, we can show that $\big\langle C(f,\sigma^*g),\,|t|^{2s-2}\overline{t}\,\phi\big\rangle$ is holomorphic at $s=0$. Hence, we obtain $\widetilde{C}(\partial_t f,\sigma^*g)=\partial_t\widetilde{C}(f,\sigma^*g)$ for $f\in V_{-1}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}')$. ▮

Then, we can naturally extend $\widetilde{C}$ to the pairing $C[!t]$ of $\mathcal{M}'[*t]$ and $\mathcal{M}''[!t]$. Let C' be another pairing of $\mathcal{M}'[*t]$ and $\mathcal{M}''[!t]$ whose restriction to $\boldsymbol{S}\times(X\setminus\{t=0\})$ is equal to C. Then, we can show that $C_1:=C[!t]-C'$ is 0 on $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}'\otimes\sigma^*V_{<0}^{(-\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}''$ by a standard argument. (See the proof of Lemma 22.10.8 of [38], for example.) Then, we obtain that $C_1=0$ on $\mathcal{M}'\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''$. Thus, the proof of Proposition 3.24 is finished. ▮

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 3.26 *We have* $\big(\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(w)\big)[\star t]=\mathcal{T}[\star t]\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(w)$ *and* $\mathcal{T}^*[!t]=\big(\mathcal{T}[*t]\big)^*$. ▮

Lemma 3.27 *If* $\mathcal{T}$ *is integrable, then* $\mathcal{T}[\star t]$ *are also integrable.*

Proof Let us consider $C[!t]$. We set $C_0(m',\sigma^*m''):=\partial_\theta C(m',\sigma^*m'')-C(\partial_\theta m',\sigma^*m'')-C(m',\sigma^*\partial_\theta m'')$. It is 0 outside $\{t=0\}$. It is standard to show the vanishing of C_0 on $V_0\otimes\sigma^*V_{<0}$. (See the proof of Lemma 22.10.8 of [38], for example.) Then, we obtain the vanishing on $\mathcal{M}'[*t]\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{M}''[!t]$. ▮

3.2.2 Morphisms

Let $\mathcal{T}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ be coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triples, which are strictly specializable along t.

Lemma 3.28 *Let* $\star=*,!$*. Morphisms* $\mathcal{T}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_2$ *of* $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$*-triples bijectively correspond to morphisms* $\mathcal{T}_1[\star t]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_2[\star t]$ *of* $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$*-triples. If* $\mathcal{T}_i$ *are integrable, we have such bijections for integrable morphisms.*

Proof A morphism $\mathcal{T}_1[\star t]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_2[\star t]$ naturally induces $\mathcal{T}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_2$. Let $\mathcal{T}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_2$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triples. Let us observe that we have an induced morphism $\mathcal{T}_1[\star t]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_2[\star t]$. By Lemma 3.16, we have the morphisms of the underlying $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-modules. We have only to show the compatibility of Hermitian sesquilinear pairings. By construction, they are compatible on $V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\otimes\sigma^*V_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}$ or $V_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\otimes\sigma^*V_0^{(\lambda_0)}$. Then, the claim is easy to see. ▮

Let $f:\mathcal{T}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_2$ be a morphism. We have the induced morphism $f[\star t]:\mathcal{T}_1[\star t]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_2[\star t]$. We obtain the following lemma from Lemma 3.18.

Lemma 3.29 *If* f *is strictly specializable, we have natural isomorphisms* $\mathrm{Ker}(f[\star t])\simeq\mathrm{Ker}(f)[\star t]$*,* $\mathrm{Im}(f[\star t])\simeq\mathrm{Im}(f)[\star t]$*, and* $\mathrm{Cok}(f[\star t])\simeq\mathrm{Cok}(f)[\star t]$*.* ▮

Let $\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}$ be a bounded complex of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triples such that each $\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}$ are strictly specializable along t. We obtain the following from corollary 3.19.

Lemma 3.30 *Assume that $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(\psi_u\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})$ are strict for any $u\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$. Then, the following holds:*

- *$\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})$ are strictly specializable along t. The V-filtrations are the same as the naturally induced filtrations $V^{(\lambda_0)}$.*
- *We have natural isomorphisms $\psi_u\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})\simeq\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}\psi_u(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}\big(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}[\star t]\big)\simeq\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}\big(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}\big)[\star t]$.* ∎

3.2.3 Canonical prolongations of $\mathcal{R}$-triples

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-triple which is strictly specializable along t. By applying the previous construction to the $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triple $\mathcal{T}(*t)$, we obtain $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-triples $\mathcal{T}[\star t]$ for $\star=*,!$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, $\mathcal{T}[\star t]$ are also integrable.

Lemma 3.31 *We have natural morphisms $\mathcal{T}[!t]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}[*t]$.*

Proof We consider only the morphism $\mathcal{T}[!t]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}$. The other can be shown similarly. We have the morphisms $\mathcal{M}''[!t]\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}''$ and $\mathcal{M}'\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}'[*t]$. Let us check that they are compatible with pairings. Let $f\in V_0^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}'$ and $g\in V_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}'$. We have only to show $C[!t](f,\sigma^*g)=C(f,\sigma^*g)$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.24, $\big\langle C(f,\sigma^*g),|t|^{2s}\phi\big\rangle$ is extended to a function on $\boldsymbol{S}\times\big\{s\in\mathbb{C}\,\big|\,\mathrm{Re}(s)>-\epsilon\big\}$ for some $\epsilon>0$. Moreover, we have $\big\langle C(f,\sigma^*g),|t|^{2s}\phi\big\rangle_{s=0}=\big\langle C(f,\sigma^*g),\phi\big\rangle$. (See the proof of Lemma 20.10.9 of [38], for example.) Then, we obtain the desired compatibility of the pairings. ∎

3.2.4 Compatibility of canonical prolongation with push-forward

Let $F_0:X_0\longrightarrow Y_0$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let $F:X\longrightarrow Y$ be $F_0\times\mathrm{id}$, where $X=X_0\times\mathbb{C}_t$ and $Y=Y_0\times\mathbb{C}_t$. Let H_Y be a hypersurface of Y such that $\{t=0\}\not\subset H_Y$. We put $H:=F^{-1}(H_Y)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-module, which is strictly specializable along t. Assume the following:

- $F^i_{\dagger}\psi_u(\mathcal{M})$ are strict for any $u\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$.

According to [45], $F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}$ are strictly specializable $\mathcal{R}_{Y(*H_Y)}(*t)$-module, and we naturally have $\psi_uF^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}\simeq F^i_{\dagger}\psi_u\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 3.32 *Under the assumption, we have a natural isomorphism $F^i_{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}[\star t])\simeq\big(F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}\big)[\star t]$.*

Proof It easily follows from the characterization in Lemma 3.15. ∎

Corollary 3.33 *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triple, which is strictly specializable along t. Assume that $F^i_{\dagger}\psi_u\mathcal{T}$ is strict. Then, we naturally have $F^i_{\dagger}\big(\mathcal{T}[\star t]\big)\simeq\big(F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}\big)[\star t]$.* ∎

3.3 Canonical prolongations across holomorphic functions

Let g be a holomorphic function on a complex manifold X. Let $\iota_g:X\longrightarrow X\times\mathbb{C}_t$ denote the embedding $\iota_g(x)=(x,g(x))$.

$\mathcal{R}(*g)$-modules Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X(*g)$-module. For simplicity, we assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is strict. If $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}$ is strictly specializable along t, we obtain an $\mathcal{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}_t}$-modules $(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M})[\star t]$ for $\star=*,!$, which are coherent and strictly specializable along t.

Lemma 3.34 *Let $\star$ be $*$ or $!$. Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ be coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules such that*

$$\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}_i\simeq(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M})[\star t]. \tag{25}$$

Then, we have a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_1\simeq\mathcal{M}_2$.

Proof They are recovered as the kernel of the multiplication of $t-g$. Note that $(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M})[\star t]$ is strict. ∎

If g is a coordinate function, i.e., $dg \neq 0$ everywhere on $g^{-1}(0)$, then $\mathcal{M}[\star g]$ satisfies $\iota_{g\dagger}(\mathcal{M}[\star g]) \simeq (\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M})[\star g]$. Hence, for any function g, an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module satisfying (25) is denoted by $\mathcal{M}[\star g]$ for abbreviation, if it exists.

Remark 3.35 *In general, the existence of such an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module is not clear, i.e., it is not obvious if $(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M})[\star t]$ is strictly specializable along $t-g$.* ∎

Lemma 3.36 *If $\mathcal{M}$ is integrable, and if $\mathcal{M}[\star g]$ exists, then $\mathcal{M}[\star g]$ is also integrable.*

Proof Because $\mathcal{M}[\star g]$ is obtained as the kernel of the multiplication of $t-g$ on the integrable $(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M})[\star t]$, it is integrable. ∎

$\mathcal{R}$-modules Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent strict $\mathcal{R}_X$-module which is strictly specializable along g. If there exists a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}'$ satisfying $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}' \simeq \bigl(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}(\ast g)\bigr)[\star t]$, it is uniquely determined up to canonical isomorphisms, and denoted by $\mathcal{M}[\star g]$. For an integrable $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}[\star g]$ is also integrable if it exists,

$\mathcal{R}$-triples Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$ be a strict coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples such that $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are strictly specializable along g. Assume that there exist $\mathcal{M}_i[\star g]$ for $\star = !, \ast$. Then, we have the induced $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples $\mathcal{T}[\ast g] = \bigl(\mathcal{M}_1[!g], \mathcal{M}_2[\ast g], C[\ast g]\bigr)$ and $\mathcal{T}[!g] = \bigl(\mathcal{M}_1[\ast g], \mathcal{M}_2[!g], C[!g]\bigr)$. For an integrable $\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{T}[\star g]$ is also integrable, if it exists.

Compatibility with push-forward Let $F: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let g_Y be a holomorphic function on Y, and let $g_X := g_Y \circ F$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X(\ast g_X)$-module which is strictly specializable along g_X. Assume the following:

- $F^i_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{g_X,u}(\mathcal{M})$ are strict for any $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$.

According to [45], $F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}$ are strictly specializable $\mathcal{R}_Y(\ast g_Y)$-module. The following lemma is easy to see.

Lemma 3.37 *Assume moreover that $\mathcal{M}[\star g_X]$ exist for $\star = \ast, !$. Then, $(F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M})[\star g_Y]$ exists, and we have a natural isomorphism $F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}[\star g_X] \simeq (F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M})[\star g_Y]$.* ∎

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple which is strictly specializable along g_X.

Lemma 3.38 *Assume that (i) there exists $\mathcal{T}[\star g_X]$ for $\star = \ast, !$, (ii) $F^i_{\dagger}\psi_{g_X,u}\mathcal{T}$ are strict for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$. Then, $F^i_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T})[\star g_Y]$ exists, and we have natural isomorphisms $F^i_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T})[\star g_Y] \simeq F^i_{\dagger}\bigl(\mathcal{T}[\star g_X]\bigr)$.* ∎

4 Gluing and specialization of $\mathcal{R}$-triples

4.1 Beilinson functors for $\mathcal{R}$-module

4.1.1 The functors $\Pi^{a,b}$, $\Pi^{a,b}_!$, $\Pi^{a,b}_\ast$ and $\Pi^{a,b}_{\ast !}$ for $\mathcal{R}$-module

Let X and H be as in Subsection 3.1. Let $a \leq b$. For an $\mathcal{R}_{X(\ast H)}(\ast t)$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we set $\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_2$. (See Subsection 2.3 for $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_2$.) We have naturally defined morphisms $\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi^{c,d}\mathcal{M}$ for $a > c$ and $b > d$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is coherent and strictly specializable along t, so is $\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{M}$. In that case, we set $\Pi^{a,b}_\star\mathcal{M} := \Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{M}[\star t]$. We define

$$\Pi^{a,b}_{\ast !}\mathcal{M} := \varprojlim_{N \to \infty} \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi^{b,N}_! \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,N}_\ast \mathcal{M}\Bigr)$$

Lemma 4.1 *Let $P \in \mathcal{X}$. There exists $N(P) > 0$ such that, for any $N > N(P)$, on a neighbourhood $\mathcal{X}_P$ of P, the morphism $\Pi^{b,N}_!\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,N}_\ast\mathcal{M}$ is strictly specializable along t, and its cokernel is independent of N in the sense that the naturally defined morphism*

$$\operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi^{b,N+1}_!\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,N+1}_\ast\mathcal{M}\bigr) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi^{b,N}_!\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,N}_\ast\mathcal{M}\bigr) \tag{26}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof In this proof, N is a sufficiently large number, and we omit to distinguish a neighbourhood $\mathcal{X}_P$. If $u \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$, we have isomorphisms $\psi_u\bigl(\Pi_{\star}^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \simeq \psi_u(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_2^{a,N}$ and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_u\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_u\bigl(\Pi_{*}^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\psi_u(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_2^{b,N} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id} \otimes \iota"] & \psi_u(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_2^{a,N}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{27}$$

Here ι is a natural inclusion $\mathbb{I}_2^{b,N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2^{a,N}$. Hence, $\psi_u\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \longrightarrow \psi_u\bigl(\Pi_{*}^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr)$ is strict in this case. If $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$, we have

$$\psi_0\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{a,N}(\mathcal{M})\bigr) \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\eth_t} \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \simeq \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_2^{a,N}$$

$$\psi_0\bigl(\Pi_{*}^{a,N}(\mathcal{M})\bigr) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{t} \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigl(\Pi_{*}^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \simeq \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_2^{a,N}$$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_0\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \arrow[r] & \psi_0\bigl(\Pi_{*}^{b,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_0\bigl(\Pi_{*}^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\lambda\, \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_2^{b,N} \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[r, "t\eth_t \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{I}}''"] & \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_2^{b,N} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id} \otimes \iota"] & \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_2^{a,N}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{28}$$

Then, it is easy to check that $\psi_0\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \longrightarrow \psi_0\bigl(\Pi_{*}^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr)$ is strict. Hence, the natural morphism $\Pi_{!}^{b,N}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_{*}^{a,N}\mathcal{M}$ is strict.

The following morphism of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-modules is an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Cok}\bigl(\Pi^{b,N+1}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,N+1}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cok}\bigl(\Pi^{b,N}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr)$$

We have the following identification:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_u \,\mathrm{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N+1}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_{*}^{a,N+1}\mathcal{M}\Bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_u \,\mathrm{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_{*}^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\Bigr) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\mathrm{Cok}\Bigl(\psi_u\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N+1}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \longrightarrow \psi_u\bigl(\Pi_{*}^{a,N+1}\mathcal{M}\bigr)\Bigr) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Cok}\Bigl(\psi_u\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \longrightarrow \psi_u\bigl(\Pi_{*}^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr)\Bigr)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{29}$$

By using the identification (27), we obtain that the horizontal arrows in (29) are isomorphisms in the case $u \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$. By using Lemma 2.31, with an easy diagram chasing, we obtain that the horizontal arrows in (29) are isomorphisms in the case $u = (0,0)$. It also follows that they are are isomorphisms in the case $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \{0\}$. Thus, (26) is an isomorphism. ∎

We obtain the following lemma by a similar argument.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $P \in \mathcal{X}$. There exists $N(P) > 0$ such that, for any $N > N(P)$, on a neighbourhood $\mathcal{X}_P$ of P, the morphism $\Pi_{!}^{-N,b}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_{*}^{-N,a}\mathcal{M}$ is strictly specializable along t, and its kernel is independent of the choice of N in the sense that the following naturally defined morphism*

$$\mathrm{Ker}\Bigl(\Pi_{!}^{-N,b}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_{*}^{-N,a}\mathcal{M}\Bigr) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}\Bigl(\Pi_{!}^{-N-1,b}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_{*}^{-N-1,a}\mathcal{M}\Bigr)$$

is an isomorphism. ∎

The following lemma is clear by construction.

Lemma 4.3 *If $\mathcal{M}$ is integrable, $\Pi_{\star !}^{a,b}\mathcal{M}$ is naturally integrable.* ∎

4.1.2 Another description

We have another description of the functor $\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}$.

Lemma 4.4 *We have the following natural isomorphism:*

$$\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \varinjlim_{N\to\infty} \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\Pi_!^{-N,b}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_*^{-N,a}\mathcal{M}\Bigr) \tag{30}$$

Proof First, let us construct such an isomorphism on a neighbourhood $\mathcal{X}_P$ of P. We have the following natural commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_!^{b,N}\mathcal{M} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_!^{-N,N}\mathcal{M} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_!^{-N,b}\mathcal{M} \arrow[d] \\
\Pi_*^{a,N}\mathcal{M} \arrow[r] & \Pi_*^{-N,N}\mathcal{M} \arrow[r] & \Pi_!^{-N,a}\mathcal{M}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, we have only to show the following morphisms are isomorphisms on $\mathcal{X}_P$, if N is sufficiently large:

$$\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi_!^{-N,N}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_*^{-N,N}\mathcal{M}\Bigr) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi_!^{-N,b}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_*^{-N,a}\mathcal{M}\Bigr) \tag{31}$$

$$\operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\Pi_!^{b,N}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_*^{a,N}\mathcal{M}\Bigr) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\Pi_!^{-N,N}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi_*^{-N,N}\mathcal{M}\Bigr) \tag{32}$$

We have $\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi^{-N,N}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi^{-N,N}\mathcal{M}\Bigr) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi^{-N,b}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi^{-N,a}\mathcal{M}\Bigr) \simeq 0$. Let us consider the following morphism:

$$\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\psi_u\bigl(\Pi_!^{-N,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \longrightarrow \psi_u\bigl(\Pi_*^{-N,N}\mathcal{M}\bigr)\Bigr) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\psi_u\bigl(\Pi_!^{-N,b}\mathcal{M}\bigr) \longrightarrow \psi_u\bigl(\Pi_*^{-N,a}\mathcal{M}\bigr)\Bigr) \tag{33}$$

If $u \not\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$, the both sides are 0. For $u = (0,0)$, we obtain that (33) is an isomorphism from Lemma 2.32. Hence, (33) is an isomorphism for each u. Then, we can deduce (31) is an isomorphism by using an argument in the proof of Lemma 4.1. We obtain that (32) is an isomorphism by a similar argument. Thus, we obtain the isomorphism (30) on a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{X}_P$. By varying P, we obtain the isomorphism globally. ∎

4.1.3 The induced morphism

Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-modules which are strictly specializable along t. For a morphism $f : \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$, we have the induced morphisms $\Pi^{a,b}_\star(f) : \Pi^{a,b}_\star(\mathcal{M}_1) \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,b}_\star(\mathcal{M}_2)$ and $\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}(f) : \Pi^{a,b}_{*!}(\mathcal{M}_1) \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,b}_{*!}(\mathcal{M}_2)$.

Lemma 4.5 *If f is strictly specializable, we have the following natural isomorphisms:*

$$\operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}f\bigr) \simeq \Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\operatorname{Ker} f, \quad \operatorname{Im}\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}f\bigr) \simeq \Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\operatorname{Im} f, \quad \operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}f\bigr) \simeq \Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\operatorname{Cok} f.$$

Proof We obtain the claim for Ker from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_!^{-N,b}\mathcal{M}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_*^{-N,a}\mathcal{M}_1 \arrow[d] \\
\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M}_2 \arrow[r] & \Pi_!^{-N,b}\mathcal{M}_2 \arrow[r] & \Pi_*^{-N,a}\mathcal{M}_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

We obtain the claim for Cok from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_!^{b,N}\mathcal{M}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_*^{a,N}\mathcal{M}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M}_1 \arrow[d] \\
\Pi_!^{b,N}\mathcal{M}_2 \arrow[r] & \Pi_*^{a,N}\mathcal{M}_2 \arrow[r] & \Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M}_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

The claim for the image follows from the claims for the kernel and the cokernel with an easy diagram chase. ∎

Corollary 4.6 *Let $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ be as in Corollary* 3.19. *We have natural isomorphisms $\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{H}^\bullet\mathcal{M}^\bullet \simeq \mathcal{H}^\bullet\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M}^\bullet$.* ∎

4.1.4 Compatibility with the push-forward

Let us consider the compatibility of the functors $\Pi^{a.b}_{\star}$ and $\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}$ with the push-forward. We use the notation in Subsection 3.2.4. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a good coherent $\mathcal{R}_X(*t)$-module which is strictly specializable along t. Assume that the support of $\mathcal{M}$ is proper over Y with respect to F. We have a natural isomorphism $F_{\dagger}\big(\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{M}\big) \simeq \Pi^{a,b}F_{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})$ of coherent $\mathcal{R}_{Y(*H_Y)}$-modules. Assume that $F^i_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_u\mathcal{M}$ is strict for any $u \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $F_{\dagger}\big(\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{M}\big)$ are strictly specializable along t, and we have $F^i_{\dagger}\big(\Pi^{a,b}_{\star}\mathcal{M}\big) \simeq \Pi^{a,b}_{\star}F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}$ by Lemma 3.32. We have the following naturally defined morphism:

$$\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M}. \tag{34}$$

Proposition 4.7 *The morphism* (34) *is an isomorphism.*

Proof We consider locally around $P \in \mathcal{Y}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_N$ be the kernel of $\Pi^{b,N}_{!}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,N}_{*}\mathcal{M}$. If N is sufficiently large, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \psi_u\mathcal{K}_N \longrightarrow \psi_u(\Pi^{b,N}_{!}\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \psi_u(\Pi^{a,N}_{*}\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \psi_u\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0$$

It can be rewritten as follows:

$$0 \longrightarrow \psi_u\mathcal{K}_N \longrightarrow \psi_u\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}^{b,N} \longrightarrow \psi_u\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}^{a,N} \longrightarrow \psi_u\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{35}$$

Note that, as an $\mathcal{R}_{X_0(*H_0)}$-complex, the exact sequence (35) has splitting, and as $\mathcal{R}_{X_0(*H_0)}$-modules $\psi_u\mathcal{K}_N$ and $\psi_u\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M}$ are direct sums of some copies of $\psi_u\mathcal{M}$. Hence, $F^i_{\dagger}\psi_u\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M}$ and $F^i_{\dagger}\psi_u\mathcal{K}_N$ are strict, and the induced sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\psi_u\mathcal{K}_N \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\psi_u\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}^{b,N} \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\psi_u\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{I}^{a,N} \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\psi_u\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. The following complex of $\mathcal{R}_Y(*H_Y)$-modules is clearly exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{K}_N(*t) \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{b,N} \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,N} \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M}(*t) \longrightarrow 0$$

We obtain that $0 \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{K}_N \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\Pi^{b,N}_{!}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\Pi^{a,N}_{*}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0$ is exact. In particular, we obtain that (34) is an isomorphism. ∎

4.1.5 The functors $\psi^{(a)}$ and $\Xi^{(a)}$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module which is strictly specializable along t. We define

$$\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}) := \Pi^{a,a}_{*!}(\mathcal{M}), \quad \Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}) := \Pi^{a,a+1}_{*!}(\mathcal{M}).$$

We have naturally defined exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}[!t]\otimes s^a \xrightarrow{\alpha_a} \Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\beta_a} \psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \psi^{(a+1)}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_a} \Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\delta_a} \mathcal{M}[*t]\otimes s^a \longrightarrow 0$$

According to Corollary 4.6, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\psi^{(a)}\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}), \qquad \Xi^{(a)}\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}\Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet})$$

for a complex $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}$ as in Corollary 3.19. In the situation of Subsection 4.1.4, we have natural isomorphisms

$$F_{\dagger}\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \psi^{(a)}F_{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}), \qquad F_{\dagger}\Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \Xi^{(a)}F_{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}).$$

4.1.6 Beilinson's functors for $\mathcal{R}$-module

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module which is strictly specializable along t. We obtain a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-module $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{M}(*t)$, which is strictly specializable along t. We define $\Pi^{a,b}(\mathcal{M}) := \Pi^{a,b}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$, $\Pi^{a,b}_{\star}(\mathcal{M}) := \Pi^{a,b}_{\star}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$, $\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}(\mathcal{M}) := \Pi^{a,b}_{*!}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$. In particular, we define $\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}) := \psi^{(a)}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$ and $\Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}) := \Xi^{(a)}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$.

Recall we have the morphisms $\mathcal{M}[!t] \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\iota_2} \mathcal{M}[*t]$. Let $\phi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M})$ be defined as the cohomology of the following complex:

$$\mathcal{M}[!t]\otimes \boldsymbol{T}(a) \xrightarrow{\alpha_a+\iota_1} \Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M})\oplus\big(\mathcal{M}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(a)\big) \xrightarrow{\delta_1-\iota_2} \mathcal{M}[*t]\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(a)$$

Lemma 4.8 *We have the following natural isomorphisms:*

$$\psi_0\big(\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M})\big)\simeq\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\,s^a,\quad \psi_0\big(\Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M})\big)\simeq\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\,s^a\oplus\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\,s^{a+1},\quad \psi_0\big(\phi^{(a)}(\mathcal{M})\big)\simeq\psi_0(\mathcal{M})\,s^a$$

Proof We have the following isomorphism:

$$\psi_0(\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}(\mathcal{M}))\simeq\operatorname{Cok}\Big(\psi_0(\Pi^{b,N}_{!}\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\psi_0(\Pi^{a,N}_{*}\mathcal{M})\Big)\simeq\operatorname{Cok}\Big(\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{b,N}\longrightarrow\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{a,N}\Big)$$

We obtain the first two isomorphisms. By construction, $\psi_0\phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{M})$ is obtained as the cohomology of the following:

$$\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\Big(\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\,s\oplus\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\Big)\oplus\psi_0(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})$$

The morphism $\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\,s\oplus\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})$ is given by (s,N). The morphism $\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\,s\oplus\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})$ is the projection. Then, it is easy to check that the cohomology is naturally isomorphic to $\psi_0(\mathcal{M})$. ▮

We can reconstruct $\mathcal{M}$ as the cohomology of the following:

$$\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{M})\oplus\phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{M})$$

4.2 Beilinson functors for $\mathcal{R}$-triple

4.2.1 Functors $\Pi^{a,b}$, $\Pi^{a,b}_{*}$ and $\Pi^{a,b}_{!}$ for $\mathcal{R}(*t)$-triple

Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}'',C)$ be a strictly specializable $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triple. As in Subsection 2.1.6, we obtain the following $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triple:

$$\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{T}:=\mathcal{T}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}=\big(\mathcal{M}'\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}_1^{a,b},\,\mathcal{M}''\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}_2^{a,b},\,C\otimes C_{\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}}\big)$$

Here, $C\otimes C_{\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}}$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\big(C\otimes C_{\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}}\big)\big(u\otimes(\lambda s)^i,\,\sigma^*(v\otimes(\lambda s)^j)\big)&=C(u,\sigma^*v)\cdot C_{\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}}\big((\lambda s)^i,\,\sigma^*(\lambda s)^j\big)\\&=C(u,\sigma^*v)\frac{(\log|z|^2)^{-i-j}}{(-i-j)!}(-1)^j\lambda^{i-j}\chi_{i+j\leq 0}\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

(See Subsection 2.3.2.) The $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triple $\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{T}$ is strictly specializable along t. Then, we obtain the following $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-triples:

$$\Pi^{a,b}_{*}\mathcal{T}:=\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{T}[*t],\qquad\Pi^{a,b}_{!}\mathcal{T}:=\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{T}[!t].$$

If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, $\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{T}$ and $\Pi^{a,b}_{\star}\mathcal{T}$ $(\star=*,!)$ are also naturally integrable.

Lemma 4.9 *Let* $u\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})\setminus(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\times\{0\})$. *We have*

$$\psi_u\big(\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{T}\big)=\psi_u(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathbb{I}^{a,b}\simeq\Big(\psi_u(\mathcal{M}')\otimes\mathbb{I}_1^{a,b},\,\psi_u(\mathcal{M}'')\otimes\mathbb{I}_2^{a,b},\,\psi_uC\otimes C_{\mathbb{I}}\Big)$$

Proof We have only to check the claim for the pairing, which follows from the following equality for any positive integer M:

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{s+\lambda^{-1}\mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u)} \int \bigl\langle C(u,\sigma^{*}v),\,\phi\bigr\rangle\,(\log|t|^{2})^{M}\,|t|^{2s}\,dt\,d\bar{t} = \operatorname*{Res}_{s+\lambda^{-1}\mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u)} \frac{d^{M}}{ds^{M}} \int \bigl\langle C(u,\sigma^{*}v),\,\phi\bigr\rangle\,|t|^{2s}\,dt\,d\bar{t} = 0$$

∎

We have $\Pi^{a,a+1}\mathcal{T}\simeq\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(a)$, and hence

$$\mathcal{T}[\star t]\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(a)\simeq\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi_{\star}^{a+1,N}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Pi_{\star}^{a,N}\mathcal{T}\Bigr)\quad(N>a)$$

$$\mathcal{T}[\star t]\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(b)\simeq\operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\Pi_{\star}^{N,b+1}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Pi_{\star}^{N,b}\mathcal{T}\Bigr)\quad(N<b)$$

Relation with the hermitian adjoint Note that $\mathcal{S}^{a,b}$ induces an isomorphism $\Pi^{a,b}(\mathcal{T}^{*})\simeq(\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}\mathcal{T})^{*}$. If $b=a+1$, it is equal to the $(-1)^{a}$ times the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{T}^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(a)\simeq\bigl(\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-a)\bigr)^{*}$. We have the induced isomorphisms

$$\Pi_{!}^{a,b}\bigl(\mathcal{T}^{*}\bigr)\simeq\bigl(\Pi_{*}^{-b+1,-a+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^{*},\qquad\Pi_{*}^{a,b}\bigl(\mathcal{T}^{*}\bigr)\simeq\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{-b+1,-a+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^{*}.$$

For $a\geq a'$ and $b\geq b'$, the following natural diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_{!}^{a,b}(\mathcal{T}^{*}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \bigl(\Pi_{*}^{-b+1,-a+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^{*} \arrow[d] & \Pi_{*}^{a,b}(\mathcal{T}^{*}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \bigl(\Pi_{!}^{-b+1,-a+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^{*} \arrow[d] \\
\Pi_{!}^{a',b'}(\mathcal{T}^{*}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \bigl(\Pi_{*}^{-b'+1,-a'+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^{*} & \Pi_{*}^{a',b'}(\mathcal{T}^{*}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \bigl(\Pi_{!}^{-b'+1,-a'+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^{*}
\end{tikzcd}$$

If $b=a+1$, they are equal to $(-1)^{a}$-times the canonical isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{T}^{*}[!t]\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(a)\simeq(\mathcal{T}[*t])^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(a)\simeq\bigl(\mathcal{T}[*t]\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-a)\bigr)^{*}$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{*}[*t]\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(a)\simeq(\mathcal{T}[!t])^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(a)\simeq\bigl(\mathcal{T}[!t]\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-a)\bigr)^{*}$$

Let $\mathcal{S}:\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ be a Hermitian sesqui-linear duality. We obtain the induced morphism:

$$\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{S}:\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Pi^{a,b}\bigl(\mathcal{T}^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)\bigr)\simeq\bigl(\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)$$

For $u\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})\setminus(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}\times\{0\})$, we have the following commutativity:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_{u}(\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r, "\psi_{u}(\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{S})"] \arrow[d] & \psi_{u}\Bigl((\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}\mathcal{T})^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)\Bigr) \arrow[d] \\
\psi_{u}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathbb{I}^{a,b} \arrow[r, "\psi_{u}(\mathcal{S})\otimes\mathcal{S}^{a,b}"] & \psi_{u}(\mathcal{T}^{*})\otimes(\mathbb{I}^{-b+1,-a+1})^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)
\end{tikzcd}$$

4.2.2 Functors $\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}$, $\psi^{(a)}$ and $\Xi^{(a)}$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a strictly specializable $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triple.

Lemma 4.10 *Let $P\in\mathcal{X}$. There exists a large number $N(P)>0$ such that, for any $N>N(P)$, on a neighbourhood $\mathcal{X}_{P}$,* $\operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Pi_{*}^{a,N}\mathcal{T}\bigr)$ *and* $\operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{-N,b}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Pi_{*}^{-N,a}\mathcal{T}\bigr)$ *are independent of $N>N(P)$ in the sense that the following naturally defined morphisms*

$$\operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N+1}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Pi_{*}^{a,N+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)\longrightarrow\operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{b,N}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Pi_{*}^{a,N}\mathcal{T}\bigr)$$

$$\operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{-N,b}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Pi_{*}^{-N,a}\mathcal{T}\bigr)\longrightarrow\operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi_{!}^{-N-1,b}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Pi_{*}^{-N-1,a}\mathcal{T}\bigr)$$

are isomorphisms. Moreover, they are naturally isomorphic.

Proof We obtain the first claim from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. We have the following natural commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_!^{b,N}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_!^{-N,N}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_!^{-N,b}\mathcal{T} \arrow[d] \\
\Pi_*^{a,N}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r] & \Pi_*^{-N,N}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r] & \Pi_!^{-N,a}\mathcal{T}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then, by using Lemma 4.4, we obtain the second claim. ▮

Then, we define

$$\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}\mathcal{T} := \varprojlim_{N\to\infty} \operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi_!^{b,N}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \Pi_*^{a,N}\mathcal{T}\bigr) \simeq \varinjlim_{N\to\infty} \operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\Pi_!^{-N,b}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \Pi_*^{-N,a}\mathcal{T}\bigr)$$

If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, $\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}\mathcal{T}$ is also naturally integrable. Let $\mathcal{T}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ be coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triples, which are strictly specializable along t. For a morphism $f : \mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$, we have the induced morphisms $\Pi_\star^{a,b}(f) : \Pi_\star^{a,b}(\mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow \Pi_\star^{a,b}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ and $\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}(f) : \Pi_{*!}^{a,b}(\mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow \Pi_{*!}^{a,b}(\mathcal{T}_2)$. We obtain the following lemma from Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.11 *If f is strictly specializable, we have the following natural isomorphisms:*

$$\operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}f\bigr) \simeq \Pi_{*!}^{a,b}\operatorname{Ker} f, \quad \operatorname{Im}\bigl(\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}f\bigr) \simeq \Pi_{*!}^{a,b}\operatorname{Im} f, \quad \operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}f\bigr) \simeq \Pi_{*!}^{a,b}\operatorname{Cok} f.$$

▮

Corollary 4.12 *Let $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ be as in Lemma 3.30. We have natural isomorphisms $\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}\mathcal{H}^\bullet\mathcal{M}^\bullet \simeq \mathcal{H}^\bullet\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}\mathcal{M}^\bullet$.* ▮

Functors $\psi^{(a)}$ and $\Xi^{(a)}$ In particular, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$\psi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} := \Pi_{*!}^{a,a}\mathcal{T}, \quad \Xi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} := \Pi_{*!}^{a,a+1}\mathcal{T}.$$

If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, they have naturally induced integrable structure. We naturally have the following exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[!t] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(a) \xrightarrow{\alpha_a} \Xi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\beta_a} \psi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \psi^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\gamma_a} \Xi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\delta_a} \mathcal{T}[*t] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(a) \longrightarrow 0$$

We also have natural identifications

$$\psi^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \simeq \psi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(1), \quad \Xi^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \simeq \Xi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(1).$$

The composite $\beta_a \circ \gamma_a : \psi^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \psi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \simeq \psi^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ is induced by the natural morphisms $\Pi^{a+1,N}\mathcal{T}[\star t] \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,N}\mathcal{T}[\star t]$.

Relation with Hermitian adjoint

Lemma 4.13 *We have a natural isomorphism $\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}(\mathcal{T}^*) \simeq \bigl(\Pi_{*!}^{-b+1,-a+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^*$. In particular, we naturally have*

$$\bigl(\psi^{(a)}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^* \simeq \psi^{(-a+1)}\bigl(\mathcal{T}^*\bigr), \qquad \bigl(\Xi^{(a)}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^* \simeq \Xi^{(-a)}\bigl(\mathcal{T}^*\bigr).$$

Proof We have the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{*!}^{a,b}(\mathcal{T}^*) = \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi_!^{b,N}(\mathcal{T}^*) \longrightarrow \Pi_*^{a,N}(\mathcal{T}^*)\Bigr) &\simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\bigl(\Pi_*^{-N+1,-b+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^* \longrightarrow \bigl(\Pi_!^{-N+1,-a+1}\mathcal{T}\bigr)^*\Bigr) \\
&= \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\Pi_*^{-N+1,-b+1}\mathcal{T} \longleftarrow \Pi_!^{-N+1,-a+1}\mathcal{T}\Bigr)^* \simeq \Pi_{*!}^{-b+1,-a+1}(\mathcal{T})^* \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we are done. ▮

For $a \geq a'$ and $b \geq b'$, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}(\mathcal{T}^*) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{*!}(\mathcal{T})^* \arrow[d] \\
\Pi^{a',b'}_{*!}(\mathcal{T}^*) \arrow[r] & \Pi^{-b'+1,-a'+1}_{*!}(\mathcal{T})^*
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi^{(a+1)}(\mathcal{T}^*) \arrow[r, "\gamma_a(\mathcal{T}^*)"] \arrow[d] & \Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T}^*) \arrow[r, "\beta_a(\mathcal{T}^*)"] \arrow[d] & \psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T}^*) \arrow[d] \\
\psi^{(-a)}(\mathcal{T})^* \arrow[r, "\beta_{-a}(\mathcal{T})^*"] & \Xi^{(-a)}(\mathcal{T})^* \arrow[r, "\gamma_{-a}(\mathcal{T})^*"] & \psi^{(-a+1)}(\mathcal{T})^*
\end{tikzcd}$$

From the isomorphisms $\psi^{(a+1)}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(1)$ and $\psi^{(-a+1)}(\mathcal{T}^*) \simeq \psi^{(-a)}(\mathcal{T}^*) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(1)$, we obtain the following diagram, which is commutative, after the multiplication of -1, i.e., $g_2 \circ f_1 = -f_2 \circ g_1$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})^* \arrow[r, "\simeq", "f_1"'] \arrow[d, "\simeq"', "g_1"] & \psi^{(a+1)}(\mathcal{T})^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(1) \arrow[d, "\simeq"', "g_2"] \\
\psi^{(-a+1)}(\mathcal{T}^*) \arrow[r, "\simeq", "f_2"'] & \psi^{(-a)}(\mathcal{T})^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

4.2.3 Vanishing cycle functor for $\mathcal{R}$-triple

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-triple which is strictly specializable along t. Then, applying the above construction to $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T}(*t)$, we define

$$\Xi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} := \Xi^{(a)}\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}, \qquad \psi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} := \psi^{(a)}\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}.$$

We shall introduce the vanishing cycle functor $\phi^{(a)}$. We have the canonical morphisms $\mathcal{T}[!t] \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\iota_2} \mathcal{T}[*t]$. We have $\iota_2 \circ \iota_1 = \delta_a \circ \alpha_a$, because the restrictions to $X \setminus \{t=0\}$ are equal. Then, we define $\phi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ as the cohomology of the following complex:

$$\mathcal{T}[!t] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(a) \xrightarrow{\alpha_a + \iota_1} \Xi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \oplus \big(\mathcal{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(a)\big) \xrightarrow{\delta_a - \iota_2} \mathcal{T}[*t] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(a)$$

We naturally have $\phi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \phi^{(a+1)}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. In particular, we set $\phi(\mathcal{T}) := \phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, $\phi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ has a naturally induced integrable structure.

The morphisms β_a and γ_a induce the following morphisms:

$$\psi^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}^{(a)}} \phi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{var}^{(a)}} \psi^{(a)}\mathcal{T}$$

As in [2], we can reconstruct $\mathcal{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(a)$ as the cohomology of

$$\psi^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\gamma_a + \mathrm{can}^{(a)}} \Xi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \oplus \phi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\delta_a - \mathrm{var}^{(a)}} \psi^{(a)}\mathcal{T}$$

We have natural isomorphisms $\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})^* \simeq \psi^{(-a+1)}(\mathcal{T}^*)$ and $\Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})^* \simeq \Xi^{(-a)}(\mathcal{T}^*)$. We also obtain an induced isomorphism $\phi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})^* \simeq \phi^{(-a)}(\mathcal{T}^*)$.

4.2.4 Gluing of $\mathcal{R}$-triples

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triple which is strictly specializable along t. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a strict coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-triple with morphisms

$$\psi^{(1)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{v} \psi^{(0)}\mathcal{T},$$

such that (i) $v\circ u=\delta_0\circ\gamma_0$, (ii) $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathcal{Q}\subset\{t=0\}$. Then, we obtain an $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{Q},u,v)$ as the cohomology of the following complex:

$$\psi^{(1)}\mathcal{T}\xrightarrow{\gamma_0+u}\Xi^{(0)}\mathcal{T}\oplus\mathcal{Q}\xrightarrow{\delta_0-v}\psi^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$$

We naturally have isomorphisms $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{Q},u,v)(\ast t)\simeq\mathcal{T}$ and $\phi^{(0)}\bigl(\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{Q},u,v)\bigr)\simeq\mathcal{Q}$. Under the isomorphisms, we have $\mathrm{can}^{(0)}=u$ and $\mathrm{var}^{(0)}=v$. We naturally have $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{Q},u,v)^{\ast}\simeq\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T}^{\ast},\mathcal{Q}^{\ast},-v^{\ast},-u^{\ast})$. If $\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{Q}$, u and v are integrable, $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{Q},u,v)$ is also naturally integrable.

We give a remark for Lemma 4.15 below. We have the naturally induced morphisms $\Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\Xi^{(-1)}(\mathcal{T})\simeq\Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ and $\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\psi^{(a-1)}(\mathcal{T})\simeq\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. We also have $\mathcal{Q}\longrightarrow\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\simeq\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\longrightarrow\mathcal{Q}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. The morphisms induce $N:\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{Q},u,v)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{Q},u,v)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$.

Lemma 4.14 *The morphism N is 0.* ▮

Proof The morphisms $\mathcal{Q}\longrightarrow\mathcal{Q}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ and $\Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ factor through $\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})=\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ by construction. Then, the claim is clear. ▮

4.2.5 Dependence on the function t

To distinguish the dependence of $\Pi^{a,b}_{\star}$ on t, we use the symbol $\Pi^{a,b}_{t\star}$. We use the symbols $\Pi^{a,b}_{t,\ast!}$, $\Xi^{(a)}_t$, $\psi^{(a)}_t$ and $\phi^{(a)}_t$ in similar meanings. We have the following morphism denoted by $\mathcal{N}_t$:

$$\Pi^{a,b}_{t\star}(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\Pi^{a-1,b-1}_{t\star}(\mathcal{T})\simeq\Pi^{a,b}_{t\star}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$$

The induced morphisms for $\Pi^{a,b}_{t,\ast!}(\mathcal{T})$, $\Xi^{(a)}_t(\mathcal{T})$, $\psi^{(a)}_t(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi^{(a)}_t(\mathcal{T})$ are also denoted by $\mathcal{N}_t$.

Let φ be a holomorphic function. Let $s=e^{\varphi}\,t$. Let us compare the functors for t and s.

Lemma 4.15 *We have natural isomorphisms* $\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{s,\star}(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_s\bigr)\simeq\mathrm{Def}_{\varphi}\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{t,\star}(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_t\bigr)$*.*

Proof We have $\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{s}(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_s\bigr)\simeq\mathrm{Def}_{\varphi}\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{t}(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_t\bigr)$ from Lemma 2.29. Then, the claim of the lemma immediately follows. ▮

Corollary 4.16 *We have a natural isomorphism* $\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{s,\ast!}(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_s\bigr)\simeq\mathrm{Def}_{\varphi}\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{t,\ast!}(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_t\bigr)$*. In particular, we have* $\bigl(\psi^{(a)}_s(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_s\bigr)\simeq\mathrm{Def}_{\varphi}\bigl(\psi^{(a)}_t(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_t\bigr)$ *and* $\bigl(\Xi^{(a)}_s(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_s\bigr)\simeq\mathrm{Def}_{\varphi}\bigl(\Xi^{(a)}_t(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_t\bigr)$*. We also have* $\bigl(\phi^{(a)}_s(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_s\bigr)\simeq\mathrm{Def}_{\varphi}\bigl(\phi^{(a)}_t(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}_t\bigr)$*.* ▮

Let $\mathcal{T}'$ be an $\mathcal{R}_X(\ast t)$-module, which is strictly specializable along t. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a strict $\mathcal{R}_{X_0}$-module with morphisms

$$\psi^{(1)}_t(\mathcal{T}')\xrightarrow{u}\mathcal{Q}\xrightarrow{v}\psi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}')$$

such that $v\circ u=\mathcal{N}_t$. Then, we have an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathrm{Glue}_t(\mathcal{T}',\mathcal{Q},u,v)$.

We have $\mathcal{N}_t:\mathcal{Q}\longrightarrow\mathcal{Q}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ induced by $\mathcal{Q}\longrightarrow\psi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}')\simeq\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}')\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\longrightarrow\mathcal{Q}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. We put $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}},\mathcal{N}_s):=\mathrm{Def}_{\varphi}(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{N}_t)$. By Corollary 4.16, we have the following naturally induced morphisms:

$$\psi^{(1)}_s(\mathcal{T}')\xrightarrow{\widetilde{u}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\xrightarrow{\widetilde{v}}\psi^{(0)}_s(\mathcal{T}')$$

We have $\widetilde{v}\circ\widetilde{u}=\mathcal{N}_s$. We obtain an $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathrm{Glue}_s(\mathcal{T}',\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}},\widetilde{u},\widetilde{v})$.

Lemma 4.17 *We have a natural isomorphism* $\mathrm{Glue}_t(\mathcal{T}',\mathcal{Q},u,v)\simeq\mathrm{Glue}_s(\mathcal{T}',\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}},\widetilde{u},\widetilde{v})$*.*

Proof We have the induced morphism $\mathcal{N}_t:\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T}',\mathcal{Q},u,v)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T}',\mathcal{Q},u,v)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$, and by construction, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Def}_{\varphi}\bigl(\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T}',\mathcal{Q},u,v),\mathcal{N}_t\bigr)\simeq\bigl(\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T}',\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}},\widetilde{u},\widetilde{v}),\mathcal{N}_s\bigr).$$

Because $\mathcal{N}_t=0$ on $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T}',\mathcal{Q},u,v)$ as remarked in Lemma 4.14, we obtain the desired isomorphism. ▮

4.2.6 Compatibility with push-forward

Let us consider the compatibility of the functors $\Pi^{a.b}_{!*}$ with the push-forward. We use the notation in Subsection 3.2.4. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a good $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H_X)}(*t)$-triple which is strictly specializable along t. Assume that the support of $\mathcal{T}$ is proper over Y with respect to F. We have a natural isomorphism $F_{\dagger}\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{T}\bigr) \simeq \Pi^{a,b}F_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T})$ of good $\mathcal{R}_{Y(*H_Y)}(*t)$-triples. Assume that $F^i_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_u\mathcal{T}$ is strict for any $u \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $F_{\dagger}\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{T}\bigr)$ are strictly specializable along t, and we have $F^i_{\dagger}\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{\star}\mathcal{T}\bigr) \simeq \Pi^{a,b}_{\star}F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}$ according to Corollary 3.33. Then, we obtain the following morphism:

$$\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow F^i_{\dagger}\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{T} \tag{38}$$

Proposition 4.18 *The morphism* (38) *is an isomorphism. In particular, we have natural isomorphisms*

$$F^i_{\dagger}\psi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \simeq \psi^{(a)}F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}, \quad F^i_{\dagger}\Xi^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \simeq \Xi^{(a)}F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}.$$

Proof It follows from Proposition 4.7. ▮

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\mathcal{R}$-triple which is strictly specializable along t. Assume that $F^i_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_u\mathcal{T}$ ($u \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$) and $F^i_{\dagger}\phi^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$ are strict. The following lemma is proved in [45] with a different method.

Corollary 4.19 *We have a natural isomorphism* $F^i_{\dagger}\phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \phi^{(0)}F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}$.

Proof We have the following descriptions:

$$\phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) = H^1\Bigl(\mathcal{T}[!t] \longrightarrow \Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \oplus \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[*t]\Bigr)$$

$$\phi^{(0)}\bigl(F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}\bigr) = H^1\bigl((F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T})[!t] \longrightarrow \Xi^{(0)}F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T} \oplus F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow (F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T})[*t]\bigr)$$

Then, the claim of the lemma follows from Proposition 4.18. ▮

4.2.7 Beilinson's functor along general holomorphic functions

Let X be a complex manifold. Let g be a holomorphic function on X. Let $\iota_g : X \longrightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}_t$ be the graph. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple, which is strictly specializable along g. We define $\psi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T}) := \psi^{(a)}\bigl(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{T}\bigr)$ and $\phi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T}) := \phi^{(a)}\bigl(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{T}\bigr)$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, they are also naturally integrable.

Assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is strict for simplicity. If there exists an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples $\mathcal{T}'$ such that $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{T}' = \Pi^{a,b}_{!}(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{T})$, it is uniquely determined up to isomorphisms, and denoted by $\Pi^{a,b}_{g!}(\mathcal{T})$. We use the notation $\Pi^{a,b}_{g*}(\mathcal{T})$, $\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{T})$ and $\Xi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T})$ similar meanings. If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, they are naturally integrable.

4.3 Comparison of nearby cycle functors

4.3.1 Statement

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triple which is strictly specializable along t. Let ι denote the inclusion $X_0 \times \{0\} \longrightarrow X$. In this subsection, we distinguish the $\mathcal{R}_{X_0}$-triple $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})$ and the $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $\iota_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})$. We shall compare the $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples $\iota_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})$.

Proposition 4.20 *We have a natural isomorphism* $\Psi : \iota_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(1,0)$ *with the following property:*

- *Let* $\iota_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(0,1)$ *be the induced isomorphism. The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r, "\delta_0\circ\gamma_0"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\iota_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1,0) \arrow[r, "-\mathcal{N}"] & \iota_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(0,-1)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{39}$$

  Here, $-\mathcal{N} = (-\mathcal{N}', -\mathcal{N}'')$, *and* $\mathcal{N}'$ *and* $\mathcal{N}''$ *are the nilpotent part of* $t\partial_t$. *If* $\mathcal{T}$ *is integrable, the above isomorphism is also integrable.*

See Subsection 2.1.9 *for the integrable $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{U}(p,q)$.*

Let $\mathcal{S}:\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ be a hermitian adjoint. We have the induced morphism

$$\Pi^{1,N}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow(\Pi^{-N+1,0}\mathcal{T})^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w).$$

Hence, we have the following naturally induced morphisms:

$$\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)\simeq\Big(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\Big)^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)\simeq\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w+1)$$

The composite is denoted by $\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{S})$.

Proposition 4.21 *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r, "\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{S})"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w+1) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\iota_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{U}(-1,0) \arrow[r] & \big(\iota_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{U}(-1,0)\big)^{*}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w+1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the vertical arrows are induced by the isomorphism in Proposition 4.20*, and the lower horizontal arrow is induced by $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{S})$ and the polarization of $\mathcal{U}(-1,0)$, given in Subsection* 2.1.9*.*

4.3.2 Preliminary 1

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{R}(*t)$-module strictly specializable along t. Assume that the cokernel of the nilpotent part of $-\eth_t t$ on $\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})$ is strict. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_0(\mathcal{M}[!t]) \arrow[r, "\psi_0(\varphi)"] & \psi_0(\mathcal{M}[*t]) \arrow[d, "t"', "\simeq"] \\
\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}) \arrow[u, "-\eth_t", "\simeq"'] \arrow[r, "-t\eth_t"] & \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, the cokernel of $\psi_u(\varphi)$ are strict for any u.

Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M})$ be the kernel and the cokernel of $\varphi:\mathcal{M}[!t]\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}[*t]$. We have the exact sequence:

$$0\longrightarrow\psi_0\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\psi_0(\mathcal{M}[!t])\xrightarrow{\psi_0(\varphi)}\psi_0(\mathcal{M}[*t])\longrightarrow\psi_0\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow 0$$

Let $N:\lambda\,\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})$ be induced by $t\partial_t$. We obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_0\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}) \arrow[r] & \psi_0(\mathcal{M}[!t]) \arrow[r, "\psi_0(\varphi)"] & \psi_0(\mathcal{M}[*t]) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\lambda^{-1}t"', "\simeq"] & \psi_0\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}) \arrow[d, "\mu'_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M})}"', "\simeq"] \\
\operatorname{Ker}N \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\mu'_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})}", "\simeq"'] & \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}) \arrow[r, "-N"] \arrow[u, "-\lambda\partial_t", "\simeq"'] & \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\,\lambda^{-1} \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Cok}N
\end{tikzcd}$$

We obtain the isomorphism $\mu_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})}:\iota_{\dagger}\operatorname{Ker}N\longrightarrow\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})$ given as follows:

$$\iota_{\dagger}(\operatorname{Ker}N)=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty}\operatorname{Ker}N\cdot(dt/\lambda)^{-1}\cdot\eth_t^{n}\longrightarrow\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty}\psi_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}))\,\eth_t^{n}$$

$$\mu_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})}\Big(\sum a_n\cdot(dt/\lambda)^{-1}\,\eth_t^{n}\Big):=\sum\mu'_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M})}(a_n)\,\eth_t^{n}$$

We also obtain the following isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\iota_{\dagger}(\operatorname{Cok}N)$:

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M})=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty}\psi_0(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}))\,\eth_t^{n}\longrightarrow\iota_{\dagger}(\operatorname{Cok}N)=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty}\operatorname{Cok}N\cdot(dt/\lambda)^{-1}\cdot\eth_t^{n}$$

$$\mu_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M})}\Big(\sum b_n\,\eth_t^{n}\Big):=\sum\mu'_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M})}(b_n)\cdot(dt/\lambda)^{-1}\,\eth_t^{n}$$

4.3.3 Preliminary 2

Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C)$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}(*t)$-triple which is strictly specializable along t. We have the induced morphism $\mathcal{N} : \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. We have $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{N}) = \bigl(\lambda \cdot \operatorname{Cok} N', \operatorname{Ker} N'', C_1\bigr)$ and $\operatorname{Cok}(\mathcal{N}) = \bigl(\lambda \cdot \operatorname{Ker} N', \operatorname{Cok} N'', C_2\bigr)$, where C_i are naturally induced pairings. Let $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be the kernel and the cokernel of $\mathcal{T}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[*t]$.

Lemma 4.22 *The pair of morphisms $(\mu_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}')}, \mu_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}'')})$ gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \iota_{\dagger} \operatorname{Cok} \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1,0)$. Similarly, the pair of morphisms $(\mu_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}')}, \mu_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}'')})$ gives an isomorphism $\iota_{\dagger} \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1,0) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof We have only to check the compatibility of pairings. Let us check the first claim. Let $\lambda\, t^{-1} f \in V_0(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}''))$ be lifted to $V_0\mathcal{M}''[*t]$, and $-\eth_t \otimes g \in V_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}'))$ be lifted to $V_0\mathcal{M}'[!t]$. Note $t\eth_t g = 0$ in $V_{<0}\mathcal{M}'$. Let $\phi = (\sqrt{-1}/2\pi) \cdot \chi \cdot \varphi \cdot dt\, d\overline{t}$, where φ is a C^{∞}-top form on X_0 with compact support, and χ is a cut function on $\mathbb{C}_t$ around $t = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Bigl\langle C\bigl(-\eth_t \otimes g, \sigma^*(\lambda t^{-1} f)\bigr), \phi \Bigr\rangle &= \bigl\langle C\bigl(\partial_t \otimes g, \sigma^*(t^{-1} f)\bigr), \phi \bigr\rangle = -\bigl\langle C(g, \sigma^* f), \overline{t}^{-1} \partial_t \phi \bigr\rangle \\
&= -\bigl\langle C(g, \sigma^* f),\, \overline{t}^{-1} |t|^{2s} \partial_t \phi \bigr\rangle_{|s=0} = -\bigl\langle C(g, \sigma^* f),\, \partial_t(\overline{t}^{-1} |t|^{2s} \phi) \bigr\rangle_{|s=0} + \bigl\langle C(g, \sigma^* f),\, s\, |t|^{2(s-1)} \phi \bigr\rangle_{|s=0} \\
&= \bigl\langle \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}} C([g], \sigma^*[f]),\, \varphi \bigr\rangle = \bigl\langle \iota_{\dagger} \psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}} C\bigl([g] \cdot (dt/\lambda)^{-1},\ \sigma^*\bigl([f] \cdot (dt/\lambda)^{-1}\bigr)\bigr), \phi \bigr\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Let us check the second claim. Let $-\eth_t \otimes g \in V_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}''))$ be lifted to $V_0\mathcal{M}''[!t]$, and let $\lambda\, t^{-1} f \in V_0(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}'))$ be lifted to $V_0\mathcal{M}'[*t]$. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigl\langle C\bigl(\lambda\, t^{-1} f, \sigma^*(-\eth_t \otimes g)\bigr),\, \phi \bigr\rangle &= \bigl\langle C\bigl(t^{-1} f, \sigma^*(\partial_t \otimes g)\bigr),\, \phi \bigr\rangle = -\bigl\langle C(t^{-1} f, \sigma^* g),\, t^{-1} \overline{\partial}_t \phi \bigr\rangle \\
&= -\bigl\langle C(f, \sigma^* g),\, t^{-1} |t|^{2s} \overline{\partial}_t \phi \bigr\rangle_{|s=0} = -\bigl\langle C(f, \sigma^* g),\, \overline{\partial}_t\bigl(t^{-1} |t|^{2s} \phi\bigr) \bigr\rangle_{|s=0} + \bigl\langle C(f, \sigma^* g),\, s\, |t|^{2(s-1)} \phi \bigr\rangle_{|s=0} \\
&= \bigl\langle \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}} C([f], \sigma^*[g]),\, \varphi \bigr\rangle = \bigl\langle \iota_{\dagger} \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}} C\bigl([f]\, (dt/\lambda)^{-1},\, \sigma^*([g]\, (dt/\lambda)^{-1})\bigr),\ \phi \bigr\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Thus, we are done. ∎

4.3.4 Construction of isomorphisms

We have the isomorphism $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\mathcal{N} : \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\Pi^{1,N}\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\Pi^{1,N}\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\Bigr)$ given as follows:

- We use $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \longleftarrow \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{-N+1,0} \longleftarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{-N+1,0} \cdot \lambda\Bigr)$ induced by the projection with $s \longmapsto -s$:
$$A^{-N+1,0}\lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\, \lambda; \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_j\, (\lambda s)^{-j}\, \lambda \longmapsto -a_1.$$
- We use $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{1,N} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{1,N}\, \lambda\Bigr)$ induced by the inclusion with $s \longmapsto -s$:
$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda} \longrightarrow A^{1,N}\, \lambda^{-1}; \quad a \longmapsto -a\, s.$$

We have the isomorphism $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\mathcal{N} : \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\Pi^{-N,1}\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\Pi^{-N,1}\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\Bigr)$ given as follows:

- We use the isomorphism $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{0,N+1} \longleftarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{0,N+1}\, \lambda\Bigr)$ given by the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda} \longrightarrow A^{0,N+1}$; $a \longmapsto a$.
- We use the morphism $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \longleftarrow \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{-N,1} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{-N,1}\, \lambda^{-1}\Bigr)$ induced by the projection $A^{-N,1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$; $\sum_{j=0}^{N} a_j\, (\lambda s)^j \longmapsto a_0$.

Then, we obtain the following isomorphisms:

$$\Psi_1 : \psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \iota_\dagger \operatorname{Cok}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1,0) \simeq \iota_\dagger \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1,0)$$

$$\Psi_2 : \psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \iota_\dagger \operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1,0) \simeq \iota_\dagger \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1,0)$$

Lemma 4.23 *We have* $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2$. *They are denoted by* Ψ.

Proof It can be checked by a direct computation. We will give an indication. The first component of $\Psi_1^{-1} \circ \Psi_2$ is the composition of the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_\dagger \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}')\,\lambda^{-1} &\simeq \iota_\dagger \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{0,N} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{0,N}\,\lambda^{-1}\Bigr) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(\Pi^{0,N}\mathcal{M}') \overset{\Phi}{\simeq} \mathcal{K}(\Pi^{-N+1,0}\mathcal{M}') \\ &\simeq \iota_\dagger \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{-N+1,0}\,\lambda^{-1} \longleftarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{-N+1,0}\Bigr) \simeq \iota_\dagger \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}')\,\lambda^{-1} \qquad (42)\end{aligned}$$

The morphism Φ is induced by the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Ker} \arrow[r, "="] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Ker} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{K}(\Pi^{-N+1,0}\mathcal{M}') \arrow[d] \\
\Pi_!^{0,N+1}\mathcal{M}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_!^{-N+1,N+1}\mathcal{M}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_!^{-N+1,0}\mathcal{M}' \arrow[d] \\
\Pi_*^{0,N+1}\mathcal{M}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_*^{-N+1,N+1}\mathcal{M}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_*^{-N+1,0}\mathcal{M}' \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{Q}(\Pi^{0,N+1}\mathcal{M}') \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Cok} \arrow[r, "="] & \operatorname{Cok}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, the composite of the morphisms in (42) is induced by the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathcal{P}'\otimes A^{0,N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "-t\partial_t"'] & \mathcal{P}'\otimes A^{-N,N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{P}'\otimes A^{-N,0} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{P}'\,\lambda^{-1} \\
\mathcal{P}'\,\lambda^{-1} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{P}'\otimes A^{0,N}\,\lambda^{-1} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{P}'\otimes A^{-N,N}\,\lambda^{-1} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{P}'\otimes A^{-N,0}\,\lambda^{-1} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, $\mathcal{P}$ denotes $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}')$. Hence, the composite is the identity. Let us look at the second component of $\Psi_2^{-1} \circ \Psi_1$. It is the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_\dagger \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') &\simeq \iota_\dagger \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{1,N} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{1,N}\,\lambda^{-1}\Bigr) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(\Pi^{1,N}\mathcal{M}'') \simeq \mathcal{Q}(\Pi^{1,N}\mathcal{M}'') \\ &\simeq \iota_\dagger \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{-N,1} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{-N,1}\,\lambda^{-1}\Bigr) \simeq \iota_\dagger \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \qquad (43)\end{aligned}$$

The composite of the morphisms is obtained from the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathcal{P}''\otimes A^{1,N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "-t\partial_t"'] & \mathcal{P}''\otimes A^{-N,1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{P}''\otimes A^{-N,0} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{P}'' \\
\mathcal{P}'' \arrow[r] & \mathcal{P}''\otimes A^{1,N}\,\lambda^{-1} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{P}''\otimes A^{-N,N}\,\lambda^{-1} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{P}''\otimes A^{-N,1}\,\lambda^{-1} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, $\mathcal{P}''$ denotes $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'')$. Then, we can check that the composite is the identity. ∎

Thus, we obtain the desired isomorphism $\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \iota_\dagger \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1,0)$. It is easy to check that the diagram (39) is commutative, because we have $t\partial_t = N' \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes s$ on $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{p,q}$ and $t\partial_t = N'' \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes s$ on $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{p,q}$. Thus, we obtain Proposition 4.20.

4.3.5 Hermitian adjoint

Let $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{*} \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ be a hermitian adjoint. We can check Proposition 4.21 by a direct computation. We give an indication. Let us look at the following isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\mathcal{N}'' : \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{1,N} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{1,N}\,\lambda^{-1}\Bigr) \\
\xrightarrow{f_1} \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\mathcal{N}' : \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{1,N} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{1,N}\,\lambda^{-1}\Bigr) \cdot \lambda^{-w} \\
\xrightarrow{s^{-1}} \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\mathcal{N}' : \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{0,N-1} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{0,N-1}\,\lambda^{-1}\Bigr) \cdot \lambda^{-w+1} \simeq \bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}')\,\lambda^{-1}\bigr) \cdot \lambda^{-w+1} \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, f_1 is induced by $\mathcal{S}''$ and $\mathcal{S}^{a,b}$. The composite of the morphisms is $\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{S}'')$.

Let us look at the following morphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{-1}\,\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{-N+1,0}\,\lambda^{-1} \longleftarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}') \otimes A^{-N+1,0}\Bigr) \\
\xleftarrow{f_2} \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{-N+1,0}\,\lambda^{-1} \longleftarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{-N+1,0}\Bigr)\,\lambda^{w} \\
\xleftarrow{s} \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{-N+2,1}\,\lambda^{-1} \longleftarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \otimes A^{-N+2,1}\Bigr)\,\lambda^{w-1} \simeq \widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}'') \cdot \lambda^{w-1} \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, f_2 is induced by $\mathcal{S}'$ and $\mathcal{S}^{a,b}$. Hence, the composite is $\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{S}')$. Thus, we obtain Proposition 4.21. ▮

4.4 Admissible specializability

4.4.1 Admissible specializability of $\mathcal{R}$-modules

Let $(\mathcal{M}, L)$ be a filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-module. Let g be a holomorphic function on X.

Definition 4.24 *$(\mathcal{M}, L)$ is called filtered strictly specializable along g, if the following holds:*

- *Each $L_j\mathcal{M}$ is strictly specializable along g with any ramified exponential twist.*
- *The cokernel of $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M})$ is strict for any $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{C}[t_n^{-1}]$. The cokernel of $\phi_g(L_j\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \phi_g(\mathcal{M})$ is also strict.* ▮

Lemma 4.25 *If $(\mathcal{M}, L)$ is filtered strictly specializable, then each $\bigl(L_j\mathcal{M}/L_k\mathcal{M}, L\bigr)$ is also filtered strictly specializable.*

Proof In this case, each $\mathcal{M}/L_j\mathcal{M}$ is also strictly specializable along g with any ramified exponential twist. The morphisms $L_j\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}/L_j\mathcal{M}$ are strict with respect to the V-filtrations for any ramified exponential twist. We have the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M}/L_j\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0$. We have

$$
\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_m\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{M})\Bigr) \subset \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_m\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M})\Bigr)
$$

$$
\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\phi_g(L_m\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \phi_g(L_j\mathcal{M})\Bigr) \subset \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\phi_g(L_m\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \phi_g(\mathcal{M})\Bigr)
$$

We also have the following isomorphisms:

$$
\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{M}/L_m\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M}/L_m\mathcal{M})\Bigr) \simeq \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M}/L_j\mathcal{M})
$$

$$
\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\phi_g(L_j\mathcal{M}/L_m\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \phi_g(\mathcal{M}/L_m\mathcal{M})\Bigr) \simeq \phi_g(\mathcal{M}/L_j\mathcal{M})
$$

Then, the claim of Lemma 4.25 follows. ▮

From the argument of the proof, we also obtain the following.

Lemma 4.26 *Let $L(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M}))$ denote the naturally induced filtration of $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M})$. Then, $\mathrm{Gr}^L\,\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M})$ is strict.* ▮

Definition 4.27 *Let $(\mathcal{M},L)$ be a filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-module, which is filtered strictly specializable along g. It is called admissibly specializable along g, if the following holds:*

(P1) $\big(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M},L),\mathcal{N}\big)$ has a relative monodromy filtration for each $u\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathbb{C}[t_n^{-1}]$.

(P2) $\big(\phi_g(\mathcal{M},L),\mathcal{N}\big)$ has a relative monodromy filtration.

(P3) For the natural morphisms $\psi_{g,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})\xrightarrow{u}\phi_g(\mathcal{M})\xrightarrow{v}\psi_{g,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M})$, we have $u\cdot M_k(N;L)\subset M_{k-1}(N;L)$ and $v\cdot M_k(N;L)\subset M_{k-1}(N;L)$, where u and v are induced by $\eth_t$ and t.

(We shall give a review on relative monodromy filtration in Subsection 6.1.1 *below.)* ▮

The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 4.28 *If $(\mathcal{M},L)$ is admissibly specializable, any $\big(L_j\mathcal{M}/L_k\mathcal{M},L\big)$ are also admissibly specializable.* ▮

A filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $(\mathcal{M},L)$ is called integrable, if each $L_j\mathcal{M}$ is integrable. The following lemma is clear by Deligne's formula for relative monodromy filtration (66, 67) below.

Lemma 4.29 *Let $(\mathcal{M},L)$ be integrable and admissibly specializable along g. Then, the relative monodromy filtrations are also integrable.* ▮

Let $\iota:Y\longrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of complex manifolds. Let $(\mathcal{M},L)$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_Y$-module. Let g be a holomorphic function on X.

Lemma 4.30 *$(\mathcal{M},L)$ is filtered strictly specializable (resp. admissibly) along $g_{|Y}$, if and only if $\iota_\dagger(\mathcal{M},L)$ is filtered strictly (resp. admissibly) specializable along g.*

Proof Assume that $(\mathcal{M},L)$ is filtered strictly specializable along $g_{|Y}$. Because $\iota_\dagger\psi_{g_Y,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{M})$ is strict, we have $\iota_\dagger L_j\mathcal{M}$ is strictly specializable along g, and $\psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}\big(\iota_\dagger L_j\mathcal{M}\big)\simeq\iota_\dagger\psi_{g_Y,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{M})$. Because the cokernel of $\psi_{g_Y,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\psi_{g_Y,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M})$ are strict, we obtain that the cokernel of $\psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\iota_\dagger L_j\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\iota_\dagger\mathcal{M})$ are strict. Hence, $\iota_\dagger(\mathcal{M},L)$ is filtered strictly specializable along g.

Let $\iota_\dagger(\mathcal{M},L)$ be filtered strictly specializable along g. It is easy to show that each $L_j\mathcal{M}$ is strictly specializable along g_Y, and hence we have $\psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}\big(\iota_\dagger L_j\mathcal{M}\big)\simeq\iota_\dagger\psi_{g_Y,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{M})$. Then, we obtain that $(\mathcal{M},L)$ is filtered strictly specializable along g.

If $(\mathcal{M},L)$ is filtered specializable along g_Y, we have the coincidence of $\iota_\dagger\psi_{g_Y,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\iota_\dagger\mathcal{M})$, which is compatible with the induced filtrations and the nilpotent maps. Hence, $(\mathcal{M},L)$ is admissibly specializable along g_Y if and only if $\iota_\dagger(\mathcal{M},L)$ is admissibly specializable along g. ▮

4.4.2 Admissible specializability for $\mathcal{R}$-triples

Let $(\mathcal{T},L)$ be a filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triple.

Definition 4.31 *$(\mathcal{T},L)$ is called filtered strictly specializable along g, if the underlying filtered $\mathcal{R}$-modules are filtered strictly specializable along g.* ▮

Lemma 4.32 *Assume that $(\mathcal{T},L)$ is filtered strictly specializable along g.*

- *Each $(L_j\mathcal{T}/L_k\mathcal{T},L)$ is filtered strictly specializable along g.*
- *We have the exact sequence $0\longrightarrow\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}/L_j\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow 0$ for $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathbb{C}[t_n^{-1}]$ and $u\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})\setminus(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\times\{0\})$.*
- *We have the exact sequence $0\longrightarrow\phi_g(L_j\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\phi_g\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\phi_g(\mathcal{T}/L_j\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow 0$.*

In particular, we obtain a filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T},L)$ and $\phi_g(\mathcal{T},L)$ such that Gr^L are strict. ▮

Definition 4.33 *Let $(\mathcal{T},L)$ be a filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triple, which is filtered strictly specializable along g. It is called admissibly specializable along g, if the underlying $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules are admissibly specializable along g.* ▮

Lemma 4.34 *If an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $(\mathcal{T},L)$ is admissibly specializable along g, $(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T},L),\mathcal{N})$ and $(\phi_g(\mathcal{T},L),\mathcal{N})$ have relative monodromy filtrations in the category of $\mathcal{R}$-triples.*

Proof It follows from the following general lemma, which is a consequence of Deligne's inductive formula (66, 67) below.

Lemma 4.35 *Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)$ be an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple with filtrations L and $\widetilde{L}$. Let $\mathcal{N}:\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ be a morphism such that $\mathcal{N}:L_j\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow L_j\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. Assume that $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ have relative monodromy filtrations $\widetilde{L}=M(N;L)$. Then, we have a filtration $\widetilde{L}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ which is a relative monodromy filtration $M(\mathcal{N};L)$.* ▮

Definition 4.36 *Let $(\mathcal{T},L)$ be a filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triple. It is called admissibly (resp. filtered strictly) specializable, if the following holds*

- *Let $U\subset X$ be an open subset, and let g be a holomorphic function on U. Then, $(\mathcal{T},L)_{|U}$ is admissibly (resp. filtered strictly) specializable along g.* ▮

5 Good-KMS $\mathcal{R}$-triple

We shall study smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triples with normal crossing singularity with good property. Subsections 5.1–5.2 are mainly preparation for Section 9, and Subsections 5.3–5.6 are mainly preparation for Section 10.

5.1 Smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}$-module

5.1.1 Good-KMS meromorphic prolongment

Let X be a complex manifold with a simply normal crossing hypersurface D. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module. The natural family of flat λ-connections is denoted by $\mathbb{D}$. It is called unramifiedly good, if (i) it is a family of unramifiedly good meromorphic λ-flat bundles, (ii) the set of irregular values $\mathrm{Irr}\bigl(\mathcal{M},(\lambda,P)\bigr)$ $((\lambda,P)\in\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times D)$ are independent of λ, and denoted by $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{M},P)$. It is called unramifiedly good-KMS, if moreover it has the KMS-structure. It is called good-KMS, if it is locally the descent of an unramifiedly good-KMS smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module. It is called a regular-KMS prolongment, if moreover $\mathcal{M}$ is a regular along D. Let $D=D^{(1)}\cup D^{(2)}$ be a decomposition. A good-KMS smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is called regular along $D^{(1)}$, if $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{D}^{(2)}}$ is regular-KMS.

Let $D=\bigcup_{i\in\Lambda}D_i$ be the irreducible decomposition. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be good-KMS. For each $\lambda_0\in\mathbb{C}_\lambda$, we have the associated family of good filtered λ-flat bundles on $(\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)},\mathcal{D}^{(\lambda_0)})$ indexed by $\mathbb{R}^\Lambda$, which is denoted by $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_*\mathcal{M}$. For a decomposition $I\sqcup J=\Lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathbb{R}^I$, we take $\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathbb{R}^\Lambda$ which is mapped to $\boldsymbol{b}$ by the projection $\mathbb{R}^\Lambda\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^I$, and we put ${}^I\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{O}\bigl(*\mathcal{D}(J)\bigr)$. It is independent of the choice of $\boldsymbol{a}$ as above.

5.1.2 Induced bundles on the intersection of divisors

We have the induced filtration ${}^iF^{(\lambda_0)}$ of $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{D}_i^{(\lambda_0)}}$ given by

$$ {}^iF^{(\lambda_0)}_b\bigl(\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{D}_i^{(\lambda_0)}}\bigr):=\mathrm{Im}\Bigl(\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}'}\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{D}_i^{(\lambda_0)}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{D}_i^{(\lambda_0)}}\Bigr), $$

where the i-th component of $\boldsymbol{a}'$ is b, and the other components are the same as those of $\boldsymbol{a}$. The induced filtrations ${}^iF^{(\lambda_0)}$ of $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{D}_I^{(\lambda_0)}}$ $(i\in I)$ are compatible. For $\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathbb{R}^I$, we put ${}^IF^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}:=\bigcap_{i\in I}{}^iF^{(\lambda_0)}_{b_i}$ on $\mathcal{D}_I^{(\lambda_0)}$, and

$$ {}^I\mathrm{Gr}^{F^{(\lambda_0)}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\,\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}:={}^IF^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\Big/\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\lneq\boldsymbol{b}}{}^IF^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{c}}. $$

On ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{F^{(\lambda_0)}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\,\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}$, we have the induced endomorphisms $\mathrm{Res}_i(\mathbb{D})$ $(i \in I)$. We have the decomposition

$$ {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{F^{(\lambda_0)}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\,\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\boldsymbol{u}\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})^I\\ \mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,\boldsymbol{u})=\boldsymbol{b}}} {}^{I}\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}, $$

such that (i) it is preserved by $\mathrm{Res}_i(\mathbb{D})$ $(i \in I)$, (ii) the restriction of $\mathrm{Res}_i(\mathbb{D})$ to ${}^{I}\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}$ has a unique eigenvalue $\mathfrak{e}(\lambda, u_i)$, where u_i is the i-th component of $\boldsymbol{u}$.

For $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})^I$, we obtain ${}^{I}\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\mathcal{M} := {}^{I}\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\,{}^{I}\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,\boldsymbol{u})}\mathcal{M}$. If λ_1 is sufficiently close to λ_0 such that $\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_1)} \subset \mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}$, we have ${}^{I}\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{M})_{|\mathcal{D}_I^{(\lambda_1)}} = {}^{I}\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda_1)}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\big(\mathcal{M}\big)$. Hence, we can glue ${}^{I}\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\mathcal{M}$ for varied $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda$, and we obtain an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_I}(*\partial\mathcal{D}_I)$-module ${}^{I}\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{D}_I$.

5.1.3 Irregular decomposition

Let us consider the case $X = \Delta^n$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is unramifiedly good, we have the good set of irregular values $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{M}) \subset M(X,D)/H(X)$. For a decomposition $\underline{\ell} = I \sqcup J$, the image of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{M})$ by $M(X,D)/H(X) \longrightarrow M(X,D)/M(X,D(J))$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{M}, I)$. We have the irregular decomposition:

$$ (\mathcal{M},\mathbb{D})_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{M},I)} \big(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{a},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}, \widehat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathfrak{a}}\big) $$

On $\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}$, it is compatible with the KMS-structure, i.e., for $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$, we have the induced decomposition

$$ \big(\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M},\mathbb{D}\big)_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I^{(\lambda_0)}} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{M},I)} \big(\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{a},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}, \widehat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathfrak{a}}\big), $$

and $\widehat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathfrak{a}} - d\mathfrak{a}$ are logarithmic along $\mathcal{D}(I)$ in the following sense:

$$ \big(\widehat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathfrak{a}} - d\mathfrak{a}\big)\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{a},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I} \subset \mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{a},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I} \otimes \Big(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\big(\log\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(\lambda_0)}(I)\big) + \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\big(*\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(\lambda_0)}(J)\big)\Big) $$

We set $\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I} := \mathcal{M}_{0,\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}$, and $\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{irr})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I} := \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}\neq 0}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{a},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}$.

Let us consider the case that $\mathcal{M}$ is not necessarily unramified. Let $\varphi : (X', D') \longrightarrow (X, D)$ be a ramified covering such that $\mathcal{M}' = \varphi^*\mathcal{M}$ is unramified. The decomposition $\mathcal{M}'_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_I} = \mathcal{M}'^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_I} \oplus \mathcal{M}'^{(\mathrm{irr})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_I}$ is preserved by the action of the Galois group of the ramified covering. We obtain a decomposition $\mathcal{M}_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I} = \mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I} \oplus \mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{irr})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}$. It is independent of the choice of a ramified covering and a choice of the coordinate. It is compatible with the KMS-structure, i.e, we have the induced decomposition $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{*}\mathcal{M}_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I} = \mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{*}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I} \oplus \mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{*}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{irr})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}$.

For $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})^I$, we put $(\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\beta}) := \mathfrak{k}(\lambda_0, \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\delta}_I)$, and we obtain the following vector bundles on $\mathcal{D}_I$:

$$ {}^{I}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\big(\mathcal{M}\big) := {}^{I}\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{\delta}}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I} $$

It is naturally a smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{D_I(*\partial D_I)}$-module, although it depends on the choice of the coordinate system. It is naturally isomorphic to $\widetilde{\psi}_{z_{i_1},u_{i_1}} \circ \cdots \circ \widetilde{\psi}_{z_{i_m},u_{i_m}}(\mathcal{M})$, where $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$. It is also equipped with the induced endomorphisms $\mathrm{Res}_i(\mathbb{D})$ $(i \in I)$, which are independent of the choice of a coordinate system. By construction, for $I_1 \sqcup I_2 \subset \underline{\ell}$, we have a natural isomorphism ${}^{I_1}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}_{I_1}}\Big({}^{I_2}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}_{I_2}}(\mathcal{M})\Big) \simeq {}^{I_1\sqcup I_2}\widetilde{\psi}_{(\boldsymbol{u}_{I_1},\boldsymbol{u}_{I_2})}(\mathcal{M})$.

5.1.4 Reduction with respect to Stokes structure

If $(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{D})$ is unramified, we have the reduction with respect to Stokes structure along $D(I)$, and we obtain a graded good-KMS smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module

$$ {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M}) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{M},I)} {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{M}). $$

For each $\mathfrak{a}$, the tensor product ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{M})\otimes L(-\mathfrak{a})$ is regular along $D(I)$. We have a natural isomorphism ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M})_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}\simeq\mathcal{M}_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}$. We put ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,reg}}(\mathcal{M}):={}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ and ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,irr}}(\mathcal{M}):=\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}\neq 0}{}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{M})$.

If $\mathcal{M}$ is not necessarily unramified, we take a ramified covering $\varphi:(X',D')\longrightarrow(X,D)$ such that $\mathcal{M}':=\varphi^{*}\mathcal{M}$ is unramified. We have the reduction ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M}')={}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,reg}}(\mathcal{M}')\oplus{}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,irr}}(\mathcal{M}')$, on which the Galois group of the covering naturally acts. Hence, as the descent, we obtain good-KMS smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M})={}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,reg}}(\mathcal{M})\oplus{}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,irr}}(\mathcal{M})$ on $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$. We have natural isomorphisms

$${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,reg}}(\mathcal{M})_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}\simeq\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I},\quad {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,irr}}(\mathcal{M})_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}\simeq\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{irr})}_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_I}.$$

We also have a natural isomorphism ${}^{I}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\Big({}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M})\Big)\simeq{}^{I}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{M})$.

5.2 Compatibility of filtration with good-KMS structure

5.2.1 Compatibility with the irregular decomposition

Let X be a complex manifold, and let D be a simple normal crossing hypersurface. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a good smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module. Let L be a filtration of $\mathcal{M}$ in the category of smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules, i.e., a filtration in the category of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules such that $\mathrm{Gr}^{L}(\mathcal{M})$ is also a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module.

Lemma 5.1 *Each $L_j\mathcal{M}$ is good.*

Proof We have only to consider the case that $\mathcal{M}$ is unramified. We may assume that $X=\Delta^{n}$ and $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i=0\}$. We have only to show that the filtration L is compatible with the irregular decomposition $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times\widehat{O}}=\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{M})}\widehat{\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{a}}}$. It can be reduced to the one dimensional case, and then it can be shown by a standard argument. ▮

5.2.2 Extension of smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}$-modules

Let $\mathcal{K}\subset\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be a small neighbourhood of λ_0. Let X be a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface D. We set $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}):=\mathcal{K}\times(X,D)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module. Let L be a filtration of $\mathcal{M}$ in the category of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules.

Proposition 5.2 *Assume that (i) $\mathrm{Gr}^{L}\mathcal{M}$ is good-KMS, (ii) for any smooth point P of D, the restriction of $\mathcal{M}$ to a small neighbourhood of P is good-KMS. Then, $\mathcal{M}$ is good-KMS.*

Proof We may assume that $X=\Delta^{n}$, $D_i=\{z_i=0\}$ and $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}D_i$. We set $D_{[2]}:=\bigcup_{i\neq j}D_i\cap D_j$. We may also assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is unramifiedly good. For any λ_0, by the assumption, we have the lattices $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)\prime}\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}\setminus\mathcal{D}^{(\lambda_0)}_{[2]}}$.

Let us consider the case that λ_0 is generic with respect to $\mathrm{Gr}^{L}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}\mathcal{M}$. The reduction $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M})$ is also equipped with the induced filtration L, and $\mathrm{Gr}^{L}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M})$ is unramifiedly good-KMS. Moreover, for any smooth point P of D, the restriction of $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}\mathcal{M}$ on a neighbourhood of P has KMS structure. Because λ_0 is generic, $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}\mathcal{M}$ has KMS structure at λ_0. By applying the Riemann-Hilbert-Birkhoff correspondence ([38]) with the lattices $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}\mathcal{M}$, we obtain a lattice $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}$ such that (i) it induces $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}\mathcal{M}$, (ii) $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{D}^{(\lambda_0)}_{[2]}}\simeq\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)\prime}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}$. The second condition implies $\mathrm{Gr}^{L}\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}\simeq\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathrm{Gr}^{L}\mathcal{M}$. Then, it is easy o see that $\mathcal{M}$ is unramifiedly good-KMS around λ_0.

Let us consider the case that $\lambda_0\neq 0$ is not necessarily generic. By the assumption and the consideration in the generic case, we obtain that the restriction of $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{D}^{\lambda_0}_{[2]}$ is good-KMS, i.e., we have vector bundles $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)\prime}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{D}^{\lambda_0}_{[2]}$, which induces $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathrm{Gr}^{L}\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{D}^{\lambda_0}_{[2]}}$. We have only to show that $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)\prime}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M}$ is naturally extended to vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}$. Because $\mathcal{D}^{\lambda_0}_{[2]}$ is of codimension 3 in $\mathcal{X}$, it follows from Lemma 5.3 below. The case $\lambda_0=0$ can be argued similarly. Thus, we obtain Proposition 5.2. ▮

Lemma 5.3 *Let Y be a complex manifold. Let $Z \subset Y$ be an analytic closed subset of codimension 3. Let E_i be vector bundles on Y. Let E_0' be a vector bundle on $Y - Z$ with an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow E_{1|Y\setminus Z} \longrightarrow E_0' \longrightarrow E_{2|Y\setminus Z} \longrightarrow 0$. Then, E_0' is naturally extended to a vector bundle on Y with an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow 0$.*

Proof Let $j : X \setminus Z \longrightarrow X$ be the open immersion. The claim of the lemma follows from $R^1 j_* \mathcal{O}_{X\setminus Z} = 0$, which holds because $\operatorname{codim} Z \geq 3$. ▮

5.2.3 Compatibility with KMS structure

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module.

Definition 5.4 *We say that a filtration L of $\mathcal{M}$ is compatible with the KMS-structure, if the induced increasing sequence $\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{Q}_*^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M})$ gives a KMS-structure of $\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{M})$.* ▮

An example of a filtration will be given below, which is not compatible with a KMS-structure. We obtain the following lemma from Proposition 5.2.

Lemma 5.5 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a good $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module. Let L be a filtration of $\mathcal{M}$ in the category of smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules. Assume the following:*

- *$\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{M})$ has a KMS-structure.*
- *For any smooth point P of D, there exists a neighbourhood X_P of P such that $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{X}_P}$ has a KMS-structure with which $L_{|\mathcal{X}_P}$ is compatible.*

Then, $\mathcal{M}$ has a unique KMS-structure with which L is compatible. ▮

We have a slightly different statement.

Corollary 5.6 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module. Let L be a filtration of $\mathcal{M}$ in the category of smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules. Assume the following:*

- *$\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{M})$ has a good-KMS structure*
- *For any smooth point P of D, there exists a small neighbourhood X_P of P such that $L_{|\mathcal{X}_P}$ is compatible with the KMS-structure of $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{X}_P}$.*

Then, L is compatible with the KMS-structure of $\mathcal{M}$. ▮

Example Let $X = \mathbb{C}_z$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \neq b$. We consider the $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{M} := \bigoplus_{i=1,2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*z)\, e_i$ with $z\eth_z e_1 = -\lambda\, a\, e_1$ and $z\eth_z e_2 = e_1 - \lambda\, b\, e_2$. We put $v_2 := e_1 + \lambda\,(a-b)\, e_2$. Then, we have $z\eth_z v_2 = -\lambda\, b\, v_2$.

Assume $a < b$. For $c = a, b$, let $\mathcal{M}(c)$ be $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*z)\, f$ with $z\eth f = \lambda\, c\, f$. We have the morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(b) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ given by $f \longmapsto v$. By a direct computation, we can check that the cokernel of $\widetilde{\psi}_b(\varphi) : \widetilde{\psi}_b(\mathcal{M}(b)) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_b(\mathcal{M})$ is not strict. The cokernel of φ is generated by $[e_2]$, and it is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(a)$. We can check that the morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(a)$ is not strict with respect to the V-filtrations $V^{(0)}$.

5.3 Canonical prolongations of smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}$-module

We shall study the canonical prolongations of a good-KMS $\mathcal{R}$-module across the normal crossing hypersurface.

5.3.1 Goal

Let X be a complex manifold. Let D be a simple normal crossing hypersurface with the irreducible decomposition $D=\bigcup_{i\in\Lambda}D_i$. We set $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}):=\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times(X,D)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a good-KMS smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module. An $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ with an isomorphism $\rho:\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{D})\simeq\mathcal{M}$ is called a prolongment of $\mathcal{M}$. We say prolongments $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i,\rho_i)$ $(i=1,2)$ are isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $F:\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1\longrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[d, "\rho_1"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{D}) \arrow[d, "\rho_2"'] \\
\mathcal{M} \arrow[r, "="] & \mathcal{M}
\end{tikzcd}$$

A prolongment $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}},\rho)$ is often denoted just by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ in the following.

Proposition 5.7 *For any decomposition $\Lambda=I\sqcup J$, there uniquely exists a prolongment $\mathcal{M}[*I!J]$ of $\mathcal{M}$ with the following property:*

(P1) *$\mathcal{M}[*I!J]$ is $\mathcal{R}_X$-coherent, holonomic and strict.*

(P2) *For $P\in D$, we take a small coordinate neighbourhood $(X_P;z_1,\dots,z_n)$ around P such that, for each $i\in\Lambda$, we have $D_i\cap X_P=\emptyset$ or $D_i\cap X_P=\{z_{k(i)}=0\}$ for some $k(i)$. Then, $\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_P:=\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_{|X_P}$ is strictly specializable along z_k for any k, and we have*

$$\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_P[*z_k]=\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_P\quad(k\in I),\qquad \mathcal{M}[*I!J]_P[!z_k]=\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_P\quad(k\in J).$$

We shall also show the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.8 *Let $\varphi:\mathcal{M}[*I!J]\simeq\mathcal{M}[*I!J]$ be an isomorphism as prolongments of $\mathcal{M}$. Then, φ is the identity.*

For any $I\subset\Lambda$ and $i\in\Lambda$, we put $I_{\cup i}:=I\cup\{i\}$ and $I_{\setminus i}:=I\setminus\{i\}$.

Lemma 5.9 *We have isomorphisms of the following prolongments*

$$\big(\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_P\big)[*z_{k(i)}]\simeq\mathcal{M}[*I_{\cup i}!J_{\setminus i}]_P,\qquad \big(\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_P\big)[!z_{k(i)}]\simeq\mathcal{M}[*I_{\setminus i}!J_{\cup i}]_P.$$

5.3.2 Uniqueness and Lemma 5.8

Let $\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_\kappa$ $(\kappa=1,2)$ be prolongments of $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the conditions (P1) and (P2). We shall show that there uniquely exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_1\simeq\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_2$.

By uniqueness, we have only to consider the case $X=\Delta^n$ and $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i=0\}$. We put $\underline{\ell}:=\{1,\dots,\ell\}$. For $L\subset\underline{\ell}$, "$\otimes\mathcal{O}(*\mathcal{D}(L))$" is denoted by "$(*L)$" for simplicity. We also denote $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D_L)}$ by $\mathcal{R}_X(*L)$. An $\mathcal{R}_X(*L)$-module $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ with an isomorphism $\rho:\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{D})\simeq\mathcal{M}$ is called a prolongment of $\mathcal{M}$. An isomorphism of prolongment as an $\mathcal{R}_X(*L)$-module is defined as in the case of prolongments as $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules.

We consider the $\mathcal{R}_X(*L)$-modules $\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_\kappa(*L)$. They are strictly specializable along z_i as $\mathcal{R}_X(*L)$-modules. We have the following natural isomorphisms as $\mathcal{R}_X(*L)$-modules for any $i\notin L$:

$$\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_\kappa(*L)[*z_i]\simeq\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_\kappa(*L)\quad(i\in I)$$

$$\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_\kappa(*L)[!z_i]\simeq\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_\kappa(*L)\quad(i\in J)$$

Hence, we have the following natural isomorphisms as $\mathcal{R}_X(*L_{\setminus i})$-modules for any $i\in L$:

$$\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_\kappa(*L)[*z_i]\simeq\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_\kappa(*L_{\setminus i})\quad(i\in I)\tag{46}$$

$$\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_\kappa(*L)[!z_i]\simeq\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_\kappa(*L_{\setminus i})\quad(i\in J)\tag{47}$$

We have the unique isomorphism $\varphi:\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_1(*\underline{\ell})\simeq\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_2(*\underline{\ell})$. By using (46) and (47), we obtain unique isomorphisms $\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_1(*L)\simeq\mathcal{M}[*I!J]_2(*L)$ for any $L\subset\underline{\ell}$ as prolongments, by using a descending induction on $|L|$. ∎

5.3.3 Local construction

Let $X := \Delta^n$ and $D := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$. In this subsection, let $V_0\mathcal{R}_X \subset \mathcal{R}_X$ be generated by $z_i\eth_i$ $(i \leq \ell)$ and $\eth_i$ $(i > \ell)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a small neighbourhood of λ_0. We set $(\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}, \mathcal{D}^{(\lambda_0)}) := \mathcal{K} \times (X, D)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module on $\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}$. Let $(\mathcal{Q}_*^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{D})$ be the associated good-KMS family of filtered λ-flat bundles at λ_0 on $(\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}, \mathcal{D}^{(\lambda_0)})$.

Let $\underline{\ell} = I \sqcup J$. We put $\boldsymbol{a}(I,J) := \boldsymbol{\delta}_I + (1-\epsilon)\boldsymbol{\delta}_J$ for some sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. We obtain a coherent $V_0\mathcal{R}_X$-module $V_0\mathcal{R}_X \cdot \mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(I,J)}\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}$. Then, we obtain a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-module

$$\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J] := \mathcal{R}_X \otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X} \bigl(V_0\mathcal{R}_X \cdot \mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(I,J)}\mathcal{M}\bigr).$$

Lemma 5.10 *The $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$ is holonomic, and its characteristic variety is contained in $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{L\subset\underline{\ell}} \mathcal{K} \times T^*_{D_L}X$.*

Proof Let F_0 be the image of the natural morphism $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(I,J)}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$. By the construction, $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$ is generated by F_0 over $\mathcal{R}_X$. For $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$, we put $\eth^{\boldsymbol{p}} := \prod \eth_i^{p_i}$. We set $F_m := \sum_{|\boldsymbol{p}|\leq m} \eth^{\boldsymbol{p}} F_0$. Then, $\{F_m\}$ is a coherent filtration of $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$. Let us show that the support of $\mathrm{Gr}^F\,\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$ is contained in $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{K} \times T^*X \longrightarrow X$.

First, let us consider the case $\mathcal{M}$ is regular-KMS. Let $Q \in D_K^{\circ}$. For any $j \notin K$, we have $\eth_j F_0 \subset F_0$ around Q. Hence, we obtain $\eth_j F_m \subset F_m$ for any $m \geq 0$. Then, the action of $\eth_j$ on $\mathrm{Gr}^F\,\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$ is 0 around Q. Then, we obtain that $Ch(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]) \cap \pi^{-1}(Q) \subset \mathcal{K} \times (N^*_{D_K}X)_Q$.

We put $\mathfrak{a} := \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ for some $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^p_{<0}$, where $1 \leq p \leq \ell$. Let $L(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}}\,e$ with $\mathbb{D}e = e\,d\mathfrak{a}$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}$ be the ramified covering, given by $z_i^{q_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and z_i for $p+1 \leq i \leq n$. Let us consider the case $\mathcal{M}$ is the tensor product of $\pi_*L(\mathfrak{a})$ and regular-KMS $\mathcal{M}'$. Let $I \subset \underline{\ell}$. Let $Q \in D_I^{\circ}$. If $I \cap \underline{p} = \emptyset$, we have $Ch(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]) \subset \mathcal{S}$ around Q by the consideration in the regular singular case. Let $i \in I \cap \underline{p}$. For $j \in \underline{p} \setminus I$, we put $v_j := m_j^{-1}\,z_j\eth_j - m_i^{-1}\,z_i\eth_i$. Note we have $v_j e = 0$. Hence, we have $v_j F_m \subset F_m$ around Q. For $j \in \underline{\ell} \setminus (\underline{p} \cup I)$, we have $\eth_j F_0 \subset F_0$, and hence $\eth_j F_m \subset F_m$. Thus, we obtain $Ch(\mathcal{M}[*I!J])_{|\pi^{-1}(Q)} \subset \mathcal{K} \times (N^*_{D_I}X)_Q$.

The general case can be reduced to the above cases, by using the formal completion. ∎

5.3.4 Preliminary

We have the formal decomposition into the regular part and the irregular part:

$$\mathcal{Q}_*^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i} = \mathcal{Q}_*^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i} \oplus \mathcal{Q}_*^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{irr})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}$$

Indeed, if $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}$ is unramified, it is given in [38]. In the ramified case, we obtain the decomposition as the descent. Then, we set

$${}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}_u^{(\lambda_0)}\bigl(\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}\bigr) := {}^{i}\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda_0)}_{u+\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigl(\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}\bigr)$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (1,0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$. We obtain a good-KMS ${}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}_u^{(\lambda_0)}\bigl(\mathcal{Q}_*^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}\bigr)$.

Let $I \sqcup J \sqcup \{i\} = \underline{\ell}$ be a decomposition. Let $\boldsymbol{a}(b) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ be determined by $q_j(\boldsymbol{a}(b)) = 1$ for $j \in I$, $q_j(\boldsymbol{a}(b)) = 1-\epsilon$ for $j \in J$, and $q_i(\boldsymbol{a}(b)) = b+1$.

Lemma 5.11 *We have the following natural isomorphism:*

$$\frac{V_0\mathcal{R}_X \cdot \mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}}{V_0\mathcal{R}_X \cdot \mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b-\epsilon)}\mathcal{M}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=b} V_0\mathcal{R}_{D_i} \cdot {}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}_u^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}) \tag{48}$$

Proof We have $V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}=V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}\oplus V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{irr})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}$. Let $V_0\mathcal{R}_{X,\setminus i}\subset\mathcal{R}_X$ be generated by $z_j\eth_j$ $(j\in\underline{\ell}\setminus\{i\})$ and $\eth_j$ $(j\in\underline{n}\setminus\underline{\ell})$. Let us show that the following natural morphisms are isomorphisms:

$$V_0\mathcal{R}_{X,\setminus i}\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}\longrightarrow V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{reg})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}\tag{49}$$

$$V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b-\epsilon)}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{irr})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}\longrightarrow V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}^{(\mathrm{irr})}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}.\tag{50}$$

It can be reduced to the case that $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}$ is unramified. We have only to show that their formal completions along $\mathcal{K}\times P$ are isomorphisms for each $P\in D_i$. Then, the claim can be checked by a direct computation.

We obtain the following:

$$\frac{V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}}{V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b-\epsilon)}\mathcal{M}}\simeq\frac{V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}}{V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b-\epsilon)}\mathcal{M}_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_i}}\simeq\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=b}V_0\mathcal{R}_{D_i}\cdot{}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}^{(\lambda_0)}_{u}\big(\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}\big)$$

Thus, we are done. ▮

Let ${}^{i}V_0\mathcal{R}_X\subset\mathcal{R}_X$ be generated by $\eth_j$ $(j\neq i)$ and $z_i\eth_i$.

Corollary 5.12 *We have the following natural isomorphism:*

$$\frac{{}^{i}V_0\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X}\big(V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}\big)}{{}^{i}V_0\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X}\big(V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b-\epsilon)}\mathcal{M}\big)}\simeq\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=b}\mathcal{R}_{D_i}\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{D_i}}\Big(V_0\mathcal{R}_{D_i}\cdot{}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}^{(\lambda_0)}_{u}\big(\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}\big)\Big)\tag{51}$$

Proof By Lemma 5.11, we obtain the following:

$$\frac{{}^{i}V_0\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X}\big(V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b)}\mathcal{M}\big)}{{}^{i}V_0\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X}\big(V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(b-\epsilon)}\mathcal{M}\big)}\simeq\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=b}{}^{i}V_0\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(V_0\mathcal{R}_{D_i}\cdot{}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}^{(\lambda_0)}_{u}(\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\mathcal{M})\Big)\tag{52}$$

It is easy to observe that the right hand side of (52) is naturally isomorphic to the right hand side of (51). ▮

5.3.5 Some filtrations

For a subset $K\subset\underline{\ell}$, let ${}^{K}V_0\mathcal{R}_X\subset\mathcal{R}_X$ be generated by $\eth_i$ $(i\in\underline{n}\setminus K)$ and $z_i\eth_i$ $(i\in K)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $\underline{\ell}=I\sqcup J\sqcup K$ be a decomposition. We put $\boldsymbol{a}(I,J):=\boldsymbol{\delta}_I+(1-\epsilon)\boldsymbol{\delta}_J\in\mathbb{R}^{I\sqcup J}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(I,J)}\mathcal{M}$ mean

$$\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(I,J)+\boldsymbol{c}}\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}}(*\mathcal{D}^{(\lambda_0)}(K))$$

for any $\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbb{R}^K$. We consider a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X(*\mathcal{D}^{(\lambda_0)}(K))$-module

$$\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]:={}^{K}V_0\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X}\big(V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(I,J)}\mathcal{M}\big)\simeq\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X}\big(V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(I,J)}\mathcal{M}\big)$$

For $\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathbb{R}^K$, we consider a ${}^{K}V_0\mathcal{R}_X$-module

$${}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]:={}^{K}V_0\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X}\big(V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}(I,J)+\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_K}\mathcal{M}\big).$$

For $i\in I$ and $b\leq 0$, or for $i\in J$ and $b<0$, let ${}^{K,i}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b},b}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$ be the image of the following morphism:

$${}^{K\sqcup\{i\}}V_0\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_X}\big(V_0\mathcal{R}_X\cdot\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}'+\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_K}\mathcal{M}\big)\longrightarrow{}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J].\tag{53}$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{a}'\in\mathbb{R}^{I\sqcup J}$ is determined such that the j-th component of $\boldsymbol{a}'$ is a_j if $j\neq i$, and $b+1$ if $j=i$.

For $i\in I$ and $b>0$, or for $i\in J$ and $b\geq 0$, we set

$${}^{K,i}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b},b}\big(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]\big)=\sum_{(c,p)\in\mathcal{U}(b,i)}\eth_i^{p}\big({}^{K,i}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b},c}(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J])\big)$$

Here, $\mathcal{U}(b,i)$ denotes the set $\big\{(c,p)\in\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\,\big|\,c+p\leq b\big\}$ if $i\in I$, or $\big\{(c,p)\in\mathbb{R}_{<0}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\,\big|\,c+p\leq b\big\}$ if $i\in J$.

Lemma 5.13 *Let us show the following claims by an induction on* $m = |I \sqcup J|$ *and* $\dim X$*:*

$P(m)$**:** *The morphism* (53) *is injective.*

$Q(m)$**:** *For* $\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d} \in \mathbb{R}^K$ *such that* $\boldsymbol{c} \leq \boldsymbol{d}$*, the natural morphism* ${}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{c}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J] \longrightarrow {}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{d}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$ *is injective. In particular,* ${}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{c}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$ *is injective.*

$R(m)$**:** ${}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{c}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$ *are strict.*

Proof If $\dim X = 0$, the claim is trivial. The claim $P(0)$ is trivial, and the claims $Q(0)$ and $R(0)$ are obvious. We obtain $P(m)$ from $Q(m-1)$, by considering the composition of the morphism (53) and the natural one

$$ {}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J] \longrightarrow {}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J](*i). $$

Assume $P(m)$ and $R(m-1)$. For $i \in I$ and $b \leq 0$, or for $i \in J$ and $b < 0$, we obtain the following, by using Lemma 5.11:

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^{i}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{b}\, {}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J] &\simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=b} {}^{K}V_0\mathcal{R}_{D_i} \otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{D_i}} \Bigl(V_0\mathcal{R}_{D_i} \cdot {}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}_u\bigl(\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{a}'+\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}\bigr)\Bigr) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=b} {}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\bigl({}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{M})^{(\lambda_0)}[*I_{\setminus i}!J_{\setminus i}]\bigr) \qquad (54) \end{aligned} $$

For $i \in I$ and $d > 0$, (resp. $i \in J$ and $d \geq 0$), we take $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $-1 < d - p \leq 0$ (resp. $-1 \leq d - p < 0$). We consider the following surjective morphism:

$$ \eth_i^p : {}^{i}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{d-p}\, {}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J] \longrightarrow {}^{i}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{d}\, {}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J] \qquad (55) $$

If $i \in I$, the morphism $z_i^p\eth_i^p$ on ${}^{i}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{d-p}\, {}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$ is injective by $R(m-1)$. Hence, we obtain that (55) is injective. If $i \in J$ and $d = 0$, we can show that the restriction of (55) to $\mathcal{D}_i^{(\lambda_0)} \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} \mathcal{D}_j^{(\lambda_0)}$ is isomorphism, by using Lemma 3.8. Then, by using the description (54) and the hypothesis of the induction on $\dim X$, we obtain that (55) is an isomorphism. In the case $d > 0$, we can check that (55) is an isomorphism by using the argument in the case $i \in I$.

Now, assume $P(m)$, $R(m-1)$, $Q(m-1)$ and the claims in the lower dimension. We obtain that

$$ {}^{i}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{d}\, {}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J] \longrightarrow {}^{i}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{d}\, {}^{K}V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{c}}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J] $$

is injective for each d by using the isomorphisms (54) and (55). Then, $Q(m)$ follows. We also obtain $R(m)$ from $R(m-1)$ and the strictness in the lower dimensional case. Thus, the proof of Lemma 5.13 is finished. ▮

Corollary 5.14 *Let* $I \sqcup J \subset \underline{\ell}$*, and* $K := \underline{\ell} \setminus (I \sqcup J)$*.*

- $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]$ *is a coherent, holonomic and strict* $\mathcal{R}_X(*K)$*-module.*
- *It is strictly specializable along* z_i *with the* V*-filtration* ${}^{i}V^{(\lambda_0)}$*. We naturally have*

$$ {}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}^{(\lambda_0)}_{u}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]\bigr) \simeq {}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{M})^{(\lambda_0)}[*I_{\setminus i}!J_{\setminus i}] \qquad (56) $$

- *The following morphisms are isomorphisms:*

$$ \eth_i : {}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}^{(\lambda_0)}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]\bigr) \longrightarrow {}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}^{(\lambda_0)}_{0}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]\bigr) \qquad (i \in J) $$

$$ z_i : {}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}^{(\lambda_0)}_{0}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]\bigr) \longrightarrow {}^{i}\widetilde{\psi}^{(\lambda_0)}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J]\bigr) \qquad (i \in I) $$

In particular, we have $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J][*z_i] \simeq \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I_{\cup i}!J_{\setminus i}]$ *and* $\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J][!z_i] \simeq \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I_{\setminus i}!J_{\cup i}]$*.* ▮

Remark 5.15 *For a good meromorphic flat bundle* $\mathcal{V}$ *on* (X, D)*, we clearly have a similar description of* $\mathcal{V}[*I!J]$*.* ▮

5.3.6 Globalization

Let us return to the situation in Subsection 5.3.1. Let $I \sqcup J = \Lambda$ be a decomposition. Let $P \in D$. We take a small coordinate neighbourhood $(X_P; z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ of X around P such that $D_P := D \cap X_P = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{z_i = 0\}$. Let $U(\lambda_0)$ be a sufficiently small neighbourhood of λ_0. We set $(\mathcal{X}_P^{(\lambda_0)}, \mathcal{D}_P^{(\lambda_0)}) := U(\lambda_0) \times (X_P, X_P \cap D)$. Applying the procedure in Subsection 5.3.3 to $(\mathcal{Q}_*^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}_P, \mathbb{D}) := (\mathcal{Q}_*^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{D})_{|\mathcal{X}_P^{(\lambda_0)}}$, we obtain an $\mathcal{R}_{X_P}$-module $\mathcal{M}_P^{(\lambda_0)}[*I_P!J_P]$ on $\mathcal{X}_P^{(\lambda_0)}$ for any decomposition $\underline{\ell} = I_P \sqcup J_P$. According to Corollary 5.14, they satisfy the conditions (P1) and (P2), and the claim in Lemma 5.9.

By using the uniqueness and Lemma 5.8, we obtain $\mathcal{M}[*I!J]$ by gluing $\mathcal{M}_P^{(\lambda_0)}[*I_P!J_P]$ for varied $(\lambda_0, P) \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times D$, where $I_P \sqcup J_P = \underline{\ell}$ is the induced decomposition induced by $I \sqcup J = \Lambda$. By construction, $\mathcal{M}[*I!J]$ $(I \sqcup J)$ satisfy the conditions (P1) and (P2), and the claim in Lemma 5.9. Thus, the proof of Proposition 5.7 and Lemma 5.9 are finished. ∎

5.4 Strict specializability along monomial functions

5.4.1 Statement

Let X be a complex manifold. Let D be a simple normal crossing hypersurface with the irreducible decomposition $D = \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_X(*D)$-module. For simplicity, we assume the following:

(A) $\mathcal{M}$ is equipped with a filtration L in the category of smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules, such that $\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{M})$ comes from a good wild harmonic bundle.

Let $\Lambda = I \sqcup J$ be a decomposition. Let g be a holomorphic function on X such that $g^{-1}(0) = \bigcup_{i \in K} D_i \subset D$.

Proposition 5.16 *Assume $K \subset I$ or $K \subset J$.*

- *$\mathcal{M}[*I!J]$ is strictly specializable along g.*
- *For $\star = !, *$, there exist $\mathcal{M}[*I!J][\star g]$, and we have*

$$\mathcal{M}[*I!J][!g] \simeq \mathcal{M}\big[*(I \setminus K)!(J \cup K)\big], \quad \mathcal{M}[*I!J][!g] \simeq \mathcal{M}\big[*(I \cup K)!(J \setminus K)\big].$$

5.4.2 Refinement

We give a refined claim in the local case. Let $X = \Delta^n$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{z_i = 0\}$. Let g be a monomial function $g = \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{p}}$, where $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^K$ and $K \subset \underline{\ell}$. Let $i_g : X \longrightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}_t$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a small neighbourhood of λ_0 in $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$. We set $(\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}, \mathcal{D}^{(\lambda_0)}) := \mathcal{K} \times (X, D)$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_*^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}$ be a good-KMS family of filtered λ-flat bundles. For a decomposition $\underline{\ell} = I \sqcup J \sqcup K$, let us consider $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J \star K]$ for $\star = *, !$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{X,K} \subset \mathcal{R}_X$ be generated by $\eth_i$ $(i \in K)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Proposition 5.17 *Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is an $\mathcal{R}_X(*D)$-module satisfying the condition (A) in Subsection* 5.4.1. *Then, the following holds.*

- *$\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J \star K]$ are strictly specializable along t, and we have*

$$\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J \star K] \simeq \big(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J \star K]\big)[\star t].$$

- *The V-filtration $U^{(\lambda_0)}$ of $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J * K]$ is given as follows. For $b \leq 0$,*

$$U_b^{(\lambda_0)}\big(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J * K]\big) = \mathcal{R}_{X,K}\Big({}^{K}V_{b\boldsymbol{p}}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}[*I!J] \otimes 1\Big).$$

For $b > 0$, we have $U_b^{(\lambda_0)} = \sum_{c,j} \eth_t^j U_c^{(\lambda_0)}$, where (c, j) runs through $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $c + j \leq b$.

- *The V-filtration $U^{(\lambda_0)}$ of $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_0)}[*I!J!K]$ is given as follows. For $b < 0$,*

$$U_b^{(\lambda_0)}\big(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J!K]\big) = \mathcal{R}_{X,K}\Big({}^{K}V_{b\boldsymbol{p}}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}[*I!J] \otimes 1\Big).$$

For $b \geq 0$, we have $U_b^{(\lambda_0)} = \sum_{c,j} \eth_t^j U_c^{(\lambda_0)}$, where (c, j) runs through $\mathbb{R}_{<0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $c + j \leq b$.

5.4.3 Preliminary

Recall that for an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{N}$ on $\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}$, the push-forward $i_{g\dagger}\mathcal{N}$ is naturally isomorphic to $i_{g*}\mathcal{N}[\eth_t]$, where the action of $\mathcal{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}_t}$ is given as follows:

$$a\cdot\bigl(u\otimes\eth_t^j\bigr)=au\otimes\eth_t^j\ \ (a\in\mathcal{O}_X),\quad \eth_i\bigl(u\otimes\eth_t^j\bigr)=(\eth_i u)\otimes\eth_t^j-(\partial_i g\cdot u)\otimes\eth_t^{j+1}$$

$$t\cdot(u\otimes\eth_t^j)=(g\cdot u)\otimes\eth_t^j-j\lambda u\otimes\eth_t^{j-1},\quad \eth_t\bigl(u\otimes\eth_t^j\bigr)=u\otimes\eth_t^{j+1}$$

In particular, we have $(p_i\eth_t t+\eth_i z_i)(\eth_t^j\otimes u)=-p_i j\lambda\eth_t^j\otimes u+\eth_t^j\otimes\eth_i(z_i u)$.

Let ${}^tV_0\mathcal{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}_t}\subset\mathcal{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}_t}$ be generated by $t\eth_t$ and $\mathcal{R}_X$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times\mathbb{C}_t}$. It is easy to check $U_b^{(\lambda_0)}(i_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J\star K])$ are ${}^tV_0\mathcal{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}_t}$-coherent modules. By a formal computation, we can also check that the filtration is monodromic. By construction, we have $t\cdot U_b^{(\lambda_0)}=U_{b-1}^{(\lambda_0)}$ for $b<0$, and $\eth_t:\mathrm{Gr}_c^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}_{c+1}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}$ is surjective for $c>-1$. If $\star=*$, we also have $t\cdot U_0^{(\lambda_0)}=U_{-1}^{(\lambda_0)}$. Hence, we have only to show that (i) $\mathrm{Gr}_b^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}$ are strict for $b<0$, (ii) $\eth_t:\mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}_0^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}$ is injective in the case $\star=!$.

5.4.4 Regular and pure case

Let us consider the regular and pure case, i.e., $\mathcal{M}$ comes from a tame harmonic bundle. Let $I\sqcup J\sqcup K\subset\underline{\ell}$. For $b\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbb{R}^I$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}{}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}U_b^{(\lambda_0)}\bigl(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[!J]\bigr)&:=\mathcal{R}_{X,K}\Bigl({}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^KV_{b\boldsymbol{p}}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}[!J]\otimes 1\Bigr)\\&=\mathcal{R}_{X,K}\cdot\Bigl\{\Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{X,J}\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X,J}}{}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^JV_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^KV_{b\boldsymbol{p}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})\Bigr]\otimes 1\Bigr\}\\=\mathcal{R}_{X,J}\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X,J}}\Bigl\{\mathcal{R}_{X,K}\Bigl[{}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^JV_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^KV_{b\boldsymbol{p}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})\otimes 1\Bigr\}&=:\mathcal{R}_{X,J}\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X,J}}\Bigl({}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^JV_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}U_b^{(\lambda_0)}(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M})\Bigr)\end{aligned}\tag{57}$$

Here, ${}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^KV_{b\boldsymbol{p}}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}[!J]$ is as in Subsection 5.3.5. We obtain the following isomorphism:

$${}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,\mathrm{Gr}_b^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}\bigl(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[!J]\bigr)\simeq\mathcal{R}_{X,J}\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X,J}}\Bigl({}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^JV_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\,\mathrm{Gr}_b^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M})\Bigr)=:\mathcal{M}_J$$

Lemma 5.18 *$\mathcal{M}_J$ is strict.*

Proof We use an induction on $|J|$. If $|J|=0$, it follows from a result in [35]. Let $J=J_0\sqcup\{j\}$. By the assumption of the induction, we have the strictness of $\mathcal{R}_{X,J_0}\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X,J_0}}{}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^JV_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\,\mathrm{Gr}_b^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}\,\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{R}_{X,J_0}\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X,J_0}}\Bigl({}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^{J_0}V_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^j\mathrm{Gr}_d^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\,\mathrm{Gr}_b^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}\bigl(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}\bigr)\Bigr)\simeq\\&\qquad\qquad\mathcal{R}_{X,J_0}\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X,J_0}}\Bigl({}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^{J_0}V_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\,\mathrm{Gr}_b^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}\bigl(\iota_{g\dagger}\,{}^j\mathrm{Gr}_d^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\,\mathcal{M}\bigr)\Bigr),\end{aligned}\tag{58}$$

which is strict by the assumption of the induction.

Let us consider the filtration ${}^jV^{(\lambda_0)}$ of $\mathcal{M}_J$ given as follows. For $d<0$, we put

$${}^jV_d^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}_J:=\mathcal{R}_{X,J_0}\otimes_{V_0\mathcal{R}_{X,J_0}}{}^IV_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^jV_d^{(\lambda_0)}\,{}^{J_0}V_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\,\mathrm{Gr}_b^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M})$$

For $d\geq 0$, we put ${}^jV_d^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}_J):=\sum_{c,n}\eth_j^n\bigl({}^jV_c^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}_J)\bigr)$, where (c,n) runs through $\mathbb{R}_{<0}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $c+n\leq b$. Note that ${}^jV_{<0}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}_J$ is strict, and ${}^j\mathrm{Gr}_c^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M}_J)$ is strict for $c<0$. Let us consider the morphism

$$\eth_j:{}^j\mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M}_J)\xrightarrow{\eth_j}{}^j\mathrm{Gr}_0^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M}_J)\tag{59}$$

Lemma 5.19 *The specializations of* (59) *to any generic* λ *are isomorphisms.*

Proof The surjectivity is clear by construction. Let $\pi : X \longrightarrow D_I$ be the projection. For $P \in D_I^{\circ}$, we can naturally regard $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{J,P} := \mathcal{M}_J \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\{\lambda\}\times\pi^{-1}(P)}$ as a D-module. If λ is generic, we have $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{J,P}[!z_j] = \mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{J,P}$, and the specialization of ${}^{j}V^{(\lambda_0)}$ gives a V-filtration along z_j, which can be checked by a direct computation. Hence the specialization of (59) at a generic λ is injective. ∎

By Lemma 5.19 and the strictness of ${}^{j}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{-1}\mathcal{M}_J$, we obtain that (59) is injective, and hence an isomorphism. In particular, ${}^{j}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{0}(\mathcal{M}_J)$ is strict. For $b > -1$, the morphism $\eth_j : {}^{j}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{b}(\mathcal{M}_J) \longrightarrow {}^{j}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{b+1}(\mathcal{M}_J)$ is surjective, and $z_j\eth_j$ on ${}^{j}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{b}(\mathcal{M}_J)$ is injective. Hence, $\eth_j$ is an isomorphism. We also have the strictness of ${}^{j}\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_{b}(\mathcal{M}_J)$. They can be shown by an easy induction. Thus, we obtain the claim in the case of J, and the proof of Lemma 5.18 is finished. ∎

Corollary 5.20 *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *come from a tame harmonic bundle.*

- *For any* $J \subset \underline{\ell}\setminus K$, $\mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{b}(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[!J])$ *is strict, i.e.,* $\mathcal{M}[!J]$ *is strictly specializable along* g. *The* V*-filtration is given in the standard way as in Proposition* 5.17.
- *For* $I \sqcup J \subset \underline{\ell} \setminus K$, $\mathcal{M}[*I!J]$ *is strictly specializable along* g. *The* V*-filtration is given in the standard way as in Proposition* 5.17. ∎

Let $I \sqcup J \sqcup K = \underline{\ell}$, and we show the claim of Proposition 5.17 in the case that $\mathcal{M}$ comes from a tame harmonic bundle. Let us consider $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J * K]$. We have already known the strictness of $\mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{b}$ for $b < 0$. Because $t : \mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{-1}$ is an isomorphism, $\mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{0}$ is also strict. By the standard argument, we obtain the strictness of $\mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{b}$ for $b > 0$. Hence, we obtain the claim for $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J * K]$.

Let us consider $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J!K]$. For $b < 0$, we have already known that $\mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{b}$ are strict. Let us consider the specialization to any generic λ. We have $\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}[*I!J!K]\bigr)[!g] = \mathcal{M}^{\lambda}[*I!J!K]$, and the specialization of $U^{(\lambda_0)}$ gives a V-filtration. Hence, we obtain that $\eth_t : \mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{-1|\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{0|\lambda}$ are isomorphisms for generic λ. Because $\mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{-1}$ is strict, we obtain that $\eth_t : \mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{-1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{0}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $\mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{0}$ is strict. Then, by the standard argument, we obtain that $\mathrm{Gr}^{U^{(\lambda_0)}}_{b}$ are strict for any b. Hence, we obtain the claim $\mathcal{M}[*I!J!K]$.

5.4.5 Regular and filtered case

Let L be the filtration as in the condition (A) in Subsection 5.4.1. Let us consider the case that $\mathrm{Gr}^{L}\mathcal{M}$ comes from a tame harmonic bundle. In this case, we obtain the claim of Lemma 5.18 from the following general lemma with an easy induction.

Lemma 5.21 *Let* $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_3 \longrightarrow 0$ *be an exact sequence. They are equipped with monodromic filtrations* $U^{(\lambda_0)}$, *which are preserved by morphisms. Assume the following.*

- $\mathcal{N}_i$ $(i = 1, 3)$ *are strictly specializable with* $U^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{N}_i)$.
- $\mathcal{N}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_3$ *is strict with respect to* $U^{(\lambda_0)}$.

Then, $\mathcal{N}_2$ *is also strictly specializable with* $U^{(\lambda_0)}$.

Proof We have only to show that $\mathcal{N}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_2$ is strict with respect to $U^{(\lambda_0)}$. Because the restriction of $U^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ to $\mathcal{N}_1$ is also monodromic, we obtain $U^{(\lambda_0)}_{b}(\mathcal{N}_1) \supset U^{(\lambda_0)}_{b}(\mathcal{N}_2) \cap \mathcal{N}_1$ by a general result. Thus, we obtain Lemma 5.21. ∎

5.4.6 Good irregular case with unique irregular value

Let $\pi : (X', D') \longrightarrow (X, D)$ be a ramified covering given by $\pi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_1^{e_1}, \dots, z_k^{e_k}, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a meromorphic function on (X', D') such that $\mathfrak{a} = \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\mathfrak{a}_1$ for some holomorphic function $\mathfrak{a}_1$ with $\mathfrak{a}_1(O) \neq 0$ and $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^k_{<0}$. Let $\mathcal{M}'$ be a smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-module satisfying the condition in Subsection 5.4.5. Let us consider $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}' \otimes \pi_*\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a})$. Let $q : X \times \mathbb{C}_t \longrightarrow X$ be the projection. To show Proposition 5.17 in this case, we have only to show the following equality:

$$U_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{(\lambda_0)}\big(i_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}[*I!J \star K]\big) = U_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{(\lambda_0)}\big(i_{g\dagger}\mathcal{M}'[*I!J \star K]\big) \otimes q^*\big(\pi_*\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a})\big) \tag{60}$$

Note that ${}^{K}V_{\boldsymbol{bp}}^{(\lambda_0)}\big(\mathcal{M}[*I!J \star K]\big) = {}^{K}V_{\boldsymbol{bp}}^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M}'[*I!J \star K]) \otimes \pi_*\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a})$. Then, as in [38], it is easy to show (60).

5.4.7 End of Proposition 5.17

By using the formal completion as in [38], we obtain the claims of Lemma 5.18 in the general case. ■

5.5 Smooth good KMS-$\mathcal{R}$-triple

Let X be a complex manifold with a simple normal crossing hypersurface D. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple. For simplicity, we assume that it is non-degenerate in this subsection. It is called good-KMS if the underlying smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules $\mathcal{M}_i$ are good-KMS. We use the other adjectives "good", "unramifiedly good-KMS", "regular good-KMS", etc., in similar meanings. If $\mathcal{M}_i$ are unramifiedl around $P \in D$, we have $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{M}_1, P) = \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{M}_2, P)$ because $\mathcal{T}$ is non-degenerate. It is denoted by $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}, P)$.

5.5.1 Reduction with respect to Stokes structure

Let $X = \Delta^n$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$. We will shrink X around the origin in the following argument. Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$ be an unramifiedly good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple. Let $I \subset \underline{\ell}$. We have the induced good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M}_i)$. Let us observe that we have the induced pairing ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(C)$ of ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M}_i)$.

Let $\pi : \widetilde{X}(D) \longrightarrow X$ be the real blow up. The induced morphism $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \widetilde{X}(D) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$ is also denoted by π. We have the induced pairing $\widetilde{C} : \big(\pi^*\mathcal{M}_1 \times \sigma^*\pi^*\mathcal{M}_2\big)_{\boldsymbol{S}\times\widetilde{X}(D)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty \bmod D}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times\widetilde{X}(D)}$. Let $\lambda_0 \in \boldsymbol{S}$ and $Q \in \pi^{-1}(D)$. We have the full Stokes filtration $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\lambda_0,Q)}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(-\lambda_0,Q)}$) of $\pi^*\mathcal{M}_1$ (resp. $\pi^*\mathcal{M}_2$) at (λ_0, Q) (resp. $(-\lambda_0, Q)$). We obtain the following lemma, by considering the growth order.

Lemma 5.22 *The restriction of $\widetilde{C}$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\lambda_0,Q)}_{\mathfrak{a}} \times \sigma^*\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(-\lambda_0,Q)}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is* 0*, unless* $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{a}/\lambda_0) - \mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{b}/\lambda_0) \leq 0$. ■

Hence, by shrinking X around the origin O, we obtain the pairing

$${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(C) : \Big(\pi^*\big({}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M}_1)\big) \times \sigma^*\pi^*\big({}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M}_2)\big)\Big)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times\widetilde{X}(D)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty \bmod D}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times\widetilde{X}(D)}$$

Hence, we obtain the induced pairing ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(C)$ of ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M}_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. The tuple of ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M}_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ with ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(C)$ is denoted by ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{T})$.

We have the natural grading ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{T}) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T},I)} {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{T})$. We put ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,reg}}(\mathcal{T}) = {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}_{0}(\mathcal{T})$ and ${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,irr}}(\mathcal{T}) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}\neq 0} {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{T})$.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple, which is not necessarily unramified. We take a ramified covering $\varphi : (X', D') \longrightarrow (X, D)$ such that $\varphi^*\mathcal{T}$ is unramified. We have the decomposition

$${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{T}') = {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,reg}}(\mathcal{T}') \oplus {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,irr}}(\mathcal{T}'),$$

on which the Galois group of the ramified covering naturally acts. As the descent, we obtain the decomposition of smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triples:

$${}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{T}) = {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,reg}}(\mathcal{T}) \oplus {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St,irr}}(\mathcal{T})$$

5.5.2 Specialization

Let X and D be as above. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple.

Lemma 5.23 *We have a natural isomorphism* ${}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T}) \simeq {}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_u{}^{i}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{T})$.

Proof We have natural isomorphisms ${}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{M}_j) \simeq {}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_u{}^{i}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{M}_j)$. We have only to compare the induced pairings ${}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_u(C)$ and ${}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_u{}^{i}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(C)$. We have only to compare them in the smooth part of D_i, which was already done in [38]. ∎

Lemma 5.24 *We have a natural isomorphism* ${}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_u{}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq {}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}\,{}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T})$.

Proof By using the previous lemma, we can reduce the issue to the case that $\mathcal{T}$ is regular along D_i. We can construct a natural isomorphism on the real blow up, which induces the desired isomorphism. ∎

Lemma 5.25 *We have a natural isomorphism* ${}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_{u_i}{}^{j}\tilde{\psi}_{u_j}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq {}^{j}\tilde{\psi}_{u_j}{}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_{u_i}(\mathcal{T})$.

Proof By using the previous lemma, we can reduce the issue to the case that $\mathcal{T}$ is regular along $D_i \cup D_j$. We have only to compare ${}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_{u_i}{}^{j}\tilde{\psi}_{u_j}C$ and ${}^{j}\tilde{\psi}_{u_j}{}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_{u_i}C$ on $\mathcal{D}_i^{(\lambda_0)} \cap \mathcal{D}_j^{(\lambda_0)}$ when λ_0 is generic. It can be done by a direct computation. ∎

For any $I \subset \underline{\ell}$ and $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^I$, we obtain ${}^{I}\tilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}) := {}^{i_1}\tilde{\psi}_{u_1} \circ \cdots {}^{i_m}\tilde{\psi}_{u_m}(\mathcal{T})$. It is equipped with nilpotent morphisms $\mathcal{N}_j : {}^{I}\tilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow {}^{I}\tilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ $(j \in I)$. We have a natural isomorphism ${}^{I}\tilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq {}^{I}\tilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}{}^{I}\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{T})$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified, for each $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}, I)$, we also obtain a smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{D_I(*\partial D_I)}$-triple ${}^{I}\tilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}) := {}^{I}\tilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}\big(\mathcal{T} \otimes L(-\tilde{\mathfrak{a}})\big)$. It depends on the choice of a lift $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \in M(X,D)$ of $\mathfrak{a} \in M(X,D)/H(X)$.

5.5.3 Canonical prolongations

Let X be a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface D. Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$ be a smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple. By applying the procedure in Subsection 3.2 inductively, we obtain a uniquely determined pairing $C[*I!J]$ of $\mathcal{M}_1[!I*J]$ and $\mathcal{M}_2[*I!J]$. Thus, we obtain an $\mathcal{R}$-triple

$$\mathcal{T}[*I!J] := \big(\mathcal{M}_1[!I*J],\, \mathcal{M}_2[*I!J],\, C[*I!J]\big).$$

We obtain the following lemma by the property of the canonical prolongments.

Lemma 5.26

- *$\mathcal{T}[*I!J]$ is strictly specializable along z_i, and we have the following:*

$$\mathcal{T}[*I!J][*z_i] \simeq \mathcal{T}[*I_{\cup i}!J_{\setminus i}], \quad \mathcal{T}[*I!J][!z_i] \simeq \mathcal{T}[*I_{\setminus i}!J_{\cup i}].$$

- *The following morphisms are isomorphisms:*

$$\phi^{(0)}_{z_i}\mathcal{T}[*I!J] \longrightarrow \psi^{(1)}_{z_i}\mathcal{T}[*I!J], \quad (i \in I)$$

$$\psi^{(1)}_{z_i}\mathcal{T}[*I!J] \longrightarrow \phi^{(0)}_{z_i}\mathcal{T}[*I!J], \quad (i \in J)$$

- *For $u \in \big(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}\big) \setminus (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\})$, we have a natural isomorphism ${}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_u\big(\mathcal{T}[*I!J]\big) \simeq \big({}^{i}\tilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T})\big)[*I_{\setminus i}!J_{\setminus i}]$.* ∎

5.5.4 Variant of Beilinson functor

Let $X = \Delta^n$, $D_i := \{z_i = 0\}$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} D_i$. We take $K \sqcup J \sqcup I \sqcup A \sqcup B = L \subset \underline{\ell}$. For a function $f : K \sqcup J \longrightarrow \{0,1\}$, we put $K_i(f) := f^{-1}(i) \cap K$ for $i = 0,1$. Let $\mathcal{T}_I$ be a smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{D_I(*\partial D_I)}$-triple. For $\boldsymbol{a} = (\boldsymbol{a}_K, \boldsymbol{a}_J) \in \mathbb{R}^{K \sqcup J}$, we put

$$\mathcal{C}_{f,\boldsymbol{a}}(J,K,\mathcal{T}_I)[*A!B] := \Big(\mathcal{T}_I \otimes \bigotimes_{k \in K_0(f)} \mathbb{I}_{z_k}^{-\infty, a_k+1} \otimes \bigotimes_{k \in J \sqcup K_1(f)} \mathbb{I}_{z_k}^{-\infty, a_k}\Big)\big[!\big(f^{-1}(0) \sqcup B\big) * (K \sqcup J \sqcup A \setminus f^{-1}(0))\big]$$

Let $\mathbf{0}$ and χ_i be functions $K \sqcup J \longrightarrow \{0,1\}$ given by $\mathbf{0}(j) = 0$ for any $j \in K \sqcup J$, and $\chi_i(j) = 1$ $(j = i)$ or $\chi_i(j) = 0$ $(j \neq i)$. Then, let $\Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \psi_J^{(\boldsymbol{a}_J)} \mathcal{T}_I[*A!B]$ be the $\mathcal{R}_X(*D(\underline{\ell} \setminus L))$-triple obtained as the kernel of the following morphism:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{a}}(J,K,\mathcal{T}_I)[*A!B] \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in K \sqcup J} \mathcal{C}_{\chi_i,\boldsymbol{a}}(J,K,\mathcal{T}_I)[*A!B]$$

We can easily observe that $\Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \psi_J^{(\boldsymbol{a}_J)} \mathcal{T}_I[*A!B](*\partial D_{I \sqcup J})$ is smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{D_{I \sqcup J}(*\partial D_{I \sqcup J})}$-triple.

Lemma 5.27 $\Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \psi_J^{(\boldsymbol{a}_J)} \mathcal{T}_I[*A!B]$ *is strict, and strictly specializable along any* z_j $(j \in \underline{\ell})$. *Moreover, for* $j \in \underline{\ell} \setminus (I \sqcup J)$, *we have natural isomorphisms*

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{z_j,u} \Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \psi_J^{(\boldsymbol{a}_J)} \mathcal{T}_I[*A!B] \simeq \Xi_{K \setminus j}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{K \setminus j})} \psi_J^{(\boldsymbol{a}_J)} \widetilde{\psi}_{z_j,u} \mathcal{T}_I[*A_{\setminus j}!B_{\setminus j}] \tag{61}$$

$$\Xi_j^{(a_j)} \Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \psi_J^{(\boldsymbol{a}_J)} \mathcal{T}_I[*A!B] \simeq \Xi_{Kj}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{Kj})} \psi_J^{(\boldsymbol{a}_J)} \mathcal{T}_I[*A_{\setminus j}!B_{\setminus j}] \tag{62}$$

Proof We have only to consider the case $I = J = \emptyset$. We use an induction on $|K|$. If $|K| = 0$, the claim follows from the previous result. We shall show the claim in the case $|K| = m$, by assuming the claim in the case $|K| < m$.

Let $j \in K$. By construction, we have $\Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \mathcal{T}[*A!B](*z_j) \simeq \Xi_{K \setminus j}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{K \setminus j})} \mathcal{T}[*A!B]$. By the assumption of the induction, it is strictly specializable along z_i $(i \in \underline{\ell})$, and we obtain (61) and (62). By (62), $\Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \mathcal{T}[*A!B]$ is strictly specializable along z_j.

Let $j \in \underline{\ell} \setminus K$. Take $k \in K$. Recall that $\Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \mathcal{T}[*A!B]$ is the kernel of the following morphism:

$$\varphi : \Pi_{k!}^{-N, a_k+1} \Xi_{K \setminus k}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{K \setminus k})} \big(\mathcal{T}[*A!B]\big) \longrightarrow \Pi_{k*}^{-N, a_k} \Xi_{K \setminus k}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{K \setminus k})} \big(\mathcal{T}[*A!B]\big)$$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}^j\widetilde{\psi}_u\Big(\Pi_{k!}^{-N, a_k+1} \Xi_{K \setminus k}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{K \setminus k})} \big(\mathcal{T}[*A!B]\big)\Big) \arrow[r, "{}^j\widetilde{\psi}_u(\varphi)"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & {}^j\widetilde{\psi}_u\Big(\Pi_{k*}^{-N, a_k} \Xi_{K \setminus k}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{K \setminus k})} \big(\mathcal{T}[*A!B]\big)\Big) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\Pi_{k!}^{-N, a_k+1} \Xi_{K \setminus k}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{K \setminus k})} \big({}^j\widetilde{\psi}_u \mathcal{T}[*A!B]\big) \arrow[r] & \Pi_{k*}^{-N, a_k} \Xi_{K \setminus k}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{K \setminus k})} \big({}^j\widetilde{\psi}_u \mathcal{T}[*A!B]\big)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, the cokernel of ${}^j\widetilde{\psi}_u(\varphi)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\Xi_{K \setminus k}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{K \setminus k})} {}^k\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{(-N)} {}^j\widetilde{\psi}_u \mathcal{T}[*A!B]$, which is strict by the assumption of the induction. Hence, we obtain that $\Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \mathcal{T}[*A!B]$ is strictly specializable along z_j. If $j \in \underline{\ell} \setminus (I \sqcup J \sqcup K)$, we have the following natural isomorphisms:

$${}^j\widetilde{\psi}_u \Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \big(\mathcal{T}[*A!B]\big) \simeq \Xi_k^{(a_k)} \Xi_{K \setminus k}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{K \setminus k})} {}^j\widetilde{\psi}_u \mathcal{T}[*A!B] \simeq \Xi_K^{(\boldsymbol{a}_K)} \big({}^j\widetilde{\psi}_u \mathcal{T}[*A!B]\big)$$

Thus, we obtain (61). We obtain (62) by construction. Thus, the induction can proceed. ∎

5.5.5 Smoothness and the compatibility of Stokes filtrations

Although a condition is imposed on Hermitian sesqui-linear pairings of smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triples, we do not have to impose the assumption in the good case. Namely, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 5.28 *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple such that the underlying $\mathcal{R}_X(*D)$-modules are smooth and good. Then, $\mathcal{T}$ is smooth.*

Proof We have only to consider the case that the underlying smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are unramifiedly good. We use the notation in Subsection 5.5.1. Let C_0 denote the restriction of C to $\boldsymbol{S} \times (X \setminus D)$. Let $\lambda_0 \in \boldsymbol{S}$ and $Q \in \pi^{-1}(D)$. Let $I(\lambda_0) \subset \boldsymbol{S}$ and $U_Q \subset \widetilde{X}(D)$ be neighbourhoods of λ_0 and Q, respectively. We consider the restriction of C_0 to $\bigl(I(\lambda_0) \times U_Q\bigr) \setminus \pi^{-1}(D)$, denoted by C_Q. We have only to show that the restriction of C_Q to $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\lambda_0,Q)}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes \sigma^*\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(-\lambda_0,Q)}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is 0, unless $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{a}/\lambda) - \mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{b}/\lambda) \leq 0$. By considering the specialization curves, which are transversal with the smooth part of D, we can reduce the issue to the one dimensional case. Then, the claim follows from Lemma 12.6.10 in [38]. ∎

5.6 Good-KMS $\mathcal{R}$-triples

We shall introduce a class of $\mathcal{R}$-triples, called good-KMS $\mathcal{R}$-triples.

5.6.1 A category (Local case)

Let $X = \Delta^n$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$. Let $\boldsymbol{C}(X, D)$ be the category of tuples

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} = \bigl(\mathcal{T}_I,\ (I \subset \underline{\ell});\ f_{I,i}, g_{I,i}\ (I \subset \underline{\ell},\ i \in \underline{\ell} \setminus I)\bigr)$$

- $\mathcal{T}_I$ are smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{D_I(*\partial D_I)}$-triples.
- $f_{I,i}$ and $g_{I,i}$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{R}$-triples

  $$\psi_i^{(1)}\mathcal{T}_I \xrightarrow{g_{I,i}} \mathcal{T}_{Ii} \xrightarrow{f_{I,i}} \psi_i^{(0)}\mathcal{T}_I$$

  such that $f_{I,i} \circ g_{I,i}$ is equal to the canonical morphism $\psi_i^{(1)}\mathcal{T}_I \longrightarrow \psi_i^{(0)}\mathcal{T}_I$. We impose the commutativity of the following diagram for $j, k \in \underline{\ell} \setminus I$ with $j \neq k$:

  $$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_j^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}_{Ik}) \arrow[r, "\psi_j^{(1)}(f_{I,k})"] \arrow[d, "g_{Ik,j}"'] & \psi_k^{(0)}\psi_j^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}_I) \arrow[d, "\psi_k^{(0)}(g_{I,j})"] \\
\mathcal{T}_{Ikj} \arrow[r, "f_{Ij,k}"] & \psi_k^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}_{Ij})
\end{tikzcd} \tag{63}$$

  Here, $Ij = I \cup \{j\}$, $Ik = I \cup \{k\}$ and $Ikj = I \cup \{k, j\}$.

A morphism $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}^{(1)} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}^{(2)}$ in $\boldsymbol{C}_X$ is a tuple of morphisms $F_I : \mathcal{T}_I^{(1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_I^{(2)}$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_i^{(1)}\mathcal{T}_I^{(1)} \arrow[r, "g_{I,i}^{(1)}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_i^{(1)}F_I"'] & \mathcal{T}_{Ii}^{(1)} \arrow[r, "f_{I,i}^{(1)}"] \arrow[d, "F_{Ii}"'] & \psi_i^{(0)}\mathcal{T}_I^{(1)} \arrow[d, "\psi_i^{(0)}F_I"'] \\
\psi_i^{(1)}\mathcal{T}_I^{(2)} \arrow[r, "g_{I,i}^{(2)}"] & \mathcal{T}_{Ii}^{(2)} \arrow[r, "f_{I,i}^{(2)}"] & \psi_i^{(0)}\mathcal{T}_I^{(2)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

For $p \in \underline{\ell}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, we have the functors ${}^p\widetilde{\psi}_u$ and ϕ_p from $\boldsymbol{C}(X, D)$ to $\boldsymbol{C}(D_p, \partial D_p)$, given as follows:

$${}^p\widetilde{\psi}_u(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}) := \Bigl({}^p\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T}_I),\ (I \subset \underline{\ell} \setminus p);\ {}^p\widetilde{\psi}_u(f_{I,j}),\ {}^p\widetilde{\psi}_u(g_{I,j})\ \bigl(I \subset \underline{\ell} \setminus p,\ j \in \underline{\ell} \setminus Ip\bigr)\Bigr)$$

$$\phi_p(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}) := \Bigl(\mathcal{T}_{Ip},\ (I \subset \underline{\ell} \setminus p);\ f_{Ip,j},\ g_{Ip,j}\ \bigl(I \subset \underline{\ell} \setminus p,\ j \in \underline{\ell} \setminus Ip\bigr)\Bigr)$$

5.6.2 A functor to the category of $\mathcal{R}$-triples

We shall construct a fully faithful functor Ψ_X from the category $\boldsymbol{C}(X, D)$ to the category of $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples. Let Γ be the category given by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(0,0) \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[d, "b"'] & (0,1) \arrow[d, "c"'] \\
(1,0) \arrow[r, "d"] & (1,1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, let $\underline{m} = \{1, \ldots, m\}$. For $(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}) \in \Gamma^m$, we put

$$I(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}) := \bigl\{k \in \underline{m} \bigm| (i_k, j_k) = (0,1)\bigr\}, \quad K(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}) := \bigl\{k \in \underline{m} \bigm| (i_k, j_k) = (1,0)\bigr\},$$

$$J_1(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}) := \bigl\{k \in \underline{m} \bigm| (i_k, j_k) = (0,0)\bigr\}, \quad J_0(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}) := \bigl\{k \in \underline{m} \bigm| (i_k, j_k) = (1,1)\bigr\}.$$

For $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \in \boldsymbol{C}(X, D)$, we have an m-cube $\mathcal{Q}^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})$ of $\mathcal{R}_X(*D(\underline{\ell} - \underline{m}))$-triples given as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\underline{m}}\bigl(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, (\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}), \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}}\bigr) = \Xi_{I(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j})}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{I(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j})})} \psi_{J_1(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j})}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{J_1(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j})}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{J_1(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j})})} \psi_{J_0(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j})}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{J_0(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j})})} \bigl(\mathcal{T}_{K(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j})}\bigr)$$

Then, we obtain a complex of $\mathcal{R}_X(*D(\underline{\ell} - \underline{m}))$-triples $\pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})$.

Lemma 5.29

- $\mathcal{H}^p \pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}}) = 0$ *unless* $p \neq 0$.
- $\mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})$ *is strict, and strictly specializable along any* z_i $(i \in \underline{\ell})$.
- *For any* $p \in \underline{\ell} \setminus \underline{m}$ *and* $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, *we have*

  $$^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_{D_p}^{\underline{m}}\bigl({}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}}\bigr)$$

  For any $p \in \underline{m}$ *and* $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, *we have*

  $$^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\underline{m}\setminus p} \mathcal{Q}_{D_p}^{\underline{m}\setminus p}\bigl({}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}\setminus p}\bigr)$$

- *For any* $p \in \underline{m}$, *we have*

  $$\phi_p^{(a_p)} \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\underline{m}\setminus p} \mathcal{Q}_{D_p}^{\underline{m}\setminus p}\bigl(\phi_p^{(a_p)} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}\setminus p}\bigr)$$

- *For any* $p \in \underline{\ell}$, *we have*

  $$\Xi_p^{(a_p)} \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^0 \Xi_p^{(a_p)} \pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})$$

Proof We use an induction on m. If $m = 0$, the claim is clear. We put $\mathcal{T}_m := \mathcal{H}^0 \pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})$. We consider the following complexes:

$$C_{0,0}^{\bullet} := \psi_m^{(a_m+1)} \pi_{\underline{m-1}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m-1}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m-1}}), \quad C_{0,1}^{\bullet} := \Xi_m^{(a_m)} \pi_{\underline{m-1}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m-1}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m-1}}),$$

$$C_{1,0}^{\bullet} := \pi_{\underline{m-1}} \mathcal{Q}_{D_m}^{\underline{m-1}}\bigl(\phi_m^{(a_m)} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m-1}}\bigr), \quad C_{1,1}^{\bullet} := \psi_m^{(a_m)} \pi_{\underline{m-1}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m-1}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m-1}}).$$

The complex $\pi_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{Q}_X^{\underline{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})$ is associated to the following naturally obtained triple complex:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_{0,0}^{\bullet} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C_{0,1}^{\bullet} \arrow[d] \\
C_{1,0}^{\bullet} \arrow[r] & C_{1,1}^{\bullet}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{64}$$

Let us consider the double complex obtained as $\mathcal{H}^0$ of (64).

Lemma 5.30 *The complex associated to the double complex may have non-trivial cohomology only in the degree* 0*, i.e., the first claim holds in the case* m*.*

Proof By the assumption in the case $m-1$, $\mathcal{T}_{m-1}$ is strictly specializable along z_m. We also have $\mathcal{H}^p(C_{i,j})=0$ unless $p\neq 0$, and we have natural isomorphisms $\mathcal{H}^0(C_{0,0})\simeq \psi_m^{(a_m+1)}\mathcal{T}_{m-1}$, $\mathcal{H}^0(C_{0,1})\simeq \Xi_m^{(a_m)}\mathcal{T}_{m-1}$ and $\mathcal{H}^0(C_{1,1})\simeq \psi_m^{(a_m)}\mathcal{T}_{m-1}$. Hence, $\rho_1:\mathcal{H}^0(C^\bullet_{0,0})\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(C^\bullet_{0,1})$ is injective, and $\rho_2:\mathcal{H}^0(C^\bullet_{0,1})\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(C^\bullet_{1,1})$ is surjective. Then the claim of Lemma 5.30 follows. ▮

We have the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(C^\bullet_{1,0})\longrightarrow \Bigl(\mathcal{H}^0(C^\bullet_{1,0})\oplus\mathcal{H}^0(C^\bullet_{0,1})\Bigr)\Big/\mathcal{H}^0(C_{0,0})\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(C^\bullet_{0,1})/\mathcal{H}^0(C_{0,0})\longrightarrow 0 \tag{65}$$

We obtain that the middle term of (65) is strict. Because $\mathcal{T}_m$ is contained in the middle term, $\mathcal{T}_m$ is also strict. Because $\mathcal{T}_m(*z_m)=\mathcal{T}_{m-1}$, we have ${}^{m}\widetilde{\psi}_u\mathcal{T}_m={}^{m}\widetilde{\psi}_u\mathcal{T}_{m-1}$. Hence, we obtain the desired formula for ${}^{m}\widetilde{\psi}_u\mathcal{T}_m$ from the formula for ${}^{m}\widetilde{\psi}_u\mathcal{T}_{m-1}$. We also have $\Xi_m^{(a_m)}\mathcal{T}_m=\Xi_m^{(a_m)}\mathcal{T}_{m-1}$. Because $\Xi_m^{(a_m)}\mathcal{H}^0C_{i,j}=0$ unless $(i,j)\neq(0,1)$, we obtain the desired formula for $\Xi_m^{(a_m)}\mathcal{T}_m$. Moreover, we obtain the formula for $\phi_m^{(a_m)}\mathcal{T}_m$. By exchanging the roles, we obtain that, for $p\leq m$, $\mathcal{T}_m$ is strictly specializable along z_p, and the desired formulas for $\Xi_p^{(a_m)}\mathcal{T}_m$, ${}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\mathcal{T}_m$ and $\phi_p^{(a_m)}\mathcal{T}_m$.

Let $p>m$. We have

$$\begin{gathered}{}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_uC^\bullet_{0,0}=\psi_m^{(a_m+1)}\pi_{\underline{m-1}}\mathcal{Q}^{m-1}_{D_p}({}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m-1}}),\quad {}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_uC^\bullet_{0,1}=\Xi_m^{(a_m)}\pi_{\underline{m-1}}\mathcal{Q}^{m-1}_{D_p}({}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m-1}}),\\ {}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_uC^\bullet_{1,0}=\pi_{\underline{m-1}}\mathcal{Q}^{m-1}_{D_p}\bigl(\phi_m^{(a_m)}{}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m-1}}\bigr),\quad {}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_uC^\bullet_{1,1}=\psi_m^{(a_m)}\pi_{\underline{m-1}}\mathcal{Q}^{m-1}_{D_p}({}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m-1}}).\end{gathered}$$

The complex ${}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\pi_{\underline{m}}\mathcal{Q}^{m}_{X}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})$ is associated to the triple complex:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_uC^\bullet_{0,0} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_uC^\bullet_{0,1} \arrow[d] \\
{}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_uC^\bullet_{1,0} \arrow[r] & {}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_uC^\bullet_{1,1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, by using the previous result, we obtain that ${}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\pi_{\underline{m}}\mathcal{Q}^{m}_{X}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})$ may have non-trivial cohomology only in the degree 0, and the 0-th cohomology sheaf is strict. It implies that the morphisms in the complex $\pi_{\underline{m}}\mathcal{Q}^{m}_{X}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})$ is strict with respect to the V-filtration along z_p. Therefore, we obtain that $\mathcal{T}_m$ is strictly specializable along p, and we obtain the formula for $\Xi_p^{(a_p)}\mathcal{T}_m$. We also have the following natural isomorphisms for ${}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}\mathcal{T}_m$:

$${}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\mathcal{T}_m\simeq\mathcal{H}^0\,{}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\pi_{\underline{m}}\mathcal{Q}^{m}_{X}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})\simeq\mathcal{H}^0\pi_{\underline{m}}\mathcal{Q}^{m}_{D_p}({}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\boldsymbol{a}_{\underline{m}})$$

Thus, the induction can proceed, and the proof of Lemma 5.29 is finished. ▮

Thus, we obtain the functor $\Psi_X:=\mathcal{H}^0\pi_{\underline{\ell}}\mathcal{Q}^{\ell}_{X}$ from the category $\boldsymbol{C}(X,D)$ to the category of $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples. By Lemma 5.29, we have the following natural isomorphisms:

$${}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})\simeq\Psi_{D_p}({}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}),\quad \phi_p^{(a)}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})\simeq\Psi_{D_p}(\phi_p^{(a)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}).$$

We have the following natural isomorphisms for $p\neq q$:

$${}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u{}^{q}\widetilde{\psi}_u\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})\simeq{}^{q}\widetilde{\psi}_u{}^{p}\widetilde{\psi}_u\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}),\quad \phi_p^{(a_p)}\phi_q^{(a_q)}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})\simeq\phi_q^{(a_q)}\phi_p^{(a_p)}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}).$$

We denote $\phi_{i_1}^{(a_{i_1})}\circ\cdots\circ\phi_{i_m}^{(a_{i_m})}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})$ by $\phi_I^{(\boldsymbol{a})}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})$.

Lemma 5.31 *The functor* Ψ_X *is fully faithful.*

Proof We use an induction on $\dim X$. Let $\boldsymbol{F}=(F_I\,|\,I\subset\underline{\ell}):\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_1\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_2$ be a morphism in $\boldsymbol{C}_X$ such that $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{F})=0$. Then, we have $F_\emptyset=0$. For each $p\in\underline{\ell}$, we have $\phi_p(\boldsymbol{F})=0$. It implies $F_{Ip}=0$ for any $I\subset\underline{\ell}\setminus p$ by the assumption of the induction. Hence, we obtain $\boldsymbol{F}=0$.

Let $G:\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_1)\longrightarrow\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_2)$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{R}$-triples. We have the induced morphism $G_\emptyset:\mathcal{T}_{1,\emptyset}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_{2,\emptyset}$. By applying ϕ_I, we obtain $G_I:\mathcal{T}_{1,I}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_{2,I}$. By using an induction, we can show that $\Psi_X\bigl((G_I)\bigr)=G$. ▮

5.6.3 Dependence on the coordinate system

The category $\boldsymbol{C}(X,D)$ and the functor Ψ_X depend on the coordinate system $\boldsymbol{z}=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$. To emphasize it, we use the symbols $\boldsymbol{C}(X,D,\boldsymbol{z})$ and $\Psi_{X,\boldsymbol{z}}$. We shall study their dependence on $\boldsymbol{z}$.

Let $w_i := e^{\varphi_i}\cdot z_i$, where φ_i are holomorphic functions on X. We obtain another coordinate system $\boldsymbol{w}=(w_1,\ldots,w_n)$. We obtain a category $\boldsymbol{C}(X,D,\boldsymbol{w})$ and a functor $\Psi_{X,\boldsymbol{w}}$.

Lemma 5.32 *We have an equivalence* $\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}:\boldsymbol{C}(X,D,\boldsymbol{z})\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{C}(X,D,\boldsymbol{w})$ *such that* $\Psi_{X,\boldsymbol{z}}\simeq\Psi_{X,\boldsymbol{w}}\circ\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$.

Proof Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}\in\boldsymbol{C}(X,D,\boldsymbol{z})$. For each $I\subset\underline{\ell}$, $\mathcal{T}_I$ is equipped with a tuple $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_I=(\mathcal{N}_i\,|\,i\in i)$ of morphisms $\mathcal{N}_i:\mathcal{T}_I\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_I\otimes\mathbb{T}(-1)$ induced as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_I\xrightarrow{f_{I\setminus i,i}}\psi^{(0)}\mathcal{T}_{I\setminus i}\simeq\psi^{(1)}\mathcal{T}_{I\setminus i}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\xrightarrow{g_{I\setminus i,i}}\mathcal{T}_I\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_I:=(\varphi_i\,|\,i\in I)$. We put $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I:=\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_I}(\mathcal{T}_I,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_I)$. We have natural isomorphisms

$$\psi^{(a)}_{w_i}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{I\setminus i})\simeq\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_I}\psi^{(a)}_{z_i}(\mathcal{T}_{I\setminus i}).$$

Hence, we have the following naturally induced morphisms:

$$\psi^{(1)}_{w_i}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I)\xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}_{I,i}}\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{Ii}\xrightarrow{\widetilde{g}_{I,i}}\psi^{(0)}_{w_i}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I)$$

They satisfy the commutativity induced by (63). We put $\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}):=\bigl(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I\,;\,\widetilde{f}_{I,i},\widetilde{g}_{I,i}\bigr)$, and thus we obtain the functor $\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}:\boldsymbol{C}(X,D,\boldsymbol{z})\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{C}(X,D,\boldsymbol{w})$. By construction, we have $\Psi_{X,\boldsymbol{w}}\circ\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})\simeq\mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\Psi_{X,\boldsymbol{z}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})$. By using Lemma 4.17 inductively, we obtain that it is naturally isomorphic to $\Psi_{X,\boldsymbol{z}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})$. ▮

5.6.4 Category of good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples (Global case)

Let X be a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface D. We have the full subcategory $\boldsymbol{C}'(X,D)$ of the $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples on X, whose objects $\mathcal{T}$ have the following property:

- For any $P\in D$, we take a small coordinate neighbourhood $(X_P;z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ such that $D_P:=X_P\cap D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i=0\}$. Then, $\mathcal{T}_{|X_P}$ is isomorphic to $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})$ for some $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}\in\boldsymbol{C}(X_P,D_P)$.

This condition makes sense due to Lemma 5.32.

Part II
Mixed twistor D-module

6 Preliminary for relative monodromy filtration

6.1 Relative monodromy filtration

We recall some basic facts on relative monodromy filtrations, by following [22], [55], and especially [50].

6.1.1 Definition and fundamental properties

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with additive auto equivalences $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\bigl(\Sigma^{p,q}\,\big|\,p,q\in\mathbb{Z}\bigr)$ such that $\Sigma^{p,q}\circ\Sigma^{r,s}=\Sigma^{p+r,q+s}$. Let $C\in\mathcal{A}$. Let L be a finite increasing exhaustive complete filtration of C in $\mathcal{A}$ indexed by $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., L is a tuple of subobjects $\bigl(L_k(C)\subset C\,\big|\,k\in\mathbb{Z}\bigr)$ such that (i) $L_k(C)\subset L_{k+1}(C)$, (ii) $L_k(C)=C$ for any sufficiently large k, (iii) $L_k(C)=0$ for any sufficiently small k. Such a pair (C,L) is called a filtered object in $\mathcal{A}$. A morphism of filtered objects $F:\bigl(C,L(C)\bigr)\longrightarrow\bigl(C',L(C')\bigr)$ is defined to be a morphism $F:C\longrightarrow C'$ preserving L, i.e., $F\cdot L_k(C)\subset L_k(C')$. The category of filtered objects in $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$. It is an additive category. Let $(C,L)\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$. We consider two naturally induced filtrations $L^{(i)}$ $(i=1,2)$ on $\Sigma^{p,q}C$ given by

$L_k^{(1)}\Sigma^{p,q}C=\Sigma^{p,q}(L_kC)$ and $L_k^{(2)}\Sigma^{p,q}C=\Sigma^{p,q}(L_{k+p+q}C)$. The object $(\Sigma^{p,q}C,L^{(1)})$ is denoted by $(\Sigma^{p,q}C,L)$, and $(\Sigma^{p,q}C,L^{(2)})$ is denoted by $\Sigma^{p,q}(C,L)$.

For $(C,L)\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$, put $\mathrm{Gr}_k^L(C):=L_k(C)/L_{k-1}(C)\in\mathcal{A}$. We have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}_k^L\Sigma^{p,q}C\simeq\Sigma^{p,q}\mathrm{Gr}_k^L C$. If C is equipped with a filtration W, $\mathrm{Gr}_k^L(C)$ has the filtration given by $W_m\mathrm{Gr}_k^L(C):=\mathrm{Im}\bigl(W_m\cap L_k(C)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}_k^L(C)\bigr)$.

We put $\boldsymbol{T}:=\Sigma^{1,1}$. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{nil}}$ be the category of objects $C\in\mathcal{A}$ equipped with a nilpotent endomorphism $N:C\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}C$. A morphism $F:(C,N)\longrightarrow(C',N')$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{nil}}$ is a morphism $F:C\longrightarrow C'$ such that $F\circ N=N'\circ F$. The category $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{nil}}$ is abelian. We set $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}:=(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{nil}})^{\mathrm{fil}}$. For $(C,L,N)\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$, let $\mathrm{Gr}_k^L(N)$ denote the induced nilpotent endomorphism of $\mathrm{Gr}_k^L(C)$.

Let us recall the notion of relative monodromy filtration. (See [22], [50], [55].)

Definition 6.1 *Let $(C,L,N)\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$. A filtration W of C in $\mathcal{A}$ is called a relative monodromy filtration of N with respect to L, if the following holds:*

- *$N\cdot W_i(C)\subset\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}W_{i-2}(C)$, i.e., N induces $(C,W,L)\longrightarrow\bigl(\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}(C,W),L\bigr)$.*
- *The induced morphisms $\mathrm{Gr}^W\mathrm{Gr}^L(N)^i:\mathrm{Gr}_{k+i}^W\mathrm{Gr}_k^L(C)\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{T}^{-i}\mathrm{Gr}_{k-i}^W\mathrm{Gr}_k^L(C)$ are isomorphisms.*

It is often denoted by $M(N;L)$ in this paper. In this situation, we say that (C,L,N) has a relative monodromy filtration. ∎

According to Section 1.1 of [50] (see also [55]), a relative monodromy filtration is uniquely determined by Deligne's inductive formula, if it exists:

$$W_{-i+k}L_kC=W_{-i+k}L_{k-1}C+N^i\boldsymbol{T}^i\bigl(W_{i+k}L_kC\bigr)\qquad(i>0)\tag{66}$$

$$W_{i+k}L_kC=\mathrm{Ker}\Bigl(N^{i+1}:L_kC\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{T}^{-i}\bigl(L_kC/W_{-i-2+k}L_kC\bigr)\Bigr)\qquad(i\geq 0)\tag{67}$$

We should remark that in general, a relative monodromy filtration does not exist. If there exists a $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathrm{Gr}_m^L=0$ unless $m=k$, then a relative monodromy filtration is the weight filtration of the nilpotent morphism, and it always exists. By Deligne's inductive formula, relative monodromy filtrations are functorial in the following sense.

Lemma 6.2 *Assume that $(C^{(i)},L,N^{(i)})\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$ $(i=1,2)$ have relative monodromy filtrations $M(N^{(i)};L)$. Let $F:(C^{(1)},L,N^{(1)})\longrightarrow(C^{(2)},L,N^{(2)})$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$. Then, $F\cdot M_k(N^{(1)};L)\subset M_k(N^{(2)};L)$.* ∎

Let $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$, whose objects have relative monodromy filtrations. According to Lemma 6.2, the correspondence $(C,L,N)\longmapsto(C,M(N;L))$ gives a functor $\Phi_1:\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$. We have $\Phi_1\circ\Sigma^{p,q}(C,L,N)=\Sigma^{p,q}(C,M(N;L))$.

6.1.2 Canonical decomposition

Let us recall the notion of canonical decomposition due to Kashiwara [22], with a generalization in [50], which is one of the most fundamental in the study of relative monodromy filtrations. Let $(C,L,N)\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$. Let M denote the relative monodromy filtration for (C,L,N). We put $C^{(0)}:=\mathrm{Gr}^M(C)$. It is equipped with a filtration induced by L, which is also denoted by L. Then, there exists a canonical splitting of the induced filtration $L(C^{(0)})$ in $\mathcal{A}$:

$$C^{(0)}=\bigoplus C_i^{(0)},\quad\text{such that }L_jC^{(0)}=\bigoplus_{i\leq j}C_i^{(0)}\tag{68}$$

(See [22] and [50] for the explicit construction of the splitting.) In particular, we have $C_i^{(0)}\simeq\mathrm{Gr}_i^L\mathrm{Gr}^M(C)\simeq\mathrm{Gr}^M\mathrm{Gr}_i^L(C)$ in $\mathcal{A}$. It is functorial in the following sense, which is clear by the construction in [22] and [50].

Lemma 6.3 *Let $F:(C^{(1)},L,N)\longrightarrow(C^{(2)},L,N)$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$. Let $M=M(N;L)$ on $C^{(i)}$. Then, the induced morphism $\mathrm{Gr}^M(F):\mathrm{Gr}^M(C^{(1)})\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}^M(C^{(2)})$ preserves the canonical splittings (68).* ∎

6.1.3 A criterion

Let us recall a condition for the existence of relative monodromy filtration in [55]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. Let $(C,L,N)\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{nil,fil}}$ such that (i) $L_k(C)=L_{k'}(C)$ for any $k'\geq k$, (ii) $(L_{k-1}(C),L,N)\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$. Let $M\bigl(L_{k-1}(C)\bigr)$ denote the relative monodromy filtration of $(L_{k-1}(C),L,N)$. We have a naturally defined morphism

$$\mathrm{Ker}\bigl(N^{\ell}:\boldsymbol{T}^{\ell}\,\mathrm{Gr}_k^L\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}_k^L\bigr)\longrightarrow\frac{L_{k-1}}{N^{\ell}\boldsymbol{T}^{\ell}L_{k-1}+M_{k-\ell-1}(L_{k-1}(C))}\tag{69}$$

Proposition 6.4 ([55], see also [50]) *We have $(C,L,N)\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ if and only if the morphisms (69) vanish for all integers $\ell>0$.* ▮

6.1.4 Functoriality for tensor product and dual

Let us consider the case that $\mathcal{A}$ is the category Vect_K of finite dimensional vector spaces over a ground field K, and $\Sigma^{p,q}=\mathrm{id}$. Recall that we have tensor product and inner homomorphism in the category $\mathrm{Vect}_K^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$, given in the standard manner.

Let $(V^{(i)},L,N^{(i)})\in\mathrm{Vect}_K^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$ $(i=1,2)$. The tensor product $V^{(1)}\otimes V^{(2)}$ has the induced endomorphism $N_{V^{(1)}\otimes V^{(2)}}:=N^{(1)}\otimes 1+1\otimes N^{(2)}$, and the filtration of $V^{(1)}\otimes V^{(2)}$ given by $L_k(V^{(1)}\otimes V^{(2)})=\sum_{p+q\leq k}L_p(V^{(1)})\otimes L_q(V^{(2)})$. The tuple $(V^{(1)}\otimes V^{(2)},L,N)$ is also denoted by $(V^{(1)},L,N^{(1)})\otimes(V^{(2)},L,N^{(2)})$. The space $\mathrm{Hom}(V^{(1)},V^{(2)})$ has the induced endomorphism $N_{\mathrm{Hom}(V^{(1)},V^{(2)})}(f):=N^{(2)}\circ f-f\circ N^{(1)}$ and the filtration L given by

$$L_k\,\mathrm{Hom}(V^{(1)},V^{(2)}):=\bigl\{f\in\mathrm{Hom}(V^{(1)},V^{(2)})\,\big|\,f(L_jV^{(1)})\subset L_{j+k}V^{(2)}\ \forall j\bigr\}.$$

The tuple $\bigl(\mathrm{Hom}(V^{(1)},V^{(2)}),L,N\bigr)$ is also denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}\bigl((V^{(1)},L,N^{(1)}),\,(V^{(2)},L,N^{(2)})\bigr)$. The following proposition is due to Deligne, Steenbrink and Zucker [55].

Proposition 6.5 ([55]) $\mathrm{Vect}_K^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ *is closed under tensor product and inner homomorphism in* $\mathrm{Vect}_K^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$*. Moreover, the relative monodromy filtrations for*

$$(V^{(1)},L,N^{(1)})\otimes(V^{(2)},L,N^{(2)})\quad\text{and}\quad\mathrm{Hom}\bigl((V^{(1)},L,N^{(1)}),(V^{(2)},L,N^{(2)})\bigr)$$

are naturally induced by $M(N^{(i)};L)$ $(i=1,2)$. ▮

In particular, if $(V,L,N)\in\mathrm{Vect}_K^{\mathrm{RMF}}$, its dual is also an object in $\mathrm{Vect}_K^{\mathrm{RMF}}$, and the relative monodromy filtration is naturally induced by $M(N;L)$.

6.2 Transfer of filtrations

In some cases, relative monodromy filtration is inherited. A fundamental general result is due to M Saito [50], which we will review in Subsection 6.2.3.

6.2.1 Gluing data

We introduce some terminology for our argument.

Definition 6.6 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a category with an auto equivalences $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. A tuple $(C,C';u,v)$ of morphisms*

$$\Sigma^{1,0}C\xrightarrow{\ u\ }C'\xrightarrow{\ v\ }\Sigma^{0,-1}C$$

is called a gluing datum in $(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. Morphism of gluing datum $(C_1,C_1';u_1,v_1)\longrightarrow(C_2,C_2';u_2,v_2)$ is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma^{1,0}C_1 \arrow[r, "u_1"] \arrow[d, "F"'] & C_1' \arrow[r, "v_1"] \arrow[d, "F'"'] & \Sigma^{0,-1}C_1 \arrow[d, "F"'] \\
\Sigma^{1,0}C_2 \arrow[r, "u_2"] & C_2' \arrow[r, "v_2"] & \Sigma^{0,-1}C_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

The category of gluing data in $(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. ▮

An object of $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A},\mathbf{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$ is often denoted by $(C,C';u,v;L)$, which means an object $(C,C';u,v)$ with a filtration L in $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A},\mathbf{\Sigma})$.

Definition 6.7 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with an additive auto equivalence.*

- *$(C,C';u,v)\in\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A},\mathbf{\Sigma})$ is called S-decomposable, if $C'=\mathrm{Im}\,u\oplus\mathrm{Ker}\,v$.*
- *$(C,C';u,v;L)\in\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A},\mathbf{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$ is called filtered S-decomposable, if $\mathrm{Gr}^L(C,C';u,v)$ is S-decomposable.* ▮

When we say that $(C,C';u,v;L)$ is filtered S-decomposable for given $(C,L),(C',L)\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$ with morphisms $u:\Sigma^{1,0}C\longrightarrow C'$ and $v:C'\longrightarrow\Sigma^{0,-1}C$, we implicitly imply that u and v preserve the filtration L, i.e., $u:(\Sigma^{1,0}C,L)\longrightarrow(C',L)$ and $v:(C',L)\longrightarrow(\Sigma^{0,-1}C,L)$. We remark that this kind of condition appeared in the study on mixed Hodge structure in [22] and [50]. The terminology "S-decomposable" is taken from [45].

Let $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}},\mathbf{\Sigma})$ denote the category of gluing data in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$, where the action of $\Sigma^{p,q}$ on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$ is given by $(C,L)\longmapsto\Sigma^{p,q}(C,L)$. An object of $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}},\mathbf{\Sigma})$ is often denoted by $(C,C',\widetilde{L};u,v)$, i.e., a pair of objects $(C,\widetilde{L})$ and $(C',\widetilde{L})$ with morphisms in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$:

$$\Sigma^{1,0}(C,\widetilde{L})\xrightarrow{u}(C',\widetilde{L})\xrightarrow{v}\Sigma^{0,-1}(C,\widetilde{L})$$

A morphism $(C_1,C_1',\widetilde{L};u_1,v_1)\longrightarrow(C_2,C_2',\widetilde{L};u_2,v_2)$ in $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}},\mathbf{\Sigma})$ is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma^{1,0}(C_1,\widetilde{L}) \arrow[r, "u_1"] \arrow[d] & (C_1',\widetilde{L}) \arrow[r, "v_1"] \arrow[d] & \Sigma^{0,-1}(C_1,\widetilde{L}) \arrow[d] \\
\Sigma^{1,0}(C_2,\widetilde{L}) \arrow[r, "u_2"] & (C_2',\widetilde{L}) \arrow[r, "v_2"] & \Sigma^{0,-1}(C_2,\widetilde{L})
\end{tikzcd}$$

6.2.2 Inheritance of relative monodromy filtration

Let us recall a lemma due to Kashiwara. Let $(C,C';u,v;L)\in\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A},\mathbf{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$. We put $N:=v\circ u$ and $N':=u\circ v$.

Proposition 6.8 ([22], [50]) *Assume that (i) $(C,C';u,v;L)$ is filtered S-decomposable, (ii) N' is nilpotent, and $(C',L,N')\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$. Then, we also have $(C,L,N)\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$. Moreover, u and v give the following morphisms in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$:*

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\big(C,M(N;L)\big)\xrightarrow{u}\big(C',M(N';L)\big)\xrightarrow{v}\Sigma^{0,-1}\big(C,M(N;L)\big)$$

Namely, we have $u\cdot M_k(N;LC)\subset M_{k-1}(N';LC')$ and $v\cdot M_k(N';LC')\subset M_{k-1}(N;LC)$.

Proof We give only a remark. In [22], the case $\Sigma^{p,q}=\mathrm{id}$ is shown. The key is Lemma 3.32 in [22], which was generalized in Corollary 1.7 [50]. Then, we can deduce the claim in the general case by using the argument in [22]. ▮

We have an obvious reformulation. Let $\mathcal{C}_1$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A},\mathbf{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$ whose objects $(C,C';u,v;L)$ are filtered S-decomposable and satisfy the following:

- We put $N:=v\circ u$ and $N':=u\circ v$, and then (C,L,N) and (C',L,N') are objects in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$.
- We put $\widetilde{L}(C):=M\big(N;L(C)\big)$ and $\widetilde{L}(C'):=M\big(N';L(C')\big)$, and then $(C,C',\widetilde{L};u,v)\in\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}},\mathbf{\Sigma})$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_2$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A},\mathbf{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$ whose objects $(C,C';u,v;L)$ are filtered S-decomposable and satisfy the following:

- We put $N':=u\circ v$, and then (C',L,N') is an object in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$.

According to Proposition 6.8, we have $\mathcal{C}_1=\mathcal{C}_2$.

6.2.3 Transfer of filtration

We shall recall a fundamental result due to Saito in [50]. Let $(C, C'; u, v) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A})$. We set $N := v \circ u$ and $N' := u \circ v$. Assume that C and C' are equipped with filtrations L and $\widetilde{L}$ such that $(C, C'; u, v; L) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$ and $(C, C', \widetilde{L}; u, v) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, i.e., u and v give the following morphisms in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$:

$$(\Sigma^{1,0}C, L) \xrightarrow{\ u\ } (C', L) \xrightarrow{\ v\ } (\Sigma^{0,-1}C, L) \tag{70}$$

$$\Sigma^{1,0}(C, \widetilde{L}) \xrightarrow{\ u\ } (C', \widetilde{L}) \xrightarrow{\ v\ } \Sigma^{0,-1}(C, \widetilde{L}) \tag{71}$$

Proposition 6.9 (Corollary 1.9 of [50]) *Assume that $(C, L, N) \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ and $\widetilde{L}(C) = M(N; LC)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(A1) *$(C, C'; u, v; L)$ is filtered S-decomposable, and we have $(C', L, N') \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ and $M(N'; LC') = \widetilde{L}(C')$.*

(A2) *$L_kC' = u\big(\Sigma^{1,0}L_kC\big) + \Big(v^{-1}(\Sigma^{0,-1}L_kC) \cap \widetilde{L}_kC'\Big)$ for each k.*

(A2') *$L_kC' = v^{-1}\big(\Sigma^{0,-1}L_kC\big) \cap \Big(u(\Sigma^{1,0}L_kC) + \widetilde{L}_kC'\Big)$ for each k.* ∎

We have some immediate consequences of Proposition 6.9. Let $(C, C', \widetilde{L}; u, v) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$. Let $N := v \circ u$ and $N' := u \circ v$. Note that they are nilpotent. Let $L(C)$ be a filtration of C such that $(C, L, N) \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ and $\widetilde{L}(C) = M\big(N; L(C)\big)$.

Corollary 6.10 *There uniquely exists a filtration $L(C')$ of C' such that (i) $(C, C'; u, v; L) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$ is filtered S-decomposable, (ii) $M\big(N'; L(C')\big) = \widetilde{L}(C')$.*

Proof The filtration $L(C')$ is given by Saito's formula in Proposition 6.9. ∎

Definition 6.11 *The filtration $L(C')$ in Corollary 6.10 is called the transfer of $L(C)$ by (u, v) in this paper.* ∎

By Proposition 6.9, the transfer is functorial, which can be reformulated as follows. Let $\mathcal{C}_3$ be the category of objects $\big((C, C', \widetilde{L}; u, v), L(C)\big)$, where (i) $(C, C', \widetilde{L}; u, v) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, (ii) $L(C)$ is an exhaustive increasing filtration of C in $\mathcal{A}$, satisfying the following:

- We put $N := v \circ u$, and then $(C, L, N) \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ and $\widetilde{L}(C) = M\big(N; L(C)\big)$.

A morphism $\big((C_1, C_1', \widetilde{L}; u_1, v_1), L(C_1)\big) \longrightarrow \big((C_2, C_2', \widetilde{L}; u_2, v_2), L(C_2)\big)$ in $\mathcal{C}_3$ is a pair (F, F') of morphisms

$$F : C_1 \longrightarrow C_2, \qquad F' : C_1' \longrightarrow C_2'$$

such that (i) (F, F') gives a morphism $(C_1, C_1', \widetilde{L}; u_1, v_1) \longrightarrow (C_2, C_2', \widetilde{L}; u_2, v_2)$ in $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, (ii) F gives a morphism $\big(C_1, L(C_1)\big) \longrightarrow \big(C_2, L(C_2)\big)$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_1$ be the category considered in Subsection 6.2.2. We have the functor $\Psi : \mathcal{C}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_3$ given by

$$\Psi\big(C, C'; u, v; L\big) = \big((C, C', \widetilde{L}; u, v), L(C)\big),$$

where $\widetilde{L}(C) := M\big(N; L(C)\big)$ and $\widetilde{L}(C') := M\big(N; L(C')\big)$.

Corollary 6.12 *The functor Ψ is an equivalence.*

Proof Essential surjectivity is already stated in Corollary 6.10. The functor is clearly faithful. It is full by Saito's formula in Proposition 6.9. ∎

6.2.4 Special case

As remarked in [50], Proposition 6.9 can be regarded as a generalization of the filtrations introduced in [22] and [55], which we recall here.

Let $(C_1, L, N) \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$, and let $W := M(N; L)$. We have the induced filtration $N_* L$ on C_1, obtained as the transfer in the following case:

$$(C, \widetilde{L}) = \Sigma^{0,1}(C_1, W), \quad (C', \widetilde{L}) = (C_1, W), \quad u = N, \quad v = \mathrm{id}\,.$$

We also have the filtration $N_! L$ on C_1 obtained as the transfer in the following case:

$$(C, \widetilde{L}) = \Sigma^{-1,0}(C_1, W), \quad (C', \widetilde{L}) = (C_1, W), \quad u = \mathrm{id}, \quad v = N.$$

Indeed, the explicit formulas are given in [22] and [55] (we omit $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$):

$$(N_* L)_k = N L_{k+1} + M_k(N; L) \cap L_k = N L_{k+1} + M_k(N; L) \cap L_{k+1}$$

$$(N_! L)_k = L_{k-1} + M_k(N; L) \cap N^{-1} L_{k-1} = L_{k-1} + M_k(N; L) \cap N^{-1} L_{k-2}$$

The identity of (C_1, W) naturally induces $(C_1, N_* L) \longrightarrow (C_1, N_! L)$, which follows from the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{T}(C_1, W) \arrow[r, "N"] \arrow[d, "N"'] & (C_1, W) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] & (C_1, W) \arrow[d, "N"'] \\
(C_1, W) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & (C_1, W) \arrow[r, "N"] & \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}(C_1, W)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The morphism $N : (C_1, W) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}(C_1, W)$ induces $(C_1, N_! L) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}(C_1, N_* L)$, which follows from the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(C_1, W) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] & (C_1, W) \arrow[r, "N"] \arrow[d, "N"'] & \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}(C_1, W) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] \\
(C_1, W) \arrow[r, "N"] & \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}(C_1, W) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}(C_1, W)
\end{tikzcd}$$

6.2.5 Dual and tensor product

Let us consider the case that $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of finite dimensional vector spaces with $\Sigma^{p,q} = \mathrm{id}$. We have the functoriality of transfer for dual. Let $(C, C'; u, v; L) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$. Then, we have the induced morphisms of dual filtered vector spaces

$$(C, L)^\vee \xrightarrow{v^\vee} (C', L)^\vee \xrightarrow{u^\vee} (C, L)^\vee.$$

We set $(C, C'; u, v; L)^\vee := \big(C^\vee, (C')^\vee; v^\vee, u^\vee; L\big) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$. If $(C, C'; u, v; L)$ is a filtered S-decomposable, $(C, C'; u, v; L)^\vee$ is also filtered S-decomposable. By the functoriality of relative monodromy filtration with respect to dual, the correspondence induces contravariant functors $\vee_i$ on the category $\mathcal{C}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

For an object $(C, C', W; u, v) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, we have its dual $(C, C', W; u, v)^\vee := \big(C^\vee, (C')^\vee, W; v^\vee, u^\vee\big) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. If $(C, C', W; u, v)$ is equipped with a filtration L of C, $(C, C', W; u, v)^\vee$ is also equipped with an induced filtration L of $C^\vee$. By the functoriality of relative monodromy filtration with respect to dual, the correspondence of objects $\big((C, C', W; u, v), L(C)\big)$ and $\big((C, C', W; u, v)^\vee, L(C^\vee)\big)$ gives a contravariant functor $\vee_3$ on $\mathcal{C}_3$. The following lemma is clear by construction.

Lemma 6.13 *We have $\Psi \circ \vee_1 = \vee_3 \circ \Psi$ as functors from $\mathcal{C}_1$ to $\mathcal{C}_3$.* ▮

Let us reword the claim of the lemma. Let $\big((C, C', W; u, v), L(C)\big) \in \mathcal{C}_3$. We have a filtration $L(C')$ obtained as the transfer of $L(C)$ by (u, v). We have $\big((C, C', W; u, v)^\vee, L(C^\vee)\big) \in \mathcal{C}_3$ and we obtain a filtration $L(C'^\vee)$ obtained as the transfer of $L(C^\vee)$. Then, according to Lemma 6.13, $L(C'^\vee)$ is the same as the filtration obtained as the dual of $L(C')$.

Remark 6.14 *Let $(C, L, N) \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$. Let $N_! L$ and $N_* L$ be the filtrations in Subsection* 6.2.4. *Then, as remarked in* [22], *$N_! L(C^\vee)$ is obtained as the dual of $N_* L(C)$, i.e., we have $(N_! L)_k(C^\vee) = (N_* L)_{-k-1}(C)^\perp$. It also follows from the above functoriality of transfer with respect to dual.* ▮

We consider a functoriality for tensor product. Let $(U, L) \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$. For $(C, C', L; u, v) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$, we have the naturally induced object $(C, C', L; u, v) \otimes U \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$, where the filtrations of $C \otimes U$ and $C' \otimes U$ are induced by L. If $(C, C', L; u, v)$ is filtered S-decomposable, $(C, C', L; u, v) \otimes U$ is also filtered S-decomposable. By the functoriality of relative monodromy filtration with respect to tensor product, it induces functors $\otimes U$ on $\mathcal{C}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

For $(C, C', W; u, v) \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, we have the naturally induced object $(C, C', W; u, v) \otimes U \in \mathrm{Glu}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, where the filtrations of $C \otimes U$ and $C' \otimes U$ are induced by $W(C)$, $W(C')$ and $L(U)$. If $(C, C', W; u, v)$ is equipped with a filtration L of C, then$(C, C', W; u, v) \otimes U$ is equipped with the filtration L of $C \otimes U$ induced by the filtrations L of C and U. By the functoriality of relative monodromy filtrations, the correspondence of $\bigl((C, C', W; u, v), L(C)\bigr)$ and $\bigl((C, C', W; u, v) \otimes U, L(C \otimes U)\bigr)$ induces a functor $\otimes U$ on $\mathcal{C}_3$. The following lemma is clear by construction.

Lemma 6.15 *We have $\Psi \circ (\otimes U) = (\otimes U) \circ \Psi$.* ▮

Let us reword the claim of the lemma. Let $(U, L) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fil}}$. Let $\bigl((C, C', W; u, v), L(C)\bigr) \in \mathcal{C}_3$. We have a filtration $L(C')$ obtained as the transfer of $L(C)$ by (u, v). We have $\bigl((C, C', W; u, v) \otimes U, L(C \otimes U)\bigr) \in \mathcal{C}_3$, and we obtain a filtration $L(C' \otimes U)$ obtained as the transfer of $L(C \otimes U)$. Then, according to Lemma 6.15, $L(C' \otimes U)$ is the same as the filtration induced by the filtrations $L(C')$ and $L(U)$.

6.3 Pure and mixed objects

This subsection is a preparation for gluing of variation of admissible variation of mixed twistor structures (Sections 8–10). The content of this subsection essentially appeared in [22] and [50].

6.3.1 Setting

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with a family of additive auto equivalences $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ as in Subsection 6.1.1. For any finite set Λ, we shall consider the category $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ of objects C in $\mathcal{A}$ with a commuting Λ-tuple of nilpotent morphisms $N_i : C \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}C$ $(i \in \Lambda)$. A morphism $(C_1, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow (C_2, \boldsymbol{N})$ in $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is a morphism $F : C_1 \longrightarrow C_2$ such that $N_j \circ F = F \circ N_j$. The category $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is abelian. It is equipped with auto equivalences given by $(C, \boldsymbol{N}) \longmapsto (\Sigma^{p,q} C, \boldsymbol{N})$, which is also denoted by $\Sigma^{p,q}$. When we are given $(C, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$, for any subset $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$, we set $N(\Lambda_0) := \sum_{i \in \Lambda_0} N_i$, and the weight filtration $M\bigl(N(\Lambda_0)\bigr)$ is denoted by $M(\Lambda_0)$.

Let $\Phi : \Lambda_1 \longrightarrow \Lambda_2$ be a map. For $(C_1, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_1}) \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1)$, we have a naturally induced object $(C_1, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_2}) \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_2)$, where $N_j := \sum_{i \in \Phi^{-1}(j)} N_i$ for $j \in \mathrm{Im}\,\Phi$, and $N_j := 0$ for $j \notin \mathrm{Im}\,\Phi$. It gives a functor $\Phi_* : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_2)$. For $(C_2, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_2}) \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_2)$, we have a naturally induced object $(C_1, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_1}) \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1)$, where $N_j := N_{\Phi(j)}$ for $j \in \Lambda_1$. It gives a functor $\Phi^* : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1)$. If Φ is a bijection, both Φ_* and Φ^* give isomorphisms.

Assume that we are given saturated full subcategories $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda) \subset \mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ $(w \in \mathbb{Z})$ with the following property:

P0 $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda)$ are abelian subcategories of $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$, and they are semisimple. Any injection $\Phi : \Lambda_1 \longrightarrow \Lambda_2$ induces a functor $\Phi_* : \mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_2)$, i.e., for $(C, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_1)$, we have $\Phi_*(C, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_2)$.

P1 $\Sigma^{p,q}$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{w-p-q}(\Lambda)$.

P2 For $(C_i, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda)$ such that $w_1 > w_2$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)}\bigl((C_1, \boldsymbol{N}), (C_2, \boldsymbol{N})\bigr) = 0$.

P3 Let $(C, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda)$.

- **P3.1** For any $\Lambda_2 \subset \Lambda_1$, there exists a relative monodromy filtration $M\bigl(N(\Lambda_1); M(\Lambda_2)\bigr)$, and it is equal to $M(\Lambda_1)$.
- **P3.2** $\bigl(\mathrm{Gr}^{M(\Lambda_1)}_{w_1}(C), \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_1^c}\bigr)$ is an object in $\mathcal{P}_{w+w_1}(\Lambda_1^c)$.

P3.3 For $\bullet \in \Lambda$, $\bigl(\operatorname{Im} N_\bullet, \boldsymbol{N}\bigr)$ is an object in $\mathcal{P}_{w+1}(\Lambda)$. Moreover, for $* \in \Lambda \setminus \bullet$, $\bigl(C, \Sigma^{1,0} \operatorname{Im} N_\bullet; u, v; M(*)\bigr)$ is filtered S-decomposable, where $u : \Sigma^{1,0} C \longrightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \operatorname{Im} N_\bullet$ and $v : \Sigma^{1,0} \operatorname{Im} N_\bullet \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1} C$ are induced by $N_\bullet$ and the canonical morphism $\operatorname{Im} N_\bullet \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1} C$, respectively. Namely,

$$\Sigma^{1,0} \operatorname{Gr}^{M(*)} C \longrightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \operatorname{Gr}^{M(*)} \operatorname{Im} N_\bullet \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1} \operatorname{Gr}^{M(*)} C$$

is S-decomposable.

We have the category $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ of the filtered objects in $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$, on which $\Sigma^{p,q}$ naturally acts by $\Sigma^{p,q}(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) = \bigl(\Sigma^{p,q}(C, L), \boldsymbol{N}\bigr)$. Let $\mathcal{M}'(\Lambda) \subset \mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ be the saturated full subcategory of the objects $(C, L, \boldsymbol{N})$ such that $\operatorname{Gr}^L_w(C, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda)$.

Lemma 6.16 *Let $F : (C_1, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow (C_2, L, \boldsymbol{N})$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}'(\Lambda)$.*

- *F is strict with respect to L.*
- *Let $(\operatorname{Ker} F, \boldsymbol{N})$, $(\operatorname{Im} F, \boldsymbol{N})$ and $(\operatorname{Cok} F, \boldsymbol{N})$ be the kernel, the image and the cokernel in $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$. They are equipped with the naturally induced filtrations L, with which they are objects in $\mathcal{M}'(\Lambda)$.*
- *In particular, $\mathcal{M}'(\Lambda)$ is an abelian category, and the forgetful functor $\mathcal{M}'(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is exact.*

Proof The first claim follows from **P2**. Then, the second claim follows from **P1** and the first claim. ▮

Assume that we are given a saturated full subcategory $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda) \subset \mathcal{M}'(\Lambda)$ with the following property:

M0 Any injection $\Phi : \Lambda \longrightarrow \Lambda_1$ induces a functor $\Phi_* : \mathcal{M}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1)$. The categories $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ are abelian subcategories of $\mathcal{M}'(\Lambda)$. We naturally have $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda) \subset \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., if $(C, L) \in \mathcal{M}'(\Lambda)$ satisfies $\operatorname{Gr}^L_j(C) = 0$ unless $j \neq w$, then $(C, L) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$.

M1 $(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ if and only if $\Sigma^{p,q}(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$.

M2 Let $(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$.

M2.1 $\operatorname{Gr}^L_w(C, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda)$.

M2.2 For any decomposition $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \sqcup \Lambda_1$, there exists $M\bigl(N(\Lambda_1); L\bigr) =: M(\Lambda_1; L)$, and

$$\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0}(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) := (C, M(\Lambda_1; L), \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$$

is an object in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_0)$. Note that L gives a filtration of $\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0}(C, L, \boldsymbol{N})$ in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_0)$.

M3 Let $\bullet \in \Lambda$, and put $\Lambda_0 := \Lambda \setminus \bullet$. Let us consider $(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ and $(C, \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0}) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_0)$ with morphisms

$$\Sigma^{1,0} \operatorname{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0}(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} (C', \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0}) \xrightarrow{\quad v \quad} \Sigma^{0,-1} \operatorname{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0}(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$$

in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_0)$ such that $v \circ u = N_\bullet$. Let $L(C')$ be the filtration in the category of $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_0)$ obtained as the transfer of $L(C)$, and put $N'_\bullet := u \circ v$. Then, $(C', L, \boldsymbol{N}'_\Lambda) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$.

The following lemma clearly follows from **M0**.

Lemma 6.17 *Let $(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$. Then, $(L_j C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.* ▮

Lemma 6.18 *Let $F : (C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow (C', L, \boldsymbol{N}')$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$. Then, F is strict with respect to the filtrations $M(\Lambda_1; L)$ for any $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda$.*

Proof It follows from the conditions **P2** and **M2**. ▮

Lemma 6.19 *The functor $\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0} : \mathcal{M}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_0)$ is exact.*

Proof It follows from **M0**, Lemma 6.16 and Lemma 6.18. ▮

Lemma 6.20 *Let* $(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$. *For any* $\Lambda_2 \subset \Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda$, *we have* $M\bigl(N(\Lambda_1); M(\Lambda_2; L)\bigr) = M(\Lambda_1; L)$.

Proof We use an induction on the length of the filtration L. If L is pure, it follows from **P3.1**. Assume that (i) $L_k(C) = C$, (ii) the claim holds for $(L_{k-1}(C), L, \boldsymbol{N})$. We have the exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow (L_{k-1}C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow (C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Gr}^L_k C, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow 0$$

We obtain the exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_2^c)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_2^c}(L_{k-1}C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_2^c}(C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_2^c}(\mathrm{Gr}^L_k C, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Because it is strict with respect to $M\bigl(N(\Lambda_1); M(\Lambda_2; L)\bigr)$, we obtain $M\bigl(N(\Lambda_1); M(\Lambda_2; L)\bigr) = M\bigl(N(\Lambda_1); L\bigr)$. ▮

6.3.2 A category $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$

We prepare a category $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ as follows. An object of $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is a tuple $\bigl(C_I \in \mathcal{A} \bigm| I \subset \Lambda\bigr)$, with morphisms for $I \subset J \subset \Lambda$

$$\Sigma^{|J\setminus I|,0} C_I \xrightarrow{g_{JI}} C_J \xrightarrow{f_{IJ}} \Sigma^{0,-|J\setminus I|} C_I$$

satisfying the compatibility conditions for $I \subset J \subset K \subset \Lambda$:

$$f_{I\,J} \circ f_{J\,K} = f_{I\,K}, \qquad g_{K\,J} \circ g_{J\,I} = g_{K\,I}, \qquad g_{J,I\cap J} \circ f_{I\cap J,I} = f_{J\,I\cup J} \circ g_{I\cup J,I}.$$

Such a tuple $\bigl(C_I; f_{IJ}, g_{JI}\bigr)$ is often denoted by $\mathcal{T}$. A morphism $\mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ is a tuple of morphisms $F_I : C_{1,I} \longrightarrow C_{2,I}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma^{|J\setminus I|,0} C_{1,I} \arrow[r, "g_{1,JI}"] \arrow[d, "F_I"'] & C_{1,J} \arrow[r, "f_{1,IJ}"] \arrow[d, "F_J"'] & \Sigma^{0,-|J\setminus I|} C_{1,I} \arrow[d, "F_I"'] \\
\Sigma^{|J\setminus I|,0} C_{2,I} \arrow[r, "g_{2,JI}"] & C_{2,J} \arrow[r, "f_{2,IJ}"] & \Sigma^{0,-|J\setminus I|} C_{2,I}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The category $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is abelian, and equipped with naturally induced auto equivalences $\Sigma^{p,q}$.

For any object $\mathcal{T} = (C_I, f_{IJ}, g_{JI})$, each C_I is equipped with a tuple of morphisms $N_i : C_I \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1} C_I$ $(i \in \Lambda)$ given as follows:

$$N_i = f_{I,I\cup\{i\}} \circ g_{I\cup\{i\},I} \;\; (i \not\in I), \qquad N_i = g_{I,I\setminus i} \circ f_{I\setminus i,I} \;\; (i \in I).$$

The tuple is denoted by $\boldsymbol{N}_I$.

6.3.3 S-decomposability and strict support

An object $\mathcal{T} \in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is called S-decomposable, if the following holds:

- For $J = I \sqcup \{i\}$, we have $C_J = \mathrm{Im}\, g_{JI} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\, f_{IJ}$, i.e., $(C_I, C_J, g_{JI}, f_{IJ})$ is S-decomposable.

Let $\mathcal{T} \in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$. We say that $\mathcal{T}$ has strict support I, if the following holds:

- We have $C_J = 0$ unless $J \supset I$. The morphisms g_{JI} (resp. f_{IJ}) are epimorphism (resp. monomorphism) for any $J \supset I$. In particular, $\mathcal{T}$ is S-decomposable.

The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 6.21 *Let* $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2$ *be an object in* $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$. *Then,* $\mathcal{T}$ *is* S*-decomposable if and only if* $\mathcal{T}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ *are* S*-decomposable.* ▮

Lemma 6.22 *If* $\mathcal{T}_i \in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ *have strict supports* I_i *with* $I_1 \neq I_2$, *then any morphism* $\mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ *is* 0.

Proof Let $F : \mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ be a morphism. If $I_1 \not\supset I_2$, we have $C_{2,I_1} = 0$ and hence $F_{I_1} : C_{1,I_1} \longrightarrow C_{2,I_1}$ is 0. If $I_1 \supsetneq I_2$, we have the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_{1,I_1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F_{I_1}"'] & C_{1,I_2} = 0 \arrow[d, "F_{I_2}"'] \\
C_{2,I_1} \arrow[r, "\text{mono}"] & C_{2,I_2}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, we have $F_{I_1} = 0$. For any $J \supset I_1$, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_{1,I_1} \arrow[r, "\text{epi}"] \arrow[d, "F_{I_1}"'] & C_{1,J} \arrow[d, "F_J"'] \\
C_{2,I_1} \arrow[r, "g_{J,I_1}"] & C_{2,J}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We obtain $F_J = 0$ for any $J \supset I$, and hence $F = 0$. ▮

Lemma 6.23 *Let $\mathcal{T} \in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ be S-decomposable. Then, we have a unique decomposition $\mathcal{T} = \bigoplus_{I \subset \Lambda} \mathcal{T}_I$ such that each $\mathcal{T}_I$ has strict support I.*

Proof The uniqueness follows from Lemma 6.22. As for the existence, we use an induction on $|\Lambda|$. If $|\Lambda| = 0$, the claim is clear. For any $I \subset \Lambda$ and $i \in \Lambda \setminus I$, let $Ii := I \cup \{i\}$.

Let $\mathcal{T} \in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ be S-decomposable. Assume that we have $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$ such that $g_{Ii,I}$ is epimorphism for any $i \in \Lambda_0$ and $I \subset \Lambda \setminus \{i\}$. If $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$, $\mathcal{T}$ has strict support $\emptyset$. Let us consider the case $\Lambda \neq \Lambda_0$. Fix $j \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_0$. We put

$$C'_I := \begin{cases} C_I & (j \notin I) \\ \operatorname{Im} g_{I,I\setminus j} & (j \in I), \end{cases} \qquad C''_I := \begin{cases} 0 & (j \notin I) \\ \operatorname{Ker} f_{I\setminus j,I} & (j \in I). \end{cases}$$

We obtain a decomposition $C_I = C'_I \oplus C''_I$ for any $I \subset \Lambda$, which induces a decomposition $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}' \oplus \mathcal{T}''$ in $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$. By Lemma 6.21, both $\mathcal{T}'$ and $\mathcal{T}''$ are S-decomposable. We may apply the hypothesis of the induction to $\mathcal{T}''$. We have the surjectivity of $g_{Ii,I}$ for $\mathcal{T}'$ if $i \in \Lambda_0 \sqcup \{j\}$ and $i \notin I$. Hence, we obtain a decomposition by strict supports by an easy induction. ▮

6.3.4 A category $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$

Let Λ_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be finite sets. We set $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) := \big(L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1)\big)(\Lambda_2)$, i.e., $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ be the category of objects $\mathcal{T}$ in $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1)$ with a commuting Λ_2-tuple of morphisms $\mathcal{N}_j : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathcal{T}$ $(j \in \Lambda_2)$. It is an abelian category equipped with naturally induced auto equivalences $\Sigma^{p,q}$. An object $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ is called S-decomposable, if $\mathcal{T}$ is S-decomposable. In the case, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}$ preserves the decomposition in Lemma 6.23.

Let $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. Each underlying C_I is equipped with the morphisms N_i $(i \in \Lambda_1)$ and $\mathcal{N}_j$ $(j \in \Lambda_2)$. We set $\boldsymbol{N}_I^{(\Lambda_2)} := \big(N_i \,\big|\, i \in \Lambda_1\big) \sqcup \big(\mathcal{N}_j \,\big|\, j \in \Lambda_2\big)$.

Remark 6.24 $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ *will often be denoted by $\mathcal{T}$, if there is no risk of confusion.* ▮

6.3.5 Pure object in $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$

An object $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ is called pure of weight w, if the following holds:

LP1 $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ is S-decomposable.

LP2 Each $\big(C_I, \boldsymbol{N}_I^{(\Lambda_2)}\big)$ is an object in $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2)$.

Let $P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \subset L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ denote the full subcategory of pure objects of weight w. If $\Lambda_2 = \emptyset$, it is denoted by $P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1)$.

Proposition 6.25 *The family $\big\{P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)\big\}$ satisfies the conditions* **P0–P3**

Proof The condition **P2** for $P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ follows from that for $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2)$. The condition **P1** is clearly satisfied. Any injection $\Lambda_2\longrightarrow\Lambda_2'$ clearly induces a functor $P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)\longrightarrow P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2')$. Let us show that $P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ is abelian and semisimple. The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 6.26 *Let $\mathcal{T}_i\in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ $(i=1,2)$. Then, $\mathcal{T}_1\oplus\mathcal{T}_2\in P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}_i\in P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ for $i=1,2$.* ▮

We obtain the following lemma from Lemma 6.23 and Lemma 6.26.

Lemma 6.27 *Let $\mathcal{T}\in P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$. We have the decomposition $\mathcal{T}=\bigoplus_{I\subset\Lambda_1}\mathcal{T}_I$ in $P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$, where each $\mathcal{T}_I$ has strict support I. It is uniquely determined.* ▮

Lemma 6.28 *Let $\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}'\in P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$. Assume that they have strict support I. Let $F:\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}'$ be a morphism in $P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$. Then, we have $\operatorname{Ker}F,\operatorname{Im}F,\operatorname{Cok}F\in P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$, and they have strict support I.*

Proof Let $F_I:C_I\longrightarrow C_I'$ $(I\subset\Lambda)$ denote the underlying morphisms. Let $I\subset J$. We omit to denote the shift by $\Sigma^{p,q}$. The induced morphisms $g_{J,I}:\operatorname{Im}(F_I)\longrightarrow\operatorname{Im}(F_J)$ and $g_{J,I}:\operatorname{Cok}(F_I)\longrightarrow\operatorname{Cok}(F_J)$ are clearly epimorphisms. The induced morphisms $f_{I,J}:\operatorname{Im}(F_J)\longrightarrow\operatorname{Im}(F_I)$ and $f_{I,J}:\operatorname{Cok}(F_J)\longrightarrow\operatorname{Cok}(F_I)$ are clearly monomorphisms. Hence, we have only to show that (i) $g_{J,I}:\operatorname{Ker}(F_I)\longrightarrow\operatorname{Ker}(F_J)$ is an epimorphism, (ii) $f_{I,J}:\operatorname{Cok}(F_J)\longrightarrow\operatorname{Cok}(F_I)$ is a monomorphism. We have only to consider the case $J=I\sqcup\{i\}$.

Because the category $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda)$ is semisimple, we can take a decomposition $C_I=\operatorname{Ker}F_I\oplus C$ compatible with N_k $(k\in\Lambda)$. Then, we have $\operatorname{Im}N_i=\bigl(\operatorname{Im}N_i\cap\operatorname{Ker}F_I\bigr)\oplus\bigl(\operatorname{Im}N_i\cap C\bigr)=N_i(\operatorname{Ker}F_I)\oplus N_i(C)$. We have $N_i\operatorname{Ker}(F_I)\subset f_{IJ}(\operatorname{Ker}F_J)\subset\operatorname{Im}N_i\cap\operatorname{Ker}F_I$. Then, we obtain (i). The claim (ii) can be shown similarly. ▮

Hence, the decomposition by strict support induces an equivalence of the categories:

$$P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)\simeq\bigoplus_{I\subset\Lambda_1}\mathcal{P}_w(I\sqcup\Lambda_2)$$

In particular, the category $P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ is abelian and semisimple, and we obtain that $P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ satisfies the condition **P0**.

Let us consider the condition **P3** for $P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$. Let $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\in P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$. The condition **P3.1** follows from that of objects in $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2)$.

Let $\Lambda_2=\Lambda_{2,0}\sqcup\Lambda_{2,1}$ be a decomposition. We have the induced object $\bigl(\operatorname{Gr}^{M(\Lambda_{2,1})}_{w'}\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}\bigr)$ in $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_{2,0})$. To show that it is pure of weight $w+w'$, we have only to consider the case in which $\Lambda_{2,1}$ consists of a unique element $*$, according to **P3.1** for $P_wL\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$. It satisfies **LP2**, due to the condition **P3.2** for objects in $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2)$. To show **LP1** for $\bigl(\operatorname{Gr}^{M(*)}\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}\bigr)$, we may assume that $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ has strict support I. Then, it follows from **P3.3** for objects in $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2)$.

Let $\bullet\in\Lambda_2$. We obtain an object $\bigl(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{N}_\bullet,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}\bigr)$ in $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$. We shall show that the object $\bigl(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{N}_\bullet,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}\bigr)$ is pure of weight $w+1$. We have only to consider the case in which $\mathcal{T}$ has strict support I. It is clear that **LP1** is satisfied. The condition **LP2** follows from **P3.3** for $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2)$. Let $*\in\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet$. Let us look at the induced morphisms:

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\operatorname{Gr}^{M(*)}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Sigma^{1,0}\operatorname{Gr}^{M(*)}\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{N}_\bullet\longrightarrow\Sigma^{0,-1}\operatorname{Gr}^{M(*)}\mathcal{T}\tag{72}$$

It is a tuple of the following morphisms:

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\operatorname{Gr}^{M(*)}C_I\longrightarrow\Sigma^{1,0}\operatorname{Gr}^{M(*)}\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{N}_{\bullet,I}\longrightarrow\Sigma^{0,-1}\operatorname{Gr}^{M(*)}C_I$$

It is S-decomposable by **P3.3** for objects in $\mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda)$. Hence, (72) is S-decomposable. Thus, the proof of Proposition 6.25 is finished. ▮

6.3.6 Mixed object in $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$

A filtered object $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{L}) \in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ is called mixed, if the following holds:

(LM1) $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}_w(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \in P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$.

(LM2) Each $(C_I, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{N}^{(\Lambda_2)})$ is an object in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2)$ for $I \subset \Lambda_1$.

Let $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \subset \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ denote the full subcategory of mixed objects. If $\Lambda_2 = \emptyset$, it is denoted by $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1)$.

Proposition 6.29 *The family* $\{ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)\}$ *satisfies the conditions* **M0**–**M3**.

Proof The condition **M1** is clearly satisfied. Let $F : (\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ be a morphism in $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. By the condition **P2** for $P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$, we have the strictness of F with respect to $\mathcal{L}$. In particular, $\mathrm{Ker}\, F$, $\mathrm{Cok}\, F$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, F$ are naturally equipped with filtrations $\mathcal{L}$, and we have natural isomorphisms $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} \mathrm{Ker}\, F \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} F$, $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} \mathrm{Im}\, F \simeq \mathrm{Im}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} F$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} \mathrm{Cok}\, F \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} F$. Hence, **LM1** holds for $\mathrm{Ker}\, F$, $\mathrm{Cok}\, F$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, F$ by Proposition 6.25. By the condition **M0** for $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2)$, the condition **LM2** also holds for $\mathrm{Ker}\, F$, $\mathrm{Im}\, F$ and $\mathrm{Cok}\, F$. Hence, $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ is abelian. Clearly, any injection $\Lambda_2' \longrightarrow \Lambda_2$ induces a functor $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2') \longrightarrow ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. Hence, **M0** is satisfied for $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$.

Let us consider **M2**. For $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$, the condition **M2.1** is satisfied by definition. Let $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_{2,0} \sqcup \Lambda_{2,1}$ be a decomposition. Each underlying C_I has $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} := M\big(\mathcal{N}(\Lambda_{2,1}), \mathcal{L}(C_I)\big)$ by the condition **M2.2** for objects in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2)$. It induces a filtration $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_{2,0}})$ in $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_{2,0})$, which is a relative monodromy filtration $M(\mathcal{N}(\Lambda_{2,1}), \mathcal{L})$:

$$\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) := (\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_{2,0}})$$

The condition **LM2** for $\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ follows from **M2.2** for objects in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2)$. By the canonical decomposition (Subsection 6.1.2), we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}).$$

Hence, **LM1** for $\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ follows from **P3.2** for objects in $P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. Hence, we obtain that $\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ is an object in $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$, i.e., **M2** holds for $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$.

Let us consider **M3** for $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. Let $\bullet \in \Lambda_2$, and we put $\Lambda_{2,0} := \Lambda_2 \setminus \bullet$. We consider objects $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2}) \in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ and $(\mathcal{T}', \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'_{\Lambda_{2,0}}) \in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_{2,0})$ with morphisms

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\, \mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2}) \xrightarrow{\ u\ } (\mathcal{T}', \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'_{\Lambda_{2,0}}) \xrightarrow{\ v\ } \Sigma^{0,-1}\, \mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2})$$

in $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_{2,0})$ such that $v \circ u = \mathcal{N}_\bullet$. We have the filtration $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}')$ obtained as the transfer of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T})$. We put $\mathcal{N}'_\bullet := u \circ v$. Let us show that $(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'_{\Lambda_2})$ is an object in $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. The condition **LM2** for $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ follows from **M3** for objects in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2)$. By the construction of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}')$, we have the decomposition

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}_w(\mathcal{T}') = \bigoplus \mathrm{Im}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}_w(u) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}_w(v).$$

Because $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = M(\mathcal{N}_\bullet, \mathcal{L})$, we have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}_w \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}_w(v) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}_w(v)$. Hence, $\mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}_w(v)$ with the induced tuple of morphisms denoted by $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}_w(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_{2,0}})$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}_w\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}_w(\mathcal{T}', \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}_w(\mathcal{T}', \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_{2,0}}) \in P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_{2,0}) \subset P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$$

By **P0** for $P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$, we obtain that $\big(\mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}(v), \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_{2,0}})\big) \in P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. We have the natural isomorphism

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\big(\mathrm{Im}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}_\bullet, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2}\big) \simeq \big(\mathrm{Im}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} u, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2}\big).$$

Hence, we obtain that $\big(\mathrm{Im}\, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} u, \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{L}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2}\big)$ is an object in $P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ by **P3.3** in Proposition 6.25. Hence, $(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'_{\Lambda_2})$ is an object in $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$, and the condition **M3** holds for $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. Thus, the proof of Proposition 6.29 is finished. ∎

6.3.7 Some functors

Let $K \subset \Lambda_1$. We have the functors $\psi_K, \phi_K : L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \longrightarrow L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus K, \Lambda_2 \sqcup K)$ given as follows. Let $\mathcal{T} \in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. For $I \subset \Lambda_1 \setminus K$, we set $\psi_K(\mathcal{T})_I := C_I$ and $\phi_K(\mathcal{T})_I := C_{I \sqcup K}$. For $I \subset J$, we have the naturally induced morphisms

$$\Sigma^{|J\setminus I|,0}\psi_K(\mathcal{T})_I \longrightarrow \psi_K(\mathcal{T})_J \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-|J\setminus I|}\psi_K(\mathcal{T})_I$$

$$\Sigma^{|J\setminus I|,0}\phi_K(\mathcal{T})_I \longrightarrow \phi_K(\mathcal{T})_J \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-|J\setminus I|}\phi_K(\mathcal{T})_I$$

with which they are objects in $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus K)$. They are equipped with the naturally induced morphisms $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2}$. Moreover, $\psi_K(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi_K(\mathcal{T})$ are equipped with naturally induced morphisms $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_K := (\mathcal{N}_i \,|\, i \in K)$. We set $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2 \sqcup K} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_K$. Hence, we obtain $\big(\phi_K(\mathcal{T}), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2 \sqcup K}\big)$ and $\big(\psi_K(\mathcal{T}), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2 \sqcup K}\big)$. They naturally induce the functors

$$\psi_K, \phi_K : L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)^{\mathrm{fil}} \longrightarrow L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus K, \Lambda_2 \sqcup K)^{\mathrm{fil}}.$$

They naturally induce

$$\psi_K, \phi_K : ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \longrightarrow ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus K, \Lambda_2 \sqcup K), \quad \psi_K, \phi_K : P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \longrightarrow P_w L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus K, \Lambda_2 \sqcup K).$$

The induced functor $\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup K}_{\Lambda_2} \phi_K : ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \longrightarrow ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus K, \Lambda_2)$ is also denoted by ϕ_K, if there is no risk of confusion.

6.3.8 Gluing

Fix an element $\bullet \in \Lambda_1$. Let us consider the category $\mathrm{Glue}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \bullet)$ given as follows. An object in $\mathrm{Glue}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \bullet)$ is a tuple $\big(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big) \in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet)$ and $\big(\mathcal{T}'', \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}''\big) \in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2)$ with morphisms $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ in $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2)$,

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet}_{\Lambda_2}\big(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big) \xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{U}\ } \big(\mathcal{T}'', \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}''\big) \xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{V}\ } \Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet}_{\Lambda_2}\big(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big)$$

such that $\mathcal{N}_\bullet = \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}$. A morphism in $\mathrm{Glue}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \bullet)$ is a tuple of $F' : \big(\mathcal{T}_1', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big) \longrightarrow \big(\mathcal{T}_2', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big)$ in $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet)$ and $F'' : \big(\mathcal{T}_1'', \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}''\big) \longrightarrow \big(\mathcal{T}_2'', \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}''\big)$ in $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet}_{\Lambda_2}\big(\mathcal{T}_1', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F'"'] & \big(\mathcal{T}_1'', \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}''\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F''"'] & \Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet}_{\Lambda_2}\big(\mathcal{T}_1', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big) \arrow[d, "F'"'] \\
\Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet}_{\Lambda_2}\big(\mathcal{T}_2', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big) \arrow[r] & \big(\mathcal{T}_2'', \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}''\big) \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet}_{\Lambda_2}\big(\mathcal{T}_2', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big)
\end{tikzcd}$$

For $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$, we have $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ in $\mathrm{Glue}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \bullet)$ which is the tuple of the objects

$$\psi_\bullet(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet), \qquad \mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet}_{\Lambda_2} \phi_\bullet(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2).$$

with naturally induced morphisms $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$:

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet}_{\Lambda_2} \psi_\bullet(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{U}\ } \mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet}_{\Lambda_2} \phi_\bullet(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{V}\ } \Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet}_{\Lambda_2} \psi_\bullet(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$$

Thus, we obtain a functor $\Gamma : ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Glue}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \bullet)$.

Corollary 6.30 *The functor* Γ *is an equivalence.*

Proof Let $\big(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'\big)$, $\big(\mathcal{T}'', \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}''\big)$, $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ be as above. We have the $\mathcal{L}$ of $(\mathcal{T}'', \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'')$ obtained as the transfer. By **M3** in Proposition 6.29, $(\mathcal{T}'', \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'')$ is an object in $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet)$. We put $C_I := C_I'$ if $\bullet \notin I$ and $C_I := C''_{I \setminus \bullet}$ if $\bullet \in I$. The tuple $(C_I \,|\, I \subset \Lambda_1)$ with the induced morphisms naturally gives an object in $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. Then, it is easy to check **LM1–2** for this object, and we obtain the essential surjectivity of Γ. It is obvious to see that Γ is faithful. It is full due to the functoriality of the transfer. ▮

6.3.9 Another description of $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$

We shall introduce a category $M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$. An object of $M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ is $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2})\in L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ with a tuple of filtrations $\boldsymbol{L}=\big(L_I\,\big|\,I\subset\Lambda_1\big)$ of the underlying objects C_I such that the following holds:

- Each $\mathcal{U}_I=(C_I,L_I,\boldsymbol{N}^{(\Lambda_2)}_{\Lambda_1\setminus I})$ is an object in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2\setminus I)$, where $\boldsymbol{N}^{(\Lambda_2)}_{\Lambda_1\setminus I}=\big(N_j\,\big|\,j\in\Lambda_1\setminus I\big)\sqcup\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2}$.
- g_{JI} and f_{IJ} give the following morphisms in $\mathcal{M}\big(\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2\setminus J\big)$:

$$\Sigma^{|J\setminus I|,0}\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2\setminus I}_{\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2\setminus J}(\mathcal{U}_I)\xrightarrow{\ g_{JI}\ }\mathcal{U}_J\xrightarrow{\ f_{IJ}\ }\Sigma^{0,-|J\setminus I|}\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2\setminus I}_{\Lambda_1\sqcup\Lambda_2\setminus J}(\mathcal{U}_I)$$

A morphism $(\mathcal{T}_1,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2},\boldsymbol{L})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2},\boldsymbol{L})$ in $M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ is a morphism $F:(\mathcal{T}_1,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2})$ in $L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ such that each $F_I:C_{1,I}\longrightarrow C_{2,I}$ is compatible with the filtrations L_I.

We have the functor $\Phi_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2}:ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)\longrightarrow M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$ defined as follows. For $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{L},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2})$, we set $L_I(C_I):=M\Big(N(I);\mathcal{L}(C_I)\Big)$. Then, $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2})$ with $\boldsymbol{L}=(L_I\,|\,I\subset\Lambda_1)$ is an object in $M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$, which is defined to be $\Phi_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{L},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2})$.

Theorem 6.31 *The above functors $\Phi_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2}$ are equivalent.*

Proof The claim is clear in the case $|\Lambda_1|=0$. We use an induction on $\big(|\Lambda_1|+|\Lambda_2|,|\Lambda_1|\big)$. Take $\bullet\in\Lambda_1$. We assume that $\Phi_{\Lambda_1\setminus\bullet,\Lambda_2\sqcup\bullet}$ and $\Phi_{\Lambda_1\setminus\bullet,\Lambda_2}$ are equivalent, and we will show that $\Phi_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2}$ is equivalent.

Let $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2},\boldsymbol{L})\in ML\mathcal{A}'(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)$. Each C_I is equipped with a filtration $\widetilde{L}_I:=M(\mathcal{N}_\bullet,L_I(C_I))$. Thus, we obtain a functor $\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet}:M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)\longrightarrow M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet)$ given by

$$\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet}(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2},\boldsymbol{L})=(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}})$$

We also have the functor $\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet}:ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2)\longrightarrow ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet)$.

Lemma 6.32 *We have $\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet}\circ\Phi_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2}=\Phi_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet}\circ\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda_2}_{\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet}$.*

Proof We have $M\Big(N(I);M(\mathcal{N}_\bullet;\mathcal{L})\Big)=M\Big(\mathcal{N}_\bullet+N(I);\mathcal{L}\Big)=M\Big(\mathcal{N}_\bullet;M\Big(N(I);\mathcal{L}\Big)\Big)$. Then, we obtain the claim of the lemma. ∎

Let $\mathrm{Glue}'(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,\bullet)$ be the category given as follows. An object of $\mathrm{Glue}'(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,\bullet)$ is a tuple of

$$\big(\mathcal{T}',\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}',\boldsymbol{L}\big)\in M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1\setminus\bullet,\Lambda_2\sqcup\bullet),\qquad\big(\mathcal{T}'',\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'',\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}\big)\in M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1\setminus\bullet,\Lambda_2)\tag{73}$$

with morphisms in $M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1\setminus\bullet,\Lambda_2)$

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda_2\sqcup\bullet}_{\Lambda_2}\big(\mathcal{T}',\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}',\boldsymbol{L}\big)\xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{U}\ }\big(\mathcal{T}'',\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}'',\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}\big)\xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{V}\ }\Sigma^{0,-1}\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda_2\sqcup\bullet}_{\Lambda_2}\big(\mathcal{T}',\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}',\boldsymbol{L}\big)\tag{74}$$

such that $\mathcal{V}\circ\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{N}_\bullet$. Morphisms in $\mathrm{Glue}'(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,\bullet)$ are naturally defined.

By Lemma 6.32, we have a naturally defined functor $\Phi_0:\mathrm{Glue}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,\bullet)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Glue}'(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,\bullet)$.

Lemma 6.33 $\Phi_0:\mathrm{Glue}(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,\bullet)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Glue}'(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,\bullet)$ *is equivalent.*

Proof It is easy to observe that the functor Φ_0 is fully faithful. Let us show the essential surjectivity. Take an object in $\mathrm{Glue}'(\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,\bullet)$, i.e., a tuple as in (73) with morphisms as in (74). By using the hypothesis of the induction, we obtain the following:

- Filtration $\mathcal{L}$ of $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$ such that $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{L},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})\in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1\setminus\bullet,\Lambda_2\sqcup\bullet)$ and $\Phi_{\Lambda_1\setminus\bullet,\Lambda_2\sqcup\bullet}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{L},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})=(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}},\boldsymbol{L})$.
- Filtrations $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of $(\mathcal{T}',\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}')$ such that $(\mathcal{T}',\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}')\in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1\setminus\bullet,\Lambda_2)$ and $\Phi_{\Lambda_1\setminus\bullet,\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{T}',\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})=(\mathcal{T}',\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}',\boldsymbol{L})$.

- Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2} := \big(\mathcal{N}_j \,\big|\, j \in \Lambda_2\big)$. Filtrations $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2})$ such that $(\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2}) \in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2)$ and $\Phi_{\Lambda_1\setminus\bullet,\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2}) = \mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2\sqcup\bullet}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}, \boldsymbol{L})$.

Because $\Phi_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2\setminus\bullet}$ is equivalent, we obtain that $\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2\sqcup\bullet}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) = (\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda_2})$, and that $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ give morphisms in $ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2)$. Hence, $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}})$, $(\mathcal{T}', \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}')$ with $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ naturally gives an object in $\mathrm{Glue}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$, and we can deduce that Φ_0 is essentially surjective. ▮

We prepare some functors. We have the naturally induced functors

$$\phi_\bullet : M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \longrightarrow M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2), \quad \psi_\bullet : M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \longrightarrow M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2 \sqcup \bullet).$$

For $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}) \in M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$, we have naturally induced morphisms $\mathcal{U} : \Sigma^{1,0}\psi(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \phi(\mathcal{T})$ and $\mathcal{V} : \phi(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1}\psi(\mathcal{T})$, induced by $g_{I,I\setminus\bullet}$ and $f_{I\setminus\bullet,I}$. They give morphisms in $M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus \bullet, \Lambda_2)$:

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2\sqcup\bullet}_{\Lambda_2}\,\psi_\bullet(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}, \boldsymbol{L}) \longrightarrow \phi_\bullet(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}, \boldsymbol{L}) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_2\sqcup\bullet}_{\Lambda_2}\,\psi_\bullet(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}, \boldsymbol{L})$$

Hence, we obtain a functor $\Gamma' : M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda, \Lambda_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Glue}'(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \bullet)$. Because $\Gamma' \circ \Phi_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2} = \Phi_0 \circ \Gamma$ by construction, we have only to show that Γ' is an equivalence. But, it is clear by construction. Thus, the proof of Theorem 6.31 is finished. ▮

For any $K \subset \Lambda_1$, we have naturally defined functor $\psi_K : M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \longrightarrow M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus K, \Lambda_2 \sqcup K)$, and the following is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \arrow[r, "\psi_K"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus K, \Lambda_2 \sqcup K) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \arrow[r, "\psi_K"] & M'L\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1 \setminus K, \Lambda_2 \sqcup K)
\end{tikzcd} \qquad (75)$$

6.3.10 Commutativity of the transfer

Let $V = (C, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(\underline{2})$, where $\underline{2} := \{1, 2\}$. We obtain the following object $\mathcal{T} = V[!1 * 2]$ in $M'L\mathcal{A}(\underline{2})$. We put

$$(\mathcal{T}_\emptyset, L_\emptyset) := (C, L), \quad (\mathcal{T}_1, L_1) := \Sigma^{1,0}\big(C, M(N_1; L)\big),$$
$$(\mathcal{T}_2, L_2) := \Sigma^{0,-1}\big(C, M(N_2; L)\big), \quad (\mathcal{T}_{\underline{2}}, L_{\underline{2}}) := \Sigma^{1,-1}\big(C, M(N_1 + N_2; L)\big).$$

The morphisms f_{IJ} and g_{JI} are given as follows:

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\big(\mathcal{T}_\emptyset, M(N_1; L_\emptyset)\big) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} (\mathcal{T}_1, L_1) \xrightarrow{N_1} \Sigma^{0,-1}\big(\mathcal{T}_\emptyset, M(N_1; L_\emptyset)\big)$$

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\big(\mathcal{T}_\emptyset, M(N_2; L_\emptyset)\big) \xrightarrow{N_2} (\mathcal{T}_2, L_2) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \Sigma^{0,-1}\big(\mathcal{T}_\emptyset, M(N_2; L_\emptyset)\big)$$

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\big(\mathcal{T}_1, M(N_2; L_1)\big) \xrightarrow{N_2} (\mathcal{T}_{\underline{2}}, L_{\underline{2}}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \Sigma^{0,-1}\big(\mathcal{T}_1, M(N_2; L_1)\big)$$

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\big(\mathcal{T}_2, M(N_1; L_2)\big) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} (\mathcal{T}_{\underline{2}}, L_{\underline{2}}) \xrightarrow{N_1} \Sigma^{0,-1}\big(\mathcal{T}_2, M(N_1; L_2)\big)$$

By Theorem 6.31, we obtain the filtration $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{T} = V[!1 * 2]$ such that $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}) \in ML\mathcal{A}(\underline{2})$. It is easy to check the following:

$$\mathcal{L}_\emptyset(V) = L, \quad \mathcal{L}_1(\Sigma^{1,0}V) = \widehat{N}_{1!}L, \quad \mathcal{L}_2(\Sigma^{0,-1}V) = \widehat{N}_{2*}L, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\underline{2}}(\Sigma^{1,-1}V) = \widehat{N}_{2*}\widehat{N}_{1!}L = \widehat{N}_{1!}\widehat{N}_{2*}L$$

In particular, we obtain the following:

Lemma 6.34 *$N_{2*}N_{1!}L = N_{1!}N_{2*}L$.* ▮

Similarly, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6.35 *$N_{2\star}N_{1\star}L = N_{1\star}N_{2\star}L$ for $\star = *, !$.* ▮

Let Λ be a finite set.

Proposition 6.36 *Let $(V, L, \boldsymbol{N})$ be an object in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$. Let $i, j \in \Lambda$ be distinct elements. Let $\star_i, \star_j \in \{*, !\}$. Then, we have $N_{i\star_i}(N_{j\star_j}L) = N_{j\star_j}(N_{i\star_i}L)$.*

Proof Let $\Lambda_1 := \Lambda \setminus \{i, j\}$ and $\Lambda_2 := \{i, j\}$. We set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_w(\Lambda') := \mathcal{P}_w(\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda')$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\Lambda') = \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda')$. Then, they satisfy the conditions in Subsection 6.3.1. Then, the claim follows from Lemma 6.34 and Lemma 6.35. ▮

Let $(C, L, \boldsymbol{N})$ be an object in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$. Let $J \subset \Lambda$. We define a filtration $\widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{J*}L$ of $\Sigma^{0,-|J|}C$ inductively, as follows. Take $j \in J$, put $J_0 := J \setminus \{j\}$, and define $\widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{J*}L := \widehat{N}_{j*}\widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{J_0*}L$. By Proposition 6.36, it is independent of the choice of j. Similarly, we define $\widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{J!}L$ of $\Sigma^{|J|,0}C$. We obtain $\boldsymbol{N}_{J*}L$ and $\boldsymbol{N}_{J!}L$ on C.

For $J_i \subset \Lambda$ $(j = 1, 2)$ with $J_1 \cap J_2 = \emptyset$, we obtain a filtration $\boldsymbol{N}_{J_1!}\boldsymbol{N}_{J_2*}L = \boldsymbol{N}_{J_2*}\boldsymbol{N}_{J_1!}L$ on C.

6.3.11 Canonical prolongations

Let $V = (C, L, \boldsymbol{N})$ be an object in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$. Let $\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2 = \Lambda$ be a decomposition. We obtain an object $\mathcal{T} = V[*K_1!K_2] \in ML\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ given as follows. For $I \subset \Lambda$, we set $I_j := I \cap \Lambda_j$, and $\mathcal{T}_I := \Sigma^{|I_2|,-|I_1|}C$. It is equipped with a filtration $\widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{I_1!}\widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{I_2*}L$. For $I \sqcup \{i\} \subset \Lambda$, morphisms $g_{Ii,I}$ and $f_{I,Ii}$ are given as follows:

$$g_{Ii,I} := \begin{cases} \mathrm{id} & (i \in \Lambda_2) \\ N_i & (i \in \Lambda_1) \end{cases} \qquad f_{I,Ii} := \begin{cases} N_i & (i \in \Lambda_2) \\ \mathrm{id} & (i \in \Lambda_1) \end{cases}$$

7 Mixed twistor D-module

We shall introduce mixed twistor D-module (Definition 7.22). It is not formally parallel to that for mixed Hodge module in [50]. It is partially because we are concerned with only graded polarizable objects. Since we shall show that a mixed twistor D-module is expressed as the gluing of some admissible variation of mixed twistor structures (Subsections 10.3 and 11.1), it can be regarded as a twistor version of mixed Hodge module.

7.1 Admissibly specializable pre-mixed twistor D-module

7.1.1 Pre-mixed twistor D-module

Let $\mathrm{MT}(X, w)$ denote the category of polarizable pure twistor D-module on X. (We omit the adjective “wild”.) A filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{W})$ is called a pre-mixed twistor D-module, if $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathrm{MT}(X, w)$ for each w. Let $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$ denote the full subcategory of pre-mixed twistor D-modules in the category of filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triples. It is equipped with auto equivalences $\Sigma^{p,q}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{W}) = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{W}) \otimes \big(\mathcal{U}(-p, q), \mathcal{W}\big)$. Recall the following lemma in [45] (and [38]).

Lemma 7.1 *The category $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$ is abelian. Any morphism $f : (\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{W}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{W})$ is strict with respect to the weight filtration.* ▮

Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{W}) \in MTW(X)$. The $\mathcal{R}$-triple $F^i_\dagger\mathcal{T}$ is equipped with an induced filtration $\mathcal{W}$, i.e., $\mathcal{W}_k(F^i_\dagger\mathcal{T})$ are the image of $F^i_\dagger(\mathcal{W}_{k-i}\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow F^i_\dagger(\mathcal{T})$. The following lemma can be found in [50] essentially.

Lemma 7.2 *$(F^i_\dagger\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{W}) \in MTW(Y)$.*

Proof If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MT}(X, w)$, we have already known that $F^i_\dagger\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MT}(Y, w + i)$ ([48], [45], [38]). According to [45], we have a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{-i,i+j} = F^j_\dagger \mathrm{Gr}^W_i(\mathcal{T}) \Longrightarrow F^j_\dagger\mathcal{T}.$$

Because $F^j_\dagger \mathrm{Gr}^W_i(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathrm{MT}(Y, w + i + j)$, we have $E_2^{-i,i+j} \in \mathrm{MT}(Y, w + i + j)$, and the spectral sequence degenerates. ▮

Some abelian categories Let $\mathrm{MTW}(X,\bullet)$ denote the category of $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{W})\in\mathrm{MTW}(X)$ with a morphism $N:(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{W})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{W})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. Morphisms are naturally defined. It is clearly an abelian category. For $w\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathrm{MTN}(X,w)\subset\mathrm{MTW}(X,\bullet)$ be the full subcategory of objects $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{W},N)$ such that $W=M(N)[-w]$.

Lemma 7.3 *The category* $\mathrm{MTN}(X,w)$ *is abelian. Let* $(\mathcal{T}_i,\mathcal{W},N)$ *be objects in* $\mathrm{MTN}(X,w_i)$ *with* $w_1>w_2$*, and let* $F:(\mathcal{T}_1,\mathcal{W},N)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,\mathcal{W},N)$ *be a morphism in* $\mathrm{MTW}(X,\bullet)$*. Then,* $F=0$*.*

Proof It is easy to deduce the first claim from Lemma 7.1. As for the second claim, because the induced morphism $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{W}}(F)$ is 0, we obtain $F=0$ by Lemma 7.1. ▮

Let $\mathrm{MTW}(X,\bullet)^{\mathrm{RMF}}\subset\mathrm{MTW}(X,\bullet)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ be the full subcategory of the objects $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{W},L,N)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{W},N)\in\mathrm{MTN}(X,w).$$

We obtain the following lemma from Lemma 7.3.

Lemma 7.4 *The category* $\mathrm{MTW}(X,\bullet)^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ *is abelian. Any morphism* $(\mathcal{T}_1,\mathcal{W},L,N)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,\mathcal{W},L,N)$ *is strict with respect to* L*.* ▮

7.1.2 Admissible specializability for pre-mixed twistor D-module

For a given holomorphic function g, let $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)\subset\mathrm{MTW}(X)$ denote the full subcategory of $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTW}(X)$ which are admissibly specializable along g. We obtain the following lemma from Proposition 6.8.

Lemma 7.5 *Let* $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTW}(X)$*. We have* $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)$*, if only if the underlying* $\mathcal{R}$*-modules are filtered strictly specializable, and satisfy the conditions (P1–2) in Definition* 4.27*.* ▮

For $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)$, we have the filtrations of $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi_g(\mathcal{T})$ naively induced by L. They are also denoted by L. Let W denote the filtrations of $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi_g(\mathcal{T})$ obtained as the relative monodromy filtration of $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to L.

Lemma 7.6 $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}),W,L,\mathcal{N}\bigr)$ *and* $\bigl(\phi_g(\mathcal{T}),W,L,\mathcal{N}\bigr)$ *are objects in* $\mathrm{MTW}(X,\bullet)^{\mathrm{RMF}}$*.*

Proof We have only to show that $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}),W\bigr)$ and $\bigl(\phi_g(\mathcal{T}),W\bigr)$ are objects in $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$, which follows from the canonical splitting $\mathrm{Gr}^W\simeq\mathrm{Gr}^W\mathrm{Gr}^L$. ▮

Proposition 7.7 $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)$ *is abelian.*

Proof Let $(\mathcal{T}_i,L)\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)$ $(i=1,2)$. Let $f:(\mathcal{T}_1,L)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,L)$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$. We have $(\mathrm{Ker}(f),L)$, $(\mathrm{Im}(f),L)$ and $(\mathrm{Cok}(f),L)$ in $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$. Let us show that they are admissibly specializable along g. We have the induced morphism of filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triples $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{T}_1),L\bigr)\longrightarrow\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{T}_2),L\bigr)$, which is compatible with $\mathcal{N}$. Hence, we obtain a morphism $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{T}_1),W\bigr)\longrightarrow\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{T}_2),W\bigr)$ in $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$. In particular, the cokernel of $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_jf)$ is strict. Similarly, the cokernel of $\phi_g(L_jf)$ is strict. Hence, $L_j\mathrm{Ker}(f)$, $L_j\mathrm{Im}(f)$ and $L_j\mathrm{Cok}(f)$ are strictly specializable along g. Because $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathrm{Ker}\,f)\longrightarrow\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathrm{Ker}\,f)$ is a morphism in $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$, the cokernel is strict. Similar for ϕ_g. Therefore, we obtain that $(\mathrm{Ker}(f),L)$ is filtered strictly specializable along g. Similarly, $(\mathrm{Im}(f),L)$ and $(\mathrm{Cok}(f),L)$ are filtered strictly specializable along g.

By Lemma 7.4, we obtain that $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(f)$ are strict with respect to L, and we obtain that the weight filtrations of $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathrm{Ker}\,f)$, $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathrm{Cok}\,f)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathrm{Im}\,f)$ give the relative monodromy filtrations of $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to the naively induced filtrations L. Similar for ϕ_g. Hence, $(\mathrm{Ker}(f),L)$, $(\mathrm{Cok}(f),L)$ and $(\mathrm{Im}(f),L)$ are admissibly specializable along g. ▮

Let $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)$. Recall Proposition 4.20. We have the following object in $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathrm{MTW}(X),\boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{\mathrm{fil}}$, which is filtered S-decomposable:

$$\bigl(\Sigma^{1,0}\widetilde{\psi}_{g,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),L\bigr)\longrightarrow\bigl(\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),L\bigr)\longrightarrow\bigl(\Sigma^{0,-1}\widetilde{\psi}_{g,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),L\bigr)$$

We also have the object in $\mathrm{Glu}\bigl(\mathrm{MTW}(X)^{\mathrm{fil}},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\bigr)$:

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),W\bigr)\longrightarrow\bigl(\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),W\bigr)\longrightarrow\Sigma^{0,-1}\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),W\bigr)$$

We remark that $L\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ is obtained as the transfer of $L\widetilde{\psi}_{g,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})$.

7.1.3 Admissible specializability and push-forward

Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism. Let g_Y be a holomorphic function on Y. We put $g_X := F^{-1}(g_Y)$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X, g_X)$.

Lemma 7.8 *We have* $F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(Y, g_Y)$*.*

Proof Let W denote the relative monodromy filtration $\widetilde{\psi}_{g_X,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi_{g_X}(\mathcal{T})$. We have $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g_X,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}), W\bigr) \in \mathrm{MTW}(X)$ and $\bigl(\phi_{g_X}(\mathcal{T}), W\bigr) \in \mathrm{MTW}(X)$. They induce filtrations of $F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g_X,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T})\bigr)$ and $F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\bigl(\phi_{g_X}(\mathcal{T})\bigr)$, which are also denoted by W. We have $\bigl(F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g_X,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T})\bigr), W\bigr) \in \mathrm{MTW}(Y)$ and $\bigl(F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\bigl(\phi_{g_X}(\mathcal{T})\bigr), W\bigr) \in \mathrm{MTW}(Y)$. In particular, they are strict. Hence, we obtain that $F_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T})$ is strictly specializable along g with ramified exponential twist, and we have $\widetilde{\psi}_{g_Y,\mathfrak{a},u} F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T} \simeq F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{g_X,\mathfrak{a},u}\mathcal{T}$ and $\phi_{g_Y} F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T} \simeq F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\phi_{g_X}\mathcal{T}$. Because the complex

$$F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{g_X,\mathfrak{a},u}\bigl(L_k\mathcal{T}\bigr) \longrightarrow F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{g_X,\mathfrak{a},u}(L_j\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\psi}_{g_X,\mathfrak{a},u}\bigl(L_j\mathcal{T}/L_k\mathcal{T}\bigr)$$

is exact, we obtain that $F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T})$ is filtered strictly specializable.

The morphism $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u} L_j F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}), W\bigr) \longrightarrow \bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u} \mathrm{Gr}^L_j F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}), W\bigr)$ is a morphism in $\mathrm{MTW}(Y)$, and hence it is strict with respect to W. Recall that the filtration W on $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}\mathrm{Gr}^L_j F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T})$ is equal to $M(N)[j]$. Hence, the filtration W on $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u} F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T})$ is equal to the relative monodromy filtration. Similarly $(\phi_g F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L), N)$ has a relative monodromy filtration. Therefore, $F^{\bullet}_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L)$ is admissibly specializable. ▮

7.1.4 Gluing along a coordinate function

Let t be a coordinate function. Let $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X, t)$. We have $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}), W, L, \mathcal{N}\bigr)$ in $\mathrm{MTW}(X, \bullet)^{\mathrm{RMF}}$. Let $(\mathcal{Q}, W) \in \mathrm{MTW}(X)$ with morphisms

$$\Sigma^{1,0}(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}), W) \xrightarrow{\ u\ } (\mathcal{Q}, W) \xrightarrow{\ v\ } \Sigma^{0,-1}(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}), W)$$

such that (i) $\mathcal{N} = v \circ u$, (ii) $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathcal{Q} \subset \{t = 0\}$. We obtain an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $\mathcal{T}' := \mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{Q}, u, v)$, as explained in Subsection 4.2.4. Let us observe that it is naturally equipped with a filtration L such that $(\mathcal{T}', L) \in \mathrm{MTW}(X)$. Recall that $\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})$ is equipped with the naively induced filtration L. We obtain a filtration of $\mathcal{Q}$ obtained as the transfer of $L\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})$ with respect to (u, v), which is also denoted by L. Note that $\Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ has the naively induced filtration L, and the natural morphisms $\bigl(\psi^{(1)}_t(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr) \longrightarrow \bigl(\Xi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr) \longrightarrow \bigl(\psi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr)$ are strict with respect to L. Then, we obtain a filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triple $(\mathcal{T}', L)$ as the cohomology of the following complex:

$$\bigl(\psi^{(1)}_t(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr) \longrightarrow \bigl(\Xi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr) \oplus (\mathcal{Q}, L) \longrightarrow \bigl(\psi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr)$$

By construction, $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T}')$ are strictly S-decomposable along t. The components whose support is contained in $\{t = 0\}$ are isomorphic to direct summands of $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w(\mathcal{Q})$. The components whose support is not contained in $\{t = 0\}$ are isomorphic to direct summands of $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w(\mathcal{T})$. Hence, they are objects in $\mathrm{MT}(X, w)$, i.e., $(\mathcal{T}', L) \in \mathrm{MTW}(X)$. Moreover, by construction, it is easy to observe that $(\mathcal{T}', L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X, t)$.

In particular, $(\mathcal{T}, L)$ is reconstructed as the cohomology of the following complex in filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triples:

$$\bigl(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr) \longrightarrow \bigl(\phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr) \oplus \bigl(\Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr) \longrightarrow \bigl(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr) \tag{76}$$

7.1.5 Localization

The case of coordinate function Let t be a coordinate function. Let $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTW}(X, t)^{\mathrm{sp}}$. We apply the gluing construction in Subsection 7.1.4 to some special cases. We obtain a filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triple $(\mathcal{T}[!t], \widetilde{L})$ as the cohomology of the following:

$$\bigl(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr) \longrightarrow \bigl(\Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr) \oplus \bigl(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}), \widehat{N}_! L\bigr) \longrightarrow \bigl(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}), L\bigr)$$

We obtain a filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triple $(\mathcal{T}[*t],\widetilde{L})$ as the cohomology of the following complex:

$$\big(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}),L\big)\longrightarrow\big(\Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),L\big)\oplus\big(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),\widehat{N}_*L\big)\longrightarrow\big(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),L\big)$$

By Lemma 7.6, we have $(\mathcal{T},L)[\star t]:=(\mathcal{T}[\star t],\widetilde{L})\in\mathrm{MTW}(X,t)^{\mathrm{sp}}$ for $\star=*,!$.

Lemma 7.9 *We have natural morphisms* $(\mathcal{T},L)[!t]\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T},L)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T},L)[\star t]$ *in* $\mathrm{MTW}(X,t)^{\mathrm{sp}}$.

Proof We have the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\big(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}\big) \arrow[r, "="] \arrow[d, "="'] & \big(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \big(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}\big) \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\big(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="'] & \big(\phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \big(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}\big) \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\big(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}\big) \arrow[r] & \big(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}\big) \arrow[r, "="] & \big(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}\big)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, we have the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\big(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}),L\big) \arrow[r, "="] \arrow[d, "="'] & \big(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}),\widehat{N}_!L\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \big(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),L\big) \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\big(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}),L\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="'] & \big(\phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),L\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \big(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),L\big) \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\big(\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}),L\big) \arrow[r] & \big(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),\widehat{N}_*L\big) \arrow[r, "="] & \big(\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),L\big)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then, we obtain the claim of Lemma 7.9. ∎

We have the following characterization.

Lemma 7.10 *Let* $\star=*$ *or* $!$. *Let* $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,t)$. *Assume that* $\mathcal{T}[\star t]=\mathcal{T}$ *as an* $\mathcal{R}_X$*-triple. Then, we have* $(\mathcal{T},L)\simeq(\mathcal{T},L)[\star t]$ *in* $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$.

Proof Let us consider the case $\star=!$. The other case can be argued similarly. By the assumption, we have $\psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})\simeq\phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ as $\mathcal{R}$-triples. Hence, $\big(\psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}),W\big)\longrightarrow\big(\phi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}),W\big)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$. We obtain that the naively induced filtration of $\phi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ is the same as $\widehat{N}_!L$ under the isomorphism. Recall that $(\mathcal{T},L)$ is reconstructed as the cohomology of (76). Hence, we obtain that $(\mathcal{T},L)\simeq(\mathcal{T},L)[!t]$. ∎

Similarly, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 7.11 *Let* $\star=*$ *or* $!$. *Let* $(\mathcal{T}_i,L)\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,t)$ $(i=1,2)$. *We have natural bijective correspondence between morphisms* $(\mathcal{T}_1,L)(*t)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,L)(*t)$ *as filtered* $\mathcal{R}_X(*t)$*-triples, and morphisms* $(\mathcal{T}_1,L)[\star t]\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,L)[\star t]$ *as* $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$.

Proof Let $F:(\mathcal{T}_1,L)(*t)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,L)(*t)$ be a morphism. It naturally induces a morphism $\big(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}_1),L\big)\longrightarrow\big(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}_2),L\big)$. It gives a morphism $(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}_1),W)\longrightarrow(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}_2),W)$ in $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$. By the assumption, we obtain $(\phi_t(\mathcal{T}_1),W)\longrightarrow(\phi_t(\mathcal{T}_2),W)$ in $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$. Because the naively induced filtrations L on $\phi_t(\mathcal{T}_i)$ are obtained as the transfer of L of $\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}_i)$, we obtain $\big(\phi_t(\mathcal{T}_1),L\big)\longrightarrow\big(\phi_t(\mathcal{T}_2),L\big)$. Hence, F is compatible with L, i.e., we have $F:(\mathcal{T}_1,L)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,L)$. ∎

Corollary 7.12 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}_i,L)\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,t)$ $(i=1,2)$. *We have natural bijections:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}(X)}\big((\mathcal{T}_1,L)[*t],\,(\mathcal{T}_2,L)[*t]\big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}(X)}\big((\mathcal{T}_1,L),\,(\mathcal{T}_2,L)[*t]\big)$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}(X)}\big((\mathcal{T}_1,L)[!t],\,(\mathcal{T}_2,L)[!t]\big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}(X)}\big((\mathcal{T}_1,L)[!t],\,(\mathcal{T}_2,L)\big)$$

∎

General case Let g be a holomorphic function. Let $\iota_g : X \longrightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}_t$ be the graph of g. We obtain $\iota_{g\dagger}(\mathcal{T},L)[\star t]$ in $\mathrm{MTW}(X\times\mathbb{C}_t,t)^{\mathrm{sp}}$. It is not clear if there exists a filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $(\mathcal{T}',\widetilde{L})$ such that $\iota_{g\dagger}(\mathcal{T}',\widetilde{L}) = \iota_{g\dagger}(\mathcal{T},L)[\star t]$. If it exists, it is uniquely determined up to canonical isomorphisms, and denoted by $(\mathcal{T},L)[\star g]$. We obtain the following from Lemma 7.11.

Lemma 7.13 *Let $\star = *$ or $!$. Let $(\mathcal{T}_i,L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)$ $(i=1,2)$ such that $\mathcal{T}_i[\star g] = \mathcal{T}_i$. We have a natural bijective correspondence between morphisms $(\mathcal{T}_1,L)(*g) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2,L)(*g)$ as filtered $\mathcal{R}_X(*g)$-triples, and morphisms $(\mathcal{T}_1,L) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2,L)$ as $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$. In particular, if moreover $\mathcal{T}_1(*g) \simeq \mathcal{T}_2(*g)$, the isomorphism is extended to $\mathcal{T}_1 \simeq \mathcal{T}_2$.* ▮

Lemma 7.14 *Let $(\mathcal{T},L) \in \mathrm{MTW}(X)$. If $(\mathcal{T},L)[\star g]$ $(\star = *,!)$ exists, we have $(\mathcal{T},L)[\star g] \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)$. We have natural morphisms*

$$(\mathcal{T},L)[!g] \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T},L) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T},L)[\star g]$$

in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)$. ▮

We also have the following.

Corollary 7.15 *Let $(\mathcal{T}_i,L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)$ $(i=1,2)$. Assume that there exist $(\mathcal{T}_i,L)[\star g]$ for $i=1,2$ and $\star = *,!$. We have natural bijections:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}(X)}\big((\mathcal{T}_1,L)[*g],\,(\mathcal{T}_2,L)[*g]\big) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}(X)}\big((\mathcal{T}_1,L),\,(\mathcal{T}_2,L)[*g]\big)$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}(X)}\big((\mathcal{T}_1,L)[!g],\,(\mathcal{T}_2,L)[!g]\big) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}(X)}\big((\mathcal{T}_1,L)[!g],\,(\mathcal{T}_2,L)\big)$$

▮

7.1.6 Integrable case

An integrable pure twistor D-module of weight w is an integrable $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{T}$ such that (i) it is a pure twistor D-module of weight w, (ii) it has a polarization, which is integrable as a morphism $\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w)$. A morphism of integrable pure twistor D-module of weight w is defined to be an integrable morphism for integrable $\mathcal{R}$-triples. Let $\mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,w)$ denote the category of integrable pure twistor D-module of weight w.

An integrable filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $(\mathcal{T},L)$ is called an integrable pre-mixed twistor D-module, if $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,w)$ for each w. A morphism is defined to be an integrable morphism of filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triples. Let $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ denote the category of integrable pre-mixed twistor D-modules. The following lemma is easy to see.

Lemma 7.16 *The category $\mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ is abelian and semisimple, and $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ is an abelian category.*

Proof The first claim can be shown as in the non-integrable case. The second claim immediately follows from the first one. ▮

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 7.2.

Lemma 7.17 *Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism. For $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,w)$, we have $F^i_\dagger\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{int}}(Y,w+i)$. For $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{W}) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$, we have $(F^i_\dagger\mathcal{T},\mathcal{W}) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(Y)$.* ▮

For a given holomorphic function g, let $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int\,sp}}(X,g) \subset \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ denote the full subcategory of $(\mathcal{T},L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ which are admissibly specializable along g. As in Proposition 7.7, $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int\,sp}}(X,g)$ is an abelian category. We remark that the naively induced filtrations on $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi_g(\mathcal{T})$ are integrable. The induced nilpotent morphisms are integrable. Hence, the relative monodromy filtrations are also integrable.

Let t be a coordinate function. Let $(\mathcal{T},L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int\,sp}}(X,t)$. We have $\big(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),W\big)$ in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ with the naively induced filtration L and the integrable morphism $\mathcal{N} : \big(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),W\big) \longrightarrow \big(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),W\big) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. We have $W = M(\mathcal{N};L)$. Let $(\mathcal{Q},W) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ with integrable morphisms

$$\Sigma^{1,0}(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),W) \xrightarrow{\;u\;} (\mathcal{Q},W) \xrightarrow{\;v\;} \Sigma^{0,-1}(\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),W)$$

such that (i) $\mathcal{N} = v \circ u$, (ii) $\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{Q} \subset \{t = 0\}$. As explained in Subsection 7.1.4, we have constructed $\operatorname{Glue}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{Q}, u, v)$ with the weight filtration L in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X, g)$. Note that the naively induced filtrations L of $\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ and its transfer L to $\mathcal{Q}$ are integrable. The naively induced filtration L of $\Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ is also integrable. Hence, we have $\bigl(\operatorname{Glue}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{Q}, u, v), L\bigr) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$. In particular, we have the following.

Lemma 7.18 *For* $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$*, we naturally have* $(\mathcal{T}, L)[\star t] \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$*, and the morphisms* $(\mathcal{T}, L)[!t] \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}, L) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}, L)[*t]$ *in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$*.* ■

Let g be a holomorphic function on X. The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 7.13.

Lemma 7.19 *Let* $\star = *$ *or* $!$*. Let* $(\mathcal{T}_i, L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int\,sp}}(X, g)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ *such that* $\mathcal{T}_i[\star g] = \mathcal{T}_i$*. We have a natural bijective correspondence between morphisms* $(\mathcal{T}_1, L)(*g) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, L)(*g)$ *as filtered integrable* $\mathcal{R}_X(*g)$*-triples, and morphisms* $(\mathcal{T}_1, L) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, L)$ *as* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$*. In particular, if moreover* $\mathcal{T}_1(*g) \simeq \mathcal{T}_2(*g)$*, the isomorphism is extended to* $\mathcal{T}_1 \simeq \mathcal{T}_2$*.* ■

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 7.14.

Lemma 7.20 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$*. If* $(\mathcal{T}, L)[\star g]$ $(\star = *, !)$ *exists in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$*, we have* $(\mathcal{T}, L)[\star g] \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int\,sp}}(X, g)$*. We have natural morphisms* $(\mathcal{T}, L)[!g] \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}, L) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}, L)[\star g]$ *in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int\,sp}}(X, g)$*.* ■

The following is an analogue of Corollary 7.15.

Lemma 7.21 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}_i, L) \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int\,sp}}(X, g)$ $(i = 1, 2)$*. Assume that there exist* $(\mathcal{T}_i, L)[\star g]$ *for* $i = 1, 2$ *and* $\star = *, !$*. We have natural bijections:*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)}\bigl((\mathcal{T}_1, L)[*g],\, (\mathcal{T}_2, L)[*g]\bigr) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)}\bigl((\mathcal{T}_1, L),\, (\mathcal{T}_2, L)[*g]\bigr)$$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)}\bigl((\mathcal{T}_1, L)[!g],\, (\mathcal{T}_2, L)[!g]\bigr) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)}\bigl((\mathcal{T}_1, L)[!g],\, (\mathcal{T}_2, L)\bigr)$$

■

7.2 Mixed twistor D-module

7.2.1 Definition

We define mixed twistor D-module by using an Noetherian induction on the support.

Definition 7.22 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, L)$ *be an admissibly specializable pre-mixed twistor* D*-module. It is called mixed twistor* D*-module at* P*, if the following holds.*

- *Let* X_P *be a neighbourhood of* P *in* X*. Let* g *be a holomorphic function on* X_P *such that* $\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{T} \not\subset g^{-1}(0)$*. Then,* $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}), M(\mathcal{N}; L)\bigr)$ $(\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{C}[t_n^{-1}], u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})$ *and* $\bigl(\phi_g(\mathcal{T}), M(\mathcal{N}; L)\bigr)$ *are mixed at* P*.*

If $(\mathcal{T}, L)$ *is mixed twistor* D*-module at any* $P \in X$*, it is called mixed twistor* D*-module.* ■

Remark 7.23 *In this paper, we consider only graded polarizable mixed objects.* ■

7.2.2 Some basic property

Let $\mathrm{MTM}(X) \subset \mathrm{MTW}(X)$ denote the full subcategory of mixed twistor D-modules on X.

Proposition 7.24 $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ *is abelian.*

Proof Let $\mathrm{Map}^*(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ be the set of maps $\rho : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\rho(n) = 0$ except for finitely many n. We use the order on $\mathrm{Map}^*(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ given by $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ if and only if $\rho_1(i_0) < \rho_2(i_0)$ for $i_0 = \max\bigl\{i \bigm| \rho_1(i) \neq \rho_2(i)\bigr\}$.

Let $P \in X$. Let X_P be a small neighbourhood of P. For a closed analytic subset $\Gamma \subset X_P$, let $\rho(\Gamma, i)$ be the number of the i-dimensional irreducible components. We obtain $\rho(\Gamma) \in \mathrm{Map}^*(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$. Let $\mathrm{MTM}_\Gamma(X_P) \subset \mathrm{MTM}(X_P)$ denote the full subcategory of mixed twistor D-module $(\mathcal{T}, L)$ whose supports are contained in Γ.

Let $F : (\mathcal{T}_1, L) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, L)$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{MTM}_{\Gamma}(X_P)$. We have $\mathrm{Ker}\, F$, $\mathrm{Im}\, F$ and $\mathrm{Cok}(F)$ in $\mathrm{MTW}(X_P)$. They are admissibly specializable. Let g be a holomorphic function on X_P such that $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{T}) \not\subset g^{-1}(0)$. We have the induced morphism $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(F) : \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ in $\mathrm{MTM}_{\Gamma\cap g^{-1}(0)}(X)$. By the hypothesis of the induction, we have $\mathrm{Ker}\, \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(F)$, $\mathrm{Im}\, \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(F)$ and $\mathrm{Cok}\, \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(F)$ in $\mathrm{MTM}_{\Gamma\cap g^{-1}(0)}(X)$. We have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Ker}\, \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(F) \simeq \widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathrm{Ker}\, F)$ in $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$, and hence $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathrm{Ker}\, F) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Similarly, we can show that $\phi_g(\mathrm{Ker}\, F) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Hence, we obtain that $(\mathrm{Ker}\, F, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Similarly, we obtain $(\mathrm{Im}\, F, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ and $(\mathrm{Cok}\, F, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. ∎

Proposition 7.25 *For* $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$, $(\mathcal{T}, L)^*$ *and* $j^*(\mathcal{T}, L)$ *are mixed twistor* D*-modules.*

Proof We have only to use a Noetherian induction. Note the compatibility of Hermitian adjoint and Beilinson's formalism. ∎

Proposition 7.26 *Let* $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ *be a projective morphism. For* $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$, *we have* $F^i_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}(Y)$.

Proof By Lemma 7.8, $F^i_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L)$ is admissibly specializable. Let $P \in Y$. Let g_Y be a holomorphic function on Y, such that $\mathrm{Supp}\, F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T} \not\subset g_Y^{-1}(0)$. We put $g_X := F^{-1}(g_Y)$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. By applying the hypothesis of the induction to $\widetilde{\psi}_{g_X,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}, L)$ and $\phi_{g_X}(\mathcal{T}, L)$, we obtain that $\widetilde{\psi}_{g_Y,\mathfrak{a},u} F^i_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L)$ and $\phi_{g_Y} F^i_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L)$ are objects in $\mathrm{MTM}(Y)$. Hence, we obtain $F^i_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}(Y)$. ∎

Let $\iota : Y \subset X$ be a closed immersion. Let $\mathrm{MTM}_Y(X) \subset \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ be the full subcategory of $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}\, \mathcal{T} \subset Y$. We obtain a functor $\iota_{\dagger} : \mathrm{MTM}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTM}_Y(X)$.

Proposition 7.27 *The functor* $\iota_{\dagger} : \mathrm{MTM}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTM}_Y(X)$ *is an equivalence.*

Proof We may assume that $X = \Delta^n$ and $Y = \{z_1 = 0\}$. We use a Noetherian induction on the support. Let $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}_Y(X)$. Because $(\mathcal{T}, L)$ is filtered strictly specializable along z_1, we have a filtered $\mathcal{R}_Y$-triple $(\mathcal{T}_0, L)$ such that $(\mathcal{T}, L) = \iota_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}_0, L)$. By Lemma 4.30, $(\mathcal{T}_0, L)$ is admissibly specializable. Let g be a holomorphic function on Y such that $\mathrm{Supp}\, \mathcal{T}_0 \not\subset g^{-1}(0)$. We extend g to a holomorphic function on X by the pull back via the projection $X \longrightarrow Y$. Then, we have

$$\iota_{\dagger}\psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}, L) \simeq \psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}\iota_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L), \qquad \iota_{\dagger}\phi_g(\mathcal{T}, L) \simeq \phi_g\iota_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L).$$

By definition, $\psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}\iota_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L)$ and $\phi_g\iota_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, L)$ are objects in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Hence, by the hypothesis of the induction, $\psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}, L)$ and $\phi_g(\mathcal{T}, L)$ are objects in $\mathrm{MTM}(Y)$. Thus, we are done. ∎

Remark 7.28 *The forgetful functor* Ξ_{DR} *from* $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ *to the category of holonomic* D*-modules is faithful. Indeed, let* $F : (\mathcal{T}_1, L) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, L)$ *be a morphism in* $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ *such that* $\Xi_{|DR}(F) = 0$. *By a result in the pure case, we obtain* $\mathrm{Gr}^L(F) = 0$. *By the strictness, we obtain* $F = 0$. ∎

7.2.3 Integrable case

An integrable pre-mixed twistor D-module is called integrable mixed twistor D-module, if the underlying pre-mixed twistor D-module is a mixed twistor D-module. Let $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X) \subset \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ denote the full subcategory of integrable mixed twistor D-modules. The following proposition can be shown by a Noetherian induction as in the case of Proposition 7.24.

Proposition 7.29 $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ *is abelian.* ∎

We mention some basic property.

Proposition 7.30 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$.

- *For any open subset* $U \subset X$, *a holomorphic function* g *on* U, $\big(\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}), M(\mathcal{N}; L)\big)$ *and* $\big(\phi_g(\mathcal{T}), M(\mathcal{N}; L)\big)$ *are also objects in* $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$.

- *$(\mathcal{T},L)^*$ and $j^*(\mathcal{T},L)$ are objects in $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$.*
- *For a projective morphism $F: X \longrightarrow Y$, we have $F_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T},L) \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(Y)$.* ▮

Let $\iota: Y \subset X$ be a closed immersion. Let $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}_Y(X) \subset \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ be the full subcategory of $(\mathcal{T},L) \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathcal{T} \subset Y$. We obtain a functor $\iota_{\dagger}: \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}_Y(X)$.

Proposition 7.31 *The functor $\iota_{\dagger}: \mathrm{MTM}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTM}_Y(X)$ is an equivalence.* ▮

8 Infinitesimal mixed twistor module

It is basic to study a mixed twistor D-module with normal crossing singularity. In this section, we shall study its infinitesimal version, which is infinitesimal mixed twistor structure. In the Hodge case, it was done in [22]. Our main purpose in this subsection is to explain the twistor version of the statements in [22].

8.1 Preliminary

8.1.1 Mixed twistor structure

Let X be a complex manifold. Let $(\mathcal{T},W)$ be a filtered object in the category of smooth $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples, i.e., W is a finite complete exhaustive increasing filtration indexed by $\mathbb{Z}$. It is called a variation of mixed twistor structure on X, (or simply a mixed twistor structure on X,) if each $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w(\mathcal{T})$ is a polarizable pure twistor D-module of weight w. It is called pure of weight w, if $\mathrm{Gr}^L_m = 0$ unless $m = w$. The category of polarizable pure twistor structure on X is denoted by $\mathrm{PTS}(X,w)$. It is abelian and semisimple. For $\mathcal{T}_i \in \mathrm{PTS}(X,w_i)$ with $w_1 > w_2$, any morphism $\mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ in the category of $\mathcal{R}$-triples is 0. The category of mixed twistor structure on X is denoted by $\mathrm{MTS}(X)$. It is an abelian category. For any morphism $F: (\mathcal{T}_1,W) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2,W)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}(X)$, F is strictly compatible with the filtration W.

Remark 8.1 *It might be more appropriate that the above object is called graded polarizable variation of mixed twistor structure. We omit to distinguish it, because we consider only graded polarizable ones.* ▮

The category $\mathrm{MTS}(X)$ is naturally equipped with tensor product and inner homomorphism. It is also equipped with additive auto equivalences $\Sigma^{p,q}$ given by the tensor product of $\mathcal{U}(-p,q)$.

Remark 8.2 *A mixed twistor structure $(\mathcal{T},W)$ is often denoted just by $\mathcal{T}$, if there is no risk of confusion. For a filtration L of a mixed twistor structure $\mathcal{T}$ in $\mathrm{MTS}(X)$, $\Sigma^{p,q}(\mathcal{T},L)$ and $\big(\Sigma^{p,q}(\mathcal{T}),L\big)$ are also denoted by $(\mathcal{T},L)\otimes\mathcal{U}(-p,q)$ and $(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{U}(-p,q),L)$, respectively. If $p=q$, they are also denoted by $(\mathcal{T},L)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(q)$ and $\big(\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(q),L\big)$, or $\boldsymbol{T}^q(\mathcal{T},L)$ and $\big(\boldsymbol{T}^q\mathcal{T},L\big)$, respectively.* ▮

Lemma 8.3 *Let $(\mathcal{T},L)$ be a mixed twistor D-module on X. Assume that the underlying D-module is a flat bundle. Then, $(\mathcal{T},L)$ comes from a variation of mixed twistor structure.*

Proof We may assume $X = \Delta^n$. Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)$. The $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\mathcal{M}_i$ are smooth. By using a flat frame, we can check that the pairing takes values in the sheaf of continuous functions on $\boldsymbol{S}\times X$ which are C^{∞} in the X-direction. By successive use of $\psi_{z_i,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}$, we obtain that its restriction to $\boldsymbol{S}\times\{P\}$ can be extended to holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^*_{\lambda}$. Hence, we obtain a pairing of $\mathcal{M}_{1|\mathbb{C}^*_{\lambda}\times X}$ and $\sigma^*\mathcal{M}_{2|\mathbb{C}^*_{\lambda}\times X}$ valued in the sheaf of C^{∞}-functions on $\mathbb{C}^*_{\lambda}\times X$ which are holomorphic in the $\mathbb{C}^*_{\lambda}$-direction. Hence $(\mathcal{T},L)$ comes from a smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triple. Because the w-th graded piece corresponds to the pure twistor D-module of weight w, we obtain that $(\mathcal{T},L)$ comes from a variation of mixed twistor structure. ▮

8.1.2 Reduction

We shall use the following lemma implicitly.

Lemma 8.4 *Let $(\mathcal{T},W) \in \mathrm{MTS}(X)$ with subobjects $(\mathcal{T}_i,W) \subset (\mathcal{T},W)$ $(i=1,2)$. If $\mathrm{Gr}^W(\mathcal{T}_1) = \mathrm{Gr}^W(\mathcal{T}_2)$ in $\mathrm{Gr}^W(\mathcal{T})$, then we have $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$.*

Proof Consider $F:(\mathcal{T}_1,W)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T},W)/(\mathcal{T}_2,W)$. If $\mathrm{Gr}^W(F)=0$, we have $F=0$, which implies the claim of the lemma. ∎

Let $\bigl((\mathcal{T},W),L,N\bigr)\in\mathrm{MTS}(X)^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$. We put $\mathcal{T}^{(0)}:=\mathrm{Gr}^W(\mathcal{T})$. It is equipped with naturally induced filtrations $W^{(0)}$ and $L^{(0)}$. We also have an induced map $N^{(0)}:(\mathcal{T}^{(0)},W^{(0)})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}^{(0)},W^{(0)})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. Thus, we obtain $\bigl((\mathcal{T}^{(0)},W^{(0)}),L^{(0)},N^{(0)}\bigr)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}(X)^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$.

Lemma 8.5 *$\bigl((\mathcal{T},W),L,N\bigr)$ has a relative monodromy filtration, if and only if $\bigl((\mathcal{T}^{(0)},W^{(0)}),L^{(0)},N^{(0)}\bigr)$ has a relative monodromy filtration.*

Proof Let M' be the filtration of $(\mathcal{T},W)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}(X)$, given by Deligne's inductive formula for N. The induced filtration ${M'}^{(0)}$ on $(\mathcal{T}^{(0)},W^{(0)})$ satisfies Deligne's inductive formula for $N^{(0)}$. Note $\mathrm{Gr}^L(M)^{(0)}\simeq\mathrm{Gr}^{L^{(0)}}(M^{(0)})$. Thus, we are done. ∎

Let $\mathrm{MTS}(X)^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathrm{MTS}(X)^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$, whose objects have relative monodromy filtrations.

Lemma 8.6 *The category $\mathrm{MTS}(X)^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ is equipped with tensor product and inner homomorphism as in Proposition 6.5.*

Proof By using Proposition 6.4, it can be reduced to the case $\mathcal{A}=\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$. ∎

8.1.3 Some conditions for the existence of relative monodromy filtration

Let $(\mathcal{T},L)$ be a filtered smooth $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple. Let $N:\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ be a morphism such that $N\cdot L_k(\mathcal{T})\subset L_k(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. Because $\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{T},L,N)$ is graded, it has a relative monodromy filtration $W\bigl(\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{T})\bigr)$ in the category of $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples. Assume the following:

- $(\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{T}),W)$ is a mixed twistor structure on X.

Proposition 8.7 *Assume that, for each $P\in X$, there exists a subset $U_P\subset\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ such that (i) $|U_P|=\infty$, (ii) $(\mathcal{M}_1,L,N)_{|(\lambda,P)}\in\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ for any $\lambda\in U_P$. Then, $\mathcal{T}$ is equipped with a filtration $W(\mathcal{T})$ such that (i) $(\mathcal{T},W)$ is a mixed twistor structure, (ii) W is a relative monodromy filtration of $(\mathcal{T},L,N)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}(X)$.*

Proof We have only to consider the case X is a point $\{P\}$. The set U_P is denoted just by U. Because $\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{T})$ is a mixed twistor structure, the pairing C of $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ is non-degenerate. Hence, we can regard $\mathcal{T}$ as a vector bundle V on $\mathbb{P}^1$, obtained as the gluing of $\mathcal{M}_1^\vee$ and $\sigma^*\mathcal{M}_2$.

Lemma 8.8 *If $(V,L,N)_{|(\lambda,P)}\in\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ $(i=1,2)$ for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{P}^1$, then V is equipped with a filtration $W(V)$ such that (i) (V,W) is a mixed twistor structure, (ii) W is a relative monodromy filtration of (V,L,N).*

Proof Let $M(N_{|\lambda};L_{|\lambda})$ denote the relative monodromy filtration of $(V,L,N)_{|\lambda}$. For each $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, the rank of $M_k(N_{|\lambda};L_{|\lambda})$ is independent of $\lambda\in\mathbb{P}^1$. Then, we obtain that it depends on λ continuously, from Deligne's inductive formula (66) and (67). Thus, we obtain Lemma 8.8. ∎

According to the lemma, we have only to show that $(V,L,N)_{|\lambda}\in\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{P}^1$. We use an induction on the length of the filtration. We assume that (i) $V=L_k(V)$, (ii) the claim holds for $L_{k-1}(V)$, and we shall show that the claim holds for V. We consider the morphisms (69) for $(V,L,N)_{|\lambda}$ $(\lambda\in\mathbb{P}^1)$. The assumption implies that (69) for $\lambda\in U$ vanishes. Note that the both hand sides for $\lambda\in\mathbb{P}^1$ in (69) give vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Hence, by the continuity, we obtain the vanishing of (69) for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{P}^1$. Thus, Proposition 8.7 is proved. ∎

Corollary 8.9 *Suppose that X is connected. Assume that, for a point $P\in X$, there exists a subset $U_P\subset\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ such that (i) $|U_P|=\infty$, (ii) $(\mathcal{M}_1,L,N)_{|(\lambda,P)}\in\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$ for any $\lambda\in U_P$. Then, $\mathcal{T}$ is equipped with a filtration $W(\mathcal{T})$ such that (i) $(\mathcal{T},W)$ is a mixed twistor structure, (ii) W is a relative monodromy filtration of $(\mathcal{T},L,N)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}(X)$.* ∎

The following lemma can be shown more easily.

Lemma 8.10 *Let* $\bigl((\mathcal{T},W),N,L\bigr)\in \mathrm{MTS}(X)^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$. *Assume that, for each* $P\in X$, *there exists* $U_P\in\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ *such that (i)* $|U_P|=\infty$, *(ii)* $(\mathcal{M}_1,L,N)_{|(\lambda,P)}\in \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{RMF}}$. *Then, there exists a relative monodromy filtration* $M(N;L)$ *in* $\mathrm{MTS}(X)$. ∎

8.2 Polarizable mixed twistor structure

8.2.1 Statement

Let X be a complex manifold. We consider an abelian category $\mathcal{A}=\mathrm{MTS}(X)$ with additive automorphisms $\Sigma^{p,q}(\mathcal{T})=\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{U}(-p,q)$. Then, for any finite set Λ, we obtain the abelian category $\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda):=\mathrm{MTS}(X)(\Lambda)$ as in Subsection 6.1.1. For an object $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)$, we set $N(\Lambda):=\sum_{j\in\Lambda}N_j$.

An object $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)$ is called a (w,Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure, if (i) $W=M\bigl(N(\Lambda)\bigr)[w]$, (ii) there exists a Hermitian adjoint $\mathcal{S}:\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ of weight w, such that $\iota_P^*(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N},\mathcal{S})$ is a (w,Λ)-polarized mixed twistor structure for each point $\iota_P:\{P\}\longrightarrow X$. Let $\mathcal{P}(X,w,\Lambda)\subset\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)$ denote the full subcategory of (w,Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure on X. The following proposition is essentially proved in [35], based on the results in the Hodge case in [5], [6], [7] and [24]. We will give an indication in Subsection 8.2.2.

Proposition 8.11 *The categories* $\mathcal{P}(X,w,\Lambda)$ *satisfy the property* **P0–3** *in Subsection* 6.3.1.

We state some complementary property. First, we give remarks on the ambiguity of polarizations.

Lemma 8.12 *Let* $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{P}(X,w,\Lambda)$. *If it is simple, i.e., there is no non-trivial subobject, then a polarization of* $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})$ *is unique up to constant multiplication.*

Proof Let S_i $(i=1,2)$ be polarizations of $(\mathcal{T},W\boldsymbol{N})$. They induce an endomorphism of $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})$ in $\mathcal{P}(X,w,\Lambda)$. Because $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})$ is simple, it is a scalar multiplication, which implies $S_1=\alpha\,S_2$ for some $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$. Because they are polarizations, we obtain α is a positive number. ∎

According to Proposition 8.11, $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{P}(X,w,\Lambda)$ has a canonical decomposition

$$(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})\simeq\bigoplus_i(\mathcal{T}_i,W_i,\boldsymbol{N}_i)\otimes U_i,\tag{77}$$

where (i) $(\mathcal{T}_i,W_i,\boldsymbol{N}_i)\not\simeq(\mathcal{T}_j,W_j,\boldsymbol{N}_j)$ for $i\neq j$, (ii) each $(\mathcal{T}_i,W_i,\boldsymbol{N}_i)$ is irreducible, (iii) U_i are vector spaces. (We regard a vector space as a constant pure twistor structure on X.) We take a polarization S_i of each $(\mathcal{T}_i,W_i,\boldsymbol{N}_i)$, which is unique up to positive multiplication. We argue the following proposition in Subsection 8.2.3.

Proposition 8.13 *Any polarization of* $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})$ *is of the form* $\bigoplus S_i\otimes h_i$, *where* h_i *are hermitian metrics of* U_i.

Some operations Let $(\mathcal{T}^{(i)},W^{(i)},\boldsymbol{N}^{(i)})\in\mathcal{P}(X,w_i,\Lambda)$ $(i=1,2)$. We have the induced filtration $\widetilde{W}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}:=\mathcal{T}^{(1)}\otimes\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$, and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}},\widetilde{W})$ is a mixed twistor structure. We have the induced morphisms $\widetilde{N}_j:=N_j^{(1)}\otimes\mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id}\otimes N_j^{(2)}$ for $j\in\Lambda$. It is easy to observe that $(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}},\widetilde{W},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}})\in\mathcal{P}(X,w_1+w_2,\Lambda)$. In this situation, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}},\widetilde{W},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}})$ is denoted by $(\mathcal{T}^{(1)},W^{(1)},\boldsymbol{N}^{(1)})\otimes(\mathcal{T}^{(2)},W^{(2)},\boldsymbol{N}^{(2)})$.

Similarly, for $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{P}(X,w,\Lambda)$, we have the induced filtration $\overline{W}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{T}}:=\mathcal{T}^\vee$, and the induced morphisms $\overline{N}_j:=-N_j^\vee$. Then, $(\overline{\mathcal{T}},\overline{W},\overline{\boldsymbol{N}})\in\mathcal{P}(X,-w,\Lambda)$. It is denoted by $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})^\vee$.

Recall the operations j^* and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{sm}^*$ on $\mathrm{TS}(X)$. It naturally induces operations on $\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)$, and it preserves $\mathcal{P}(X,\Lambda,w)$.

8.2.2 Proof of Proposition 8.11

The property **P1** clearly holds. Let us show **P2**. We have only to consider the case $|\Lambda| = 1$ and $X = \{P\}$. Let $(\mathcal{T}_i, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}(w_i, \Lambda)$ with $w_1 > w_2$. A morphism $F : (\mathcal{T}_1, W, N) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, W, N)$ induces $\mathrm{TNIL}(F) : \mathrm{TNIL}(\mathcal{T}_1, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{TNIL}(\mathcal{T}_2, N)$ on $\Delta^*(R)$ for any $R > 0$. If R is sufficiently small, $\mathrm{TNIL}(V_i, N)$ are pure of weight w_i, and hence we have $\mathrm{TNIL}(F) = 0$. It implies $F = 0$. Hence, **P2** holds.

The property P0 We prepare some lemmas.

Lemma 8.14 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}_i, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathrm{MTS}(X, \Lambda)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. *We have* $\bigoplus_{i=1,2}(\mathcal{T}_i, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}(X, w, \Lambda)$ *if and only if* $(\mathcal{T}_i, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}(X, w, \Lambda)$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

Proof If S_i are polarizations of $(\mathcal{T}_i, W, \boldsymbol{N})$, $S_1 \oplus S_2$ is a polarization of $\bigoplus(\mathcal{T}_i, W, \boldsymbol{N})$. If S is a polarization of $\bigoplus(\mathcal{T}_i, W, \boldsymbol{N})$, we have the induced Hermitian adjoint S_i of $\mathcal{T}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$, given by $\mathcal{T}_i \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1,2} \mathcal{T}_j \longrightarrow \bigoplus \mathcal{T}_j^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_i^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w)$. It is easy to check that S_i are are polarizations of $(\mathcal{T}_i, W, \boldsymbol{N})$. ▮

Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}, S)$ be a (w, Λ)-polarized mixed twistor structure. Let $(\mathcal{T}', W', \boldsymbol{N}') \subset (\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N})$ be a subobject in the category $\mathrm{MTS}(X, \Lambda)$. We assume that the monodromy weight filtration $M\big(N'(\Lambda)\big)$ on $\mathcal{T}'$ satisfies $W' = M\big(N'(\Lambda)\big)[w]$. Let $\mathcal{T}''$ be the kernel of the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\ S\ } \mathcal{T}^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}')^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w)$$

It induces a subobject $(\mathcal{T}'', W'', \boldsymbol{N}) \subset (\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N})$ in the category $\mathrm{MTS}(X, \Lambda)$.

Lemma 8.15 *We have* $\mathcal{T}' \cap \mathcal{T}'' = 0$. *Namely, we have a decomposition which is orthogonal with respect to* S:

$$(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}) = (\mathcal{T}', W', \boldsymbol{N}') \oplus (\mathcal{T}'', W'', \boldsymbol{N}'')$$

In particular, $(\mathcal{T}', W', \boldsymbol{N}')$ *and* $(\mathcal{T}'', W'', \boldsymbol{N}'')$ *are polarizable.*

Proof We have only to consider the case $|\Lambda| = 1$ and $X = \{P\}$. We have the induced morphism $\mathrm{TNIL}(\mathcal{T}', N') \subset \mathrm{TNIL}(\mathcal{T}, N)$ on $\Delta^*(R)$. If R is sufficiently small, both $\mathrm{TNIL}(\mathcal{T}, N)$ and $\mathrm{TNIL}(\mathcal{T}', N')$ are pure of weight w, and the pairing S induces a polarization of $\mathrm{TNIL}(\mathcal{T}, N)$. We have the orthogonal decomposition $\mathrm{TNIL}(\mathcal{T}, N) = \mathrm{TNIL}(\mathcal{T}', N') \oplus \mathrm{TNIL}(\mathcal{T}', N')^{\perp}$. We obtain $\mathcal{T}' \cap \mathcal{T}'' = 0$. ▮

Lemma 8.16 *The category* $\mathcal{P}(X, w, \Lambda)$ *is abelian and semisimple, i.e.,* **P0** *holds.*

Proof Let $F : (\mathcal{T}_1, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, W, \boldsymbol{N})$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{P}(X, w, \Lambda)$. We have the kernel, the image and the cokernel in $\mathrm{MTS}(X, \Lambda)$, denoted by $(\operatorname{Ker} F, W, \boldsymbol{N})$, $(\operatorname{Im} F, W, \boldsymbol{N})$, and $(\operatorname{Cok} F, W, \boldsymbol{N})$. Let us show that they are (w, Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure. We have only to consider the case $w = 0$. It is easy to show that the filtrations W on $\operatorname{Ker} F$, $\operatorname{Im} F$ and $\operatorname{Cok} F$ are equal to $M\big(N(\Lambda)\big)$. By Lemma 8.15, they are $(0, \Lambda)$-polarizable mixed twistor structures. Hence, $\mathcal{P}(X, w, \Lambda)$ is abelian.

Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}(X, w, \Lambda)$, and let $(\mathcal{T}', W', \boldsymbol{N}') \subset (\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N})$ be a subobject in $\mathcal{P}(X, w, \Lambda)$. By Lemma 8.15 again, we have a decomposition $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}) = (\mathcal{T}', W', \boldsymbol{N}') \oplus (\mathcal{T}'', W'', \boldsymbol{N}'')$ in $\mathcal{P}(X, w, \Lambda)$. Hence, $\mathcal{P}(X, w, \Lambda)$ is semisimple. ▮

Property P3 Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}(X, w, \Lambda)$. For $I \subset \Lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{I}$, we put $N(\boldsymbol{a}) := \sum_{i \in I} a_i N_i$.

Lemma 8.17

- *The filtrations* $M\big(N(\boldsymbol{a})\big)$ *are independent of* $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{I}$. *It is denoted by* $M(I)$.
- *Let* $I \subset J \subset \Lambda$. *For* $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{J}$, *the relative monodromy filtration* $M\big(N(\boldsymbol{a}); M(I)\big)$ *exists, and it is equal to* $M(J)$.

In particular, **P3.1** *holds.*

Proof We have only to consider the case that X is a point. The claims are known in the Hodge case ([5]). The twistor case can be easily reduced to the Hodge case. (See [35]). ▮

We take $\bullet\in\Lambda$, and put $\Lambda_0:=\Lambda\setminus\bullet$. We put $(\mathcal{T}_k^{(1)},W^{(1)}):=\mathrm{Gr}_k^{M(N_\bullet)}(\mathcal{T},W)$. Let $\boldsymbol{N}^{(1)}$ denote the tuple of morphisms $N_j^{(1)}:\mathcal{T}_k^{(1)}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_k^{(1)}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ induced by N_j $(j=\Lambda\setminus\bullet)$.

Lemma 8.18 $\bigl(V_k^{(1)},W^{(1)},\boldsymbol{N}^{(1)}\bigr)\in\mathcal{P}(X,w+k,\Lambda_0)$. *A polarization can be naturally induced by* $N_\bullet$ *and a polarization of* $(V,W,\boldsymbol{N})$.

Proof We have only to consider the case that X is a point. By considering Gr^W, we can reduce the issue to the Hodge case, where the claim is known by the work due to Cattani-Kaplan-Schmid and Kashiwara-Kawai. (See [6], [7] and [24].) It is also easy to use their argument in our case. ▮

Let $(V^{(2)},W^{(2)},\boldsymbol{N}^{(2)})$ denote the image of $N_\bullet:(V,W,\boldsymbol{N})\longrightarrow(V,W,\boldsymbol{N})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ in the category $\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)$. The following lemma is the twistor version of a result in [7] and [24].

Lemma 8.19 (Proposition 3.126 of [35]) $(V^{(2)},W^{(2)},\boldsymbol{N}^{(2)})\in\mathcal{P}(X,w+1,\Lambda)$. *A polarization can be naturally induced by* $N_\bullet$ *and a polarization of* $(V,W,\boldsymbol{N})$. ▮

We set $V^{(3)}:=\Sigma^{1,0}V^{(2)}$. We have naturally induced morphisms

$$\Sigma^{1,0}(V)\xrightarrow{\ u\ }V^{(3)}\xrightarrow{\ v\ }\Sigma^{0,-1}(V)$$

Lemma 8.20 *Let* $*\in\Lambda_0$. *We have the decomposition* $\mathrm{Gr}^{M(N_*)}(V^{(3)})=\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{M(N_*)}(u)\oplus\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{M(N_*)}(v)$.

Proof It follows from Proposition 3.134 of [35]. ▮

We obtain **P3.2** by an inductive use of Lemma 8.18 with Lemma 8.17. We obtain **P3.3** from Lemma 8.19 and Lemma 8.20. Thus, the proof of Proposition 8.11 is finished. ▮

8.2.3 Proof of Proposition 8.13

The restriction of S to $(V_i\otimes U_i)\otimes\sigma^*\bigl(V_j\otimes U_j\bigr)$ induces a morphism $(V_i,W_i,\boldsymbol{N}_i)\otimes U_i\longrightarrow(V_j,W_j,\boldsymbol{N}_j)\otimes U_j$ in $\mathcal{P}(w,\Lambda)$. It has to be 0 if $i\neq j$. Hence, (77) is orthogonal with respect to S. By using Lemma 8.15, we obtain that a polarization S of $(V,W,\boldsymbol{N})$ is of the form $S=\bigoplus S_i\otimes h_i$. ▮

8.3 Infinitesimal mixed twistor module

8.3.1 Definition

We have the category of filtered objects in $\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)$, denoted by $\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. We consider a twistor version of infinitesimal mixed Hodge module introduced by Kashiwara [22].

Definition 8.21 *Let* $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$.

- *It is called a variation of* Λ*-pre-infinitesimal mixed twistor module on* X*, or simply a* Λ*-pre-IMTM on* X*, if* $\mathrm{Gr}_w^L(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})$ *is a* (w,Λ)*-polarizable mixed twistor structure on* X.
- *It is called a variation of* Λ*-infinitesimal mixed twistor module on* X*, or simply* Λ*-IMTM on* X*, if moreover there exists a relative monodromy filtration* $M(N_j;L)$ *for any* $j\in\Lambda$. ▮

If we do not have to distinguish Λ, we use "IMTM" instead of "Λ-IMTM". The full subcategory of Λ-IMTM (resp. Λ-pre-IMTM) in $\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(X,\Lambda)$). Note the following lemma, which follows from Lemma 6.16 and Proposition 8.11.

Lemma 8.22 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(\Lambda)$ *is an abelian category. Any morphism* $F:(\mathcal{T}^{(1)},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}^{(2)},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})$ *in* $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(\Lambda)$ *is strict with respect to* L. ▮

Remark 8.23 *The definitions of IMTM and pre-IMTM are not given in a parallel way to those of infinitesimal mixed Hodge module (IMHM) and pre-IMHM in* [22]. *For pre-IMHM, the weight filtration* W *is given only for* $\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{T})$. *For IMHM, the existence of relative monodromy filtration* $M\bigl(N(J);L\bigr)$ *is assumed for each* $J\subset\Lambda$. *But, it was proved in Theorem* 4.4.1 *of* [22] *that, for a given pre-IMHM, if* $M\bigl(N_j;L\bigr)$ *exists for each* $j\in\Lambda$, *then* $M\bigl(N(J);L\bigr)$ *exists for each* $J\subset\Lambda$. ∎

The following lemma is a weaker version of Proposition 8.42 below. For simplicity, assume that X is connected.

Lemma 8.24 *Let* $(\mathcal{T},L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathrm{TS}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. *Assume the following:*

- $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{P}(X,\Lambda,w)$.
- *For a point* $P\in X$, *there exists* $U_P\subset\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ *such that (i)* $|U_P|=\infty$, *(ii)* $(\mathcal{M}_1,N(\Lambda),L)_{|(\lambda,P)}\in\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathrm{RMF}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ *and* $(\mathcal{M}_1,N_i,L)_{|(\lambda,P)}\in\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathrm{RMF}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ *for any* $\lambda\in U_P$.

Then, $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$.

Proof Applying Proposition 8.7 to $(\mathcal{T},L,N(\Lambda))$, we obtain the existence of $W=M\bigl(N(\Lambda);L\bigr)$, and we have $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)$. By applying Lemma 8.10 to $\bigl((\mathcal{T},W),N_i,L\bigr)$ $(i\in\Lambda)$, we obtain the existence of $M(N_i;L)$. Hence, $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. ∎

Corollary 8.25 *Let* $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. *Assume the following:*

- *For a point* $P\in X$, *there exists* $U_P\subset\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ *such that (i)* $|U_P|=\infty$, *(ii)* $(\mathcal{M}_1,N_i,L)_{|(\lambda,P)}\in\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathrm{RMF}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ *for any* $\lambda\in U_P$.

Then, $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. ∎

8.3.2 Statements

We state some basic property of IMTM. We will prove the following theorem in Subsections 8.3.4–8.3.9

Theorem 8.26 *The categories* $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$ *have the property* **M0–3** *in Subsection* 6.3.1.

We state some complementary property. We have naturally defined dual and tensor product on the category $\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$.

Proposition 8.27 *The dual and the tensor product preserve* $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$ *and* $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(X,\Lambda)$.

Proof The claims for Λ-pre-IMTM are clear. We have only to care the existence of a relative monodromy filtration. For the dual, it is clear. For the tensor product, it follows from a result due to Steenbrink-Zucker (see Proposition 6.5). ∎

We have naturally defined functors j^*, $*$, and $\widetilde{\gamma}^*_{\mathrm{sm}}$ on $\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. The following proposition is clear.

Proposition 8.28 *They preserve* $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$ *and* $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(X,\Lambda)$. ∎

8.3.3 Canonical filtrations

We reword the construction and the results in Subsections 6.2.4 and 6.3.10. Let $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)\in\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. Take an element $\bullet\in\Lambda$, and put $\Lambda_0:=\Lambda\setminus\bullet$. Let $\widetilde{L}:=M(N_\bullet;L)$. By considering the morphisms

$$\Sigma^{1,0}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})\xrightarrow{N_\bullet}\Sigma^{0,-1}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}}\Sigma^{0,-1}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$$

we obtain the filtration $\widehat{N}_{\bullet *}L$ of $\Sigma^{0,-1}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ in the category of $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda_0)$. Similarly, by considering the morphisms

$$\Sigma^{1,0}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}}\Sigma^{1,0}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})\xrightarrow{N_\bullet}\Sigma^{0,-1}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$$

we obtain the filtration $\widehat{N}_{\bullet\,!}L$ of $\Sigma^{1,0}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ in the category of $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda_0)$. According to the property **M3** of Theorem 8.26, $\bigl(\Sigma^{0,-1}(\mathcal{T},W),\widehat{N}_{\bullet *}L,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda}\bigr)$ and $\bigl(\Sigma^{1,0}(\mathcal{T},W),\widehat{N}_{\bullet !}L,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda}\bigr)$ are Λ-IMTM. In particular,

$$(\mathcal{T},W,N_{\bullet *}L,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda}) := \Sigma^{0,1}\bigl(\Sigma^{0,-1}(\mathcal{T},W),\widehat{N}_{\bullet *}L,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda}\bigr)$$

$$(\mathcal{T},W,N_{\bullet !}L,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda}) := \Sigma^{-1,0}\bigl(\Sigma^{1,0}(\mathcal{T},W),\widehat{N}_{\bullet !}L,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda}\bigr)$$

are Λ-IMTM.

Remark 8.29 *We can also deduce that they are Λ-IMTM, by the reduction to the Hodge case using* Gr^W. *The Hodge case was shown in* [22]. ▮

We obtain the following as a special case of Proposition 6.36.

Proposition 8.30 *Let $(\mathcal{T},L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. Let $i,j\in\Lambda$ be distinct elements. Let $\star(i),\star(j)\in\{*,!\}$. Then, we have $N_{i\,\star(i)}(N_{j\,\star(j)}L)=N_{j\,\star(j)}(N_{i\,\star(i)}L)$.* ▮

We use the notation $\boldsymbol{N}_{J*}\boldsymbol{N}_{I!}L$ $(I\cap J=\emptyset)$ in the meaning as in Subsection 6.3.10.

Canonical prolongations We repeat the construction in Subsection 6.3.11 for this situation. We have the category $ML\bigl(\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)\bigr)$ as in Subsection 6.3.6. Let $(\mathcal{T},L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. For a decomposition $K_1\sqcup K_2=\Lambda$, we have an object $\mathcal{T}[*K_1!K_2]\in ML\bigl(\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)\bigr)$ given as follows. For $I\subset\Lambda$, we set $I_j:=I\cap K_j$. Then, we put $\mathcal{T}[*K_1!K_2]_I:=\Sigma^{|I_2|,-|I_1|}\mathcal{T}$. It is equipped with a filtration $\widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{I_1!}\widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{I_2*}L$. For $I\sqcup\{i\}\subset\Lambda$, morphisms $g_{Ii,I}$ and $f_{I,Ii}$ are given as follows:

$$g_{Ii,I}:=\begin{cases}\mathrm{id} & (i\in\Lambda_2)\\ N_i & (i\in\Lambda_1)\end{cases}\qquad f_{I,Ii}:=\begin{cases}N_i & (i\in\Lambda_2)\\ \mathrm{id} & (i\in\Lambda_1)\end{cases}$$

8.3.4 Property M2.2

The claims for **M1** and **M2.1** are clear by definition. Let us consider **M2.2**.

Proposition 8.31 *Let $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. For any subset $J\subset\Lambda$, we put $N(J):=\sum_{j\in J}N_j$.*

- *There exists a relative monodromy filtration $M\bigl(N(J);L\bigr)$. We denote it by $M(J;L)$.*
- *Let $I\subset J\subset\Lambda$. Then, $M\bigl(J;L\bigr)=M\Bigl(N(J);M\bigl(I;L\bigr)\Bigr)$.*

Note that $M(I;L)$ is also a relative monodromy filtration of $\sum_{i\in I}a_iN_i$ $(\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathbb{R}^I_{>0})$.

Proof In the Hodge case, it was shown in [22]. The twistor case can be reduced to the Hodge case, by considering $\mathrm{Gr}^W(\mathcal{T})$ and using Lemma 8.5. ▮

We take $\bullet\in\Lambda$, and put $\Lambda_0:=\Lambda\setminus\bullet$.

Lemma 8.32 *Let $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})$ be a Λ-pre-IMTM. Assume that there exists a relative monodromy filtration $M=M(N_\bullet;L)$. Then, $\bigl(\mathcal{T},W,M,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0}\bigr)$ is Λ_0-pre-IMTM, where $\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0}=\bigl(N_i\,\big|\,i\in\Lambda_0\bigr)$.*

If $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})$ is an Λ-IMTM, $(\mathcal{T},W,M,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ is an Λ_0-IMTM.

Proof The first claim follows from the canonical splitting $\mathrm{Gr}^M\simeq\mathrm{Gr}^M\mathrm{Gr}^L$ and Lemma 8.18. The second claim follows from Proposition 8.31. ▮

Let $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. For a decomposition $\Lambda=\Lambda_0\sqcup\Lambda_1$, we obtain an object in $\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda_0)^{\mathrm{fil}}$:

$$\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0}\bigl(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N}\bigr):=\bigl(\mathcal{T},W,M(\Lambda_1;L),\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0}\bigr)$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0}:=\bigl(N_j\,\big|\,j\in\Lambda_0\bigr)$. We obtain the following corollary by an inductive use of Lemma 8.32 with Proposition 8.31.

Corollary 8.33 $\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0}(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})$ *is a Λ_0-IMTM on X.* ▮

Thus, we have proved the claim for **M2.2**. For any $I\subset\Lambda$, we obtain a functor $\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_I:\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}(X,I)$. We naturally have $\mathrm{res}^I_J\circ\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_I=\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_J$.

8.3.5 Property M0

We consider **M0** for $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. It is clear that (i) any injection $\Phi:\Lambda\longrightarrow\Lambda_1$ induces $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda_1)$, (ii) we naturally have $\mathcal{P}(X,w,\Lambda)\subset\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$.

Let us show that $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$ is abelian. Let $F:(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}',W',L',\boldsymbol{N}')$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. According to Lemma 8.22, we have $(\operatorname{Ker}F,W,L,\boldsymbol{N})$, $(\operatorname{Im}F,W,L,\boldsymbol{N})$, $(\operatorname{Cok}F,W,L,\boldsymbol{N})$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(\Lambda)$. It remains to show that there exist relative monodromy filtrations $M(N_j;L)$ on them for any $j\in\Lambda$. For that purpose, we have only to show that F is strict with respect to $M(N_j;L)$. Fix $j\in\Lambda$, and we put $\Lambda_0:=\Lambda\setminus\{j\}$. Let $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}=(N_i\,|\,i\in\Lambda_0)$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}'{}:=(N'_i\,|\,i\in\Lambda_0)$. Because

$$\big(\mathcal{T},M(N_j;L),\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\big)\longrightarrow\big(\mathcal{T}',M(N'_j;L'),\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}'\big)$$

is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(X,\Lambda_0)$, we have the desired strictness. Thus, we proved the claim for **M0**.

8.3.6 Property M3

We state the property **M3** in this situation. We will prove it in Subsections 8.3.7–8.3.9. Let Λ be a finite set. Fix an element $\bullet\in\Lambda$, and we put $\Lambda_0:=\Lambda\setminus\bullet$. Let $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)\in IMTM(\Lambda)$. We have $(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0}):=\operatorname{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0}(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda_0)$. Let $(\mathcal{T}',W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0})\in\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda_0)$ with the following morphisms

$$\Sigma^{1,0}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})\xrightarrow{\;u\;}(\mathcal{T}',W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0})\xrightarrow{\;v\;}\Sigma^{0,-1}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$$

in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda_0)$, such that $v\circ u=N_\bullet$. We set $N'_\bullet:=u\circ v$, and the induced tuple $\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0}\sqcup\{N'_\bullet\}$ is denoted by $\boldsymbol{N}'_\Lambda$. According to Corollary 6.12, we have a filtration L of $\mathcal{T}'$ in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda_0)$, obtained as the transfer of $L(\mathcal{T})$ by (u,v). We will prove the following proposition.

Proposition 8.34 *$(\mathcal{T}',W,L,\boldsymbol{N}'_\Lambda)$ is a Λ-IMTM, i.e., the categories $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$ have the property* **M3**.

8.3.7 Transfer for pre-IMTM

As a preparation, let us address a similar issue for pre-IMTM. We consider $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)\in\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(\Lambda)$ and $(\mathcal{T}',W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0})\in\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(\Lambda_0)$ with morphisms in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(\Lambda_0)$,

$$\Sigma^{1,0}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})\xrightarrow{\;u\;}(\mathcal{T}',W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0})\xrightarrow{\;v\;}\Sigma^{0,-1}(\mathcal{T},W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0}) \tag{78}$$

such that $v\circ u=N_\bullet$. We have a unique filtration L of $(\mathcal{T}',W,\widetilde{L},\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(\Lambda_0)$ obtained as the transfer of $L(\mathcal{T})$ by (u,v). We set $N'_\bullet:=u\circ v$, and the tuple $\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0}\sqcup\{N'_\bullet\}$ is denoted by $\boldsymbol{N}'_\Lambda$.

Lemma 8.35 $(\mathcal{T}',W,L,\boldsymbol{N}'_\Lambda)\in\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(\Lambda)$.

Proof Because $\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(N'_\bullet)=0$ on $\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(v)$, the induced filtration $\widetilde{L}$ on $\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(v)$ is pure of weight k, i.e., $\operatorname{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}_j=0$ unless $j=k$. Hence, $(\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(v),\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0})$ is naturally isomorphic to a direct summand of $\operatorname{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}_k\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(\mathcal{T}',\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$. By the canonical splitting in Subsection 6.1.2, $\operatorname{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}_k\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(\mathcal{T}',\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ is naturally isomorphic to a direct summand of $\operatorname{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}_k(\mathcal{T}',\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$. Hence, we have $(\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(v),\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0})\in\mathcal{P}(X,k,\Lambda_0)$.

We regard $N_\bullet:\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathcal{T}$. We have $\big(\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(N_\bullet),\operatorname{Gr}^L_k\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda\big)\in\mathcal{P}(X,k+1,\Lambda)$, according to **P3.3** in Proposition 8.11. Because $\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(v)$ induces the following isomorphism

$$\big(\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(u),\,\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(\boldsymbol{N}'_\Lambda)\big)\simeq\Sigma^{1,0}\big(\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(N_\bullet),\operatorname{Gr}^L_k\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda\big),$$

we have $\big(\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(u),\,\operatorname{Gr}^L_k(\boldsymbol{N}'_\Lambda)\big)\in\mathcal{P}(X,k,\Lambda)$. Thus, we obtain that $(\mathcal{T}',W,L,\boldsymbol{N}'_\Lambda)\in\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(X,\Lambda)$. ▮

For the proof of Proposition 8.34, it remains to show the existence of relative monodromy filtrations $M\big(N'_j;L(\mathcal{T}')\big)$ for $j\in\Lambda_0$.

8.3.8 Existence of relative monodromy filtration in a special case

Let $\underline{2} := \{1,2\}$. Let $(\mathcal{T}', W, L, \boldsymbol{N}') \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(X, \underline{2})$ and $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(X, \underline{2})$. Assume that we are given morphisms in $\mathrm{MTS}(X)^{\mathrm{fil,nil}}$

$$(\Sigma^{1,0}(\mathcal{T}, W), L, N_1) \xrightarrow{\;u\;} \big(\mathcal{T}', W, L, N_1'\big) \xrightarrow{\;v\;} \big(\Sigma^{0,-1}(\mathcal{T}, W), L, N_1\big)$$

such that (i) $v \circ u = N_2$ and $u \circ v = N_2'$, (ii) $\big(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'; u, v; L\big)$ is filtered S-decomposable, (iii) $(\mathcal{T}', L, N_2') \in \mathrm{MTS}(X)^{\mathrm{RMF}}$. By Proposition 6.8, u and v give

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\big(\mathcal{T}, W, M(N_2; L)\big) \longrightarrow \big(\mathcal{T}', W, M(N_2'; L)\big) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1}\big(\mathcal{T}, W, M(N_2; L)\big)$$

The following lemma is based on an argument in [50].

Lemma 8.36 *Under the assumption, $(\mathcal{T}', W, L, \boldsymbol{N}')$ is a $\underline{2}$-IMTM, namely, there exists a relative monodromy filtration $M(N_1'; L)$. Moreover, $M(N_1'; L)$ is obtained as the transfer of $M(N_1; L)$ by (u, v).*

Proof We put $W^{(1)} := M(N_1; L)$. Then, we have $(\mathcal{T}, W^{(1)}, N_2) \in \mathcal{M}(X, 1)$ and $W = M(N_2; W^{(1)})$. We have a unique filtration $W^{(1)}$ of $\mathcal{T}'$ obtained as the transfer of $W^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})$ with respect to (u, v). It satisfies the conditions (A1) $W(\mathcal{T}') = M(N_2'; W^{(1)})$, (A2) $\big(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'; u, v; W^{(1)}\big)$ is filtered S-decomposable. We shall show that $W^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}')$ gives $M(N_1'; L)$.

Let us show that $(\mathcal{T}', W^{(1)}, N_2')$ is a 1-IMTM, i.e., $\big(\mathrm{Gr}_w^{W^{(1)}} \mathcal{T}', N_2'\big) \in \mathcal{P}(X, w, 1)$. On $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{Gr}_w^{W^{(1)}} v$, the filtration W is pure of weight w by construction. According to **P3.3** in Proposition 8.11, $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Gr}_w^{W^{(1)}} u$ is a polarizable mixed twistor structure of weight w. Hence, $(\mathcal{T}', W^{(1)}, N_2')$ is 1-IMTM.

Put $(\mathcal{T}_{k,m}, W) := L_k(\mathcal{T}, W)/L_m(\mathcal{T}, W)$ and $(\mathcal{T}'_{k,m}, W) := L_k(\mathcal{T}', W)/L_m(\mathcal{T}', W)$ in the category $\mathrm{MTS}(X)$. They are equipped with the induced filtrations L and the induced tuple of morphisms $\boldsymbol{N}$ and $\boldsymbol{N}'$. Then, we have $(\mathcal{T}_{k,m}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(X, \underline{2})$ and $(\mathcal{T}'_{k,m}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}') \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pre}}(X, \underline{2})$. The induced morphisms $u : \Sigma^{1,0}\mathcal{T}_{k,m} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}'_{k,m}$ and $v : \mathcal{T}'_{k,m} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1}\mathcal{T}_{k,m}$ satisfy the assumptions (i) and (ii). Hence, by the above argument, we obtain a filtration $W^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}'_{k,m})$ with which $(\mathcal{T}'_{k,m}, W, W^{(1)}, N_2') \in \mathcal{M}(X, 1)$.

Let us consider the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow L_{k-1}(\mathcal{T}', W) \longrightarrow L_k(\mathcal{T}', W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k^L(\mathcal{T}', W) \longrightarrow 0$. The arrows are morphisms in $\mathcal{M}(1)$. In particular, they are strict with respect to $W^{(1)}$.

Note that $M(N_1')[k]$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_k^L(\mathcal{T}')$ satisfies the condition (A1) for $W^{(1)}$. It also satisfies (A2) according to **P3.3** in Proposition 8.11. Hence, the induced filtration $W^{(1)}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_k^L(\mathcal{T}')$ is the same as $M(N_1')[k]$. Then, we can conclude that $W^{(1)}$ gives $M(N_1; L)$ on $\mathcal{T}$. ∎

Remark 8.37 *For a 2-IMTM $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N})$, we have $N_{2\star}M(N_1; L) = M(N_1; N_{2\star}L)$ for $\star = *, !$. Indeed, we can deduce it in our situation from Lemma* 8.36*. Alternatively, by considering* Gr^W*, we can also reduce it to the Hodge case shown in* [22]. ∎

8.3.9 End of the proof of Proposition 8.34

Let us return to the situation in Section 8.3.6. According to Lemma 8.35, we have only to show the existence of $M(N_j'; L\mathcal{T}')$ for $j \in \Lambda_0$. We have only to consider the case that X is a point. Put $\Lambda_1 := \Lambda_0 \setminus \{j\}$. We set $\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_1} := \big(N_i \,\big|\, i \in \Lambda_1\big)$ and $\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_1} := \big(N_i' \,\big|\, i \in \Lambda_1\big)$. We obtain smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triples $\mathrm{TNIL}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_1})$ and $\mathrm{TNIL}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{T}', \boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_1})$ on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\Lambda_1}$ with filtrations L. We take a point $\iota_P : \{P\} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\Lambda_1}$, which is sufficiently close to the origin $(0, \ldots, 0)$. We set $\mathcal{T}_P := \iota_P^* \,\mathrm{TNIL}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_1})$, which is equipped with the induced filtration L and the morphisms $N_j, N_\bullet : \mathcal{T}_P \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathcal{T}_P$. Similarly, we put $\mathcal{T}_P' := \iota_P^* \,\mathrm{TNIL}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{T}', \boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_1})$ equipped with the induced filtration L and the morphisms $N_j', N_\bullet' : \mathcal{T}_P' \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathcal{T}_P'$. We can apply Lemma 8.36 to $(\mathcal{T}_P, L_P, N_j, N_\bullet)$ and $(\mathcal{T}_P', L_P, N_j', N_\bullet')$, and we obtain a relative monodromy filtration $M\big(N_j'; L(\mathcal{T}_P')\big)$. By construction of TNIL, we obtain a relative monodromy filtration $M\big(N_j'; L(\mathcal{T}')\big)$. Thus, the proof of Proposition 8.34 is finished. ∎

8.4 Nearby cycle functor along a monomial function

8.4.1 Beilinson IMTM and its deformation

Recall Beilinson triple in Subsection 2.3. We use the same symbol to denote the pull back via a morphism X to a point. It is naturally equipped with the weight filtration W given by $W_k(\mathbb{I}^{a,b}) = \bigoplus_{-2i\leq k} \boldsymbol{T}(i)$, and $(\mathbb{I}^{a,b}, W)$ is a mixed twistor structure on X. The tuple $(\mathbb{I}^{a,b}, W, L, N_{\mathbb{I}})$ is a 1-IMTM on X, where $L = W$. For any $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{\Lambda}$, let $\boldsymbol{c}\, N_{\mathbb{I}}$ denote the tuple $\bigl(c_i\, N_{\mathbb{I}} \,\big|\, i \in \Lambda\bigr)$. We obtain $\bigl(\mathbb{I}^{a,b}, W, L, \boldsymbol{c}\, N_{\mathbb{I}}\bigr) \in \mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = (\varphi_i \,|\, i \in \Lambda)$ be a tuple of holomorphic functions on X. We set $\mathbb{I}^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{\varphi}} := \mathrm{Def}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\bigl(\mathbb{I}^{a,b}, W, L, \boldsymbol{c}\, N_{\mathbb{I}}\bigr)$ in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$.

8.4.2 Statement

We will omit to denote the weight filtration of mixed twistor structure. Let $(\mathcal{T}, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. Let $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda}_{>0}$. We obtain the following Λ-IMTM on X:

$$\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T}, L, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\bigr) := \bigl(\mathcal{T}, L, \boldsymbol{N}\bigr) \otimes \mathbb{I}^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$$

For any subset $I \subset \Lambda$, we put $\widetilde{N}_I := \prod_{i\in I} \widetilde{N}_i$. For $\star = *, !$, we have the filtrations $\widetilde{N}_{I\star}L$ on $\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T}$. Let M be a sufficiently large integer. We have an induced morphism of Λ-IMTM:

$$\widetilde{N}_I : \Sigma^{|I|,0}\bigl(\Pi^{0,M}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T},\ \widetilde{N}_{I!}L,\ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\bigr) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-|I|}\bigl(\Pi^{0,M}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T},\ \widetilde{N}_{I*}L,\ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\bigr)$$

The cokernel in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$ is denoted by $\bigl(\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_I, \widehat{L}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\bigr)$.

On the other hand, the filtration L of $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{N})$ naively induces a filtration on $\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T}$ given by $L_k\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T}\bigr) = \Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}L_k(\mathcal{T})$ in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. It induces a filtration of $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_I$ in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. They are also denoted by L.

The morphism $N_{\mathbb{I}} : \mathbb{I}^{a,b} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbb{I}^{a,b}$ naturally induces a morphism $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_I \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_I$, which is also denoted by $N_{\mathbb{I}}$. We shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 8.38 *We have* $\widehat{L} = M(N_{\mathbb{I}}; L)[1]$ *on* $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_I$.

The Hodge version of this theorem appeared in [50]. We obtain the following as a special case.

Corollary 8.39 *We have* $\widehat{L} = M(N_{\mathbb{I}}; L)[1]$ *on* $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_{\Lambda}$. ▮

8.4.3 Variant

Let $K \subset \Lambda$ be non-empty. For $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{K}_{>0}$, we obtain $\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T}, L, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\bigr) := \bigl(\mathcal{T}, L, \boldsymbol{N}\bigr) \otimes \mathbb{I}^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$. We have the induced morphism in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$:

$$\widetilde{N}_K : \Sigma^{|K|,0}\bigl(\Pi^{0,M}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T},\ \widetilde{N}_{K!}L,\ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\bigr) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-|K|}\bigl(\Pi^{0,M}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T},\ \widetilde{N}_{K*}L,\ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\bigr)$$

On the cokernel $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_K$, we have the induced filtration $\widehat{L}$ and the tuple $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}$ so that $\bigl(\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_K, \widehat{L}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\bigr)$ is a Λ-IMTM. It is also equipped with the naively induced filtration L. We have a naturally induced morphism $N_{\mathbb{I}} : \psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ in $\mathcal{M}(X,\Lambda)$.

Corollary 8.40 *We have* $\widehat{L} = M(N_{\mathbb{I}}; L)[1]$.

Proof By using TNIL_{K^c}, we can reduce it to Corollary 8.39. ▮

8.4.4 Reformulation

Let $I' := I \sqcup \{i\}$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma^{|I|+1,0}\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r, "="] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{N}_I"'] & \Sigma^{|I'|,0}\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{N}_i"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{N}_{I'}"'] & \Sigma^{|I|,-1}\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T} \arrow[d, "\widetilde{N}_I"'] \\
\Sigma^{1,-|I|}\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{N}_i"] & \Sigma^{0,-|I'|}\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r, "="] & \Sigma^{0,-|I|-1}\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, we obtain naturally induced morphisms:

$$\mathrm{can} : \Sigma^{1,0}\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_I \longrightarrow \psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_{I'} \qquad \mathrm{var} : \psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_{I'} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1}\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_I$$

The tuple $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T}) := \big(\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_I \,\big|\, I \subset \underline{\ell}\big)$ with the filtration $\widehat{L}$ is an object in $ML\big(\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)\big)$. (See Subsection 6.3.6 for the category $ML\big(\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)\big)$.) Indeed, it is obtained as the cokernel of $(\Pi^{0,N}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T})_! \longrightarrow (\Pi^{0,N}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathcal{T})_*$ in $ML\big(\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)\big)$.

On the other hand, the filtration L naively induces a filtration of $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})$ in $ML\big(\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)\big)$, which is also denoted by L. The previous proposition can be reformulated as follows.

Theorem 8.41 *We have $\widehat{L} = M(N;L)[1]$ on $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})$.*

8.4.5 Proof

We have only to consider the case that L is pure of weight w, i.e., $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}(X,w,\Lambda)$. Moreover, we may assume $w=0$. We have the weight filtration $M(N_{\mathbb{I}})$ on each $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})_I$, which is preserved by can and var. Hence, we obtain a filtration $M(N_{\mathbb{I}})$ on $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathcal{T})$. We have only to show that $M(N_{\mathbb{I}})[1] = \widehat{L}$. We may assume that X is a point. We have only to consider the case that $\varphi_i = 0$ $(i \in \Lambda)$.

According to Corollary 3.132 of [35], $\big(\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathcal{T})_I, (\boldsymbol{N}, N_{\mathbb{I}})\big)$ is a $(1, \Lambda \sqcup \{\bullet\})$-polarizable mixed twistor structure. Hence, $\big(\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathcal{T})_I, M(N_{\mathbb{I}})[1], \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\big)$ is a Λ-IMTM For $I' = I \sqcup \{i\}$, according to Lemma 8.20, the following is S-decomposable:

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{M(N_{\mathbb{I}})}\,\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathcal{T})_I \xrightarrow{\ u\ } \mathrm{Gr}^{M(N_{\mathbb{I}})}\,\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathcal{T})_{I'} \xrightarrow{\ v\ } \Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{M(N_{\mathbb{I}})}\,\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathcal{T})_I$$

Namely, $\big(\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathcal{T}), M(N_{\mathbb{I}})[1]\big)$ is an object in $ML\big(\mathrm{MTS}(\Lambda)\big)$.

Let $I \subset \Lambda$. On $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathcal{T})_I$, we have the relative weight filtrations $M\big(\widetilde{N}(I); M(N_{\mathbb{I}})[1]\big)$ and $M\big(\widetilde{N}(I); \widehat{L}\big)$. To show $M(N_{\mathbb{I}})[1] = \widehat{L}$, we have only to show $M\big(\widetilde{N}(I); M(N_{\mathbb{I}})[1]\big) = M\big(\widetilde{N}(I); \widehat{L}\big)$ on $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathcal{T})_I$ for any $I \subset \Lambda$, according to Theorem 6.31. If $I = \Lambda$, both $M\big(\widetilde{N}(\Lambda); M(N_{\mathbb{I}})[1]\big)$ and $M\big(\widetilde{N}(\Lambda); \widehat{L}\big)$ are the weight filtration of the mixed twistor structure. Let us consider the case $J := \Lambda \setminus I \neq \emptyset$. We take $Q \in \mathbb{C}^J$, which is sufficiently close to the origin. We have a $(0,I)$-polarizable mixed twistor structure $\mathrm{TNIL}_{J,Q}(\mathcal{T})$ with $\boldsymbol{N}_I := (N_i \in I)$. Let $\boldsymbol{m}_I := (m_i \,|\, i \in I)$. On $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}_I}\big(\mathrm{TNIL}_{J,Q}(\mathcal{T})\big)_I$, we have $M\big(\widetilde{N}(I); W(N)[1]\big) = M\big(\widetilde{N}(I); \widehat{L}\big)$. The underlying $\mathcal{R}$-modules of $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathcal{T})_I$ and $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}_I}\big(\mathrm{TNIL}_{J,Q}(\mathcal{T})_I\big)$ are naturally isomorphic. Therefore, we have $M\big(\widetilde{N}(I); M(N_{\mathbb{I}})[1]\big) = M\big(\widetilde{N}(I); \widehat{L}\big)$ on $\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathcal{T})_I$, and the proof of Theorem 8.41 is finished. ∎

8.5 Twistor version of a theorem of Kashiwara

Let $(V,L,\boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. We assume the following:

- For each w, we have $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(V,L,\boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}(X,w,\Lambda)$.
- For each $P \in X$, there exists a subset $U_P \subset \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ such that (i) $|U_P| = \infty$, (ii) for any $\lambda \in U$ and for $i \in \Lambda$, we have $(\mathcal{M}_1, L, N_i)_{|P} \in \mathrm{Vect}^{\mathrm{RMF}}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

The following proposition is a twistor version of Theorem 4.4.1 of [22].

Proposition 8.42 *$(\mathcal{T},L,\boldsymbol{N})$ is a Λ-IMTM, i.e., there exists a relative monodromy filtration of $\big(\mathcal{T},L,N(\Lambda)\big)$.*

8.5.1 A purity theorem (Special case)

Let $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})$ be a $\underline{2}$-IMTM. We have morphisms $N_i : (\Sigma^{1,0}\mathcal{T},L) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1}\big(\mathcal{T},N_{i*}L\big)$ $(i=1,2)$. They give $N_i : \Sigma^{1,0}L_k(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1}\big(N_{i*}L_{k-1}\mathcal{T}\big)$, i.e., $N_i : L_k(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}N_{i*}L_{k-1}(\mathcal{T})$. Similarly, we have $N_i : N_{j*}L_k(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}N_{j*}N_{i*}L_{k-1}(\mathcal{T})$. Then, we obtain the following filtered complex Π in $\mathrm{MTS}(X)$:

$$L_{-1}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{N_1\oplus N_2} \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}(N_{1*}L)_{-2}\mathcal{T} \oplus \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}(N_{2*}L)_{-2}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{N_2-N_1} \boldsymbol{T}^{-2}(N_{1*}N_{2*}L)_{-3}\mathcal{T}$$

The cohomology group $H^i(\Pi)$ with the induced weight filtration W is a mixed twistor structure.

Lemma 8.43 $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j\, H^i(\Pi) = 0$ *unless* $j \leq i-1$.

Proof It can be reduced to the Hodge case in [22]. ▮

Remark 8.44 *The purity theorem can be proved in a more general situation.* ▮

8.5.2 Proof of Proposition 8.42

We have only to consider the case that X is a point $\{P\}$. By using TNIL, we can reduce the issue to the case $\Lambda = \{1,2\}$. We regard $(\mathcal{T}, L)$ as a filtered vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$, denoted by (V, L). We may assume $V = L_0(V)$. By an inductive argument, we may assume that the claim holds for $(L_{-1}V, L, \boldsymbol{N})$. According to Lemma 8.24, we have only to show the existence of relative monodromy filtration for $N_{1|\lambda} + N_{2|\lambda}$ on $(V, L)_{|\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in U_P$. Then, we have only to apply the argument in Section 6 of [22], with Lemma 8.43. ▮

8.6 Integrable case

8.6.1 Integrable mixed twistor structure

Let X be a complex manifold. Let $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ be a filtered object in the category of integrable smooth $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples. It is called an integrable variation of mixed twistor structure on X, (or simply an integrable mixed twistor structure on X), if $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w(\mathcal{T})$ is integrable polarizable pure twistor D-module of weight w. It is called pure of weight w, if $\mathrm{Gr}^L_m = 0$ unless $m = w$. The category of integrable mixed twistor structure on X is denoted by $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$. It is an abelian category. It is equipped with tensor product and inner homomorphism. It is also equipped with additive auto equivalences $\Sigma^{p,q}$ given by the tensor product $\mathcal{U}(-p,q)$.

8.6.2 Integrable polarizable mixed twistor structure

We consider an abelian category $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ with additive automorphisms $\Sigma^{p,q}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{U}(-p,q)$. Then, for any finite set Λ, we obtain the abelian category $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,\Lambda) := \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)(\Lambda)$ as in Subsection 6.1.1. An object $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,\Lambda)$ is called an integrable (w,Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure, if (i) $W = M\big(N(\Lambda)\big)[w]$, (ii) there exists an integrable Hermitian adjoint $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ of weight w, such that $\iota_P^*(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}, \mathcal{S})$ is a (w,Λ)-polarized mixed twistor structure for each point $\iota_P : \{P\} \longrightarrow X$. The full subcategory of integrable (w,Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure is denoted by $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, w, \Lambda)$. The following is an analogue of Proposition 6.4.

Proposition 8.45 *The categories* $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, w, \Lambda)$ *satisfy the property* **P0–3** *in Subsection* 6.3.1.

Proof As for **P0**, we have only to repeat the argument in the ordinary case. The other property in the integrable case can be reduced to those in the ordinary case. ▮

As in the case of $\mathcal{P}(X,\Lambda,w)$, any object in $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,\Lambda,w)$ has the canonical decomposition, and its polarization is unique up to automorphisms. The category $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,\Lambda,w)$ is equipped with tensor product, dual, j^* and $\widetilde{\gamma}^*_{\mathrm{sm}}$.

8.6.3 Infinitesimal mixed twistor module

We have the category of filtered objects in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,\Lambda)$, denoted by $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$.

- It is called an integrable Λ-pre-IMTM on X, if $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N})$ is an integrable (w,Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure on X.
- It is called an integrable Λ-IMTM on X, if moreover there exists a relative monodromy filtration $M(N_j; L)$ for any $j \in \Lambda$. In other words, $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N})$ is an integral Λ-IMTM, if (i) it is an integrable pre-Λ-IMTM, (ii) it is a Λ-IMTM.

The full subcategory of integrable Λ-IMTM (resp. integrable Λ-pre-IMTM) in $\mathrm{MTS}(X,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,\Lambda)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int\,pre}}(X,\Lambda)$). The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 8.46 *$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int\,pre}}(\Lambda)$ is an abelian category. Any morphism $F : (\mathcal{T}^{(1)}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}^{(2)}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N})$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int\,pre}}(\Lambda)$ is strict with respect to L.* ∎

Proposition 8.47 *The categories $\mathcal{M}(X, \Lambda)$ have the property* **M0–3** *in Subsection* 6.3.1.

Proof We give only an indication. Let us consider **M0**. It is clear that (i) any injection $\Phi : \Lambda \longrightarrow \Lambda_1$ induces $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda_1)$, (ii) we naturally have $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, w, \Lambda) \subset \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda)$. Let us show that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda)$ is abelian. Let $F : (\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}', W', L', \boldsymbol{N}')$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda)$. We have $(\mathrm{Ker}\, F, W, L, \boldsymbol{N})$, $(\mathrm{Im}\, F, W, L, \boldsymbol{N})$, $(\mathrm{Cok}\, F, W, L, \boldsymbol{N})$ in $\mathcal{M}(X, \Lambda)$. They are naturally integrable smooth filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples, and objects in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int\,pre}}(X, \Lambda)$. Hence, they are naturally objects in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda)$. The claims for **M1** and **M2.1** are clear by definition. Let us consider **M2.2**. Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda)$. By **M2.2** for $\mathcal{M}(X, \Lambda)$, we have the filtration $M(\Lambda_1; L)$ and the object $\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0}(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N})$ in $\mathcal{M}(X, \Lambda_0)$. We can show that it is naturally an object in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda_0)$, by using Deligne's formula for relative monodromy filtration and Kashiwara's canonical decomposition, and we obtain **M2.2**. To argue **M3**, let us consider the situation in Subsection 8.3.6 with integrability. Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda}) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(\Lambda)$. We have $\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0}(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda}) =: (\mathcal{T}, W, \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda_0)$. Let $(\mathcal{T}', W, \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0}) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda_0)$ with morphisms as in (78) in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda_0)$, such that $v \circ u = N_{\bullet}$. We set $N'_{\bullet} := u \circ v$, and the induced tuple $\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda_0} \sqcup \{N'_{\bullet}\}$ is denoted by $\boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda}$. We have a filtration L of $\mathcal{T}'$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \Lambda_0)$, obtained as the transfer of $L(\mathcal{T})$ by (u, v). By **M3** for $\mathcal{M}(X, \Lambda)$, $(\mathcal{T}', W, L, \boldsymbol{N}'_{\Lambda})$ is a Λ-IMTM. By repeating the argument for Lemma 8.35, we obtain that it is an integrable Λ-pre-IMTM, and hence it is an integrable Λ-IMTM. ∎

Remark 8.48 *Although we do not give the statements of the integral version of Theorem* 8.38 *and Proposition* 8.42*, they can easily be reduced to the ordinary case.* ∎

9 Admissible mixed twistor structure and variants

In this section, we study admissible variation of mixed twistor structure, which is rather straightforward generalization of admissible variation of Hodge structure, studied in [22] and [55].

9.1 Admissible mixed twistor structure

9.1.1 Mixed twistor structure on (X, D)

Let X be a complex manifold, and D be a simply normal crossing hypersurface of X with the irreducible decomposition $D = \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$. For $I \subset \Lambda$, we set $D_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$ and $D_I^{\circ} := D_I \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin I} D_j$.

An object $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{TS}(X, D)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ is called a variation of mixed twistor structure on (X, D), or simply mixed twistor structure on (X, D), if its restriction to $X - D$ is a mixed twistor structure on $X - D$. Let $\mathrm{MTS}(X, D) \subset \mathrm{TS}(X, D)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ be the full subcategory of mixed twistor structure on (X, D). It is an abelian category.

9.1.2 Pre-admissibility

A mixed twistor structure $(\mathcal{T}, L)$ on (X, D) is called pre-admissible, if the following holds:

(Adm0) For each w, $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T})$ is obtained as the canonical prolongation of a good wild polarizable variation of pure twistor structure of weight w.

(Adm1) $\mathcal{T}$ is a smooth good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple, and the filtration L is compatible with the KMS-structure.

Let us consider the case $X = \Delta^n$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{z_i = 0\}$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTS}(X, D)$ be pre-admissible. For $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^{\ell}$, we obtain ${}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathrm{TS}(D_{\underline{\ell}})$, which is equipped with a tuple of morphisms $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}} = (\mathcal{N}_i \,|\, i = 1, \ldots, \ell)$. It is also equipped with the filtration L in the category of $\mathrm{TS}(D_{\underline{\ell}})$ by **(Adm1)**, which is preserved by $\mathcal{N}_i$. Thus, we obtain $\bigl({}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}, L), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}\bigr)$ in $\mathrm{TS}(D_{\underline{\ell}}, \underline{\ell})^{\mathrm{fil}}$. For any point $\iota_P : \{P\} \longrightarrow D_{\underline{\ell}}$, we set $\bigl({}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}, L), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}\bigr)_{|P} := \iota_P^*\bigl({}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}, L), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}\bigr)$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified, we have $\bigl({}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u},\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{T}, L), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}\bigr)$ in $\mathrm{TS}(D_{\underline{\ell}}, \underline{\ell})^{\mathrm{fil}}$ for $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^{\ell}$ and $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}, \underline{\ell})$. We also have $\bigl({}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u},\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{T}, L), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}\bigr)_{|P} := \iota_P^*\bigl({}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u},\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{T}, L), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}\bigr)$.

9.1.3 Admissibility in the smooth divisor case

Let us consider the case that D is smooth. Let $\bigl(\mathcal{T},L\bigr)\in\mathrm{MTS}(X,D)$ be pre-admissible. Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ be the underlying $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules of $\mathcal{T}$. We have the induced bundles $\mathcal{G}_u\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}_i$ $(u\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{D}$ by taking Gr with respect to the KMS-structure. It is equipped with the endomorphism $\mathrm{Res}(\mathbb{D})$. Let N denote the nilpotent part. It is also equipped with the induced filtration L by **(Adm1)**. Then, $\mathcal{T}$ is called admissible, if moreover the following holds:

(Adm2) N on $\bigl(\mathcal{G}_u(\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}_i),L\bigr)$ has a relative monodromy filtration.

The following lemma is a special case of Proposition 9.5.

Lemma 9.1 *Let $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTS}(X,D)$ be admissible. Assume $X=\Delta^n$ and $D=\{z_1=0\}$. For each $u\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$, $(\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T}),L,\mathcal{N})$ is a 1-IMTM on D. If $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified, for each $u\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{D})$, $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}),L,\mathcal{N}\bigr)$ is a 1-IMTM on D.*

Proof We have only to consider the unramified case. By the compatibility of the filtration L and the KMS-structure, $\bigl(\mathrm{Gr}^L_w\,\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}),\mathcal{N}\bigr)$ comes from $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T})$. Hence, it is polarizable. ▮

Lemma 9.2 *We can replace the condition* **(Adm2)** *with the following condition:*

(Adm2') *There exists $U\subset\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ with $|U|=\infty$ such that we have $\bigl(\mathcal{G}_u(\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}_1),L,\mathcal{N}\bigr)_{(\lambda,P)}\in\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathrm{RMF}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ for any $(\lambda,P)\in U\times D$.*

Proof It follows from Proposition 8.7 and Lemma 9.1. ▮

9.1.4 Admissibility in the normal crossing case

Let X be a complex manifold, and let D be a simple normal crossing hypersurface of X.

Definition 9.3 *Let $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTS}(X,D)$ be pre-admissible. It is called admissible, if for any smooth point $P\in D$, there exists a small neighbourhood X_P of P such that $\mathcal{T}_{|X_P}$ is admissible in the sense of the smooth divisor case.* ▮

The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 9.4 *Let $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{TS}(X,D)$ come from a good wild polarizable variation of pure twistor structure of weight w. Then, it is an admissible mixed twistor structure on (X,D).* ▮

Proposition 9.5 *Let $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTS}(X,D)$ be admissible. Let $P\in D_I^{\circ}$. We take a coordinate around P.*

- *For $\boldsymbol{u}\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})^I$, the induced $\bigl({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T},L),\boldsymbol{N}_I\bigr)_{|P}$ is an I-IMTM.*
- *If $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified around P, for any $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T},P)$ and for any $\boldsymbol{u}\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})^I$, $\bigl({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{V},L),\boldsymbol{N}\bigr)_{|P}$ is an I-IMTM.*

Proof We have only to consider the unramified case. If λ is generic, $N_{i|\lambda}$ $(i\in I)$ have relative monodromy filtrations. Then, the claim follows from Proposition 8.42. ▮

9.1.5 Category of admissible MTS

Let $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)\subset\mathrm{MTS}(X,D)$ be the full subcategory of admissible mixed twistor structure on (X,D). It is equipped with additive auto equivalences $\Sigma^{p,q}$ given by the tensor product with $\mathcal{U}(-p,q)$.

Proposition 9.6 *The category $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$ is abelian.*

Proof Let $F : (\mathcal{T}_1, L) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, L)$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D)$. We have $(\operatorname{Ker} F, L)$, $(\operatorname{Im} F, L)$ and $(\operatorname{Cok} F, L)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}(X, D)$. We shall show that they are objects in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D)$. If L is pure, it follows from the theory of polarizable wild pure twistor D-modules.

Let us consider the mixed case. Because F is strict with respect to L, we have $\mathrm{Gr}^L \operatorname{Ker} F \simeq \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{Gr}^L F$, $\mathrm{Gr}^L \operatorname{Im} F \simeq \operatorname{Im} \mathrm{Gr}^L F$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^L \operatorname{Cok} F \simeq \operatorname{Cok} \mathrm{Gr}^L F$. Hence, **(Adm0)** is satisfied for $\operatorname{Ker} F$, $\operatorname{Im} F$ and $\operatorname{Cok} F$. Let us check **(Adm1–2)**. By **(Adm0)**, we have already known that $\operatorname{Ker} F$, $\operatorname{Im} F$ and $\operatorname{Cok} F$ are smooth good $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triples. We may and will assume that D is smooth. (See Corollary 5.6.)

Let us consider the regular singular case. For any $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, $\widetilde{\psi}_u(F) : \widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T}_1, L) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T}_2, L)$ is a morphism in $\mathrm{MTS}(D)$. Hence, the cokernel is strict with respect to λ. Then, we obtain that F is strict with respect to the KMS-structure, and $\operatorname{Ker} F$, $\operatorname{Im} F$ and $\operatorname{Cok} F$ are equipped with KMS-structure. We obtain the existence of relative monodromy filtration, because the category of 1-IMTM is abelian. Thus, we are done in the regular singular case.

Let us consider the good irregular case. We may assume that $\mathcal{T}_i$ are unramified. Let $\mathcal{M}_{i,c}$ $(c = 1, 2)$ be the underlying $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules of $\mathcal{T}_i$. We have the irregular decomposition

$$\mathcal{M}_{i,c|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}_i)} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{i,c,\mathfrak{a}}.$$

Let $F_1 : \mathcal{M}_{2,1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ and $F_2 : \mathcal{M}_{1,2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2,2}$ be the underlying morphisms of F. It is easy to see that $F_{c|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}}$ is compatible with the decompositions. We have natural isomorphisms $\operatorname{Ker}(F_c)_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}} = \operatorname{Ker}(F_{c|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}})$, $\operatorname{Im}(F_c)_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}} = \operatorname{Im}(F_{c|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}})$ and $\operatorname{Cok}(F_c)_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}} = \operatorname{Cok}(F_{c|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}})$. Hence, $\operatorname{Ker}(F_c)$, $\operatorname{Im}(F_c)$ and $\operatorname{Cok}(F_c)$ are good smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules, and we have

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\operatorname{Ker} F) \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}_1), \quad \mathrm{Irr}(\operatorname{Im} F) \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}_1) \cap \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}_2), \quad \mathrm{Irr}(\operatorname{Cok} F) \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}_2).$$

There exists an open dense subset $D' \subset D$ such that, for any $P \in D'$, the restriction of the union $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}_1) \cup \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ on a small neighbourhood X_P of P is a good set of irregular values. Let $\pi : X \longrightarrow D$ be the natural projection. We have an induced morphism $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(F_c)$ on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \pi^{-1}(D')$, obtained as the reduction with respect to the Stokes structure. By using the flatness and Hartogs property, we obtain an induced $\mathbb{D}$-flat morphism $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(F_c)$ on $\mathcal{X}$. Its restriction to $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ is naturally identified with $F_{c|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}}$. Thus, we obtain $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(F) : \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$. By using the result in the regular singular case, we obtain that the cokernel of $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(F) : \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ are strict. Hence, we obtain that F is strict with respect to the KMS structure. We also obtain the existence of the relative monodromy filtration of the nilpotent part of the residues. ▮

In the proof, we have shown the following.

Proposition 9.7 *Let $F : \mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D)$. Then, F is strictly compatible with respect to the KMS-structure.* ▮

Let $X = \Delta^n$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{z_i = 0\}$. As noted in Proposition 9.5, we have a functor

$${}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}} : \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(D_{\underline{\ell}}, \underline{\ell}).$$

We obtain the following corollary from Proposition 9.7.

Corollary 9.8 *The functor ${}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is exact.* ▮

Let $\mathcal{N} : (\mathcal{T}, W) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}, W) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D)$. The monodromy weight filtration of $\mathcal{N}$ is a filtration in the category of $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D)$. It induces a filtration of ${}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}, W)$ in $\mathcal{M}(D_{\underline{\ell}}, \underline{\ell})$, denoted by ${}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}} W(\mathcal{N})$. We also have the induced morphism ${}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{N}) : {}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow {}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. Because ${}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is exact, we obtain the following corollary by Deligne's inductive formula for monodromy weight filtration.

Corollary 9.9 *We have ${}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}} W(\mathcal{N}) = W\bigl({}^{\underline{\ell}}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{N})\bigr)$.* ▮

9.2 Admissible polarizable mixed twistor structure

9.2.1 Definition

Let Λ be a finite set. We set $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D,\Lambda):=\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)(\Lambda)$.

Unramified case Let $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ be an object in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)$, which is unramified. It is called (w,Λ)-polarizable, if the following holds.

R1 There exists a hermitian adjoint $S:(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ of weight w, such that $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda,S)_{|X\setminus D}\in\mathcal{P}(X\setminus D,w,\Lambda)$.

R2 For any $P\in D_I^\circ$, $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T})_{|P}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})^I$, the tuples $\bigl({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}),\boldsymbol{N}_{I\sqcup\Lambda}\bigr)_{|P}$ with ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(S)$ are $(w,\Lambda\sqcup I)$-polarized mixed twistor structure.

Lemma 9.10 *The second condition is independent of the choice of S in the first condition.*

Proof Let S_i $(i=1,2)$ be polarizations of $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})$ as in the first condition. Assume that the second condition is satisfied for S_1. Let us show that it is also satisfied for S_2. There exists a decomposition $(\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{N})_{|X\setminus D}=\bigoplus(\mathcal{T}_j,\boldsymbol{N})$ which are orthogonal with respect to both S_i, and $S_{1|\mathcal{T}_j}=a_j\cdot S_{2|\mathcal{T}_j}$ for some $a_j>0$. We have the endomorphism F of V induced by S_i $(i=1,2)$, and $\mathcal{T}_j=\mathrm{Ker}(F-a_j)$. It is extended to endomorphism of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $P\in D_I^\circ$. We have the induced endomorphisms ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(F)$ on ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T})_{|P}$. It is a morphism in the category of polarizable mixed twistor structures. Hence, we obtain that $F-\alpha$ are strict for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$. Then, the decomposition $\mathcal{T}_{|X\setminus D}=\bigoplus\mathcal{T}_j$ is extended to $\mathcal{T}=\bigoplus\mathcal{T}_j'$, compatible with the KMS-structures. Then, the claim is clear. ∎

Ramified case Let $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ be an object in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)$, which is not necessarily unramified. It is called (w,Λ)-polarizable, if the following holds:

- It is locally the descent of an unramified admissible (w,Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure.
- There exists $S:(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ such that $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda,S)_{|X\setminus D}$ is a polarized mixed twistor structure on $X\setminus D$.

9.2.2 Category of admissible variation of (w,Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure

Let $\mathcal{P}(X,D,\Lambda,w)\subset\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)$ denote the full subcategory of admissible (w,Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure on (X,D).

Proposition 9.11 *The categories $\mathcal{P}(X,D,\Lambda,w)$ have property* **P0–3**.

Proof The claims for **P1–2** are clear. Let us show **P0**. Let $F:(\mathcal{T}_1,W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_2,W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{P}(X,D,\Lambda,w)$. We have $(\mathrm{Ker}\,F,W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$, $(\mathrm{Im}\,F,W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ and $(\mathrm{Cok}\,F,W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)$. We choose polarizations S_i for $(\mathcal{T}_i,W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$. We have the adjoint $F^\vee:(\mathcal{T}_2,W,\boldsymbol{N})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_1,W,\boldsymbol{N})$, which induces splittings $\mathcal{T}_1=\mathrm{Ker}\,F\oplus\mathrm{Im}\,F$ and $\mathcal{T}_2=\mathrm{Im}\,F\oplus\mathrm{Cok}\,F$ in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)$. The decompositions are compatible with the filtrations W and the tuple of morphisms $\boldsymbol{N}$. Hence, we obtain that $\mathcal{P}(X,D,\Lambda,w)$ is abelian and semisimple.

Let us show **P3.1**. For $\Lambda_1\subset\Lambda$, we have the filtration $M(\Lambda_1):=M\bigl(N(\Lambda_1)\bigr)$ of $(\mathcal{T},W)$ in the category $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$. Let $\Lambda_2\subset\Lambda_1$. On $X\setminus D$, $M(\Lambda_1)_{|X\setminus D}$ is a relative monodromy filtration of $N(\Lambda_1)_{|X\setminus D}$ with respect to $M(\Lambda_2)_{|X\setminus D}$. Hence, it is easy to observe that $M(\Lambda_1)$ is a relative monodromy filtration of $N(\Lambda_1)$ with respect to $M(\Lambda_2)$.

Let us consider **P3.2**. Let $\Lambda=\Lambda_0\sqcup\Lambda_1$ be a decomposition. We put $\widetilde{L}:=M(\Lambda_1)$, which is a filtration of $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D,\Lambda_0)$.

Lemma 9.12 $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}_k(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ *is an object in $\mathcal{P}(X,D,\Lambda_0,w+k)$.*

Proof Its restriction to $X \setminus D$ is an object in $\mathcal{P}(X \setminus D, \Lambda_0, w+k)$. Let S be a polarization of $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$. We obtain a polarization of $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}_k(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ induced by S and $N(\Lambda_1)$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified, we have natural isomorphisms $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}_k(\mathcal{T})_{|P} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}_k\,\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T})_{|P}$ for each P. Hence, we obtain that $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}_k(\mathcal{T}, L, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ is an object in $\mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda_0, w+k)$. ▮

Let us show **P3.3**. Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N})$ be an object in $\mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w)$. Let $\bullet \in \Lambda$. We have the induced object $(\operatorname{Im} N_\bullet, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D, \Lambda)$.

Lemma 9.13 *It is an object in* $\mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w+1)$.

Proof Its restriction to $X \setminus D$ is an object in $\mathcal{P}(X \setminus D, \Lambda, w+1)$. A polarization S for $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ and $N_\bullet$ induces a polarization for $(\operatorname{Im} N_\bullet, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified, we have

$$ {}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\operatorname{Im} N_\bullet)_{|P} \simeq \operatorname{Im}\Big({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(N_\bullet)_{|P}\Big). $$

Hence, the claim follows from Lemma 8.19. ▮

Let $* \in \Lambda \setminus \bullet$. We have the following induced morphisms in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D, \Lambda \setminus *)$:

$$ \Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N_*)}\,\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N_*)}\operatorname{Im} N_\bullet \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N_*)}\,\mathcal{T} \tag{79} $$

Its restriction to $X \setminus D$ is S-decomposable by Lemma 8.20. Then, (79) is S-decomposable. Thus, the proof of Proposition 9.11 is finished. ▮

We have a complement, which is easy to see.

Lemma 9.14

- *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda) \in \mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w)$. *Then, its dual* $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)^\vee$ *is an object in* $\mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, -w)$.
- *Let* $(\mathcal{T}_i, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ *be objects in* $\mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w_i)$. *Then,* $(\mathcal{T}_1, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda) \otimes (\mathcal{T}_2, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ *is an object in* $\mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w_1 + w_2)$.
- *The functors* j^*, $*$ *and* $\widetilde{\gamma}^*_{\mathrm{sm}}$ *on* $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D, \Lambda)$ *preserve* $\mathcal{P}(X, D, w, \Lambda)$. ▮

9.2.3 An equivalent condition

Let $X = \Delta^n$, $D_i = \{z_i = 0\}$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} D_i$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathrm{TS}(X, D)(\Lambda)$. Let $W := M\big(N(\Lambda)\big)[w]$. We assume the following:

- $\mathcal{T}$ is an unramifiedly good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple.
- **Adm0** holds for $(\mathcal{T}, W)$.
- $(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{N})$ satisfies **R1–2** with w.

Note that W is not assumed to be compatible with the KMS-structure. The following proposition implies that the compatibility is automatically satisfied.

Lemma 9.15 *We have* $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}(X, D, w, \Lambda)$.

Proof For $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{C}^\Lambda$, we put $N(\boldsymbol{a}) := \sum a_i\, N_i$. We have the endomorphism $N(\boldsymbol{a})$ of $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathcal{M}_i$.

Lemma 9.16 *The conjugacy classes of* $N(\boldsymbol{a})_{|(\lambda,P)}$ *are independent of* $(\lambda, P) \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$.

Proof If we fix a point $P \in X \setminus D$, they are independent of λ, because of the mixed twistor property. If we fix $\lambda \neq 0$, they are independent of $P \in X \setminus D$ because of the flatness. Hence, we obtain that they are independent of $(\lambda, P) \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times (X \setminus D)$.

If we fix $P \in D$, they are independent, because of the mixed twistor property.

Let us consider the regular singular case. If we fix a generic λ, they are independent of $P \in X$. Hence, we are done.

Let us consider the unramifiedly good irregular case. We have $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathcal{Q}_a \widetilde{V}_0)$ with $\mathrm{Gr}^{St}(N(\boldsymbol{a}))$. By using the previous consideration, for generic λ, we obtain that the conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(N(\boldsymbol{a}))_{|(\lambda,P)}$ are independent of $P \in X$. By considering the completion, we obtain that the conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{St}}(N(\boldsymbol{a}))_{|(\lambda,P)}$ and $N(\boldsymbol{a})_{|(\lambda,P)}$ are the same. Thus, we are done. $\blacksquare$

We obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 9.17 *The weight filtration $M(\boldsymbol{a})$ of $N(\boldsymbol{a})$ is a filtration by subbundles of $\mathcal{Q}^{(\lambda_0)}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \mathcal{M}_i$. In other words, $M(\boldsymbol{a})$ is compatible with the KMS-structure.* $\blacksquare$

In particular, $W = M\big(N(\Lambda)\big)[w]$ is compatible with the KMS-structure. The existence of relative monodromy filtration follows from the property of polarizable mixed twistor structures. Thus, Lemma 9.15 is proved. $\blacksquare$

9.2.4 Specialization

Let $X = \Delta^n$, $D_i = \{z_i = 0\}$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} D_i$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda) \in \mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w)$. We obtain ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathrm{TS}(D_I, \partial D_I)$ with the induced morphisms $\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda$. We also have the naturally induced morphisms $\boldsymbol{N}_I$. We set $\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup I} := \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda \sqcup \boldsymbol{N}_I$. Let $\widetilde{L}({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T})) := M\big(N(\Lambda \sqcup I)\big)[w]$.

Proposition 9.18 *We have $\big({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), W, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup I}\big) \in \mathcal{P}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda \sqcup I, w)$.*

Proof By an inductive argument, we have only to consider the case $I = \{i\}$. We have two natural filtrations of ${}^i\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T})$. One is induced by W. The other is obtained as the monodromy weight filtration of $N(\Lambda)$. By Corollary 9.9, they are the same. Because $\widetilde{L}$ is the relative monodromy filtration of $N(\Lambda \sqcup \{i\})$ with respect to W, we have natural isomorphisms $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}\big({}^i\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T})\big) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}\, \mathrm{Gr}^W\big({}^i\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T})\big) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}\big({}^i\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathrm{Gr}^W \mathcal{T})\big)$. Hence, according to [38], we obtain that $\big({}^i\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T}), \widetilde{L}\big)$ satisfies **Adm0**. Then, it is easy to check $\big({}^i\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T}), \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup \{i\}}\big)$ satisfies the assumptions for Lemma 9.15. Hence, we obtain that $\big({}^i\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T}), \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup \{i\}}\big) \in \mathcal{P}(D_i, \partial D_i, \Lambda \sqcup \{i\}, w)$. $\blacksquare$

We obtain a functor ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}} : \mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda \sqcup I, w)$, which is exact.

Corollary 9.19 *Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w)$ be unramified. For any $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^I$ and $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}, I)$, we have $\big({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{V}), \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup I}\big)$ are objects in $\mathcal{P}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda \sqcup I, w)$.* $\blacksquare$

9.3 Admissible IMTM

9.3.1 Definition

Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D, \Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. It is called pre-admissible Λ-IMTM on (X, D) if the following holds:

R3 We have $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N})_{|X \setminus D} \in \mathcal{M}(X \setminus D, \Lambda)$, and $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w)$.

We shall impose additional condition for admissibility.

The smooth divisor case Let us consider the case that D is smooth. Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ be a pre-admissible Λ-IMTM on (X, D). Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ be the underlying smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules, which is equipped with the KMS-structure. It is called admissible, if the following holds:

R4 The nilpotent endomorphisms N on $\big(\mathcal{G}_u(\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{M}_i), L\big)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ have relative monodromy filtrations.

Lemma 9.20 *Let $X = \Delta^n$ and $D = \{z_1 = 0\}$.*

- *For $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, the tuple $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_u(\mathcal{T}, L), \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup \bullet}\bigr)$ is $(\Lambda \sqcup \bullet)$-IMTM on D.*
- *If $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified, for each $\mathfrak{a} \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathcal{T})$ and $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, the tuple $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}, L), \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup \bullet}\bigr)$ is $(\Lambda \sqcup \bullet)$-IMTM on D.*

Proof We have only to consider the unramified case. By the compatibility of L with the KMS-structure, $\operatorname{Gr}^L_w\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}), \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup \bullet}\bigr)$ comes from $\operatorname{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{N})$. Hence, it is a $(w, \Lambda \sqcup \bullet)$-polarizable mixed twistor structure. By the assumption, $N_\bullet$ has a relative monodromy filtration. For generic λ, $N_{i|\lambda}$ $(i \in \Lambda)$ has a relative monodromy filtration. Then, the claim follows from Proposition 8.42. (We can also deduce it directly from Lemma 8.10.) ∎

We can also deduce the following lemma from Proposition 8.42, (or easier Lemma 8.10).

Lemma 9.21 *We can replace the above admissibility condition with the following:*

- *There exists $U \subset \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ with $|U| = \infty$ such that we have $\bigl(\mathcal{G}_u(\mathcal{QM}_1), L, N\bigr)_{(\lambda,P)} \in \operatorname{Vect}^{\mathrm{RMF}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ for any $(\lambda, P) \in U \times D$.* ∎

The normal crossing case Let us consider the case that D is normal crossing. A pre-admissible Λ-IMTM $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ on (X, D) is called admissible, if the following holds:

- For any smooth point $P \in D$, there exists a small neighbourhood X_P of P such that $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)_{|X_P}$ is admissible in the sense of the smooth divisor case.

The following proposition is an analogue of Proposition 9.5.

Proposition 9.22 *Let $P \in D_I^\circ$. We take a coordinate around P.*

- *For $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^I$, the induced $\bigl({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(V), L, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup I}\bigr)$ is a $(\Lambda \sqcup I)$-IMTM on $D_{P,I}$.*
- *If $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified around P, for any $\mathfrak{a} \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{D}, P)$ and for any $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^I$, $\bigl({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), L, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup I}\bigr)$ is a $(\Lambda \sqcup I)$-IMTM on $D_{P,I}$.* ∎

The following lemma is obvious by definition.

Lemma 9.23 *An admissible mixed twistor structure is an admissible Λ-IMTM with trivial morphisms. An admissible (w, Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure is an admissible Λ-IMTM.* ∎

9.3.2 Category of admissible variation of IMTM

Let $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda) \subset \operatorname{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D, \Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ denote the full subcategory of admissible Λ-IMTM on (X, D).

Proposition 9.24 *The categories $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$ have the property* **M0–3**.

Proof The claim for **M1** is clear. Let us consider **M0**. Let $F : (\mathcal{T}_1, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$. We have $(\operatorname{Ker} F, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$, $(\operatorname{Im} F, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ and $(\operatorname{Cok} F, W, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ in $\operatorname{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D, \Lambda)$. Because F is strict with respect to L, they are equipped with naturally induced filtrations L in the category $\operatorname{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D, \Lambda)$. We also obtain $\operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{Gr}^L F = \operatorname{Gr}^L \operatorname{Ker} F$, $\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Gr}^L F = \operatorname{Gr}^L \operatorname{Im} F$ and $\operatorname{Cok} \operatorname{Gr}^L F = \operatorname{Gr}^L \operatorname{Cok} F$. Hence, $(\operatorname{Ker} F, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$, $(\operatorname{Im} F, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ and $(\operatorname{Cok} F, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ are pre-admissible. To check the admissibility, we may assume that D is smooth, and that $\mathcal{T}_i$ are unramified. Then, we have

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\operatorname{Ker} F) \simeq \operatorname{Ker} \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(F), \quad \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\operatorname{Im} F) \simeq \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(F), \quad \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\operatorname{Cok} F) \simeq \operatorname{Cok} \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(F).$$

The isomorphisms are compatible with the filtrations W, L and a tuple of morphisms $\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda$, and the nilpotent part $N_\bullet$ of $\operatorname{Res}(\mathbb{D})$. Hence, we obtain the existence of the relative monodromy filtration of $N_\bullet$ with respect to L. Thus, we obtain that $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$ is abelian.

Let us consider **M2**. The claim for **M2.1** is clear. Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \sqcup \Lambda_1$ be a decomposition. Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda) \in \mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$. Let us show that the existence of a relative monodromy filtration of $N(\Lambda_1)$ with respect to L. We assume the induction on the length of L. If L is pure, there is nothing to prove. Assume that $\mathcal{T} = L_0\mathcal{T}$, and that the claim holds for $L_{-1}\mathcal{T}$. We construct a filtration $\widetilde{L}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ in the category $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D)$ by Deligne's inductive formula. Its restriction to $X - D$ is the relative monodromy filtration of $N(\Lambda_1)_{|X-D}$ with respect to $L_{|X-D}$. Then, we obtain that $\widetilde{L}$ is a relative monodromy filtration of $N(\Lambda_1)$ with respect to L. Because $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0}) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{L}(\mathcal{T}, W, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$, $(\mathcal{T}, W, \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ is pre-admissible. To check the admissibility of $(\mathcal{T}, W, \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$, we may assume that D is smooth and that $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified. We have the filtration of $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T})$ induced by $\widetilde{L}$, which is also denoted by $\widetilde{L}$. Then, we can observe that $\widetilde{L} = M(N(\Lambda_1); L)$ on $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T})$. Hence, the nilpotent part of $\mathrm{Res}(\mathbb{D})$ has the relative monodromy filtration with respect to $\widetilde{L}$, and $(\mathcal{T}, W, \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ is admissible. It is denoted by $\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda_0}(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$.

Let us consider **M3**. Let $\bullet \in \Lambda$, and put $\Lambda_0 := \Lambda \setminus \bullet$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ be an object in $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$. Let $(\mathcal{T}', W, \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ be an object in $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda_0)$ with morphisms

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_0}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\ u\ } (\mathcal{T}', W, \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0}) \xrightarrow{\ v\ } \Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{res}^{\Lambda_0}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$$

in $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda_0)$ such that $v \circ u = N_\bullet$. We obtain the filtration $L(\mathcal{T}')$ of $(\mathcal{T}', W, \widetilde{L}, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda_0})$ in the category $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda_0)$, as the transfer of $L(\mathcal{T})$. We set $N_\bullet := u \circ v$ on $\mathcal{T}'$.

Lemma 9.25 *$(\mathcal{T}', W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ is an object in $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$.*

Proof We have the decomposition $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w \mathcal{T}' = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Gr}^L_w u \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{Gr}^L_w v$. Because $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Gr}^L_w u \simeq \Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Gr}^L_w N_\bullet$, it is an object in $\mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w)$. By using the canonical decomposition, we obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{Gr}^L_w v$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{L}}_w \mathcal{T}'$. Hence, it is an object in $\mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda_0, w)$. Thus, we obtain that $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w \mathcal{T}'$ is an object in $\mathcal{P}(X, D, \Lambda, w)$.

The existence of relative monodromy filtration of N_i $(i \in \Lambda)$ with respect to L follows from Proposition 8.34. For the admissibility condition, we may assume that D is smooth and that $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are unramified. Let $N_\bullet$ be the nilpotent part of the residue. The existence of relative monodromy filtration of $N_\bullet$ with respect to L also follows from Proposition 8.34. ▮

Thus, the proof of Proposition 9.24 is finished. ▮

9.3.3 A remark on nearby cycle functor

Let us give a remark related with nearby cycle functor. Let $\mathbb{I}^{a,b}$ be the Beilinson IMTM. For $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda}_{\geq 0}$, we consider the induced $(\Lambda \sqcup \bullet)$-IMTM $\mathbb{I}^{a,b}_{\bullet,\boldsymbol{p}} := \bigl(\mathbb{I}^{a,b}, \boldsymbol{p}N_{\mathbb{I}}, N_{\mathbb{I}}\bigr)$. We obtain a twistor nilpotent orbit $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_{\bullet,\boldsymbol{p}} := \mathrm{TNIL}_\bullet\bigl(\mathbb{I}^{a,b}_{\bullet,\boldsymbol{p}}\bigr)$. Let $K \subset \underline{\ell}$ and $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^K_{>0}$. We put $g := \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$, which gives $X \setminus \bigcup_{i \in K} D_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. We put $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{p}} := g^*\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_{\bullet,\boldsymbol{p}}$.

Let $(\mathcal{T}, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \in \mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$. We obtain $\bigl(\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{p}}\mathcal{T}, L, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}\bigr) := (\mathcal{T}, L, \boldsymbol{N}) \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{p}} \in \mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$. Take $I \subset \{i \in \Lambda \,|\, p_i > 0\}$, and we consider the following morphism in $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$:

$$\Sigma^{|I|,0}\bigl(\Pi^{0,N}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{p}}\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{N}_{I!}L\bigr) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0,-|I|}\bigl(\Pi^{0,N}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{p}}\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{N}_{I*}L\bigr)$$

The cokernel in $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$ is denoted by $\bigl(\psi^{(0)}_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{p}}(\mathcal{T})_I, \widetilde{L}\bigr)$. It is equipped with the filtration $\widetilde{L}$ as the object in $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$. It is also equipped with the filtration L naively induced by the filtration of $\mathcal{T}$. We immediately obtain the following lemma from Corollary 8.40.

Lemma 9.26 *We have $M(N; L)[1] = \widetilde{L}$.* ▮

9.4 Specialization of admissible mixed twistor structure

9.4.1 Statement

Let $X := \Delta^n$ and $D := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$. We put $\partial D_I := \bigcup_{i \notin I} D_i \cap D_I$ and $D_I^\circ := D_I \setminus \partial D_I$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D)$. Assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified.

For $I \subset \underline{\ell}$, $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}, I)$ and $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^I$, we obtain an object $\bigl({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), L, \boldsymbol{N}_I\bigr)$ in $\mathrm{TS}(X, D, I)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. Its restriction to D_I° is an object in $\mathcal{M}(D_I^{\circ}, I)$. The relative monodromy filtration $W = M(N(I); L)$ of ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T})_{|D_I^{\circ}}$ is extended to a filtration of ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T})$, by Deligne's inductive formula. Thus, we obtain $\bigl({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), W\bigr)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}(D_I, \partial D_I)$. We will prove the following proposition in Subsection 9.4.3.

Proposition 9.27 *$\bigl({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), W\bigr)$ is admissible.*

For $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D)$, which is not necessarily unramified, we have ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathrm{TS}(D_I, \partial D_I)$. It is equipped with the filtration $W = M\bigl(N(I); L\bigr)$. By Proposition 9.27, $\bigl({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), W\bigr) \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(D_I, \partial D_I)$. Thus, we obtain an exact functor

$$
{}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}} : \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(D_I, \partial D_I).
$$

The following corollary is clear by Proposition 9.27 and the definition of $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$.

Corollary 9.28 *Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ be an unramified object in $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$.*

- *For any $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^I$, $({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), L, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup I})$ are objects in $\mathcal{M}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda \sqcup I)$.*
- *Assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified. For any $I \subset \underline{\ell}$, $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^I$ and $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T}, I)$, $({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), L, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup I})$ are objects in $\mathcal{M}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda \sqcup I)$.*

Thus, we obtain an exact functor ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}} : \mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda \sqcup I)$. ∎

9.4.2 Some notation

We have the abelian category $\mathcal{A}_{D_I} := \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(D_I, \partial D_I)$. For any finite set Λ, we put

$$
\mathcal{P}_{w,D_I}(\Lambda) := \mathcal{P}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda, w), \quad \mathcal{M}_{D_I}(\Lambda) := \mathcal{M}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda)
$$

Then, $\bigl\{\mathcal{P}_{w,D_I}(\Lambda)\bigr\}$ satisfies the conditions **P0**–**P3**, and $\mathcal{M}_{D_I}(\Lambda)$ satisfy the conditions **M0**–**M3** in Subsection 6.3.1.

We obtain the categories $ML\mathcal{A}_{D_I}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ and $M'L\mathcal{A}_{D_I}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ as in Subsections 6.3.6 and 6.3.9. We have a natural equivalence $ML\mathcal{A}_{D_I}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \longrightarrow M'L\mathcal{A}_{D_I}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ as in Theorem 6.31.

For $I \subset J$, we have a naturally defined exact functor $\psi_{J,I} : \mathcal{M}_{D_I}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{D_J}\bigl(\Lambda \sqcup (J \setminus I)\bigr)$ given by ${}^{J\setminus I}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}_0}$, where $\boldsymbol{u}_0 = (-1, 0)^{J \setminus I} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^{J \setminus I}$. We have the following commutative diagram of the functors:

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
ML\mathcal{A}_{D_I}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \arrow[r, "\psi_{JI}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & ML\mathcal{A}_{D_J}\bigl(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \sqcup (J \setminus I)\bigr) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & ML\mathcal{A}_{D_J}\bigl(\Lambda_1 \sqcup (J \setminus I), \Lambda_2\bigr) \arrow[l, "\psi_{J\setminus I}"'] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
M'L\mathcal{A}_{D_I}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \arrow[r, "\psi_{JI}"] & M'L\mathcal{A}_{D_J}\bigl(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \sqcup (J \setminus I)\bigr) & M'L\mathcal{A}_{D_J}\bigl(\Lambda_1 \sqcup (J \setminus I), \Lambda_2\bigr) \arrow[l, "\psi_{J\setminus I}"']
\end{tikzcd}
\tag{80}
$$

The horizontal arrows are exact functors.

9.4.3 Proof of Proposition 9.27

For simplicity, we assume that D is smooth.

Proposition 9.29 *Let $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ be an object in $\mathrm{MTS}(X, D, \Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ such that (i) L is compatible with the KMS-structure, (ii) $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ satisfies* **R3** *and* **R4** *in Subsection* 9.3. *Then, it is an object in $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Lambda)$, and hence $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D, \Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$.*

Proof We may assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified. We obtain that $\bigl(\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}, L), \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup \bullet}\bigr)$ is a $(\Lambda \sqcup \bullet)$-IMTM. Let $\mathcal{M}_c$ $(c = 1, 2)$ be the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-modules. We have the irregular decomposition $\mathcal{M}_{c|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T})} \mathcal{M}_{c,\mathfrak{a}}$. We have the corresponding decomposition $W_k(\mathcal{M}_c)_{|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T})} W_k(\mathcal{M}_{c,\mathfrak{a}})$. We have an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^{(\lambda_0)}}$-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{Q}_b^{(\lambda_0)} W_k(\mathcal{M}_c) := \mathcal{Q}_b^{(\lambda_0)} \mathcal{M}_c \cap W_k(\mathcal{M}_c)$.

We have the induced filtration L on $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M}_c$. We have the nilpotent endomorphism $N(\Lambda)$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M}_c$. Because $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M}_c = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)=a} \psi_{u,\mathfrak{a}}^{(\lambda_0)} \mathcal{M}_c$, there exists a relative monodromy filtration $W = M\bigl(N(\Lambda); L\bigr)$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M}_c$.

Lemma 9.30 *$\mathcal{Q}_*^{(\lambda_0)} W_m \mathcal{M}_c$ is a good-KMS family of meromorphic λ-flat bundles, and we have*

$$W_m\bigl(\mathrm{Gr}_a^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M}_c\bigr) = \mathrm{Gr}_a^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \bigl(W_m \mathcal{M}_c\bigr). \tag{81}$$

Proof If L is pure, it follows from the assumption **R3**. We assume $L_k \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}$, and the claim holds for $(L_{k-1}\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N})$. The filtration $W(\mathcal{M}_c)$ is constructed by Deligne's formula:

$$W_{-i+k}\mathcal{M}_c = W_{-i+k} L_{k-1} \mathcal{M}_c + N(\Lambda)^i \bigl(W_{i+k} L_k \mathcal{M}_c\bigr) \tag{82}$$

$$W_{i+k}\mathcal{M}_c = \mathrm{Ker}\Bigl(N^{i+1} : \mathcal{M}_c \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_c / W_{-i-2+k}\mathcal{M}_c\Bigr) \tag{83}$$

Assume that we know the claims for $W_{-i-2+k}\mathcal{M}_c$. Then, $\mathcal{M}_c/W_{-i-2+k}\mathcal{M}_c$ has the induced KMS-structure, and we have $\mathrm{Gr}_*^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \bigl(\mathcal{M}_c/W_{-i-2+k}\mathcal{M}_c\bigr) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_*^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M}_c / \mathrm{Gr}_*^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} W_{-i-2+k}\mathcal{M}_c$. The cokernel of the induced morphism

$$N(\Lambda)^i : \mathrm{Gr}_*^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M}_c \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_*^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \bigl(\mathcal{M}_c/W_{-i-2+k}\mathcal{M}_c\bigr)$$

is strict. Hence, we obtain that the claim holds for $W_{i+k}\mathcal{M}_c$.

Assume that the claim holds for $W_{i+k}\mathcal{M}_c$. The cokernel of the following morphism is strict:

$$\mathrm{Gr}_*^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \bigl(W_{-i+k}L_{k-1}\mathcal{M}_c\bigr) \oplus \mathrm{Gr}_*^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \bigl(W_{i+k}\mathcal{M}_c\bigr) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}+N(\Lambda)^i} \mathrm{Gr}_*^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M}_c$$

Hence, we obtain the claims for $W_{-i+k}\mathcal{M}_c$. Thus, the induction can proceed. ∎

Hence, W is compatible with the KMS-structure, and the nilpotent part of the residue on $\mathrm{Gr}_a^{F^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M}_c$ has a relative monodromy filtration. Thus, we obtain Proposition 9.29. ∎

We have used the following standard lemma.

Lemma 9.31 *Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be unramifiedly good-KMS $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules. Let $F : \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ be a morphism such that (i) $\mathrm{Cok}\, \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(F)$ are strict for any $\mathfrak{a}$ and u. Then, F is strictly compatible with the KMS-structure, and $\mathrm{Ker}\, F$, $\mathrm{Im}\, F$ and $\mathrm{Cok}\, F$ are naturally induced KMS-structure. Moreover, we have natural isomorphisms $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathrm{Ker}\, F) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\, \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(F)$, $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathrm{Im}\, F) \simeq \mathrm{Im}\, \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(F)$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathrm{Cok}\, F) \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\, \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},u}(F)$.* ∎

Let $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D)$. We obtain **R3** for $\bigl({}^{I}\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_I\bigr)$ from Corollary 9.19 with Corollary 9.9. For the remaining conditions, we may assume that ∂D_I is smooth. We obtain **R4** from Proposition 9.22. Then, applying Proposition 9.29, we obtain the claim in the mixed case. ∎

9.5 Integrable case

9.5.1 Admissible mixed twistor structure

An object $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{TS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, D)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ is called an integrable mixed twistor structure on (X, D), if (i) the underlying filtered smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triple is a mixed twistor structure on (X, D), (ii) each $\mathrm{Gr}_w^L(\mathcal{T})$ has an integrable polarization. Let $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, D) \subset \mathrm{TS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, D)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ denote the corresponding full subcategory. It is an abelian category.

An object $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, D)$ is called admissible, if (i) the underlying object in $\mathrm{MTS}(X, D)$ is admissible, (ii) for each w, $\mathrm{Gr}_w^L(\mathcal{T})$ is obtained as the canonical prolongation of a good wild integrable polarizable variation of pure twistor structure of weight w. Let $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\, adm}}(X, D) \subset \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, D)$ be the corresponding full subcategory. We immediately obtain the following from Proposition 9.6.

Proposition 9.32 *The category $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\, adm}}(X, D)$ is abelian.* ∎

The following lemma is an integrable analogue of Lemma 9.4.

Lemma 9.33 *Let $\mathcal{T}\in \mathrm{TS}(X,D)$ come from an integrable good wild polarizable variation of pure twistor structure of weight w. Then, $\mathcal{T}\in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D)$.* $\blacksquare$

The following proposition can be reduced to Proposition 9.5.

Proposition 9.34 *Let $(\mathcal{T},L)\in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D)$. Let $P\in D_I^{\circ}$. We take a coordinate around P.*

- *For $\boldsymbol{u}\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})^I$, we naturally have $\big({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T},L),\boldsymbol{N}_I\big)_{|P}$ is an integrable I-IMTM.*
- *If $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified around P, for any $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T},P)$ and for any $\boldsymbol{u}\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})^I$, $\big({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{V},L),\boldsymbol{N}\big)_{|P}$ is naturally an integrable I-IMTM.* $\blacksquare$

Let us consider the specialization as in Subsection 9.4.1. Let $X=\Delta^n$ and $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i=0\}$. Let $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D)$. Assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is unramified. For $I\subset\underline{\ell}$, $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{T},I)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})^I$, we have $\big({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}),W\big)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(D_I,\partial D_I)$. It is naturally a smooth filtered $\mathcal{R}_{X_I(*D_I)}$-triple. We can check that $\mathrm{Gr}^W\big({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T})\big)$ has an integrable polarization. Hence, we obtain the following.

Proposition 9.35 *We naturally have $\big({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}),W\big)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(D_I,\partial D_I)$.* $\blacksquare$

9.5.2 Admissible polarizable mixed twistor structure

We set $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D,\Lambda):=\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D)(\Lambda)$ for a finite set Λ. Let $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)$. It is called (w,Λ)-polarizable, if (i) the underlying object in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)$ is (w,Λ)-polarizable, (ii) it has an integrable $S:(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ such that $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda,S)_{|X\setminus D}$ is a polarized mixed twistor structure on $X\setminus D$.

Let $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D,\Lambda,w)\subset\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)$ denote the full subcategory of admissible (w,Λ)-polarizable mixed twistor structure on (X,D). The following is an integrable analogue of Proposition 9.11.

Proposition 9.36 *The categories $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D,\Lambda,w)$ have property* **P0–3**. $\blacksquare$

The following is an analogue of Lemma 9.14, and easy to see.

Lemma 9.37

- *Let $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)\in\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D,\Lambda,w)$. Then, its dual $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)^\vee$ is an object in $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D,\Lambda,-w)$.*
- *Let $(\mathcal{T}_i,W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ $(i=1,2)$ be objects in $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D,\Lambda,w_i)$. Then, $(\mathcal{T}_1,W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)\otimes(\mathcal{T}_2,W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ is an object in $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D,\Lambda,w_1+w_2)$.*
- *The functors j^*, $*$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}^*_{\mathrm{sm}}$ on $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)$ preserve $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D,w,\Lambda)$.* $\blacksquare$

Let us consider the specialization as in Subsection 9.2.4. Let $X=\Delta^n$, $D_i=\{z_i=0\}$ and $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}D_i$. Let $(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)\in\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D,\Lambda,w)$. We obtain ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T})\in\mathrm{TS}^{\mathrm{int}}(D_I,\partial D_I)$ with the induced morphisms $\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda$. We also have the naturally induced morphisms $\boldsymbol{N}_I$. We set $\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda\sqcup I}:=\boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda\sqcup\boldsymbol{N}_I$. Let $\widetilde{L}({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T})):=M\big(N(\Lambda\sqcup I)\big)[w]$. The following proposition is an integrable analogue of Proposition 9.18.

Proposition 9.38 *We have $\big({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}),W,\boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda\sqcup I}\big)\in\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{int}}(D_I,\partial D_I,\Lambda\sqcup I,w)$.* $\blacksquare$

9.5.3 Admissible IMTM

Let $(\mathcal{T},W,L,\boldsymbol{N})\in\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. It is called an integrable admissible Λ-IMTM, if (i) it is an admissible Λ-IMTM, (ii) $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T},W,\boldsymbol{N})$ has an integrable polarization. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D,\Lambda)\subset\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D,\Lambda)$ denote the full subcategory of integrable admissible Λ-IMTM on (X,D). We can easily deduce the following proposition from Proposition 9.24.

Proposition 9.39 *The categories $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D,\Lambda)$ have the property* **M0–3**. $\blacksquare$

The following is an integrable analogue of Corollary 9.28.

Corollary 9.40 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, W, L, \boldsymbol{N}_\Lambda)$ *be an unramified object in* $\mathcal{M}^{\rm int}(X, D, \Lambda)$.

- *For any* $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^I$, $({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), L, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup I})$ *are objects in* $\mathcal{M}^{\rm int}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda \sqcup I)$.
- *Assume that* $\mathcal{V}$ *is unramified. For any* $I \subset \underline{\ell}$, $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})^I$ *and* $\mathfrak{a} \in {\rm Irr}(\mathcal{T}, I)$, $({}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{T}), L, \boldsymbol{N}_{\Lambda \sqcup I})$ *are objects in* $\mathcal{M}^{\rm int}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda \sqcup I)$.

Thus, we obtain an exact functor ${}^I\widetilde{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{u}} : \mathcal{M}^{\rm int}(X, D, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\rm int}(D_I, \partial D_I, \Lambda \sqcup I)$. ∎

10 Good mixed twistor D-module

10.1 Good gluing data

10.1.1 An equivalence

Let $X := \Delta^n$ and $D := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{z_i = 0\}$. Let $H = \bigcup_{i \in K} \{z_i = 0\}$ for some $K \subset \underline{\ell}$. We consider categories $\mathfrak{G}_i(X, D, *H)$ $(i = 0, 1)$ given as follows. (If $H = \emptyset$, they are denoted by $\mathfrak{G}_i(X, D)$.) We omit to denote the weight filtration for objects in ${\rm MTS}^{\rm adm}(D_I, \partial D_I)$. The argument in this subsection can work also in the integrable case. In the following, ${}^i\widetilde{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ is denoted by ψ_i. For $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$, the composition $\psi_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{i_m}$ is denoted by ψ_I.

Category $\mathfrak{G}_0(X, D, *H)$ Objects of $\mathfrak{G}_0(X, D, *H)$ are tuples of $\mathcal{T}_I \in {\rm MTS}^{\rm adm}(D_I, \partial D_I)$ $(I \subset \underline{\ell} \setminus K)$ with morphisms in ${\rm MTS}^{\rm adm}(D_{Ii}, \partial D_{Ii})$

$$\Sigma^{1,0} {\rm res}^i_{\emptyset}\bigl(\psi_i(\mathcal{T}_I)\bigr) \xrightarrow{g_{I,i}} \mathcal{T}_{Ii} \xrightarrow{f_{I,i}} \Sigma^{0,-1} {\rm res}^i_{\emptyset}\bigl(\psi_i(\mathcal{T}_I)\bigr)$$

for $i \in \underline{\ell} \setminus (I \cup K)$ such that $f_{I,i} \circ g_{I,i} = N_i$. For $j, k \in \underline{\ell} \setminus (I \cup K)$, we impose the commutativity of the following diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma^{1,0}\Bigl(\psi_j(\mathcal{T}_{Ik})\Bigr) \arrow[r, "\psi_j(f_{I,k})"] \arrow[d, "g_{Ik,j}"'] & \Sigma^{1,-1}\Bigl(\psi_{jk}(\mathcal{T}_I)\Bigr) \arrow[d, "\psi_k(g_{I,j})"'] \\
\mathcal{T}_{Ijk} \arrow[r, "f_{Ij,k}"] & \Sigma^{0,-1}\Bigl(\psi_k(\mathcal{T}_{Ij})\Bigr)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{84}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma^{2,0}\Bigl(\psi_{jk}(\mathcal{T}_I)\Bigr) \arrow[r, "\psi_j(g_{I,k})"] \arrow[d, "\psi_k(g_{I,j})"'] & \Sigma^{1,0}\Bigl(\psi_j(\mathcal{T}_{Ik})\Bigr) \arrow[d, "g_{Ik,j}"'] \\
\Sigma^{1,0}\Bigl(\psi_k(\mathcal{T}_{Ij})\Bigr) \arrow[r, "g_{Ij,k}"] & \mathcal{T}_{Ijk}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{T}_{Ijk} \arrow[r, "f_{Ik,j}"] \arrow[d, "f_{Ij,k}"'] & \Sigma^{0,-1}\Bigl(\psi_j(\mathcal{T}_{Ik})\Bigr) \arrow[d, "\psi_j(f_{I,k})"'] \\
\Sigma^{0,-1}\Bigl(\psi_k(\mathcal{T}_{Ij})\Bigr) \arrow[r, "\psi_k(f_{I,j})"] & \Sigma^{0,-2}\Bigl(\psi_{jk}(\mathcal{T}_I)\Bigr)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{85}$$

For $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}^{(i)} = (\mathcal{T}_I^{(i)}) \in \mathfrak{G}_0(X, D, *H)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, a morphism $\boldsymbol{F} : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}^{(1)} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}^{(2)}$ in $\mathfrak{G}_0(X, D, *H)$ is a tuple of morphisms $F_I : \mathcal{T}_I^{(1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_I^{(2)}$ in ${\rm MTS}^{\rm adm}(D_I, \partial D_I)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma^{1,0}\Bigl(\psi_i(\mathcal{T}_I^{(1)})\Bigr) \arrow[r, "g^{(1)}_{I,i}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_i(F_I)"'] & \mathcal{T}^{(1)}_{Ii} \arrow[r, "f^{(1)}_{I,i}"] \arrow[d, "F_{Ii}"'] & \Sigma^{0,-1}\Bigl(\psi_i(\mathcal{T}_I^{(1)})\Bigr) \arrow[d, "\psi_i(F_I)"'] \\
\Sigma^{1,0}\Bigl(\psi_i(\mathcal{T}_I^{(2)})\Bigr) \arrow[r, "g^{(2)}_{I,i}"] & \mathcal{T}^{(2)}_{Ii} \arrow[r, "f^{(2)}_{I,i}"] & \Sigma^{0,-1}\Bigl(\psi_i(\mathcal{T}_I^{(1)})\Bigr)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{86}$$

For an object $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} = (\mathcal{T}_I)$ in $\mathfrak{G}_0(X, D, *H)$, each $\mathcal{T}_I$ is equipped with a tuple of morphisms $\boldsymbol{N}_I = (N_i \,|\, i \in I)$, given by $N_i := g_{I \setminus i, i} \circ f_{I \setminus i, i}$.

Category $\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D,*H)$ We consider objects $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\mathcal{L})$ in $\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)^{\mathrm{fil}}$ such that (i) $(\mathcal{T}_I,\mathcal{L},\boldsymbol{N}_I)\in\mathcal{M}_{D_I}(I)$ for each $I\subset\underline{\ell}\setminus K$, (ii) the tuple $\bigl(\psi_i(\mathcal{T}_I),\mathcal{T}_{Ii};g_{I,i},f_{I,i};\mathcal{L}\bigr)$ is filtered S-decomposable. Let $\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D,*H)$ be the full subcategory of such objects in $\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)^{\mathrm{fil}}$.

Proposition 10.1 *The forgetful functor* $\Psi:\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D,*H)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)$ *is an equivalence.*

Proof Let us show the essential surjectivity. Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}\in\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)$. For each $I\subset J$, we have

$$\mathcal{U}_I^{(J)}:=\bigl(\psi_{J\setminus I}(\mathcal{T}_I),\boldsymbol{N}_{J\setminus I}\bigr)\in\mathcal{M}_{D_J}(J\setminus I).$$

The tuple $\bigl(\mathcal{U}_I^{(J)}\,\big|\,I\subset J\bigr)$ with the following induced morphisms for $I_2\subset I_1$ in $\mathcal{M}_{D_J}(J\setminus I_1)$ give an object in $ML'\mathcal{A}_{D_J}(J)$:

$$\Sigma^{|I_1\setminus I_2|,0}\Bigl(\mathrm{res}^{J\setminus I_2}_{J\setminus I_1}\bigl(\mathcal{U}^{(J)}_{I_2}\bigr)\Bigr)\longrightarrow\mathcal{U}^{(J)}_{I_1}\longrightarrow\Sigma^{0,-|I_1\setminus I_2|}\Bigl(\mathrm{res}^{J\setminus I_2}_{J\setminus I_1}\bigl(\mathcal{U}^{(J)}_{I_2}\bigr)\Bigr)$$

By Theorem 6.31, we have the corresponding object in $ML\mathcal{A}_{D_J}(J)$. Hence, we obtain filtrations $L^{(J)}$ of $\psi_{J\setminus I}(\mathcal{T}_I)$ in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(D_J,\partial D_J)$ for $I\subset J$ such that $\bigl(\psi_{J\setminus I}(\mathcal{T}_I),L^{(J)},\boldsymbol{N}_J\bigr)$ is an object in $\mathcal{M}_{D_J}(J)$. In particular, we obtain a filtration $L^{(J)}$ of $\mathcal{T}_J$, and $(\mathcal{T}_J,L^{(J)},\boldsymbol{N}_J)\in\mathcal{M}_{D_J}(J)$. By using the commutative diagram (80), we obtain that $L^{(I)}$ on $\mathcal{T}_I$ induces $L^{(J)}$ on $\psi_{J\setminus I}(\mathcal{T}_I)$. Hence, the tuple $\bigl(L^{(J)}(\mathcal{T}_J)\,\big|\,J\subset\underline{\ell}\bigr)$ gives a filtration $\mathcal{L}$ of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ in the category $\mathfrak{C}_0(X,D,*H)^{\mathrm{fil}}$, and $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\mathcal{L})$ is an object in $\mathfrak{G}_1$. Thus, we obtain the essential surjectivity.

The fully faithfulness of Ψ follows from those for $ML\mathcal{A}_{D_I}(I)\longrightarrow ML'\mathcal{A}_{D_I}(I)$. ▌

By definition, we have the forgetful functor $\mathfrak{G}_i(X,D)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{G}_i(X,D,*H)$ denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}\longmapsto\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}(*H)$.

10.1.2 Canonical prolongment

Let $K=K_1\sqcup K_2$ be a decomposition. We have the functor $[*K_1!K_2]:\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$ given as follows. Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}=(\mathcal{T}_I,g_{I,i},f_{I,i})\in\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)$. For $I\subset\underline{\ell}$, we put

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I:=\Sigma^{|I\cap K_2|,-|I\cap K_1|}\,\mathrm{res}^{I\cap K}_{\emptyset}\Bigl(\psi_{I\cap K}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_{I\setminus K}\bigr)\Bigr)$$

The tuple is equipped with the following morphisms in $\mathcal{A}_{D_{Ii}}$

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{res}^i_{\emptyset}\bigl(\psi_i\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I\bigr)\xrightarrow{\psi_{K\cap I}(g_{I\setminus K,i})}\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{I,i}\xrightarrow{\psi_{K\cap I}(f_{I\setminus K,i})}\Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{res}^i_{\emptyset}\bigl(\psi_i\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I\bigr)\qquad(i\notin K)$$

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{res}^i_{\emptyset}\bigl(\psi_i\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I\bigr)\xrightarrow{\psi_{I\cap K}(N_i)}\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{Ii}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}}\Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{res}^i_{\emptyset}\bigl(\psi_i\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I\bigr)\qquad(i\in K_1)$$

$$\Sigma^{1,0}\,\mathrm{res}^i_{\emptyset}\bigl(\psi_i\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I\bigr)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}}\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{Ii}\xrightarrow{\psi_{K\cap I}(N_i)}\Sigma^{0,-1}\,\mathrm{res}^i_{\emptyset}\bigl(\psi_i\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I\bigr)\qquad(i\in K_2)$$

Thus, we obtain an object $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}[*K_1!K_2]$ in $\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$, and a functor $[*K_1!K_2]:\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$. We have the induced functor $[*K_1!K_2]^{\mathrm{fil}}:\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)^{\mathrm{fil}}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)^{\mathrm{fil}}$.

We have the corresponding functor $[*K_1!K_2]:\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D,*H)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)$ given by

$$\begin{tikzcd}\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D,*H)\arrow[r,"\Psi","\simeq"'] & \mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)\arrow[r,"{[*K_1!K_2]}"] & \mathfrak{G}_0(X,D) & \mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)\arrow[l,"\Psi"',"\simeq"]\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\mathcal{L})\in\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D,*H)$. We have $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}[*K_1!K_2]\in\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$. It is equipped with two naturally induced filtrations. One is the filtration $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},\mathcal{L})[*K_1!K_2]\in\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)$. The other is the filtration $\mathcal{L}$ of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}[*K_1!K_2]^{\mathrm{fil}}\in\mathfrak{G}_2(X,D)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. Note that they are not the same in general. The latter is called the naively induced filtration.

We obtain the induced functor $[*K_1!K_2]:\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$ given by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}\longmapsto\bigl(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}(*H)\bigr)[*K_1!K_2]$, and the corresponding functor $[*K_1!K_2]:\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)$.

Lemma 10.2 *We have the natural transformations* $[!K]\longrightarrow\mathrm{id}\longrightarrow[*K]$ *as functors on* $\mathfrak{G}_i(X,D)$.

Proof The claim is clear in the case $i=0$, which implies the claim in the case $i=1$. ▌

10.1.3 Nearby cycle, vanishing cycle and maximal functors

Let $g = \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$, where $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{K}_{>0}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} = (\mathcal{T}_I, f_{I,i}, g_{I,i}) \in \mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$. We have $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}(*H) \in \mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)$. Let $\Pi^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}(*H) = (\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I, \widetilde{f}_{I,i}, \widetilde{g}_{I,i})$ be an object in $\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D,*H)$ given as follows, for $I \subset \underline{\ell} \setminus K$ and $i \in \underline{\ell} \setminus (K \cup I)$:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I := \mathcal{T}_I \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \qquad \Sigma^{1,0}\psi_i\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I\big) \xrightarrow{g_{I,i}\otimes \mathrm{id}} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{Ii} \xrightarrow{f_{I,i}\otimes \mathrm{id}} \Sigma^{0,-1}\psi_i\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_I\big)$$

(See Subsection 9.3.3 for $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ with $\Lambda = \emptyset$.) We set $\Pi^{a,b}_{g\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} := \big(\Pi^{a,b}_{g}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}\big)[\star K] \in \mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$ for $\star = *,!$. Then, we define $\Pi^{a,b}_{g*!}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$ as the kernel of $\Pi^{-N,a}_{g!}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \Pi^{-N,b}_{g*}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ for a sufficiently large N. In particular, we obtain the following objects in $\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$:

$$\psi^{(a)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} := \Pi^{a,a}_{g*!}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \qquad \Xi^{(a)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} := \Pi^{a,a+1}_{g*!}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}.$$

We define $\phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$ as the cohomology of the complex in $\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)$:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}[!K] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \oplus \Xi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}[*K]$$

We can reconstruct $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)$ as the cohomology of the complex in $\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)$:

$$\psi^{(1)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \oplus \Xi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \psi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \tag{87}$$

The following lemma can be checked by direct computations.

Lemma 10.3

1. *The functors $\psi^{(a)}_g$, $\Xi^{(a)}_g$ and $\phi^{(a)}_g$ are exact.*
2. *If $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}[*K] = \mathcal{T}$, then $\phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \simeq \psi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$. If $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}[!K] = \mathcal{T}$, then $\phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \simeq \psi^{(1)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$.* $\blacksquare$

We have the corresponding functors for $\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)$, denoted by the same notation.

Lemma 10.4 *If $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, L) \in \mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)$ is pure, $\psi^{(1)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \psi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ is S-decomposable.*

Proof We have only to consider the case that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ is obtained as the image of $\mathcal{T}[!\underline{\ell}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[*\underline{\ell}]$, where $\mathcal{T}$ comes from a wild variation of polarizable pure twistor structure on X. By the claim 1 in the previous lemma, the induced morphism $\phi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T}[!\underline{\ell}] \longrightarrow \phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ is surjective, and $\phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \phi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T}[*\underline{\ell}]$ is injective. Then, the claim follows from Lemma 10.3. $\blacksquare$

Let $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, L) \in \mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)$. We have two kinds of filtrations on $\widetilde{\psi}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})$ and $\phi^{(0)}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})$. One is the naively induced filtration L, when we consider as functors on $\mathfrak{G}_0(X,D)^{\mathrm{fil}}$. The other is the weight filtration $\widetilde{L}$ as the objects in $\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)$. We have the naturally induced morphism $N : \phi^{(0)}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}) \longrightarrow \phi^{(0)}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ and $N : \widetilde{\psi}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$.

Proposition 10.5 *We have $\widetilde{L} = M(N;L)$ on $\widetilde{\psi}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$.*

Proof The claim for $\widetilde{\psi}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ follows from Lemma 9.26. Let us consider $\phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$. We have only to consider the case L is pure. Then, we have the decomposition $\phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{can}_g \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{var}_g$. We obtain the relation $\widetilde{L} = M(N;L)$ on $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{can}_g$ from the claim for $\widetilde{\psi}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$. We have the decomposition $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_1 \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{var}_g$, where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_1$ has no subobject whose support is contained in $g^{-1}(0)$. Hence, we have $L = \widetilde{L}$ on $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{var}_g$, and we obtain the claim for $\phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$. $\blacksquare$

Note that we can reconstruct the filtration L of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ from (87) with the naively induced filtrations L of $\psi^{(a)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ $(a = 0,1)$, $\Xi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$. We can also reconstruct L of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ from (87) with the filtrations $\widetilde{L}$ of $\psi^{(a)}_g\boldsymbol{T}$ $(a = 0,1)$, $\Xi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{T}$ and $\phi^{(0)}_g\boldsymbol{T}$ as objects in $\mathfrak{G}_1(X,D)$.

10.1.4 Gluing along a monomial function

Let g be as above. Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathfrak{G}_1(X, D, *H)$. We have $\Xi_g^{(0)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\psi_g^{(a)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ in $\mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}' \in \mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$ such that $\mathcal{T}'_I = 0$ if $I \cap K = \emptyset$. If we are given morphisms

$$\psi_g^{(1)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \xrightarrow{u} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}' \xrightarrow{v} \psi_g^{(0)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$$

such that $v \circ u$ is equal to the natural morphism $\psi_g^{(1)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \psi_g^{(0)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$. Then, we obtain $\mathrm{Glue}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}'; u, v) \in \mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$ as the cohomology of $\psi_g^{(1)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \Xi_g^{(0)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} \oplus \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}' \longrightarrow \psi_g^{(0)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$. Let $L^{(1)}$ denote the filtration of $\mathrm{Glue}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}'; u, v)$ as an object of $\mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$.

We have the naively induced filtrations L on $\Xi_g^{(0)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\psi_g^{(a)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}$. We have the filtration L of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}'$ obtained as the transfer of $L\big(\widetilde{\psi}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}})\big)$. Then, we obtain a filtration $L^{(2)}$ of $\mathrm{Glue}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}'; u, v)$ with which $\mathrm{Glue}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}'; u, v) \in \mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$.

Lemma 10.6 *We have* $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)}$.

Proof We apply the procedure in Subsection 10.1.3 to $\big(\mathrm{Glue}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}'; u, v), L^{(1)}\big)$. The naively induced filtration L on $\phi_g^{(0)}\,\mathrm{Glue}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}'; u, v) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}'$ is the same the filtration obtained as the transfer, by Proposition 10.5. Then, the claim of the lemma follows. ▮

10.2 Good pre-mixed twistor D-module

10.2.1 Local case

Let $X = \Delta^n$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$. We have the category $\mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$ in Subsection 10.1.1. We use a symbol $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}$ to denote an element of $\mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$. We may regard it as a filtered object in the category $\boldsymbol{C}(X, D)$ in Subsection 5.6.1. Then, we obtain a filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$, as in Subsection 5.6.2.

Lemma 10.7 $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ *is a pre-mixed twistor D-module.*

Proof We have only to show that, if $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}$ is pure of weight w, $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ is a polarizable wild pure twistor D-module of weight w. We may also assume that $g_{I,i}$ are surjective, and $f_{I,i}$ are injective. There exists $J \subset \underline{\ell}$ such that (i) $\mathcal{V}_I = 0$ unless $I \supset J$, (ii) $\mathcal{V}_J \neq 0$. By using the result in Subsection 5.6, we obtain that $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ is strictly S-decomposable along any z_i $(i = 1, \ldots, \ell)$. On the other hand, we have a wild pure twistor D-module $\mathfrak{T}$ of weight w such that $\mathfrak{T}(*D(J^c)) = \mathcal{V}_J$. Because both $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ are strictly S-decomposable along z_i $(i = 1, \ldots, \ell)$, we obtain that $\mathfrak{T} = \Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$. ▮

Definition 10.8 *Let* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$ *be the full subcategory of* $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$*, whose objects are isomorphic to* $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ *for some* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$*. An object in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$ *is called good pre-mixed twistor D-module.* ▮

It is independent of the choice of the coordinate $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, by using the isomorphism in Lemma 2.29. (See Lemma 5.32.)

By definition, Ψ_X is essentially surjective. It is also fully faithful according to Lemma 5.31. Hence, Ψ_X gives an equivalence $\mathfrak{G}_1(X, D) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$.

Proposition 10.9 *Let* $g = \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ *for some* $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^K$ *where* $K \subset \underline{\ell}$*. The following holds:*

- *For* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$*,* $\mathcal{T} := \Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ *is admissibly specializable along* g*. Moreover, there exists* $\mathcal{T}[\star g]$ *in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$*, and we have* $\mathcal{T}[\star g] \simeq \Psi_X\big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}[\star g]\big)$ *as good prolongment of* $\mathcal{T}(*g)$*.*
- *We have natural isomorphisms in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$*:*

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \Psi_X \circ \widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}), \quad \Xi_g(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \Psi_X \circ \Xi_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}) \quad \phi_g(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \Psi_X \circ \phi_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}) \tag{88}$$

- *In particular, we have* $\widetilde{L} = M(N; L)$ *on* $\phi_g\mathcal{T}$ *and* $\widetilde{\psi}_g\mathcal{T}$*, where* $\widetilde{L}$ *denote the filtrations as objects in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$*, and* L *denote the naively induced filtrations.*

Proof Let us begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 10.10 *(i) $\mathcal{T} = \Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ are strictly specializable along g as $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple, (ii) $\mathcal{T}[\star g]$ $(\star = *, !)$ exist as $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple, (iii) we have the isomorphisms* (88) *as $\mathcal{R}$-triples.*

Proof Let us consider the case $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{V}[*I!J]$ for $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, D)$. We set $I_0 := I \setminus K$ and $J_0 := J \setminus K$. By Proposition 5.16, the $\mathcal{R}_X(*g)$-triple $\Psi_X(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])(*g)$ is strictly specializable along g, and $\Psi_X(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])[\star g] \simeq \Psi_X(\mathcal{V}[*I_0!J_0 \star K])$ as $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple. Moreover, we have $\Psi_X\bigl(\mathcal{V}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^{a,b}[*I!J]\bigr)[\star g] = \Psi_X\bigl(\mathcal{V}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^{a,b}[*I_0!J_0\star K]\bigr)$ $(\star = *, !)$ as $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples. Hence, $\Xi_g^{(0)}\bigl(\Psi_X(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])\bigr)$ as an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple exists, and it is given as follows:

$$\Xi_g^{(0)}\bigl(\Psi_X(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])\bigr) = \mathrm{Ker}\Bigl(\Psi_X\bigl(\mathcal{V}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^{-N,1}[*I_0!J_0!K]\bigr) \longrightarrow \Psi_X\bigl(\mathcal{V}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^{-N,0}[*I_0!J_0 * K]\bigr)\Bigr) \simeq \Psi_X\bigl(\Xi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])\bigr).$$

Similarly, we have $\psi_g^{(a)}\bigl(\Psi_X(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])\bigr) \simeq \Psi_X\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be obtained as the cohomology of the following:

$$\Psi_X\bigl(\mathcal{V}[*I_0!J_0!K]\bigr) \longrightarrow \Psi_X\bigl(\Xi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{V}[*I!J]\bigr) \oplus \Psi_X\bigl(\mathcal{V}[*I!J]\bigr) \longrightarrow \Psi_X\bigl(\mathcal{V}[*I_0!J_0 * K]\bigr)$$

It is naturally isomorphic to $\Psi_X(\phi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{V}[*I!J])$, which is strict. Hence, we obtain that $\Psi_X(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])$ is strictly specializable along g, and $\mathcal{P} \simeq \phi_g^{(0)}\Psi_X(\mathcal{V}[*I!J]) \simeq \Psi_X\bigl(\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])\bigr)$. We can compute $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}\bigl(\Psi_X(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])\bigr)$ as above, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}\Psi_X(\mathcal{V}[*I!J]) \simeq \Psi_X\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])$.

A general $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$ is expressed as the cohomology of a complex $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^0 \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^1 \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^2$, where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^p = \bigoplus_{k_p} \mathcal{V}_{k_p}[*I_{k_p}!J_{k_p}]$. We have $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}) = H^1\bigl(\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^{\bullet})\bigr)$. We have $\widetilde{\psi}_g\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^i) \simeq \Psi_X\widetilde{\psi}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^i)$, and the cohomology of the complex $\Psi_X\widetilde{\psi}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^0) \to \Psi_X\widetilde{\psi}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^1) \to \Psi_X\widetilde{\psi}_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^2)$ is strict. Similarly, we have $\phi_g^{(0)}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^i) \simeq \Psi_X\phi_g^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^i)$, and the cohomology of the complex $\Psi_X\phi_g^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^0) \to \Psi_X\phi_g^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^1) \to \Psi_X\phi_g^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^2)$ is strict. Hence, we obtain that $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}) = H^1\bigl(\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^{\bullet})\bigr)$ is strictly specializable along g, and we have natural isomorphisms $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}) \simeq \Psi_X\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\phi_g^{(0)}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}) \simeq \Psi_X\phi_g^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$. In particular, the following morphisms are isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{can} : \psi_g^{(1)}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}[!g]), \xrightarrow{\simeq} \phi_g^{(0)}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}[!g]) \qquad \mathrm{var} : \phi_g^{(0)}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}[*g]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \psi_g^{(0)}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}[*g]).$$

Hence, we obtain that $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})[\star g] \simeq \Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}[\star g])$. Thus, we obtain Lemma 10.10. ▮

Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_2$ be a monomorphism in $\mathfrak{G}_1(X, D)$. Then, $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_1) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_2)$ is identified with $\Psi_X\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_1) \longrightarrow \Psi_X\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_2)$, whose cokernel is strict. Applying this strictness to the weight filtration of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}$, we obtain that $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ is filtered specializable along g. Then, the isomorphisms $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}) \simeq \Psi_X\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\phi_g\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}) \simeq \Psi_X\phi_g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ are compatible with the naively induced filtrations. Hence, we obtain that $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$ is admissible specializable by Proposition 10.5. We obtain $\Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})[\star g] \simeq \Psi_X(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}[\star g])$ in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$ from the above results. ▮

10.2.2 Global case

Let X be a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface $D = \bigcup_{i\in\Lambda} D_i$.

Definition 10.11 *Let $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$, whose objects $\mathcal{T}$ satisfy the following:*

- *For any point $P \in X$, we take a small coordinate neighbourhood $(X_P; z_1, \dots, z_n)$ such that $D_P := X_P \cap D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$. Then, $\mathcal{T}_{|X_P} \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X_P, D_P)$.*

An object in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$ is called a good pre-mixed twistor D-module. ▮

We obtain the following proposition from Proposition 10.9.

Proposition 10.12 *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$. Let g be a holomorphic function on X such that $g^{-1}(0) \subset D$.*

- *$\mathcal{T}$ is admissibly specializable along g. There exist $\mathcal{T}[\star g]$ in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, D)$ for $\star = *, !$.*

- *$\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}(\mathcal{T})$, $\Xi_g(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ are objects in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$.
- *Let $\widetilde{L}$ denote the weight filtrations of $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}\mathcal{T}$ and $\phi_g\mathcal{T}$ as objects in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$. *Let L denote the filtrations of $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,u}\mathcal{T}$ and $\phi_g\mathcal{T}$ naively induced by the filtration of $\mathcal{T}$. Then, we have $\widetilde{L}=M(N;L)$.* ∎

Let $\mathcal{V}\in\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$. Let $\Lambda=I\sqcup J$ be a decomposition. We have an object $\mathcal{V}[*I!J]\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$ satisfying the following condition.

- Let P be any point of D. Let $(X_P,z_1,\dots,z_n)$ be a small coordinate neighbourhood around P such that $D_P:=D\cap X_P=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i=0\}$. We have the decomposition $\underline{\ell}=I_P\sqcup J_P$ induced by $\Lambda=I\sqcup J$. We put $\mathcal{V}_P:=\mathcal{V}_{|X_P}$, which induces $\mathcal{V}_P[*I_P!J_P]$ in $\mathfrak{G}_1(X_P,D_P)$. Then, we have $\mathcal{V}[*I!J]_{|X_P}\simeq\Psi_{X_P}(\mathcal{V}_P[*I_P!J_P])$.

Such $\mathcal{V}[*I!J]$ is unique up to canonical isomorphisms. We obtain the following corollary from Proposition 10.9.

Corollary 10.13 *Let g be a holomorphic function on X such that $g^{-1}(0)=\bigcup_{i\in K}D_i$ for some $K\subset\Lambda$. Let $\mathcal{V}\in\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$.*

- *$\mathcal{V}[*I!J]$ is admissibly specializable along g.*
- *There exists $\bigl(\mathcal{V}[*I!J]\bigr)[\star g]$ in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$, *and we have the following isomorphisms as good prolongment of $\mathcal{T}$:*

$$\bigl(\mathcal{V}[*I!J]\bigr)[*g]\simeq\mathcal{V}[*(I\cup K)!(J\setminus K)],\qquad \bigl(\mathcal{V}[*I!J]\bigr)[!g]=\mathcal{V}[*(I\setminus K)!(J\cup K)]$$

∎

10.2.3 Gluing

Let X be a complex manifold with a simple normal crossing hypersurface D. Let g be a holomorphic function on X such that $D_1:=g^{-1}(0)\subset D$. Let $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D,*D_1)$. We have $\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\Xi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$. They are equipped with the filtrations $\widetilde{L}$ as objects in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$. They are also equipped with the naively induced filtrations L.

Let $\mathcal{T}'\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$ whose support is contained in D_1. Assume that we are given morphisms

$$\psi_g^{(1)}\mathcal{T}\xrightarrow{u}\mathcal{T}'\xrightarrow{v}\psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$$

in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$ such that $v\circ u$ is equal to the natural morphism $\psi_g^{(1)}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$. Then, we obtain $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}',u,v)$ in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$ as the cohomology of the complex $\psi_g^{(1)}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\Xi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}\oplus\mathcal{T}'\longrightarrow\psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$. It has the filtration $L^{(1)}$ with which $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}',u,v)\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$.

We have the filtration L of $\mathcal{T}'$ obtained as the transfer of L of $\psi_g(\mathcal{T})$. Then, we obtain a filtration $L^{(2)}$ of $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}',u,v)$. We obtain the following lemma from Lemma 10.6.

Lemma 10.14 *We have $L^{(1)}=L^{(2)}$.* ∎

10.3 Good mixed twistor D-module

10.3.1 Statement

Let X be a complex manifold. Let D be a normal crossing hypersurface. We shall show the following theorem.

Theorem 10.15 *A good pre-mixed twistor D-module is a mixed twistor D-module.*

After the theorem is proved, good pre-mixed twistor D-module is also called good mixed twistor D-module, and $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$ is also denoted by $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$.

In particular, for a given admissible mixed twistor structure on (X,D), we have its canonical prolongation. Namely, let $\mathcal{V}\in\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$, and let $D=\bigcup_{i\in\Lambda}D_i$ be the irreducible decomposition. For a decomposition $\Lambda=I\sqcup J$, we have the good mixed twistor D-module $\mathcal{V}[*I!J]$.

We also show the following, which is a special case of Proposition 11.8.

Proposition 10.16 *Let* $(\mathcal{T},L)\in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$. *For any holomorphic function* f *on* X, *we have* $(\mathcal{T},L)[\star f]$ $(\star=*,!)$ *in* $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. *Moreover, if* $f^{-1}(0)=g^{-1}(0)$ *for some holomorphic function* g, *we have a natural isomorphism* $(\mathcal{T},L)[\star f]\simeq(\mathcal{T},L)[\star g]$.

10.3.2 Preliminary

Let $\mathcal{V}\in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$. Let D_0 be a hypersurface of X. Let $\varphi:X'\longrightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism such that $D':=\varphi^{-1}\bigl(D\cup D_0\bigr)$ is normal crossing. We obtain an object $\mathcal{V}':=\varphi^*(\mathcal{V})(*D')\in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X',D')$.

Let $D=D_1\cup D_2$ be a decomposition into normal crossing hypersurfaces with $\operatorname{codim} D_1\cap D_2\geq 2$. We put $D_2':=\varphi^{-1}(D_2)$, and let $D'=D_1'\cup D_2'$ be the decomposition with $\operatorname{codim} D_1'\cap D_2'\geq 2$. We put $D_1'':=\varphi^{-1}(D_1)$, and let $D'=D_1''\cup D_2''$ be the decomposition with $\operatorname{codim} D_1''\cap D_2''\geq 2$. Note that $D_1''\subset D_1'$ and $D_2'\subset D_2''$. We have a natural morphism $\mathcal{V}'[*D_1''!D_2'']\longrightarrow \mathcal{V}'[*D_1'!D_2']$ in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X',D')$. We shall prove the following lemma in Subsection 10.3.5.

Lemma 10.17 *We have natural morphisms in* $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$

$$\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V}'[*D_1''!D_2'']\longrightarrow \mathcal{V}[*D_1!D_2]\longrightarrow \varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V}'[*D_1'!D_2']$$

which induces natural isomorphisms $\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V}'\simeq\mathcal{V}(*D_0)\simeq\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V}'$.

If one of D_i *is empty,* $\mathcal{V}[*D_1!D_2]$ *is the image of the morphism* $\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V}'[*D_1''!D_2'']\longrightarrow\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V}'[*D_1'!D_2']$ *in* $\mathrm{MTW}(X)$.

10.3.3 Admissible specializability of good pre-mixed twistor D-modules

Let $\mathcal{V}\in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$. We have $\mathcal{V}[\star D]\in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$ for $\star=*,!$.

Lemma 10.18 *Let* f *be any holomorphic function on* X. *Let* $\star$ *be* $*$ *or* $!$.

- $\mathcal{V}[\star D]$ *is admissibly specializable along* f.
- *There exist* $(\mathcal{V}[\star D])[*f]$ *and* $(\mathcal{V}[\star D])[!f]$ *in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,f)$.

Proof We set $D_0:=f^{-1}(0)$, and we take $\varphi:X'\longrightarrow X$ as in Subsection 10.3.2. We set $D_1:=D$ and $D_2:=\emptyset$ if $\star=*$, and $D_1=\emptyset$ and $D_2:=D$ if $\star=!$. We use the notation in Subsection 10.3.2. According to Proposition 10.12, $\mathcal{V}'[*D_1'!D_2']$ and $\mathcal{V}'[*D_1''!D_2'']$ are admissibly specializable along φ^*f. According to Lemma 7.8, we have $\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V}'[*D_1'!D_2']$ and $\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V}'[*D_1''!D_2'']$ are admissibly specializable along f. By Lemma 10.17 and Proposition 7.7, we obtain that $\mathcal{V}[\star D]$ is also admissibly specializable along f. It is easy to see that $\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V}'[*D_1'!D_2']$ gives $(\mathcal{V}[\star D])[*f]$, and that $\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V}'[*D_1''!D_2'']$ gives $(\mathcal{V}[\star D])[!f]$. Thus, we obtain Lemma 10.18. ▮

Corollary 10.19 *Let* g *be a holomorphic function such that* $g^{-1}(0)=D$. *Then,* $\psi_g^{(a)}\mathcal{V}[\star D]$ *and* $\Xi_g^{(a)}\mathcal{V}[\star D]$ *are admissibly specializable along any holomorphic function* f *on* X. ▮

Lemma 10.20 $\mathcal{T}\in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$ *is admissibly specializable along any holomorphic function* f *on* X. *Moreover, there exist* $\mathcal{T}[\star f]$ $(\star=*,!)$ *in* $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,f)$.

Proof We have only to consider the case $X=\Delta^n$ and $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i=0\}$. For $\mathcal{T}\in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$, we put $\rho_1(\mathcal{T}):=\dim\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{T}$, and let $\rho_2(\mathcal{T})$ denote the number of $I\subset\underline{\ell}$ such that $|I|+\dim\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{T}=n$ and $\phi_I(\mathcal{T})\neq 0$. We set $\rho(\mathcal{T}):=\bigl(\rho_1(\mathcal{T}),\rho_2(\mathcal{T})\bigr)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We use the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

We take $I\subset\underline{\ell}$ such that $|I|+\dim\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{T}=n$ and $\phi_I(\mathcal{T})\neq 0$. We put $h:=\prod_{i\in I^c}z_i$. Then, $\mathcal{T}$ can be reconstructed as the cohomology of the complex:

$$\psi_h^{(1)}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\phi_h^{(0)}\mathcal{T}\oplus\Xi_h^{(0)}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\psi_h^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$$

Because $\rho(\psi_h^{(a)}\mathcal{T})<\rho(\mathcal{T})$ and $\rho(\phi_h^{(a)}\mathcal{T})<\rho(\mathcal{T})$, we can apply the hypothesis of the induction. Applying Corollary 10.19 to $\Xi_h^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$, we obtain $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{sp}}(X,g)$. Thus, the proof of Lemma 10.20 is finished. ▮

10.3.4 Proof of Theorem 10.15 and Proposition 10.16

Let X be a complex manifold. Let H be a normal crossing hypersurface of X. We consider the following claims.

$P(n)$**:** The claim of the theorem holds for $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, H)$, if $\dim \mathrm{Supp}\, \mathcal{T} \leq n$.

We show $P(n)$ by an induction on n. Assume that $P(n-1)$ holds.

Let us consider the case that $X = \Delta^n$ and $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, H)$, and let us show that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{V}[*H]$ is a mixed twistor D-module. We have already known that $\mathcal{T}$ is admissibly specializable. Let g be any holomorphic function on X. We take a projective birational morphism $\varphi : X' \longrightarrow X$ such that $H' := \varphi^{-1}(H \cup g^{-1}(0))$ is normal crossing. We obtain $\mathcal{V}' := \varphi^*(\mathcal{V})$ in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X', H')$. We put $H_1' := \varphi^{-1}(H)$, and let H_2' be the complement of H_1' in H'. We obtain $\mathcal{T}_1 := \mathcal{V}'[!H_2' * H_1']$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 := \mathcal{V}'[*H']$ in $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X', H')$. We put $g' := \varphi^*(g)$. By the hypothesis of the induction,

$$\phi_g(\mathcal{T}_1), \quad \phi_g(\mathcal{T}_2), \quad \psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}_1), \quad \psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}_2)$$

are mixed twistor D-modules. By Lemma 10.17, we have $\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathrm{Im}\big(\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow \varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{T}_2)\big)$. We obtain

$$\phi_g(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathrm{Im}\Big(\varphi_\dagger \phi_g(\mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow \varphi_\dagger \phi_g(\mathcal{T}_2)\Big)$$

$$\psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathrm{Im}\Big(\varphi_\dagger \psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow \varphi_\dagger \psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T}_2)\Big)$$

Hence, we obtain $\phi_g(\mathcal{T})$ and $\psi_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{T})$ are mixed twistor D-modules. Hence, we obtain $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{V}[*H]$ is a mixed twistor D-module. Similarly, we obtain that $\mathcal{V}[!H]$ is a mixed twistor D-module.

Let us consider the case that $X = \Delta^N$ for $N \geq n$, and $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$. We set $H_{[n]} := \bigcup_{|I| = N-n} H_I$, where $H_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i$. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X, H)$ with $\mathrm{Supp}\, \mathcal{T} \subset H^{[n]}$. Let us show that $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. We use an induction on the number $k(\mathcal{T})$ of the n-dimensional irreducible components of the support of $\mathcal{T}$. The case $k(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ follows from the hypothesis of the induction. We take $I \subset \underline{\ell}$ with $|I| = N - n$ such that $\phi_I \mathcal{T} \neq 0$. Let $g := \prod_{\underline{\ell} \setminus I} z_i$. By the hypothesis of the induction, we have $\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}), \psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. By the result in the previous paragraph, we obtain that $\Xi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Because we can reconstruct $\mathcal{T}$ from $\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}), \psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ $(a = 0, 1)$ and $\Xi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$, we obtain that $\mathcal{T}$ is a mixed twistor D-module. Thus, the proof of Theorem 10.15 is finished.

We can observe that $(\mathcal{T}[\star D])[*f]$ and $(\mathcal{T}[\star D])[!f]$ in Lemma 10.18 are mixed twistor D-modules, by their construction and Theorem 10.15. It follows that $\mathcal{T}[\star f]$ $(\star = *, !)$ in Lemma 10.20 are mixed twistor D-modules. Thus, the proof of Proposition 10.16 is finished.

10.3.5 Proof of Lemma 10.17

Let us return to the situation in Subsection 10.3.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be one of the smooth $\mathcal{R}_X(*D)$-modules underlying $\mathcal{V}$. Let $\mathcal{M}' := \varphi^*\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{D}')$. We put $D_3' := \varphi^{-1}(D_0)$, and $D_4' := \varphi^{-1}(D)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_1' := \big(\mathcal{M}'[*D_3']\big)(*D_4')$ and $\mathcal{M}_2' := \big(\mathcal{M}'[!D_3']\big)(*D_4')$.

Lemma 10.21 *$\mathcal{M}$ is naturally isomorphic to the image of $\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_2' \longrightarrow \varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_1'$.*

Proof By the construction of $\mathcal{M}_1'$, we have a naturally defined morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_1'$. For $\lambda \neq 0$, we have a naturally defined morphisms $\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_2'^{\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\lambda}$. Hence, we obtain $\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_2' \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Let $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ and $P \in D_0 \setminus D$. If we take a small neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ around (λ_0, P), we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ with a natural $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{U}$. Hence, it is easy to observe that $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathcal{U}}$ is the image of $\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_2' \longrightarrow \varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_1'$ on $\mathcal{U}$. We obtain that $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathbb{C}_\lambda^* \times X}$ is the image of $\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_2' \longrightarrow \varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_1'$ on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^* \times X$. Because the cokernel of $\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_2' \longrightarrow \varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_1'$ is strict, we obtain that $\mathcal{M}$ is the image of $\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_2' \longrightarrow \varphi_\dagger\mathcal{M}_1'$. ∎

Let L denote the weight filtrations of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$, induced by the weight filtration of the admissible variation of mixed twistor structures. The naively induced filtrations of $\mathcal{M}_i'$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are denoted by L. Let $\widetilde{L}$ denote the weight filtrations of $\mathcal{M}_i'$ induced by the weight filtration as objects of $\mathrm{MTW}^{\mathrm{good}}(X',D')$. They induce the weight filtration of $\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_i'$, which are also denoted by $\widetilde{L}$.

We have the naturally induced morphisms $\varphi_{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}_2',L)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{M},L)\longrightarrow\varphi_{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}_1',L)$. They are clearly strictly compatible with the filtrations.

Lemma 10.22 *$\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_1'$ gives $(\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2',\widetilde{L})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{M},L)\longrightarrow(\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_1',\widetilde{L})$, which is strictly compatible with the filtrations.*

Proof We have the induced morphisms $L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'\longrightarrow L_k\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_1'$ for each k. We use an induction on k to show that we have natural morphisms $(L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2',\widetilde{L})\longrightarrow(L_k\mathcal{M},L)\longrightarrow(L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_1',\widetilde{L})$, and that they are strictly compatible with the filtrations. If k is sufficiently negative, the claim is trivial. Assume the claim in the case $k-1$. Let us look at the induced morphisms $\mathrm{Gr}^L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}^L_k\mathcal{M}$. Note that $\mathrm{Gr}^L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'=\widetilde{L}_k\,\mathrm{Gr}^L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'$, and the support of $\widetilde{L}_{k-1}\mathrm{Gr}^L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'$ is contained in D_0. Hence, the induced morphism $\widetilde{L}_{k-1}\mathrm{Gr}^L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}^L_k\mathcal{M}$ is 0, it implies that the image of $\widetilde{L}_{k-1}L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'$ is contained in $L_{k-1}\mathcal{M}$. Let us look at the following:

$$\widetilde{L}_{k-1}L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'\xrightarrow{\;a\;}L_{k-1}\mathcal{M}\xrightarrow{\;b\;}L_{k-1}\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_1'$$

The image of $\widetilde{L}_mL_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'$ $(m<k)$ via $b\circ a$ is contained in $\widetilde{L}_mL_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_1'$. Because b is injective and strict by the assumption of the induction, we obtain that the image of $\widetilde{L}_mL_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'$ $(m<k)$ via a is contained in $L_m\mathcal{M}$. Thus, we obtain $(L_k\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2',\widetilde{L})\longrightarrow(L_k\mathcal{M},L)$. Moreover, it is strictly compatible with the filtrations.

Because $\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_2'\longrightarrow\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_1'$ is strictly compatible with $\widetilde{L}$, we obtain that $(\mathcal{V},L)\longrightarrow(\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_1',\widetilde{L})$ is strictly compatible. Hence, the induction can go on. ∎

Let $\mathcal{M}_3:=\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}'[*D_1'!D_2']$ and $\mathcal{M}_4:=\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}'[*D_1''!D_2'']$. Let us consider the case that $D_i=g_i^{-1}(0)$. We have that $\mathcal{M}[*D_1]$ and $\mathcal{M}_3(*g_2)$ are admissible specializable along g_1, and that $\mathcal{M}[*D_1][*g_1]\simeq\mathcal{M}[*D_1]$ and $\mathcal{M}_3(*g_2)[*g_1]\simeq\mathcal{M}_3(*g_2)$. Hence, we have a uniquely determined morphism $\mathcal{M}[*D_1]\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_3(*g_2)$ induced by $\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_1'=\mathcal{M}_3(*\mathcal{D})$, which is compatible with the weight filtrations. We have that $\mathcal{M}[*D_1!D_2]$ and $\mathcal{M}_3$ are admissible specializable along g_2, and that $\mathcal{M}[*D_1!D_2][!g_2]\simeq\mathcal{M}[*D_1!D_2]$ and $\mathcal{M}_3[!g_2]\simeq\mathcal{M}_3$. Hence, we have a uniquely determined morphism $\mathcal{M}[*D_1!D_2]\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_3$. Similarly, we obtain $\mathcal{M}_4\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}[*D_1!D_2]$. By the uniqueness, we obtain the global case. Thus, we obtain the first claim of Lemma 10.17.

Let us consider the case $D_1=\emptyset$. For any generic λ, the specialization $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}[*D_1!D_2]$ is the image of the induced morphism $(\mathcal{M}_4)^{\lambda}\longrightarrow(\mathcal{M}_3)^{\lambda}$. Then, by using the strictness, we obtain that $\mathcal{M}[\star D]\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_3$ is injective, and that $\mathcal{M}_4\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}[\star D]$ is surjective, i.e., $\mathcal{M}[\star D]$ is the image of $\mathcal{M}_3\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}[\star D]\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_4$. Thus, the proof of Lemma 10.17 is finished. ∎

10.4 Integrable case

Let X be a complex manifold with a simply normal crossing hypersurface D. An integrable mixed twistor D-module $(\mathcal{T},L)$ is called good on (X,D), if the underlying mixed twistor D-module is good on (X,D). Let $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int\,good}}(X,D)\subset\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X,D)$ be the full subcategory of good mixed twistor D-module on (X,D).

Let $D=\bigcup_{i\in\Lambda}D$ be the irreducible decomposition. Let $\Lambda=I\sqcup J$ be a decomposition. Let $\mathcal{V}\in\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X,D)$. We have the good mixed twistor D-module $\mathcal{V}[*I!J]$.

Proposition 10.23 *We naturally have $\mathcal{V}[*I!J]\in\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int\,good}}(X,D)$.*

Proof We have only to consider the case $X=\Delta^n$ and $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i=0\}$. Let us check that the weight filtration of $\mathcal{V}[*I!J]$ is integrable. Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ be the $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules underlying $\mathcal{V}[*I!J]$ with the weight filtration W. Let $\mathcal{V}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ be the smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules underlying $\mathcal{V}$ with the weight filtrations L. We put $g_I:=\prod_{i\in I}z_i$ and $g_J:=\prod_{i\in J}z_i$. Because $(\mathcal{M}_i,W)$ is obtained as $\bigl((\mathcal{V}_i,L)[*g_I]\bigr)[!g_J]$, the filtration W is integrable. (See Lemma 7.18.)

Let us check that $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])$ has an integrable polarization. We use the following general lemma.

Lemma 10.24 *Let $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{int}}(Y,w)$. Let f be a holomorphic function on Y. Then, each $\mathrm{Gr}^W_m\mathcal{T}[\star f]\in\mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{int}}(Y,w+m)$ $\star=*,!$.*

Proof We have only to consider the case $w=0$. Let S be an integrable polarization of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{N}:\widetilde{\psi}_{f,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\widetilde{\psi}_{f,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ be the natural nilpotent morphism. Note that $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(\mathcal{N})}\,\psi_{f,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})$ has the polarization naturally induced by S and $\mathcal{N}$, which is integrable. We have natural isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_m(\mathcal{T}[*f])\simeq P\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(\mathcal{N})}_m\,\widetilde{\psi}_{f,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),\ \ (m>0),\quad \mathrm{Gr}^W_0(\mathcal{T}[*f])\simeq\mathcal{T},\quad \mathrm{Gr}^W_m(\mathcal{T}[*f])=0,\ \ (m<0).$$

Hence, $\mathrm{Gr}^W_m(\mathcal{T})\in\mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{int}}(Y,m)$. We also have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_m(\mathcal{T}[!f])\simeq P\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(\mathcal{N})}_m\,\psi_{g,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}),\ \ (m<0),\quad \mathrm{Gr}^W_0(\mathcal{T}[!f])\simeq\mathcal{T},\quad \mathrm{Gr}^W_m(\mathcal{T}[!f])\simeq 0,\ \ (m>0).$$

Then, the claim of the lemma follows. $\blacksquare$

Let us return to the proof of Proposition 10.23. We have only to consider the case that $\mathcal{V}$ is pure of weight 0. We set $\mathcal{T}_w:=\mathrm{Gr}^W_w\big(\mathcal{V}[*\underline{\ell}]\big)$. We have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}^W(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])\simeq\bigoplus_w\mathrm{Gr}^W\big(\mathcal{T}_w[!J]\big)$. Applying Lemma 10.24, we obtain that each $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])$ has an integrable polarization. Hence, $\mathcal{V}[*I!J]\in\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int\,good}}(X,D)$. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 10.25 *Let g be a holomorphic function such that $g^{-1}(0)\subset D$. Then, $\widetilde{\psi}_{g,\mathfrak{a},u}(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])$, $\Xi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])$ and $\phi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{V}[*I!J])$ are naturally integrable good mixed twistor D-module on (X,D).* $\blacksquare$

11 Some basic property

11.1 Expression as gluing of admissible mixed twistor structure

11.1.1 Cell

Let X be a complex manifold. Let P be a point of X. An n-dimensional cell at P is a tuple $(Z,U,\varphi,\mathcal{V})$ as follows:

(Cell 1) $\varphi:Z\longrightarrow X$ is a morphism of complex manifolds such that $P\in\varphi(Z)$ and $\dim Z=n$. We assume that there exists a neighbourhood of X_P of P in X such that $\varphi:\varphi^{-1}(X_P)\longrightarrow X_P$ is projective.

(Cell 2) $U\subset Z$ is the complement of a simply normal crossing hypersurface D_Z. The restriction $\varphi_{|U}$ is an immersion. Moreover, there exists a hypersurface H of X_P such that $\varphi^{-1}(H)=D_Z\cap\varphi^{-1}(X_P)$.

(Cell 3) $\mathcal{V}\in\mathrm{MTS}(Z,D_Z)$ satisfying **Adm0**.

A function g on X is called a cell function of a cell $\mathcal{C}=(Z,U,\varphi,\mathcal{V})$, if $(\varphi^*g)^{-1}(0)=D_Z$. If $\mathcal{V}$ is admissible, $\mathcal{C}$ is called an admissible cell. If $\mathcal{V}$ is integrable, $\mathcal{C}$ is called an integrable cell.

11.1.2 Expression as a gluing

Let $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Let $P\in\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{T}$. We will shrink X around P. We may have a cell $\mathcal{C}=(Z,U,\varphi,\mathcal{V})$ and a cell function g such that $\mathcal{T}(*g)=\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})$. We shall prove the following proposition in Subsection 11.1.4.

Proposition 11.1 *The cell $\mathcal{C}$ is admissible. If $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$, $\mathcal{C}$ is also integrable.*

By Lemma 7.8 and Proposition 10.16, we have $\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})[\star g]$ in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. According to Lemma 7.14, we have the morphisms $\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})[!g]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})[*g]$ in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$, and we obtain $\big(\phi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}^{(1)}\big)$ as the following complex in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$:

$$\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})[!g]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}\oplus\Xi_g\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})\longrightarrow\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})[*g]$$

We have the filtration $L\phi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})$, naively induced by the weight filtration of $\mathcal{T}$. It is a filtration of $\big(\phi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}^{(1)}\big)$ in the category $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$.

By definition of mixed twistor D-module, we have the relative monodromy filtration $\widetilde{L}:=M(\mathcal{N};L\phi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T})$, with which $\big(\phi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}),\widetilde{L}\big)\in\mathrm{MTM}(X)$.

Lemma 11.2 *We have* $\widetilde{L} = \widetilde{L}^{(1)}$.

Proof We have only to consider the case that $\mathcal{T}$ is pure of weight 0. Let us consider the morphisms $\psi_g^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{var}} \psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ of $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples. We have the following mixed twistor D-modules:

$$\bigl(\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{T}), \widetilde{L}\bigr), \quad \bigl(\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}), \widetilde{L}\bigr), \quad \bigl(\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}), \widetilde{L}^{(1)}\bigr)$$

The morphisms can and var are morphisms in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$, for both the filtrations $\widetilde{L}$ and $\widetilde{L}^{(1)}$ for $\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$. We have the decomposition $\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{can} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{var}$, which is compatible with both $\widetilde{L}$ and $\widetilde{L}^{(1)}$. The restriction of $\widetilde{L}$ to $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{var}$ is pure of weight 0 by definition. Because $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_0 \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{var}$ as pure twistor D-module, $\widetilde{L}^{(1)}$ is also pure of weight 0 on $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{var}$. Because can and var are strict with respect to both the $\widetilde{L}$ and $\widetilde{L}^{(1)}$, we have $\widetilde{L} = \widetilde{L}^{(1)}$ on $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{can}$. Thus, we obtain Lemma 11.2. ▮

We obtain the local expression of $\mathcal{T}$ as the cohomology of the following complex in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$:

$$\psi_g^{(1)}(\varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \Xi_g^{(0)}(\varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V}) \oplus \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \psi_g^{(0)}(\varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V}) \tag{89}$$

11.1.3 Gluing

Let $\mathcal{C} = (Z, U, \varphi, \mathcal{V})$ be an admissible cell with a cell function g at P. We will shrink X around P. Let $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathcal{T}' \subset g^{-1}(0)$. Assume that we are given morphisms

$$\psi_g^{(1)}\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{T}' \xrightarrow{v} \psi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V} \tag{90}$$

in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$, such that $v \circ u$ is equal to the canonical morphism $\psi_g^{(1)}\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \psi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V}$. Then, we obtain the $\mathcal{R}$-triple $(\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}', u, v), L^{(1)}) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ as the cohomology of the following complex in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$:

$$\psi_g^{(1)}\varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \Xi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow \psi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V} \tag{91}$$

We can reconstruct $\mathcal{T}'$ as the cohomology of the complex in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$:

$$\varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V}[!g] \longrightarrow \Xi_g \varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}', u, v) \longrightarrow \varphi_\dagger \mathcal{V}[*g] \tag{92}$$

We have a similar expression for an integrable mixed twistor D-module as the gluing of an integrable admissible cell and the vanishing cycle integrable mixed twistor D-module.

Let $L\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ and $L\Xi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})$ be the filtrations naively induced by the weight filtration of $\mathcal{V}$. Let L be the filtration of $\mathcal{T}'$ obtained as the transfer of L of $\psi_g^{(a)}\mathcal{T}$ with respect to (90). We obtain a filtration $L^{(2)}$ of $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}', u, v)$ from (91) with the filtrations L.

Lemma 11.3 *We have* $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)}$ *on* $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}', u, v)$.

Proof We have the naively induced filtration $L^{(1)}$ of $\mathcal{T}'$. By using Lemma 11.2, we obtain $L^{(1)} = L$ on $\mathcal{T}'$. Then, we obtain $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)}$ on $\mathrm{Glue}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}', u, v)$. ▮

11.1.4 Admissibility of cell

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a mixed twistor D-module on X. Let Z be the support of $\mathcal{T}$. We have the graded pure twistor D-module $\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{T}) = \bigoplus \mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T})$, whose support is Z. Let Z_0 be an irreducible component of Z. Let $P \in Z_0$. We study the local property of $\mathcal{T}$ around P. We shall shrink X around P. Then, we may have a hypersurface H of X such that (i) $Z \setminus H = Z_0 \setminus H \neq \emptyset$, (ii) $\mathcal{T}_{|X\setminus H}$ comes from a mixed twistor structure on $Z_0 \setminus H$. (We use Proposition 7.27 and Lemma 8.3.) If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$, the mixed twistor structure is integrable. We shall prove the following proposition, which implies Proposition 11.1.

Proposition 11.4 *There exists a projective birational morphism* $\varphi : Z_1 \longrightarrow Z_0$ *with the following property:*

- *$H_1 := \varphi^{-1}(H)$ is simply normal crossing.*
- *$\mathcal{T}(*H)$ comes from an admissible mixed twistor structure on (Z_1, H_1).*

If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$, the admissible mixed twistor structure is integrable.

Proof Let us consider the case $X = \Delta$ and $H := \{O\}$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a mixed twistor D-module such that $(\mathcal{T}, L)_{|X\setminus H}$ comes from a mixed twistor structure. Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be the underlying $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules. Let L be the weight filtration of $\mathcal{T}$. Because $\mathrm{Gr}^L \mathcal{T}(*H)$ is obtained as the canonical meromorphic prolongation of a wild variation of polarizable pure twistor structure, $\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathcal{M}_i)(*H)$ are good $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-modules. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_i$ are also good $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-modules. If we take an appropriate ramified covering $\varphi : (X_2, H_2) \longrightarrow (X, H)$, we have the irregular decomposition $\varphi^*(\mathcal{M}_i, L)_{|\widehat{H}_2} = \bigoplus(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{i,\mathfrak{a}}, L)$. Because $(\mathcal{M}_i, L)$ are admissibly specializable, each $\mathcal{M}_i$ has KMS-structure compatible with the filtration L, and the condition **(Adm2)** in Subsection 9.1.3 is satisfied. Hence, $\mathcal{T}(*H)$ comes from an admissible mixed twistor structure. If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, then the admissible mixed twistor structure is integrable.

Let us consider the general case. We take a projective birational morphism $\varphi : X' \longrightarrow X$ such that (i) the proper transform Z_0' of Z_0 is smooth, (ii) $H_0' := Z_0' \cap H'$ is normal crossing (where $H' := \varphi^{-1}(H)$), (iii) each $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T})$ comes from a good wild variation of polarizable pure twistor structure on (Z_0', H_0').

Let L be the weight filtration of $\mathcal{T}$. We have the filtered $\mathcal{R}_{X'(*H')}$-triple $(\mathcal{T}', L)$ obtained as the lift of $(\mathcal{T}, L)$, as in Lemma 2.9. (We use Lemma 2.10 in the integrable case.) By Corollary 11.7 below, it comes from a filtered $\mathcal{R}_{Z_0'}(*H_0')$-triple $(\mathcal{V}, L)$, and the underlying $\mathcal{R}_{Z_0'(*H_0')}$-modules are smooth and good. Hence, $(\mathcal{V}, L) \in \mathrm{MTS}(Z_0', H_0')$, by Proposition 5.28. Proposition 11.4 is reduced to the following.

Proposition 11.5 *$(\mathcal{V}, L)$ is admissible.*

Proof First, let us consider the case that $\dim Z_0 = 1$. We may assume $X = \Delta^n$ and $H = \{z_1 = 0\}$. Let $q_1 : X \longrightarrow \Delta^1$ be the projection onto the first component. We may assume that the induced morphism $F : Z_0 \longrightarrow \Delta^1$ is a ramified covering. We have $\mathcal{T}_1 := q_{1\dagger}(\mathcal{T}) \in MTM(\Delta^1)$. By the result in the one dimensional case, $\mathcal{V}_1 := \mathcal{T}_1(*0) \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(\Delta^1, 0)$. We obtain $F^*\mathcal{V}_1 \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(Z_0', H_0')$. Because $\mathcal{V}_1 = F_\dagger(\mathcal{V}_0)$, $\mathcal{V}_0$ is a direct summand of $F^*\mathcal{V}_1$ as a filtered smooth $\mathcal{R}_{Z_0'(*H_0')}$-triple. Hence, $\mathcal{V}_0 \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(Z_0', H_0')$

Let us consider the general case. Let C be a smooth curve in Z_0', which intersects with the smooth part of H_0' transversally. By using the nearby cycle functor successively as in [38], we obtain a mixed twistor D-module $\mathcal{T}_3$ such that (i) the support of $\mathcal{T}_3(*H)$ is $\varphi(C)$, (ii) the lift of $\mathcal{T}_3(*H)$ to C is $\mathcal{V}_{|C}$. Then, by using the result in the case $\dim Z_0 = 1$, we obtain that $\mathcal{V}_{|C}$ is admissible. Then, we obtain the admissibility of $(\mathcal{V}, L)$. ∎

11.1.5 Appendix: Preliminary for the general case

Let $X := \Delta^n$ and $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$. Let $Z = \bigcap_{i=\ell+1}^{m}\{z_i = 0\}$ and $D_Z := D \cap Z$. Let $(\mathcal{M}, L)$ be a filtered $\mathcal{R}_X(*D)$-module satisfying the following conditions:

- $(\mathcal{M}, L)_{|\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times (X\setminus D)}$ comes from a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{Z\setminus D_Z}$-module.
- Each $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{M})$ comes from a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{Z(*D_Z)}$-module.

Let $X_1 := \{z_1 = 0\}$ and $D_1 := \bigcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\} \cap X_1$. Let $i : X_1 \longrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Let $f : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be given by the multiplication of z_1. We obtain a $\mathcal{R}_{X_1(*D_1)}$-module $\mathrm{Ker}\, f$, which is naturally equipped with a filtration L.

Lemma 11.6 *We have a natural isomorphism $\iota_\dagger(\mathrm{Ker}\, f, L) \simeq (\mathcal{M}, L)$. We also have $\mathrm{Gr}^L(\mathrm{Ker}\, f) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{Gr}^L(f)$.*

Proof If L is pure, the claim is trivial. We use an induction on the length of L. Assume that $L_{-1}\mathcal{M} = 0$ and $L_0\mathcal{M} \neq 0$. By the assumption, $L_0\mathcal{M}$ comes from a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{Z(*D_Z)}$-module. By a direct computation, we can check that the cokernel $\mathrm{Cok}(f_{|L_0\mathcal{M}})$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ker}(f_{|L_0\mathcal{M}})/\lambda\,\mathrm{Ker}(f_{|L_0\mathcal{M}})$. Hence, a section of $g\in\mathrm{Cok}\big(f_{|L_0\mathcal{M}}\big)$ is 0, if its restriction to $X\setminus D$ is 0. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_0\mathcal{M} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \mathcal{M} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \mathcal{M}/L_0\mathcal{M} \arrow[d, "f"'] \\
L_0\mathcal{M} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{M} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{M}/L_0\mathcal{M}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We may apply the assumption of the induction to $\mathcal{M}/L_0\mathcal{M}$. Then, we have only to show that the induced morphism

$$\mathrm{Ker}\big(f:\mathcal{M}/L_0\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}/L_0\mathcal{M}\big)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Cok}\big(f:L_0\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow L_0\mathcal{M}\big) \tag{93}$$

is 0. By the assumption, the restriction of (93) to $X\setminus D$ vanish. Hence, (93) vanishes because of the previous consideration. ▮

Corollary 11.7 *Under the assumption, $(\mathcal{M},L)$ comes from a filtered smooth $\mathcal{R}_{Z(*D_Z)}$-module.* ▮

11.2 Localization

11.2.1 Localization along a function

Let $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Let f be a holomorphic function on X. Let $\iota_f:X\longrightarrow X\times\mathbb{C}_t$ be the graph. Recall that we have constructed $(\iota_{f\dagger}\mathcal{T})[\star t]\in\mathrm{MTW}(X\times\mathbb{C}_t)$ in Subsection 7.1.5.

Proposition 11.8

- *For $\star=*,!$, we have $\mathcal{T}[\star f]\in\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ such that $\iota_{f\dagger}(\mathcal{T}[\star f])\simeq(\iota_{f\dagger}\mathcal{T})[\star t]$. If $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$, we naturally have $\mathcal{T}[\star f]\in\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$.*
- *If $f_1^{-1}(0)=f_2^{-1}(0)$, we have $\mathcal{T}[\star f_1]\simeq\mathcal{T}[\star f_2]$ naturally.*

Proof By the uniqueness, we have only to consider the issues locally. Let $P\in\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathcal{T}$. We use the Noetherian induction on the support around P. We will shrink X around P in the following argument. Let $(Z,U,\varphi,\mathcal{V})$ be a cell of $\mathcal{T}$ with a cell function g at P. We have the expression of $\mathcal{T}$ as the cohomology of the following complex in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$:

$$\psi_g^{(1)}\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})\longrightarrow\Xi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})\oplus\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\psi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})$$

We have already known the claims for good mixed twistor D-modules. Hence, we obtain the claims for $\psi_g^{(a)}\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})$ and $\Xi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})$. We can apply the hypothesis of the induction to $\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$. Then, we obtain the claims for $\mathcal{T}$. The claim in the integrable case follows from Lemma 7.20. ▮

We have naturally defined morphisms $\mathcal{T}[!f]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}[*f]$, as remarked in Lemma 7.14.

11.2.2 Localization along a hypersurface

Let H be a hypersurface of X. Let $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. By Proposition 11.8, we have $\mathcal{T}[\star H]$ in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ with the following property.

- Let P be any point of X. Let X_P be a small neighbourhood around P. We have an expression $H=\{f=0\}$ on X_P. Then, $\mathcal{T}[\star H]_{|X_P}=\mathcal{T}_{|X_P}[\star f]$.

We obtain the full subcategory $\mathrm{MTM}(X,[\star H])$ of $(\mathcal{T},L)\in\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}[\star H]$ in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. By Proposition 11.8, we have a naturally defined functor:

$$\mathrm{MTM}(X)\longrightarrow\mathrm{MTM}(X,[\star H])$$

We have naturally defined morphisms $\mathcal{T}[!H]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}[*H]$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable, $\mathcal{T}[\star H]$ are also integrable.

We obtain the following from Lemma 7.13.

Lemma 11.9 *Let* $\star = *$ *or* $!$. *Let* $\mathcal{T}_i \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ $(i = 1,2)$ *such that* $\mathcal{T}_i[\star H] = \mathcal{T}_i$. *We have a natural bijective correspondence between morphisms* $\mathcal{T}_1(*H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2(*H)$ *as filtered* $\mathcal{R}_X(*g)$*-triples, and morphisms* $\mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ *in* $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. *If* $\mathcal{T}_i$ *are integrable, we have the bijection of integrable morphisms.* ▮

Let $\mathrm{MTM}_H(X) \subset \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ be the full subcategory of mixed twistor D-modules whose supports are contained in H.

Lemma 11.10 *Let* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. *We have* $\mathcal{T}[\star H] = 0$ *if and only if* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}_H(X)$.

Proof The if part is clear. If $\mathcal{T}[\star H] = 0$, we have $\mathcal{T}(*H) = 0$, and hence $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}_H(X)$. ▮

Lemma 11.11 *The functor* $[\star H]$ *is exact.*

Proof Let $\varphi : \mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Let us consider the induced morphism $\varphi[\star H] : \mathcal{T}_1[\star H] \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2[\star H]$. By using Lemma 3.18 and Lemma 7.10, we obtain $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi[\star H]) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)[\star H]$, $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi[\star H]) \simeq \mathrm{Im}(\varphi)[\star H]$ and $\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi[\star H]) \simeq \mathrm{Cok}(\varphi)[\star H]$ in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Then, the claim is clear. ▮

We have the following.

Lemma 11.12 *Let* $\mathcal{T}_i \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ $(i = 1,2)$. *We have natural bijections:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_1[*H],\, \mathcal{T}_2[*H]\bigr) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_1,\, \mathcal{T}_2[*H]\bigr)$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_1[!H],\, \mathcal{T}_2[!H]\bigr) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_1[!H],\, \mathcal{T}_2\bigr)$$

Similarly, we have the bijections for integrable morphisms, if $\mathcal{T}_i$ *are integrable.* ▮

Proposition 11.13

- *Let* $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ *be a projective morphism. Let* H_Y *be a hypersurface of* Y. *We put* $H_X := F^{-1}(H_Y)$. *For* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$, *we have a natural isomorphism* $\bigl(F_\dagger(\mathcal{T})\bigr)[\star H_Y] \simeq F_\dagger\bigl(\mathcal{T}[\star H_X]\bigr)$.
- *For* $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$, *we have a natural isomorphism* $\bigl(\mathcal{T}[*H]\bigr)^* \simeq \bigl(\mathcal{T}^*\bigr)[!H]$. ▮

11.2.3 Independence from compactification

Let X be a complex manifold with a hypersurface H. Let $F : X' \longrightarrow X$ be a projective birational morphism such that $X' \setminus H' \simeq X \setminus H$, where $H' := \varphi^{-1}(H)$.

Proposition 11.14 *The push-forward induces equivalences* $F_\dagger : \mathrm{MTM}(X', [*H']) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTM}(X, [*H])$ *and* $F_\dagger : \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X', [*H']) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, [*H])$.

Proof We show only the ordinary case. The integrable case can be argued similarly. We obtain the fully faithfulness from Lemma 11.9. Let us show the essential surjectivity. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X, [*H])$. We have the filtered $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-triple $\mathcal{T}(*H)$. We have the corresponding $\mathcal{R}_{X'}(*H')$-triple $\mathcal{T}_1$, as remarked in Lemma 2.9. We have only to show that there exists $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathrm{MTM}(X')$ such that $\mathcal{T}'(*H') = \mathcal{T}_1$ as filtered $\mathcal{R}_{X'(*H')}$-triples. Since the claim is local on X, we fix a point $P \in H$, and take shrink X around P without mention. We take a cell $\mathcal{C} = (Z, U, \varphi, \mathcal{V})$ of $(\mathcal{T}, L)$ at P. We may assume that $\varphi : Z \longrightarrow X$ factors through X', i.e., φ is the composition of $\varphi' : Z \longrightarrow X'$ and $F : X' \longrightarrow X$. Let g be a cell function for $\mathcal{C}$. We have $H \subset g^{-1}(0)$. We have the expression of $\mathcal{T}$ as the cohomology of $\psi_g^{(1)}\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \Xi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{V} \oplus \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \psi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{V}$. Let $g' := g \circ F$. We have the mixed twistor D-modules $\psi_{g'}\varphi'_\dagger\mathcal{V}[*H']$ and $\Xi_{g'}\varphi'_\dagger\mathcal{V}[*H']$ on X'. By the assumption of the induction, we have $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X')$ such that $\mathcal{Q}[*H'] = \mathcal{Q}$ and $F_\dagger\mathcal{Q} = \phi_g\mathcal{T}[*H]$. By the fully faithfulness, we have the morphisms $\psi_{g'}^{(1)}\varphi'_\dagger\mathcal{V}[*H'] \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \psi_{g'}^{(0)}\varphi'_\dagger\mathcal{V}[*H']$ corresponding to $\psi_g^{(1)}\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{V}[*H] \longrightarrow \phi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}[*H] \longrightarrow \psi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{V}[*H]$. We obtain $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathrm{MTM}(X')$ as the cohomology of $\psi_{g'}^{(1)}\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{V}[*H'] \longrightarrow \Xi_{g'}^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{V}[*H'] \oplus \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \psi_{g'}^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{V}[*H']$. It satisfies $\mathcal{T}'(*H') = \mathcal{T}_1$. ▮

11.3 Twist by admissible twistor structure

11.3.1 Smooth case

Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTS}(X)$. We have naturally defined filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{V}$.

Lemma 11.15 *We have $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$, then we naturally have $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$.*

Proof We have only to use the Noetherian induction. ∎

Lemma 11.16 *Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism. For $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTS}(Y)$, we have a natural isomorphism $F_{\dagger}\big((\mathcal{T}, W) \otimes F^{*}(V, W)\big) \simeq F_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}, W) \otimes (V, W)$. We have a similar isomorphism in the integrable case.*

Proof It follows from Lemma 2.13. ∎

11.3.2 Admissible case

Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{T}_0 \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, H)$. We naturally obtain a filtered $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-triple $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0$.

Lemma 11.17 *Assume that $H = f^{-1}(0)$ for some function. Then, $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0$ is admissibly specializable along f, and $(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0)[\star f]$ exists in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}_0 \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X, H)$, then $\big(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0\big)[\star f] \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$.*

Proof Let $P \in H$. We may shrink X around P. Let us consider the case that $\mathcal{T} = \varphi_{\dagger}(\mathcal{V})[\overline{\star} g]$ ($\overline{\star} = *, !$) for an admissible cell $(\varphi, Z, U, \mathcal{V})$ with a cell function g. We may assume that $\varphi^{*}(fg)^{-1}(0)$ is normal crossing. Because $\big(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0[\overline{\star} g]\big)[\star f] = \Big(\varphi_{\dagger}\big(\mathcal{V} \otimes \varphi^{*}\mathcal{T}_0\big)[\overline{\star} gf]\Big)[\star f]$, it is a mixed twistor D-module. We obtain the claims in the cases that $\mathcal{T}$ is $\Xi_g^{(a)}(\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V})$ or $\psi_g^{(a)}(\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{V})$. Then, we obtain the claim in the general case by a Noetherian induction. The integrable case can be argued similarly. ∎

Proposition 11.18 *The filtered $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-triple $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0$ is naturally extended to a mixed twistor D_X-module $(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0)[\star H]$. If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}_0 \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{int\,adm}}(X, H)$, then we naturally have $(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_0)[\star H] \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$.*

Proof Locally it is given in Lemma 11.17. We can deduce the global case as the gluing. ∎

12 Dual and real structure of mixed twistor D-module

12.1 Dual of $\mathcal{R}_X$-module

12.1.1 Dual

Let X be a complex manifold, and let H be a hypersurface. Let N be a left-$\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-bi-module, i.e., it is equipped with mutually commuting two $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-actions ρ_i ($i = 1, 2$). The left $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module by ρ_i is denoted by (N, ρ_i). For an $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module L, let $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}(L^{\bullet}, N^{\rho_1,\rho_2})$ denote the sheaf of $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-homomorphisms $L \longrightarrow (N, \rho_1)$, which is equipped with the $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-action induced by ρ_2. Note that, for a $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-complex L, we have the naturally defined $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-homomorphism

$$L^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\Big(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\big(L^{\bullet}, N^{\rho_2,\rho_1}\big), N^{\rho_1,\rho_2}\Big) \tag{94}$$

given by $x \longmapsto \big(F \longmapsto (-1)^{|x|\,|F|}F(x)\big)$.

Let Θ_X denote the tangent sheaf of X, and let $\Omega_X^1 := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\Theta_X, \mathcal{O}_X\big)$. Let $p_{\lambda} : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow X$ denote the projection. Recall $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}} := \lambda \cdot p_{\lambda}^{*}\Theta_X$, and let $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1 := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\big(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\big)$. We have $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1 = \lambda^{-1}p_{\lambda}^{*}\Omega_X^1$. Its j-th exterior product is denoted by $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^j$. In particular, we set $\omega_{\mathcal{X}} := \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{\dim X}$.

Recall that we have the natural two $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-action on $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$. The left multiplication is denoted by ℓ. Let r denote the action induced by the right multiplication. More generally, for a left $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module N, we have two induced left $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module structures on $N\otimes\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$. One is given by ℓ and the left $\mathcal{R}$-action on N, which is denoted by ℓ. The other is induced by the right multiplication, denoted by r. We have the $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $\Phi_N : N\otimes\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$, such that $\Phi_N\circ r=\ell\circ\Phi_N$ and $\Phi_N\circ\ell=r\circ\Phi_N$, as in [45] and [48].

Let $\mathcal{G}^{\bullet}$ be a left-$\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-injective resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X]$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module. We define

$$\boldsymbol{D}'_{X(*H)}\mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\Big(\mathcal{M},\big(\mathcal{G}^{\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\big)^{\ell,r}\Big),\quad \boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}:=\lambda^{d_X}\cdot\boldsymbol{D}'_X\mathcal{M}$$

The morphism as in (136) with $\Phi_{\mathcal{G}^{\bullet}}$ induce an isomorphism $M\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\circ\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M)$.

12.1.2 Compatibility with push-forward

Let $F:X\longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. We shall construct a trace morphism

$$\lambda^{d_X}\cdot F_{\dagger}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})[d_X]\longrightarrow\lambda^{d_Y}\cdot\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}[d_Y] \tag{95}$$

in $D^b(\mathcal{R}_Y)$ by a standard method. Let $\mathfrak{Db}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$ denote the sheaf of distributions on $\mathcal{X}$ which are holomorphic in λ. We set

$$\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}:=\mathrm{Tot}\Big(p_\lambda^{-1}\Omega_X^{0,\bullet}\otimes_{p_\lambda^{-1}C^\infty(X)}\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathfrak{Db}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\Big)$$

We have a natural quasi-isomorphism $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$, and hence an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\big(\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\big)[d_X]\simeq\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ in $D^b(\mathcal{R}_X)$. By the integration multiplied with $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-d_X+d_Y}$, we have the following morphism of complexes:

$$\lambda^{d_X}\cdot F_!\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}[2d_X]\longrightarrow\lambda^{d_Y}\cdot\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}[2d_Y]$$

Hence, we obtain the trace morphism (95) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}F_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X]\longrightarrow F_!\big(\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X]\big)=F_!\big(\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}F^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})[2d_X]\big)\\ \longrightarrow\lambda^{d_Y-d_X}\cdot\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\otimes\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}[2d_Y]\simeq\lambda^{d_Y-d_X}\cdot\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}[d_Y]\end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

As in the case of D-modules (see (142) below), we obtain the following morphism:

$$F_{\dagger}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X]\otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)\big)\longrightarrow\lambda^{d_Y-d_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}[d_Y]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)\simeq\lambda^{d_Y-d_X}\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}[d_Y]$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-module. We have a natural morphism $\varphi:F_{\dagger}\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{D}_YF_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}$ given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}F_{\dagger}R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\big)[d_X]\longrightarrow F_!R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}F^{-1}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)\Big)[d_X]\\ \longrightarrow F_!R\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}\mathcal{R}_Y}\Big(\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_Y}\mathcal{M},\,\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_Y}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}F^{-1}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)\Big)[d_X]\\ \longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_Y}\big(F_{\dagger}\mathcal{M},\,F_{\dagger}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}F^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}))\big)[d_X]\\ \longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_Y}\big(F_{\dagger}\mathcal{M},\,(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\big)[d_Y]\cdot\lambda^{d_Y-d_X}\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

The following lemma can be shown by an argument in the proof of Proposition 4.39 of [23].

Lemma 12.1 *Assume that (i) the restriction of F to* $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathcal{M}$ *is proper, (ii) $\mathcal{M}$ is good over Y. Then, φ is an isomorphism.* ▮

12.1.3 Twist by smooth $\mathcal{R}$-module

Let X and H be as above. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a holonomic $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-module. Let V be a smooth $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-module. We have the natural isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(\mathcal{M}\otimes V)\simeq \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(\mathcal{M})\otimes V^{\vee}$, given as follows. We have two naturally induced left $\mathcal{R}$-module structure ℓ and r on $V^{\vee}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})$. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(\mathcal{M}\otimes V)&\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\big(\mathcal{M}\otimes V,(\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{\ell,r}\big)[d_X]\\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\big(\mathcal{M},(V^{\vee}\otimes\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{\ell,r}\big)[d_X]\end{aligned}\tag{98}$$

By $\Phi_{V^{\vee}}$, it is isomorphic to

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\big(\mathcal{M},(V^{\vee}\otimes\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{r,\ell}\big)[d_X]\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\big(\mathcal{M},(\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{r,\ell}\big)\otimes V^{\vee}[d_X].$$

By $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{H})}$, it is isomorphic to $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\big(\mathcal{M},(\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{\ell,r}\big)\otimes V^{\vee}[d_X]$. We obtain the desired isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(\mathcal{M}\otimes V)\simeq\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(\mathcal{M})\otimes V^{\vee}$.

12.1.4 Appendix: Double dual

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module. We set $\mathcal{M}^{\vee}:=\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}(*\mathcal{H})}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{H}))$, which is also naturally a smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}$-module. Although it is easy to see that $\mathcal{M}^{\vee}$ is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{D}'_{X(*H)}\mathcal{M}$, let us look at the isomorphism more closely, and check the signature of the isomorphism $\mathcal{M}\simeq\boldsymbol{D}'\circ\boldsymbol{D}'(\mathcal{M})\simeq\boldsymbol{D}'(\mathcal{M}^{\vee})\simeq\mathcal{M}$. For simplicity of the description, we omit to denote $(*\mathcal{H})$.

We have two natural $\mathcal{R}_X$-actions ℓ and r on $\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$. We have an $\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\mathcal{R}_X$-homomorphism

$$C:\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta_{\mathcal{X}}^{-\bullet}\big)\otimes\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta_{\mathcal{X}}^{-\bullet}\big)\longrightarrow\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}[d_X]$$

given as follows:

$$C(P_1\otimes\tau_1,P_2\otimes\tau_2)=\begin{cases}(-1)^{|\tau_2|}\ell(P_1)\,r(P_2)\tau_1\wedge\tau_2 & (|\tau_1|+|\tau_2|=d_X)\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

If there exists a holomorphic coordinate $(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$, and if $\tau_j=\bigwedge_{j\in I_i}\eth_j$ such that $I_1\sqcup I_2=\{1,\ldots,n\}$, we have $C(P_1\otimes\tau_1,P_2\otimes\tau_2)=(-1)^{|\tau_2|}P_1\,{}^tP_2\,\tau_1\wedge\tau_2$.

Lemma 12.2 *C is a morphism of complexes.*

Proof We have only to show that $C\circ\partial(P_1\otimes\tau_1\otimes P_2\otimes\tau_2)=0$ if $|\tau_1|+|\tau_2|=d+1$. We may assume that there exists a holomorphic coordinate $(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$, and we have only to consider the case $\tau_i=\bigwedge_{j\in I_i}\eth_j$. We have

$$\partial(P_1\otimes\tau_1\otimes P_2\otimes\tau_2)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}P\eth_i\otimes\big(\iota(\lambda^{-1}dz_i)\tau_1\big)\otimes(P_2\otimes\tau_2)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|\tau_1|}P\otimes\tau_1\otimes(P_2\eth_i\otimes\iota(\lambda^{-1}dz_i)\tau_2)\tag{99}$$

Hence, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}C\circ\partial\big(P_1\otimes\tau_1\otimes P_2\otimes\tau_2\big)&=\sum_{i=1}^{n}P_1\eth_i{}^tP_2\otimes\iota(\lambda^{-1}dz_i)\tau_1\wedge\tau_2(-1)^{|\tau_2|}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}P_1{}^t(P_2\eth_i)\otimes\tau_1\wedge\iota(\lambda^{-1}dz_i)\tau_2(-1)^{|\tau_2|+|\tau_1|-1}\\ &=\sum_{i=1}^{n}P_1\eth_i{}^tP_2\otimes\Big(\iota(\lambda^{-1}dz_i)\tau_1\wedge\tau_2+(-1)^{|\tau_1|}\tau_1\wedge\iota(\lambda^{-1}dz_i)\tau_2\Big)(-1)^{|\tau_2|}=0\end{aligned}\tag{100}$$

We have used $\tau_1\wedge\tau_2=0$. Thus, we are done. ∎

We obtain the induced isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules

$$\Psi:\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet},\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}[d_X]\big)^{\ell,r}\big),$$

given by $\Psi(P\otimes\tau)(Q\otimes\omega)=(-1)^{|\tau|\,|\omega|}C(Q\otimes\omega,P\otimes\tau)$.

Let $C_{\mathcal{M}}:\mathcal{M}^{\vee}\otimes\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the natural perfect pairing of the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-modules. We obtain an $\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\mathcal{R}_X$-modules

$$C:\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\vee}\big)\otimes\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M}\big)\longrightarrow\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}[d_X]$$

given as follows:

$$C(P_1\otimes\tau_1\otimes x_1,P_2\otimes\tau_2\otimes x_2)=\begin{cases}(-1)^{|\tau_2|}\ell(P_1)\,r(P_2)\,\big(\tau_1\wedge\tau_2\,C_{\mathcal{M}}(x_1,x_2)\big) & (|\tau_1|+|\tau_2|=d_X)\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

It is a morphism of complexes. Hence, we obtain the induced isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules:

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{M}}:\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\vee}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M},\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}[d_X]\big)^{\ell,r}\big)$$

By the natural quasi isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M}\simeq\mathcal{M}$, we obtain the isomorphism $\mathcal{M}^{\vee}\simeq\boldsymbol{D}'\mathcal{M}$ in the derived category. We obtain an isomorphism $(\Psi^*_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1}\circ\Psi_{\mathcal{M}^{\vee}}:\mathcal{M}\simeq\boldsymbol{D}'\circ\boldsymbol{D}'(\mathcal{M})$ in the derived category:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M}&\xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{M}^{\vee}}}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\vee},(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{\ell,r}[d_X]\big)\\&\xleftarrow{\Psi^*_{\mathcal{M}}}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M},(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{\ell,r}\big),(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{\ell,r}[d_X]\Big)\end{aligned}\tag{101}$$

Here, $\Psi^*_{\mathcal{M}}(F):=F\circ\Psi_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Let $\Phi:\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}[d_X]\simeq\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}[d_X]$ be the automorphism, which exchanges the actions ℓ and r. It induces

$$\Phi_*:\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M},(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{\ell,r}[d_X]\big)\simeq\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M},(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{r,\ell}[d_X]\big)$$

It induces the following morphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^*:\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(&\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M},(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{r,\ell}\big),(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{\ell,r}[d_X]\Big)\simeq\\&\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M},(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{\ell,r}\big),(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1})^{\ell,r}[d_X]\Big)=\boldsymbol{D}'\circ\boldsymbol{D}'(\mathcal{M})\end{aligned}\tag{102}$$

The natural morphism (94) and (102) give a quasi-isomorphism $\Lambda:\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\Theta^{-\bullet}\otimes\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{D}'\circ\boldsymbol{D}'(\mathcal{M})$.

Lemma 12.3 *We have* $\Lambda=(-1)^{d_X}(\Psi^*_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1}\circ\Psi_{\mathcal{M}^{\vee}}$ *in the derived category.*

Proof Let $P\otimes\tau\otimes x,Q\otimes\omega\otimes y\in\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes\mathcal{M}$. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\Psi^*_{\mathcal{M}}\Lambda(P\otimes\tau\otimes x)\big)(Q\otimes\omega\otimes y)&=\Lambda(P\otimes\tau)\big(\Psi_{\mathcal{M}}(Q\otimes\omega\otimes y)\big)\\&=\Phi\big((-1)^{|\tau|\,|\omega|}\Psi_{\mathcal{M}}(Q\otimes\omega\otimes y)(P\otimes\tau\otimes x)\big)=\Phi\big(C(P\otimes\tau\otimes x,Q\otimes\omega\otimes y)\big)\\&=(-1)^{d_X+|\tau|\,|\omega|}C(Q\otimes\omega\otimes y,P\otimes\tau\otimes x)=\big((-1)^{d_X}\Psi_{\mathcal{M}^{\vee}}(P\otimes\tau\otimes x)\big)(Q\otimes\omega\otimes y)\end{aligned}\tag{103}$$

Thus, we are done. ∎

12.2 Dual and strict specializability of $\mathcal{R}_X$-module

12.2.1 Statement

Let $X=X_0\times\mathbb{C}_t$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-module which is strictly specializable along t. Assume the following:

(P0) Either (i) $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}[*t]$ or (ii) $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}[!t]$ holds.

(P1) $\boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\operatorname{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}\simeq\mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\operatorname{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}\mathcal{M}$, and they are strict.

(P2) $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}(*t) \simeq \mathcal{H}^0(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}(*t))$, and it is strict.

We shall prove the following proposition in the rest of this subsection.

Proposition 12.4 *Under the assumptions, the following holds:*

- *We have* $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}$.
- *It is strict, and strictly specializable along* t.
- *We have* $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M} = (\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M})[!t]$ *in the case (i), and* $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M} = (\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M})[*t]$ *in the case (ii).*

For the proof of this proposition, we do not have to care the twist with λ^{d_X}, and we will omit it.

12.2.2 Preliminary

We prepare some general lemmas.

Lemma 12.5 *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a coherent* $\mathcal{R}_X$*-module. Assume the following.*

- $\mathcal{M}(*t)$ *is strict*
- $\mathcal{M}$ *is equipped with a* $V_0\mathcal{R}_X$*-coherent filtration* $V^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$ *such that (i) monodromic, (ii)* $\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}$ *is strict.*

Then, $\mathcal{M}$ *is strict.*

Proof Let us show that $V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(*t)$ is injective. Let $f \in V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M}$ be mapped to 0 in $\mathcal{M}(*t)$. We may assume that $tf = 0$. Assume that there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f \in V^{(\lambda_0)}_a \setminus V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<a}$. Then, we obtain a non-zero element $[f]$ in $\mathrm{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}_a$. By using the monodromic property, we can easily deduce that $[f] = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, we obtain $f \in V^{(\lambda_0)}_a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We obtain $\mathcal{R}_X \cdot f \in V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}$. We have the decomposition $\mathcal{R}_X \cdot f = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} \eth_t^j \mathcal{R}_{X_0} f$ as an $\mathcal{R}_{X_0}$-module. Then, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{R}_X \cdot f$ is not finitely generated as $V_0\mathcal{R}_X$-module. It contradicts with the coherence of $V^{(\lambda_0)}_{<0}\mathcal{M}$. ▮

We can show the following lemma by a similar argument.

Lemma 12.6 *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a coherent* $\mathcal{R}_X$*-module. Assume that (i) it is also* $V_0\mathcal{R}_X$*-coherent, (ii)* $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathcal{M} \subset X_0$. *Then, we have* $\mathcal{M} = 0$. ▮

12.2.3 $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}$-modules

Let X_0 be a complex manifold. Let t be a formal variable. We set $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_0[t]} := \omega_{\mathcal{X}_0} \cdot dt/\lambda$ and $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]} := \mathcal{R}_{X_0}[t]\langle \eth_t \rangle$. For a coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we set

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{X_0[t]}\mathcal{M} := R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}}\bigl(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]} \otimes \omega^{-1}_{X_0[t]}\bigr)[d_{X_0}+1]$$

Let us compute some specific examples. Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be a coherent strict $\mathcal{R}_{X_0}$-module. We set $\mathcal{M}_0\langle t, \eth_t\rangle := \mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{X_0}} \mathcal{M}_0$. For $P(t,\eth_t) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\langle t, \eth_t\rangle$, let $R\bigl(P(t,\eth_t)\bigr)$ denote the right multiplication of $P(t,\eth_t)$ on $\mathcal{M}_0\langle t,\eth_t\rangle$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nilpotent map on $\mathcal{M}_0$. Let $u \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$ with $-1 \leq \mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0, u) \leq 0$. We define $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}_0, u, \mathcal{N})$ as the cokernel of the injective morphism:

$$R\bigl(-\eth_t t + \mathfrak{e}(\lambda, u)\bigr) + \mathcal{N} : \mathcal{M}_0\langle t, \eth_t\rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_0\langle t, \eth_t\rangle.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)+n}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}_0,u,\mathcal{N}) := \begin{cases} \mathrm{Cok}\bigl(t^{-n}\mathcal{M}_0[t] \longrightarrow t^{-n}\mathcal{M}_0[t]\bigr) & (n \leq 0) \\ \sum_{i+j\leq n} \eth_t^i V^{(\lambda_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)+j} & (n > 0) \end{cases}$$

For any $b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $b_0 := \max\bigl\{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)+n \leq b \bigm| n \in \mathbb{Z}\bigr\}$, and we put $V_b^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}_0,u,\mathcal{N}) := V_{b_0}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}_0,u,\mathcal{N})$. By construction, we have $t \cdot V_a^{(\lambda_0)} \subset V_{a-1}^{(\lambda_0)}$ and $\eth_t \cdot V_a^{(\lambda_0)} \subset V_{a+1}^{(\lambda_0)}$. If $a \leq \mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)$, we have $t : V_a^{(\lambda_0)} \simeq V_{a-1}^{(\lambda_0)}$. If $a \geq \mathfrak{p}(\lambda_0,u)$, we have $\eth_t : \mathrm{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{a+1}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}$.

Let us compute $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}_0,u,\mathcal{N})$. For simplicity, we assume that $\boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\mathcal{M}_0 \simeq \mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\mathcal{M}_0$, and it is strict. We take an $\mathcal{R}_{X_0}$-free resolution $\mathcal{P}^{\bullet}$ of $\mathcal{M}_0$. We have $\mathcal{N}^{\bullet} : \mathcal{P}^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\bullet}$ which induces $\mathcal{N}$. Then, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}_0,u,\mathcal{N})$ is naturally quasi-isomorphic to the complex associated to the following double complex:

$$R\bigl(-\eth_t t + \mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u)\bigr) + \mathcal{N}^{\bullet} : \mathcal{P}^{\bullet}\langle t,\eth_t\rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\bullet}\langle t,\eth_t\rangle$$

As a right $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}$-complex, $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}_0,u,\mathcal{N})$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex associated to the following double complex:

$$-\eth_t t + \mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u) + \mathcal{N}^{\vee} : \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X_0}}\bigl(\mathcal{P}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}_0),\mathcal{R}_{X_0}\bigr)\langle t,\eth_t\rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X_0}}\bigl(\mathcal{P}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}_0),\mathcal{R}_{X_0}\bigr)\langle t,\eth_t\rangle$$

It is quasi isomorphic to the complex $-\eth_t t + \mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u) + \mathcal{N}^{\vee} : \boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\mathcal{M}_0\langle t,\eth_t\rangle \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\mathcal{M}_0\langle t,\eth_t\rangle$. As a left $\mathcal{R}_{X_0}\langle t,\eth_T\rangle$-module, it is quasi isomorphic to $t\eth_t + \mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u) + \mathcal{N}^{\vee} : \boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\mathcal{M}_0\langle t,\eth_t\rangle \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\mathcal{M}_0\langle t,\eth_t\rangle$. Note $t\eth_t + \mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u) = \eth_t t + \mathfrak{e}(\lambda,u+\boldsymbol{\delta})$. Hence, we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{B}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_0,u,\mathcal{N}\bigr) \simeq \mathcal{B}\bigl(\boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\mathcal{M}_0,-u-\boldsymbol{\delta},-\mathcal{N}^{\vee}\bigr)$$

12.2.4 Filtered free module

Let $X = X_0 \times \mathbb{C}_t$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a free $\mathcal{R}_X$-module with a generator e. Let a be a real number. We have a filtration V given as follows. For $n \leq 0$, we put $V_{a+n}\mathcal{P} := t^{-n}V_0\mathcal{R}_X \cdot e$. For $n > 0$, we put $V_{a+n}\mathcal{P} := \sum_{i+j\leq n}\eth_t^i V_j\mathcal{P}$. For $b \notin a+\mathbb{Z}$, we put $V_b\mathcal{P} := V_c\mathcal{P}$, where $c := \max\{a+n \mid a+n \leq b, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Such a filtered $\mathcal{R}$-module is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_X(e,a)$. A filtered $\mathcal{R}$-module is called $(\mathcal{R},V)$-free, if it is isomorphic to a direct sum $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{R}_X(e_i,a_i)$.

We have similar notions for $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}$-modules. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a free $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}$-module with a generator e. Let a be a real number. We have a filtration V given as follows. For $n \leq 0$, we put $V_{a+n}\mathcal{Q} := t^{-n}V_0\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]} \cdot e$. For $n > 0$, we put $V_{a+n}\mathcal{Q} := \sum_{i+j\leq n}\eth_t^i V_j\mathcal{Q}$. For $b \notin a+\mathbb{Z}$, we put $V_b\mathcal{Q} := V_c\mathcal{Q}$, where $c := \max\{a+n \mid a+n \leq b, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Such a filtered $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}$-module is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}(e,a)$. A filtered $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}$-module is called $(\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]},V)$-free, if it is isomorphic to a direct sum $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}(e_i,a_i)$.

Note that $\mathrm{Gr}^V\mathcal{R}_X$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}$, and we have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}^V\mathcal{R}_X(e,a) \simeq \mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}(e,a)$. If a filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $(\mathcal{L},V)$ is $(\mathcal{R}_X,V)$-free, $\mathrm{Gr}^V(\mathcal{L},V)$ is $(\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]},V)$-free.

Strictness Let $(\mathcal{P}_1,V) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} (\mathcal{P}_2,V) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} (\mathcal{P}_3,V)$ be a complex of coherent $(\mathcal{R}_X,V)$-free modules.

Lemma 12.7 *Assume that* $\mathrm{Gr}^V\mathcal{P}_1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^V\mathcal{P}_2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^V\mathcal{P}_3$ *is exact. Then,* φ_i *are strict with respect to* V*.*

Proof The strictness of φ_2 is easier. Let us argue the strictness of φ_1. We fix a sufficiently small $b < 0$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us observe that there exists $N(a) > 0$ such that $\mathrm{Im}\,\varphi_1 \cap V_{b-N(a)}\mathcal{P}_2 \subset \varphi_1\bigl(V_{a-1}\mathcal{P}_1\bigr)$. We obtain a $V_0\mathcal{R}_X$-coherent submodule $\varphi_1^{-1}(V_b\mathcal{P}_2)$. If we take sufficiently large $N(a)$, we have $\varphi_1^{-1}(V_b\mathcal{P}_2) \subset V_{a+N(a)-1}\mathcal{P}_1$. Then, if b is sufficiently small, we have $t^{N(a)}V_b\mathcal{P}_2 = V_{b-N(a)}\mathcal{P}_2$. Hence, we have $\varphi_1^{-1}(V_{b-N(a)}\mathcal{P}_2) \subset V_{a-1}\mathcal{P}_1$.

Take $f \in V_a\mathcal{P}_1$ such that $\varphi_1(f) \in V_b\mathcal{P}_2$ for some $b < a$. By using the exactness of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{P}_{\bullet})$, we can find $g \in V_{<a}\mathcal{P}_1$ such that $\varphi_1(f-g) \in V_{b-N(a)-1}\mathcal{P}_2$. Then, we can find $h \in V_{a-1}\mathcal{P}_1$ such that $\varphi_1(f-g-h) = 0$, i.e., $\varphi_1(f) = \varphi_1(g+h)$. By an easy inductive argument, we obtain that $\varphi_1(f) \in \varphi_1\bigl(V_b(\mathcal{P}_1)\bigr)$. ▮

Dual We consider the dual of $\mathcal{R}_X(e,a)$, i.e., $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{R}_X(e,a) := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}(\mathcal{R}_X(e,a),\mathcal{R}_X \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}})$. Let $e^{\vee} \in \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{R}_X(e,a)$ be given by $e^{\vee}(e) = 1$. Then, $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{R}_X(e,a) \simeq \mathcal{R}_X \cdot e^{\vee}$. A filtration of $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{R}_X(e,a)$ is induced by the filtration of $\mathcal{R}_X(e^{\vee},-a-1)$. Similarly, we have the filtration of $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}(e,a)$ induced by $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}(e,a) \simeq \mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}(e^{\vee},-a-1)$. Hence, we have naturally induced filtrations on the dual of free $(\mathcal{R}_X,V)$-modules or $(\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]},V)$.

12.2.5 A filtered free resolution

Let $X = X_0 \times \mathbb{C}_t$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a strictly specializable $\mathcal{R}_X$-module along t. For simplicity, we assume either (i) $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}[*t]$, or (ii) $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}[!t]$. We shall construct a complex of coherent $(\mathcal{R}_X, V)$-free modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_{\ell+1}(\mathcal{M}), V) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_\ell(\mathcal{M}), V) \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{M}), V) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{M}), V)$$

with the following property:

- $\mathcal{P}_\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ is a free resolution of $\mathcal{M}$.
- The morphisms $\mathcal{P}_{\ell+1}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\ell(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are strict with respect to the filtrations.
- In particular, $\operatorname{Gr}^V(\mathcal{P}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}))$ gives a free $\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}$-resolution of $\operatorname{Gr}^V(\mathcal{M})$.

First, we construct a $(\mathcal{R}_X, V)$-free module $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{M})$ with a surjection $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

The case (i) We put $a_0 := \min\bigl\{-1 < a \leq 0 \bigm| \operatorname{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M} \neq 0\bigr\}$. We take a generator $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{a_0} = (\widetilde{e}_{a_0,i})$ of $V_{a_0}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}$. We take generators $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ of $\operatorname{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M})$ for $a_0 < a \leq 0$, and we take lifts $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_a = (\widetilde{e}_{a,i})$ to $V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$. Let $\mathcal{P}_0\mathcal{M}$ be the $(\mathcal{R}_X, V)$-free module generated by $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_a$ ($a_0 \leq a \leq 0$), where a is associated to each $\widetilde{e}_{a,i}$. We have the naturally defined filtered morphism $(\mathcal{P}_0, V) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{M}, V^{(\lambda_0)})$.

The case (ii) We take a generator $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{-1} = (\widetilde{e}_{-1,i})$ of $V_{-1}^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}$. We take generators $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ of $\operatorname{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}(\mathcal{M})$ for $-1 < a < 0$, and we take lifts $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_a = (\widetilde{e}_{a,i})$ to $V_a^{(\lambda_0)}(\mathcal{M})$. Let $\mathcal{P}_0\mathcal{M}$ be the $(\mathcal{R}_X, V)$-free module generated by $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_a$ ($-1 \leq a < 0$), where a is associated to each $\widetilde{e}_{a,i}$. We have the naturally defined filtered morphism $(\mathcal{P}_0, V) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{M}, V^{(\lambda_0)})$.

By construction, for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the morphism $V_a\mathcal{P}_0 \longrightarrow V_a^{(\lambda_0)}\mathcal{M}$ is surjective. By construction, the induced morphism $\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{P}_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M}$ is surjective. Let $\mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{M})$ denote the kernel of $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. It is equipped with a naturally induced filtration V. By construction, we have $t \cdot V_a\mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{M}) \subset V_{a-1}\mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{M})$ and $\eth_t \cdot V_a\mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{M}) \subset V_{a+1}\mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{M})$.

- We have $t : V_b(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{M})) \simeq V_{b-1}(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{M}))$ for $b \leq 0$ in the case (i), or for $b < 0$ in the case (ii).
- We have the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{K}_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{P}_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0$. In particular, we have $\eth_t : \operatorname{Gr}_b^V \mathcal{K}_0 \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{b+1}^V \mathcal{K}_0$ for $b > -1$ in the case (i), or for $b \geq -1$ in the case (ii).

Inductively, for any $\ell \geq 0$, we can construct filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $(\mathcal{P}_\ell(\mathcal{M}), V)$ and $(\mathcal{K}_\ell(\mathcal{M}), V)$ with exact sequences $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\ell+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\ell+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_\ell \longrightarrow 0$, such that

- $(\mathcal{P}_\ell(\mathcal{M}), V)$ are free $(\mathcal{R}_X, V)$-modules.
- For both $\mathcal{P}_\ell$ and $\mathcal{K}_\ell$, the morphisms $t : V_b \simeq V_{b-1}$ ($b \leq 0$) and $\eth_t : \operatorname{Gr}_b^V \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{b+1}^V$ ($b > -1$) are isomorphisms in the case (i). The morphisms $t : V_b \simeq V_{b-1}$ ($b < 0$) and $\eth_t : \operatorname{Gr}_b^V \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{b+1}^V$ ($b \geq -1$) are isomorphisms in the case (ii).
- The morphisms $\mathcal{K}_{\ell+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\ell+1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\ell+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_\ell$ are strict with respect to the filtrations V. In particular, the induced sequence $0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{K}_{\ell+1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{P}_{\ell+1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{K}_\ell \longrightarrow 0$ is exact.

We obtain a $(\mathcal{R}_X, V)$-free resolution $\mathcal{P}_\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$. We have a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{P}_\bullet, \mathcal{R}_X\bigr) \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{P}_\bullet, \operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{R}_X\bigr).$$

It naturally gives a $(\mathcal{R}_{X_0[t]}, V)$-free resolution of $\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{M}$.

12.2.6 Proof of Proposition 12.4

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be as in Subsection 12.2.1. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{M})$ be a $(\mathcal{R}_X, V)$-free resolution of $\mathcal{M}$ as in Subsection 12.2.5. Let us study the dual of $\mathcal{M}$ by using $\mathcal{P}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{M})$. The complexes

$$\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{P}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}}\bigr) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Gr}^V(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{P}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}), \operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}[t]}\bigr)$$

express $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{M}$, respectively.

Lemma 12.8 *Assume* $(P0)$ *and* $(P1)$*. Then, the following holds.*

- $\mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}\operatorname{Gr}^V(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}\operatorname{Gr}^V(\mathcal{M})$*, and it is strict.*
- $V^{(\lambda_0)}$ *is monodromic, and we have* $t \cdot V_a^{(\lambda_0)} = V_{a-1}^{(\lambda_0)}$ *for* $a < 0$ *and* $\eth_t : \operatorname{Gr}_a^{V^{(\lambda_0)}} \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{a+1}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}}$ *for* $a > -1$*.*
- *In the case (i), the morphism* $\eth_t : \operatorname{Gr}_{-1}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}} \boldsymbol{D}\operatorname{Gr}^V(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_0^{V^{(\lambda_0)}} \boldsymbol{D}\operatorname{Gr}^V(\mathcal{M})$ *is an isomorphism. In the case (ii), the morphism* $t : \operatorname{Gr}_0^{V^{(\lambda_0)}} \boldsymbol{D}\operatorname{Gr}^V(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{-1}^{V^{(\lambda_0)}} \boldsymbol{D}\operatorname{Gr}^V(\mathcal{M})$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof It follows from the computation in Subsection 12.2.3. ∎

Let us finish the proof of Proposition 12.4. By Lemma 12.7 and Lemma 12.8, the morphisms in the complex $\mathcal{C}$ is strict with respect to the filtration V. Hence, we have the commutativity $\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{H}^i\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{H}^i \operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{C}$. According to Lemma 12.8, we have $\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{H}^i\mathcal{C} = 0$ unless $i = 0$. We also have $\bigl(\mathcal{H}^i\mathcal{C}\bigr)(*t) = 0$ unless $i = 0$. Hence, we obtain $\mathcal{H}^i\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}^i\mathcal{C} = 0$ unless $i = 0$, by Lemma 12.6.

By Lemma 12.8, $\operatorname{Gr}^V \mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}$ is strict and monodromic. Hence, by using Lemma 12.5, we obtain that $\mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}$ is strict and strictly specializable along t. Moreover the induced filtration $V^{(\lambda_0)}$ gives a V-filtration. By the second claim of Lemma 12.8, we obtain $(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M})[!t] = \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}$ in the case (i), or $(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M})[*t] = \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}$ in the case (ii). ∎

12.3 Dual of mixed twistor D-module

12.3.1 Statements

Let us consider the dual for mixed twistor D-modules. We will prove the following theorem in Subsections 12.3.2–12.3.7.

Theorem 12.9 *Let* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$*, and* $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$ *as an* $\mathcal{R}_X$*-triple.*

- $\mathcal{H}^0(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_i) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_i$*, and it is strict. In particular, it is equipped with a filtration induced by the weight filtration of* $\mathcal{T}$*.*
- *We have a unique pairing* $\boldsymbol{D}C$ *of* $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_1$ *and* $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_2$ *such that* $(\boldsymbol{D}C)_{|\mathcal{X}^\lambda} = \boldsymbol{D}\bigl(C_{|\mathcal{X}^\lambda}\bigr)$ *for generic* $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{S}$*, where the latter is defined for non-degenerate hermitian pairings of holonomic D-modules in Theorem 14.17.*
- *The* $\mathcal{R}$*-triple* $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T} := \bigl(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_1, \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_2, \boldsymbol{D}C\bigr)$ *with the naturally induced filtration is a mixed twistor D-module.*
- *The dual* $\boldsymbol{D}$ *gives a contravariant functor on* $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$*, and* $\boldsymbol{D} \circ \boldsymbol{D} \simeq \mathrm{id}$*.*
- *If* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$*, we naturally have* $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$*.*

Before going to the proof of Theorem 12.9, we give some consequences.

For $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\mu_\ell(\mathcal{T}) = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, (-1)^\ell C)$. Once we know Theorem 12.9, we obtain the following compatibility of the dual and the push-forward, from Theorem 14.21 below.

Theorem 12.10 *Let* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$*. Let* $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ *be a projective morphism. Then, the natural isomorphisms of the underlying* $\mathcal{R}_Y$*-modules (Lemma 12.1) give a natural isomorphism* $\boldsymbol{D}F_\dagger^j\mathcal{T} \simeq \mu_j F_\dagger^{-j}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T})$ *in* $\mathrm{MTM}(Y)$*.* ∎

In other words, $\big(\epsilon(-j)\varphi_1^{-1},\epsilon(j)\varphi_2\big)$ gives an isomorphism $F_{\dagger}^{j}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T})\simeq\boldsymbol{D}F_{\dagger}^{-j}\mathcal{T}$, where $\varphi_1:F_{\dagger}^{-j}\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}_1\simeq\boldsymbol{D}_YF_{\dagger}^{j}\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\varphi_2:F_{\dagger}^{j}\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}_2\simeq\boldsymbol{D}_YF_{\dagger}^{-j}\mathcal{M}_2$ be the isomorphisms in Lemma 12.1, and $\epsilon(m):=(-1)^{m(m-1)/2}$ for integers m.

Proposition 12.11 *We have natural isomorphisms* $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^*)\simeq(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T})^*$ *and* $\boldsymbol{D}j^*\mathcal{T}\simeq j^*\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$ *in* $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$.

Proof We have natural isomorphisms for the underlying filtered $\mathcal{R}$-modules. We have only to show the compatibility of the pairings $\boldsymbol{D}(C^*)=(\boldsymbol{D}C)^*$ and $j^*\boldsymbol{D}C=\boldsymbol{D}j^*C$. Both of them can be reduced to the claims for the D-modules, and easy to check. (See Subsection 14.4.3.) $\blacksquare$

Proposition 12.12 *Let H be a hypersurface of X. We have natural isomorphisms* $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}[*H])\simeq(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T})[!H]$ *and* $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}[!H])\simeq(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T})[*H]$ *in* $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$.

Proof Let us observe that $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}[*H])\simeq\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T})[!H]$. We have the isomorphisms of the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-modules (Proposition 12.4). We have the compatibility of the pairings (see Proposition 14.24 below). Hence, we obtain the isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}[*H])\simeq\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T})[!H]$ as $\mathcal{R}$-triples. It is compatible with the naively induced filtrations. Then, the claim follows from Lemma 7.10. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 12.13 *Let* $\mathcal{V}\in\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,H)$. *Let* $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. *Then, we have natural isomorphisms* $\boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{V}[!H]\big)\simeq\big(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{V}\big)[*H]$ *and* $\boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{V}[*H]\big)\simeq\big(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{V}\big)[!H]$.

Proof We have only to consider the case $H=\emptyset$. (See Proposition 12.12.) We have natural isomorphisms as in Subsection 12.1.3. We have the compatibility of the pairings in Lemma 14.26 below. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 12.14 *We have natural isomorphisms* $\boldsymbol{D}(\Pi^{a,b}_{*!}\mathcal{T})\simeq\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{*!}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T})$. *In particular, we have*

$$\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})\simeq\psi_g^{(-a+1)}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T},\qquad \boldsymbol{D}\Xi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})\simeq\Xi_g^{(-a)}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}.$$

We also obtain $\boldsymbol{D}\phi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})\simeq\phi_g^{(-a)}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$. $\blacksquare$

12.3.2 Relative monodromy filtration

For the proof of the theorems, we shall use an induction on the support of the dimensions. Let $A(n)$ denote the claim of Theorem 12.9 in the case $\dim\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{T}\leq n$.

Assume $A(n)$. Let $\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Let W be the weight filtration of $\mathcal{T}$, and let L be a filtration of $\mathcal{T}$ in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{N}:(\mathcal{T},W,L)\longrightarrow\big((\mathcal{T},W)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1),L\big)$ be a morphism such that $W=M(\mathcal{N};L)$. We have $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T},W)=(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{D}W)$ in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. It is equipped with the induced filtration $\boldsymbol{D}L$ and the induced morphism $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{N}:\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T},W,L)\longrightarrow\big(\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T},W)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1),\boldsymbol{D}L\big)$. We can show the following lemma by a standard argument.

Lemma 12.15 *We have* $\boldsymbol{D}W=M\big(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{N};\boldsymbol{D}L\big)$.

Proof Let us consider the case $\mathrm{Gr}^L_j(\mathcal{T})=0$ unless $j=w$. We may assume that $w=0$. We naturally have $\mathrm{Gr}^{\boldsymbol{D}W}_j\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}\simeq\boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{-j}\mathcal{T}$, and the morphism $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{N}^j:\mathrm{Gr}^{\boldsymbol{D}W}_j\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}^{\boldsymbol{D}W}_{-j}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$ is the dual of $\mathcal{N}^j:\mathrm{Gr}^W_j\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}^W_{-j}\mathcal{T}$. Hence, it is an isomorphism, and $\boldsymbol{D}W$ on $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$ is the monodromy filtration of $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{N}$.

The induced morphism $L_j\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}^L_j\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$ is strict with respect to $\boldsymbol{D}W$. Hence, we can deduce that $\boldsymbol{D}W$ is the relative monodromy filtration with respect to L. $\blacksquare$

12.3.3 Dual of smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triple

We give a remark on the signature. Let $\mathcal{V}=(\mathcal{V}_1,\mathcal{V}_2,C)\in\mathrm{TS}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{V}^\vee=(\mathcal{V}_1^\vee,\mathcal{V}_2^\vee,C^\vee)$ be the dual in $\mathrm{TS}(X)$. We have the isomorphisms $\Phi_i:\mathcal{V}_i^\vee\simeq\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ given by $\Phi_i(v_i)=(\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{d_X}\,v_i$. We have the induced pairing C_1 of $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_2$.

Lemma 12.16 *We have* $C_1^\lambda=\boldsymbol{D}(C^\lambda)$ *for each* $\lambda\in\boldsymbol{S}$.

Proof Let $\lambda_0\in\boldsymbol{S}$. We have $C_1\big((\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{d_X}f,\overline{(\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{d_X}g}\big)=C^\vee(f,\overline{g})$ by construction. On the other side, we have

$$\boldsymbol{D}(C^{\lambda_0})\big(((\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{d_X}f)_{\lambda_0},\overline{((\sqrt{-1}\lambda)^{d_X}g)}_{-\lambda_0}\big)=(-1)^{d_X}\lambda_0^{d_X}(-\lambda_0)^{-d_X}(C^{\lambda_0})^\vee\big(f_{\lambda_0},\overline{g}_{-\lambda_0}\big)=C^\vee(f,\overline{g})_{\lambda_0}$$

Then, the claim of the lemma follows. $\blacksquare$

12.3.4 Dual of canonical prolongation as $\mathcal{R}$-triples

Let X be an n-dimensional complex manifold, with a normal crossing hypersurface D. Let $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$. Let $\mathcal{V}^\vee \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$ be its dual. We have the description $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, C)$ and $\mathcal{V}^\vee = (\mathcal{V}_1^\vee, \mathcal{V}_2^\vee, C^\vee)$ as smooth $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-triples. We put $\mathcal{V}_{i\star} := \mathcal{V}_i[\star D]$ for $\star = *, !$. We use the symbol $\mathcal{V}^\vee_{i\star}$ in similar meanings. We have the $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples $\mathcal{V}_* = (\mathcal{V}_{1!}, \mathcal{V}_{2*}, C_*)$ and $\mathcal{V}_! = (\mathcal{V}_{1*}, \mathcal{V}_{2!}, C_!)$. We also have the $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples $\mathcal{V}^\vee_* = \big(\mathcal{V}^\vee_{1!}, \mathcal{V}^\vee_{2*}, C^\vee_*\big)$ and $\mathcal{V}^\vee_! = \big(\mathcal{V}^\vee_{1*}, \mathcal{V}^\vee_{2!}, C^\vee_!\big)$.

Lemma 12.17 *Assume $A(n-1)$. Then, we have natural isomorphisms of $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i!} \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{V}^\vee_{i*}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i*} \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{V}^\vee_{i!}$.*

Proof We may assume that there exists a holomorphic function g on X such that $g^{-1}(0) = D$. Let $\iota_g : X \longrightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}_t$ be the graph. By the assumption $A(n-1)$, the condition $(P1)$ in Subsection 12.2.1 is satisfied. The other conditions $(P0)$ and $(P2)$ are also satisfied. Hence, we obtain that $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i*} = \mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i*}$ by Proposition 12.4. Moreover, it is strictly specializable along g and we have $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{V}_{i*})[!g] = \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i*}$. Because $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{V}_{i*})(*g) \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{V}^\vee_i$ naturally, we obtain $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{V}_{i*}) \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{V}^\vee_{i!}$. We obtain the other isomorphism in a similar way. ∎

Lemma 12.18 $\mathcal{V}_\star$ $(\star = *, !)$ *have their duals as $\mathcal{R}$-triples, and the isomorphisms in Lemma* 12.17 *induce $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_! \simeq \mathcal{V}^\vee_*$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_* \simeq \mathcal{V}^\vee_!$.*

Proof By Lemma 12.16, we have the coincidence of pairings on $\{\lambda\} \times (X \setminus D)$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{S})$ under the isomorphisms of the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-modules. Then, it is extended to $\boldsymbol{D}C_{!|\mathcal{X}^\lambda} \simeq C^\vee_{*|\mathcal{X}^\lambda}$ for generic λ. It means $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_!$ exists and $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_! \simeq \mathcal{V}^\vee_*$. We can show the claim for the other in a similar way. ∎

We shall argue the comparison of the weight filtrations later.

12.3.5 Dual of minimal extension in the pure case

Let (X,D) and $\mathcal{V}$ be as in Subsection 12.3.4. Let us assume that $\mathcal{V}$ is pure. We set $\mathcal{V}_{!*} := \mathrm{Im}\big(\mathcal{V}_! \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_*\big)$, which is a polarizable wild pure twistor D-module. The underlying $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules are denoted by $\mathcal{V}_{i!*}$ $(i = 1,2)$. Similarly, we obtain a polarizable wild pure twistor D-module $\mathcal{V}^\vee_{!*}$ with the underlying $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\mathcal{V}^\vee_{i!*}$.

Lemma 12.19 *We have natural isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i!*} \simeq \mathcal{V}^\vee_{i!*}$. The dual of $\mathcal{V}_{!*}$ as $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples exists, and it is isomorphic to the $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $\mathcal{V}^\vee_{!*}$.*

Proof Let K_i denote the kernel of $\mathcal{V}_{i!} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i!*}$, and let C_i denote the cokernel of $\mathcal{V}_{i!*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i*}$. By the assumption $A(n-1)$, we have $\mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}K_i = \boldsymbol{D}K_i$ and $\mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}C_i = \boldsymbol{D}C_i$.

From the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow K_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i!} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i!*} \longrightarrow 0$, we obtain $\mathcal{H}^j\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i!*} = 0$ unless $j = 0, -1$. From the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i!} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i!*} \longrightarrow C_i \longrightarrow 0$, we obtain $\mathcal{H}^j\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i!*} = 0$ unless $j = 0, 1$. Hence, we obtain $\mathcal{H}^j\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i!*} = 0$ unless $j = 0$. We also obtain that $\mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i!*}$ is the image of $\mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i!}$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{i!*} \simeq \mathcal{V}^\vee_{i!*}$. By using the uniqueness of pairing, we obtain $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_{!*} \simeq \mathcal{V}^\vee_{!*}$. ∎

We have immediate consequences on the dual of the filtered $\mathcal{R}$-modules underlying good mixed twistor D-modules.

Corollary 12.20 *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1,2)$ be the underlying $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules of $\mathcal{T}$. Then, we have $\mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_i \simeq \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_i$, and they are strict. Moreover, they are equipped with induced filtrations.* ∎

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{good}}(X,D)$. Let $\mathcal{M}'_i$ and $\mathcal{M}''_i$ be the $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules underlying $\mathcal{T}_i$. We have the underlying morphisms $\varphi' : \mathcal{M}'_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}'_1$ and $\varphi'' : \mathcal{M}''_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}''_2$.

Corollary 12.21 *We have natural isomorphisms* $\mathrm{Ker}\,\boldsymbol{D}\varphi' = \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{Cok}\,\varphi'$, $\mathrm{Im}\,\boldsymbol{D}\varphi' = \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\varphi'$ *and* $\mathrm{Cok}\,\boldsymbol{D}\varphi' = \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi'$. *We have similar isomorphism for φ''.* ∎

We shall use it in the special case. Let g be a holomorphic function such that $g^{-1}(0) = D$. Let $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$ with the underlying $\mathcal{R}_{X(*D)}$-modules. For any a, b, we have $\big(\Pi^{a,b}\mathcal{V}\big)^\vee \simeq \Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}\mathcal{V}^\vee$ in $\mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$.

Corollary 12.22 *We have natural isomorphisms of $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\boldsymbol{D}\Pi^{a,b}_{g!*}\mathcal{V}_i \simeq \Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g!*}\mathcal{V}_i^{\vee}$. In particular, we have $\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(a)}\mathcal{V}_i \simeq \psi_g^{(-a+1)}\mathcal{V}_i^{\vee}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\Xi_g^{(a)}\mathcal{V}_i \simeq \Xi_g^{(-a)}\mathcal{V}_i^{\vee}$.*

Proof Let us consider the natural morphism $\Pi^{a,N}_{g!}\mathcal{V}_i \longrightarrow \Pi^{b,N}_{g*}\mathcal{V}_i$ for a sufficiently large N. According to Lemma 12.17, its dual is naturally identified with $\Pi^{-N+1,-b+1}_{g!}\mathcal{V}_i^{\vee} \longrightarrow \Pi^{-N+1,-a+1}_{g*}\mathcal{V}_i^{\vee}$. Hence, the claim follows from Corollary 12.21. ▮

Corollary 12.23 *$\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g!*}\mathcal{V}^{\vee}$ is a dual of $\Pi^{a,b}_{g!*}\mathcal{V}$ as an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple. In particular, $\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\Xi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V})$ have duals as $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples, and naturally $\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \psi_g^{(-a+1)}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee})$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\Xi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \Xi_g^{(-a)}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee})$.* ▮

12.3.6 Dual of the canonical prolongation in MTM

Let X and D be as above. Let $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X,D)$. We have already obtained an isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{V}_!) \simeq \mathcal{V}_*^{\vee}$ as $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples. We have the filtration $\boldsymbol{D}W$ obtained as the dual of W on $\mathcal{V}_!$, and the filtration W of $\mathcal{V}_*^{\vee}$.

Lemma 12.24 *They are the same.*

Proof Recall that $\mathcal{V}_!$ is obtained as the cohomology of the complex of $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples

$$\psi_g^{(1)}\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \Xi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{V} \oplus \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}_!) \longrightarrow \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{V},$$

where $\phi_g^{(0)}\big(\mathcal{V}_!\big) \simeq \psi_g^{(1)}\big(\mathcal{V}\big)$. The weight filtration of $\mathcal{V}_!$ is obtained from the naively induced filtrations L on $\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\Xi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V})$, and the filtration L of $\phi_g^{(0)}\big(\mathcal{V}_!\big)$ obtained as the transfer of L on $\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V})$.

Hence, $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{V}_!)$ is obtained as the cohomology of the complex of $\mathcal{R}$-triples

$$\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\Xi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}) \oplus \boldsymbol{D}\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}_!) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(1)}(\mathcal{V}),$$

and the filtration $\boldsymbol{D}W$ is induced by $\boldsymbol{D}L$ on $\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V})$, $\boldsymbol{D}\Xi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V})$, and $\boldsymbol{D}\phi_g^{(1)}(\mathcal{V}_!)$. It is easy to check that $\boldsymbol{D}L$ on $\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\Xi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V})$ are the same as naively induced filtrations under the isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \psi_g^{(-a+1)}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee})$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\Xi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \Xi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee})$. Because $\boldsymbol{D}W$ on $\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V})$ is $M(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{N};\boldsymbol{D}L)[1-2a]$, we obtain $\boldsymbol{D}W$ is the canonical weight filtration of $\psi_g^{(-a+1)}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee})$. Hence, $\boldsymbol{D}W$ on $\boldsymbol{D}\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}_!)$ is the same as the canonical weight filtration W of $\boldsymbol{D}\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}_!) \simeq \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}_*^{\vee})$. We obtain that $\boldsymbol{D}L$ on $\boldsymbol{D}\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}_!)$ is the transfer of L on $\psi_g^{(a)}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee})$. and hence, $\boldsymbol{D}W$ on $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{V}_!$ is the same as the canonical weight filtration of $\mathcal{V}_*^{\vee}$. ▮

Corollary 12.25 *The induced filtrations of $\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(a)}\mathcal{V} \simeq \psi_g^{(-a+1)}\mathcal{V}^{\vee}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\Xi_g^{(a)}\mathcal{V} \simeq \Xi_g^{(-a)}\mathcal{V}^{\vee}$ are the canonical weight filtrations.* ▮

Remark 12.26 *In the case of $\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(a)}\mathcal{V}$, we can also deduce it by using the characterization as the relative monodromy filtration.* ▮

Remark 12.27 *For a good mixed twistor D-module $\Phi(\boldsymbol{T})$, we have $\boldsymbol{D}\Phi(\boldsymbol{T}) = \Phi(\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{T})$.* ▮

12.3.7 Proof of Theorem 12.9

To show Theorem 12.9, we use an induction, i.e., we shall show $A(n)$ by assuming $A(n-1)$. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ such that $\dim \mathrm{Supp}\,\mathcal{T} = n$. Let $P \in \mathrm{Supp}\,\mathcal{T}$. Because the claims are local, we have only to show them for the restriction of $\mathcal{T}$ to a small neighbourhood of P. We will shrink X without mention in the following argument.

We take an n-dimensional admissible cell $\mathcal{C} = (Z, U, \varphi, \mathcal{V})$ of $\mathcal{T}$ at P. Let g be a cell function. We have the expression of $\mathcal{T}$ around P as the cohomology of the complex in $MTM(X)$:

$$\psi_g^{(1)}\varphi_{\dagger}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \Xi_g^{(0)}\varphi_{\dagger}(\mathcal{V}) \oplus \phi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \psi_g^{(0)}\varphi_{\dagger}(\mathcal{V})$$

By the assumption $A(n-1)$, Corollary 12.25 and the result in Subsection 12.3.2, we obtain the following complex in $MTM(X)$:

$$\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(0)}\varphi_{\dagger}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\Xi_g^{(0)}\varphi_{\dagger}(\mathcal{V}) \oplus \boldsymbol{D}\phi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(1)}\varphi_{\dagger}(\mathcal{V}). \tag{104}$$

We obtain a mixed twistor D-module $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$ as the cohomology. The underlying filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules are the dual of the underlying filtered $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules of $\mathcal{T}$. Let C_1 and C_2 denote the pairings of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$. By construction, $C_{2|\mathcal{X}^\lambda} = \boldsymbol{D}C_{1|\mathcal{X}^\lambda}$ for generic λ. Hence, we obtain $C_2 = \boldsymbol{D}C_1$.

If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$, $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}^\vee$ are integrable. Hence, by construction, $\boldsymbol{D}\psi_g^{(a)}\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\Xi_g^{(0)}\varphi_\dagger(\mathcal{V})$ are also naturally integrable. By the hypothesis of the induction, $\boldsymbol{D}\phi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$ is integrable. Hence, $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$ is integrable. Thus, the induction can go further. ▮

12.4 Real structure of mixed twistor D-module

12.4.1 Some functors

Let $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$ denote the hermitian dual, i.e., $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}^*$. Formally, we set $\widetilde{\sigma}^* := \boldsymbol{D} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} = \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \boldsymbol{D}$. It gives an involution on $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. We have a natural transformation $\widetilde{\sigma}^* \circ \widetilde{\sigma}^* \simeq \mathrm{id}$. We define $\widetilde{\gamma}^* := j^* \circ \widetilde{\sigma}^* = \widetilde{\sigma}^* \circ j^*$. We naturally have $\widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* \simeq \mathrm{id}$. For $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$, we have

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^*\mathcal{T} = \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^*) = \big(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}'', \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}', \boldsymbol{D}C^*\big), \qquad \widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T} = \Big(j^*\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}'', j^*\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}', j^*\boldsymbol{D}C^*\Big)$$

with the induced weight filtrations. The following lemma is clear by construction.

Lemma 12.28

- *We have natural isomorphism* $\widetilde{\sigma}^* \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \widetilde{\sigma}^* \simeq j^*$. *We also have* $\widetilde{\sigma}^* \circ j^* = j^* \circ \widetilde{\sigma}^* = \widetilde{\gamma}^*$ *and* $j^* \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* = \widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ j^* = \widetilde{\sigma}^*$.
- *Let* $\widetilde{\nu}^*$ *be one of* $\widetilde{\sigma}^*$, $\widetilde{\gamma}^*$ *or* j^*. *We have natural commutativity* $\widetilde{\nu}^* \circ \boldsymbol{D} \simeq \boldsymbol{D} \circ \widetilde{\nu}^*$ *and* $\widetilde{\nu}^* \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \simeq \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \widetilde{\nu}^*$ *by the natural isomorphisms of the underlying filtered* $\mathcal{R}$*-modules.*
- *Let* F *be a projective morphism. The natural transform* $\boldsymbol{D}F_\dagger \simeq F_\dagger\boldsymbol{D}$ *for* $\mathcal{R}$*-modules induces* $F_\dagger^p\widetilde{\gamma}^*(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mu_p \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* F_\dagger^p(\mathcal{T})$ *and* $F_\dagger^p\widetilde{\sigma}^*(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mu_p \circ \widetilde{\sigma}^* F_\dagger^p(\mathcal{T})$, *where* $\mu_p(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C) := (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, (-1)^p C)$. *We also have* $j^* \circ F_\dagger = F_\dagger \circ j^*$ *naturally.* ▮

Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Let $\varphi_1 : F^{-p}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_1 \simeq \boldsymbol{D}F^p\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\varphi_2 : F_\dagger^p\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_2 \simeq \boldsymbol{D}F_\dagger^{-p}\mathcal{M}_2$ be the natural isomorphisms. Then, $\big(\epsilon(p)\varphi_2, \epsilon(-p)\varphi_1^{-1}\big)$ gives an isomorphism $F_\dagger^p\widetilde{\sigma}^*(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \widetilde{\sigma}^*F_\dagger^p(\mathcal{T})$, and $\big(\epsilon(p)j^*\varphi_2, \epsilon(-p)j^*\varphi_1^{-1}\big)$ gives an isomorphism $F_\dagger^p\widetilde{\gamma}^*(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^*F_\dagger^p(\mathcal{T})$.

The following lemma is obvious by construction.

Lemma 12.29 *Let* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ *and* $\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{MTS}^{\mathrm{adm}}(X, H)$. *Then, we have the relation* $\widetilde{\gamma}^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{V}[\star H]) \simeq \big(\widetilde{\gamma}^*(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \widetilde{\gamma}^*_{\mathrm{sm}}(\mathcal{V})\big)[\star H]$.

Proof We have naturally $j^*\big((\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{V})[\ast H]\big) \simeq \big(j^*(\mathcal{T}) \otimes j^*(\mathcal{V})\big)[\ast H]$, $\Big((\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{V})[\ast H]\Big)^* \simeq (\mathcal{T}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}^*)[!H]$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\Big((\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{V})[!H]\Big) \simeq \big(\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{V}^\vee\big)[\ast H]$, which induce the desired isomorphism. ▮

Complex of mixed twistor D-modules Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{MTM}(X))$ denote the category of complexes of mixed twistor D-modules. Let $(\mathcal{T}^\bullet, \delta^\bullet) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{MTM}(X))$.

- Let $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{MTM}(X))$ be given by $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)^p = \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}^{-p})$ with the differentials $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\delta)^p = \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\delta^{-p-1})$.
- Let $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{MTM}(X))$ be given by $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)^p = \mu_p\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^{-p})$ with the differentials
$$\boldsymbol{D}(\delta^p) = \big((-1)^p\boldsymbol{D}\delta_1^p, (-1)^{p+1}\boldsymbol{D}\delta_2^{-p-1}\big).$$
- Let $j^*(\mathcal{T}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{MTM}(X))$ be given by $j^*(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)^p = j^*(\mathcal{T}^p)$ with the differential $j^*(\delta)^p = j^*(\delta^p)$.

- We define $\mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)^p \in \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{MTM}(X))$ by $\mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)^p = \mathcal{T}^{p+\ell}$ with $\mathcal{S}_\ell(\delta)^p = (-1)^\ell \delta^{p+\ell}$.

The natural quasi-isomorphisms of the underlying complexes of $\mathcal{R}$-modules give the commutativity of the functors $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$, $\boldsymbol{D}$ and j^*. We also have $\mathcal{S}_\ell \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \simeq \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \mathcal{S}_{-\ell}$, $\mathcal{S}_\ell \circ \boldsymbol{D} \simeq \boldsymbol{D} \circ \mathcal{S}_{-\ell}$ and $j^* \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_\ell \circ j^*$. (See Subsection 14.6.1 below for the signature.)

We define the functors $\widetilde{\sigma}^*$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}^*$ on $\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{MTM}(X))$ by $\widetilde{\sigma}^* := \boldsymbol{D} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}^* := j^* \circ \widetilde{\sigma}^*$. The natural quasi-isomorphisms of the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-modules give $\mathcal{S}_\ell \circ \widetilde{\nu}^* \simeq \widetilde{\nu}^* \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell$, where $\widetilde{\nu}^*$ is one of j^*, $\widetilde{\gamma}^*$ or $\widetilde{\sigma}^*$. The compatibility with the push-forward is reformulated as follows.

Lemma 12.30 *Let F be a projective morphism. We have natural isomorphisms $\mathcal{S}_{-p} \circ F^p_\dagger(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{S}_p \circ F^{-p}_\dagger(\mathcal{T})$, $\mathcal{S}_{-p} \circ F^p_\dagger(\widetilde{\sigma}^*\mathcal{T}) \simeq \widetilde{\sigma}^*\mathcal{S}_{-p} \circ F^p_\dagger(\mathcal{T})$ and $\mathcal{S}_{-p} \circ F^p_\dagger(\widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T}) \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{S}_{-p} \circ F^p_\dagger(\mathcal{T})$.* $\blacksquare$

12.4.2 Real structure

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an (integrable) mixed twistor D-module. A real structure of $\mathcal{T}$ is an (integrable) isomorphism $\iota : \widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathcal{T}$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}^*\iota \circ \iota = \mathrm{id}$. A morphism of (integrable) mixed twistor D-modules with real structure is an (integrable) morphism compatible with the real structure. Let $\mathrm{MTM}(X, \mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, \mathbb{R})$) denote the category of complex of mixed twistor D-modules (resp. integrable mixed twistor D-modules) with real structure. We obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 12.31 *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an (integrable) mixed twistor D-module with real structure on X.*

- *$\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T})$, $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T})$ and $j^*(\mathcal{T})$ have natural real structures.*
- *Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism. Then, $F^i_\dagger(\mathcal{T})$ are equipped with induced real structures.*
- *Let H be a hypersurface of X. Then, $\mathcal{T}[\star H]$ is naturally equipped with real structure.*
- *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an (integrable) admissible mixed twistor structure on (X, D). If $\mathcal{V}$ has a real structure, $(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{V})[\star H]$ is also equipped with an induced real structure for $\star = *, !$.* $\blacksquare$

Corollary 12.32 *Let g be any holomorphic function. Then, $\psi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T})$, $\Xi^{(a)}_g\mathcal{T}$ and $\phi^{(a)}_g\mathcal{T}$ are naturally equipped with a real structure. Moreover, $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},a,g}(\mathcal{T}) \oplus \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},-a,g}(\mathcal{T})$ $(0 < a < 1/2)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{a},b/2,g}(\mathcal{T})$ $(b \in \mathbb{Z})$ are equipped with induced real structures. (See Subsection* 2.1.10 *for the second claim.)* $\blacksquare$

Let X be a complex manifold with a hypersurface H. Let $\mathrm{MTM}(X, [*H], \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{MTM}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the full subcategory of objects $(\mathcal{T}, \iota) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathcal{T}[*H] = \mathcal{T}$. We use the notation $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, [*H], \mathbb{R})$ in a similar meaning.

Proposition 12.33 *Let $F : (X', H') \longrightarrow (X, H)$ be a projective birational morphism such that $X' \setminus H' \simeq X \setminus H$. Then, $F_\dagger$ induces equivalences* $\mathrm{MTM}(X', [*H'], \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{MTM}(X, [*H], \mathbb{R})$ *and* $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X', [*H'], \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X, [*H], \mathbb{R})$. $\blacksquare$

Example Let us look at the smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{U}_X(d_X, 0)$. It is a mixed twistor D-module according to Theorem 10.15. It is naturally equipped with an integrable structure. We have the following isomorphism:

$$\widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{U}(d_X, 0) = \boldsymbol{D}j^*\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}},\, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\, \lambda^{d_X},\, C_0\big) = \boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}},\, ,\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\, \lambda^{d_X}, C_0\big) = \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\lambda^{d_X},\, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}},\, (-1)^{d_X} C_0\big)$$

Here, we fix the isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ determined by the condition that its restriction to $\lambda = 1$ induces the isomorphism ν in Subsection 14.6.4. Hence, for example, $\big(\epsilon(-d_X), \epsilon(d_X)\big)$ and $\big(\epsilon(d_X), \epsilon(-d_X)\big)$ give real structures. (We remark the signature in Lemma 12.3.)

Remark 12.34 *Let $(\mathcal{T}, W) \in \mathrm{MTM}(X, \mathbb{R})$. For $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{S}$, we obtain a non-degenerate D-triple $(\mathcal{M}^{-\lambda}_1, \mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_2, C^\lambda)$ with a real structure. (See Section* 14 *below.) In particular, the underlying perverse sheaf of $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_2$ has the induced $\mathbb{R}$-structure. If $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ is integrable with a real structure, $\mathcal{M}_{2|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda \times X}$ seems to have a unique $\mathbb{R}$-Betti structure in the sense of* [40] *whose restrictions to $\{\lambda\} \times X$ $(\lambda \in \boldsymbol{S})$ are the same as the above. The real structure should be functorial. The details will be given elsewhere.* $\blacksquare$

13 Derived category of algebraic mixed twistor D-modules

Algebraic mixed twistor D-module Let X be a smooth complex quasi-projective variety. We take a smooth projective completion $X \subset \overline{X}$ such that $D = \overline{X} - X$ is a hypersurface. We set $\mathrm{MTM}(X) := \mathrm{MTM}(\overline{X}, [*D])$, which is independent of the choice of a projective completion $\overline{X}$ by Proposition 11.14. An object in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ is called an algebraic mixed twistor D-module on X. Let $D^b(\mathrm{MTM}(X))$ denote the derived category of bounded complexes in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. Similarly, $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X)$ and $D^b(\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}(X))$ are defined.

Localization Let H be a hypersurface of X. We have the localizations $[*H] : \mathrm{MTM}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ and $[!H] : \mathrm{MTM}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTM}(X)$ which are exact functors. They induce the exact functors on $D^b\,\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. By comparison of the Yoneda extensions, for $\mathcal{T}_i \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$, we have the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2[*H]\bigr) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_1[*H], \mathcal{T}_2[*H]\bigr)$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_1[!H], \mathcal{T}_2\bigr) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_1[!H], \mathcal{T}_2[!H]\bigr)$$

We have similar localizations in the integrable case.

Beilinson functor Let g be an algebraic function on X. We have the exact functors $\Pi^{a,b}_{g\star}$ on $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ and $D^b\,\mathrm{MTM}(X)$, where $\star = *, !$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We naturally obtain the exact functors $\Xi^{(a)}_g$, $\psi^{(a)}_g$ and $\phi^{(a)}_g$ on $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ and $D^b\,\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. We have similar functors in the integrable case.

Dual and hermitian dual Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}(X)$. It is represented by $\overline{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathrm{MTM}(\overline{X}, [*\overline{D}])$. We set $\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{T} := \bigl(\boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{X}}\overline{\mathcal{T}}\bigr)[*\overline{D}]$, which gives an object in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. It is well defined by the compatibility of push-forward and dual. Similarly, we obtain $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\mathcal{T}$ in $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. We extend $\boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$ on $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ to the functors on $D^b\,\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ as in Subsection 12.4.1.

- We have natural isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{D} \circ \boldsymbol{D} \simeq \mathrm{id}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} = \mathrm{id}$. We also have $\boldsymbol{D} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} = \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \boldsymbol{D}$.
- We have natural isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}[*H]) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})[!H]$ and $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}[*H]) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})[!H]$
- Let g be an algebraic function on X. We have natural isomorphisms $\Xi^{a,b}_{g*}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}\Xi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g*!}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})$ and $\Xi^{a,b}_{g*!}(\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\Xi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g*!}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})$. In particular, we have compatibility of the dual and hermitian dual with the nearby cycle functor, the vanishing cycle functor, and the maximal functor.

By comparing Yoneda extensions, we have the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}\bigl(\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{T}_2, \boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{T}_1\bigr)$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{MTM}(X)}\bigl(\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}_X\mathcal{T}_2, \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}_X\mathcal{T}_1\bigr).$$

Real structure As in Subsection 12.4.1, we define $\widetilde{\gamma}^* := j^* \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \boldsymbol{D}$ on $D^b\,\mathrm{MTM}(X)$. We have a natural isomorphism $\widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* = \mathrm{id}$.

- We have natural commutativity $\widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \boldsymbol{D} \simeq \boldsymbol{D} \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^*$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \simeq \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^*$.
- We have natural isomorphisms $\widetilde{\gamma}^*(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}[*H]) \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^*(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})[*H]$.
- Let g be an algebraic function on X. We have natural isomorphisms $\Xi^{a,b}_{g*}(\widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}) \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^*\Xi^{a,b}_{g*!}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})$. In particular, we have compatibility of $\widetilde{\gamma}^*$ with the nearby cycle functor, the vanishing cycle functor, and the maximal functor.

A real structure of $\mathcal{T}^{\bullet} \in D^b \operatorname{MTM}(X)$ is defined to be an isomorphism $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}^* \mathcal{T}^{\bullet} \simeq \mathcal{T}^{\bullet}$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}^* \kappa \circ \kappa = \mathrm{id}$. Let $D^b(\operatorname{MTM}(X), \mathbb{R})$ be the category of an object in $D^b \operatorname{MTM}(X)$ with a real structure. A morphism $(\mathcal{T}_1^{\bullet}, \kappa_1) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2^{\bullet}, \kappa_2)$ in $D^b(\operatorname{MTM}(X), \mathbb{R})$ is a morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{T}_1^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_2^{\bullet}$ in $D^b(\operatorname{MTM}(X))$ such that $\varphi \circ \kappa_1 = \kappa_2 \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* \varphi$. The category $D^b(\operatorname{MTM}(X), \mathbb{R})$ is naturally equipped with localization, Beilinson's functors, $\boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$. The integrable case can be defined similarly.

Let us look at typical examples. For simplicity, let Z be a projective variety. We have objects $\mathcal{U}_Z(0, d_Z)[d_Z]$ and $\mathcal{U}_Z(d_Z, 0)[-d_Z]$ in $D^b \operatorname{MTM}(X)$. We fix the isomorphism $\mu_Z : \boldsymbol{D}\big(\lambda_Z^d \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}\big) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ whose restriction to $\lambda = 1$ is given as in Subsection 14.6.4. Then, we have natural real structures $\big(\mu_Z, \boldsymbol{D}(\mu_Z)^{-1}\big)$ of $\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z]$, and $\big(\mu_Z, \boldsymbol{D}(\mu_Z)^{-1}\big)$ of $\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z]$, $\big(\boldsymbol{D}(\mu_Z), \mu_Z^{-1}\big)$ of $\mathcal{U}_Z[-d_Z]$.

External product Let $(\mathcal{T}_i, L) \in \operatorname{MTM}(X_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. It is easy to show the following lemma. (See [40], for example.)

Proposition 13.1 *$\mathcal{T}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{T}_2$ with the naturally induced filtration L is an object in $\operatorname{MTM}(X_1 \times X_2)$. As a result, we obtain a bifunctor $\boxtimes : \operatorname{MTM}(X_1) \times \operatorname{MTM}(X_2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{MTM}(X_1 \times X_2)$, compatible with the standard external products $\boxtimes : \operatorname{Hol}(X_1) \times \operatorname{Hol}(X_2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hol}(X_1 \times X_2)$. It is naturally extended to $\boxtimes : D^b \operatorname{MTM}(X_1) \times D^b \operatorname{MTM}(X_2) \longrightarrow D^b \operatorname{MTM}(X_1 \times X_2)$. The functor can be enriched with real structures and integrable structures.* ▮

A version of Kashiwara's equivalence Let A be a subvariety of Y, which is not necessarily smooth. Let $\operatorname{MTM}_A(Y)$ be the full subcategory of mixed twistor D-modules on Y whose supports are contained in A. Let $D^b_A \operatorname{MTM}(Y)$ be the full subcategory of $D^b \operatorname{MTM}(Y)$ which consists of the objects $\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}$ such that the supports of the cohomology $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}^i \mathcal{T}^{\bullet}$ are contained in A.

Proposition 13.2 *The natural functor $D^b \operatorname{MTM}_A(Y) \longrightarrow D^b_A \operatorname{MTM}(Y)$ is an equivalence. We have similar equivalences in the integrable case and the real case.*

Proof According to [3], we have only to check the following effaceability:

- Let $\mathcal{T}_i \in \operatorname{MTM}_A(Y)$. For any $f \in \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\operatorname{MTM}(Y)}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$, there exists a monomorphism $\mathcal{T}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ in $\operatorname{MTM}_A(Y)$ such that the image of f in $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\operatorname{MTM}(Y)}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2')$ is 0.

We can show it by using the arguments in Sections 2.2 and 2.2.1 in [1]. ▮

Push-forward Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism of quasi-projective varieties. We take a factorization $X \subset \overline{X} \xrightarrow{f'} Y$ such that (i) f' is projective, (ii) $H = \overline{X} - X$ is normal crossing. We have a natural equivalence between $\operatorname{MTM}\big(\overline{X}, [*H]\big)$ and $\operatorname{MTM}(X)$. Let $(\overline{\mathcal{T}}, \overline{L}) \in \operatorname{MTM}\big(\overline{X}, [*H]\big)$ correspond to $(\mathcal{T}, L) \in \operatorname{MTM}(X)$. According to Proposition 7.26, we have

$$f_*^i(\mathcal{T}, L) := f_{\dagger}^i(\overline{\mathcal{T}}, \overline{L}) \in \operatorname{MTM}(Y), \qquad f_!^i(\mathcal{T}, L) := f_{\dagger}^i\big((\overline{\mathcal{T}}, \overline{L})[!H]\big) \in \operatorname{MTM}(Y).$$

They are independent of the choice of $\overline{X}$ up to natural isomorphisms. We obtain cohomological functors $f_*^i, f_!^i : \operatorname{MTM}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{MTM}(Y)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can show the following proposition by an argument in [50].

Proposition 13.3 *For each $\star =!, *$, there exists a functor of triangulated categories*

$$f_{\star} : D^b \operatorname{MTM}(X) \longrightarrow D^b \operatorname{MTM}(Y)$$

such that (i) it is compatible with the standard functor $f_{\star} : D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}(X) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}(Y)$, (ii) the induced functor $H^i(f_{\star}) : \operatorname{MTM}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{MTM}(Y)$ is isomorphic to $f_{\star}^i$. It is characterized by the property (i) and (ii) up to natural equivalence. We have similar functors in the integrable case and the real case.

Proof We give only a remark. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \operatorname{MTM}(X)$. We take ample hypersurfaces H_i $(i = 1, 2)$ such that H_i and $H_1 \cap H_2$ are non-characteristic to $\mathcal{T}$. Then, we have a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{T}[*H_1 !H_2] \simeq \mathcal{T}[!H_2 * H_1]$. Indeed, we have

$$\mathcal{T}[*H_1 !H_2] \xrightarrow{a} \big(\mathcal{T}[*H_1 !H_2]\big)[*H_1] \xleftarrow{b} \mathcal{T}[!H_2 * H_1]$$

By the assumption on H_i, $\Xi_{\mathrm{DR}}(a)$ and $\Xi_{\mathrm{DR}}(b)$ are isomorphisms. Hence, we obtain that a and b are isomorphisms. We also have $f_*^i\bigl(\mathcal{T}[*H_1!H_2]\bigr)=0$ unless $i=0$. Then, we can construct a resolution $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ of $\mathcal{T}$ such that satisfies $f_*^i\bigl(\mathcal{T}^j\bigr)=0$ unless $j=0$. (See [40], for example.) Then, we can construct the desired functor f_*. We obtain $f_!$ in a similar way. $\blacksquare$

Pull back As in [50], the pull back is defined to be the adjoint of the push-forward.

Proposition 13.4 *$f_!$ has the right adjoint $f^!$, and f_* has the left adjoint f^*. Thus, we obtain the following functors:*

$$f^\star : D^b\operatorname{MTM}(Y)\longrightarrow D^b\operatorname{MTM}(X)\qquad(\star=!,*)$$

They are compatible with the corresponding functors of holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules with respect to the forgetful functor. We have similar functors in the integrable and the real case. $\blacksquare$

Proof We have only to follow the argument in [50]. We have only to construct adjoint functors in the case (i) f is a closed immersion, (ii) f is a projection $X\times Y\longrightarrow Y$. We give only an indication for the construction.

Let $f:X\longrightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion. The open immersion $Y-X\longrightarrow Y$ is denoted by j. Let $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ be a complex in $\operatorname{MTM}(Y)$. Let H_i $(i=1,\ldots,N)$ be sufficiently general ample hypersurfaces of Y such that (i) $\mathcal{T}^\bullet\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}^\bullet[*H_i]$ are injective, (ii) $\bigcap_{i=1}^N H_i=X$. For any subset $I=(i_1,\ldots,i_m)\subset\{1,\ldots,N\}$, let $\mathbb{C}_I$ be the subspace of $\bigwedge^m\mathbb{C}^N$ generated by $e_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{i_m}$, where $e_i\in\mathbb{C}^N$ denotes an element whose j-th entry is 1 $(j=i)$ or 0 $(j\neq i)$. For $I=I_0\sqcup\{i\}$, the canonical morphism $\mathcal{T}^p[*H(I_0)]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}^p[*H(I)]$ and the multiplication of e_i induces $\mathcal{T}^p[*H(I_0)]\otimes\mathbb{C}_{I_0}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}^p[*H(I)]\otimes\mathbb{C}_I$. For $m\geq0$, we put $\mathcal{C}^m(\mathcal{T}^p,*\boldsymbol{H}):=\bigoplus_{|I|=m}\mathcal{T}^p[*H(I)]\otimes\mathbb{C}_I$, and we obtain the double complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{T}^\bullet,*\boldsymbol{H})$. The total complex is denoted by $\operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{T}^\bullet,*\boldsymbol{H})$. It is easy to observe that the support of the cohomology of $\operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{T}^\bullet,*\boldsymbol{H})$ is contained in X. According to Proposition 13.2, we obtain $f^!\mathcal{T}^\bullet:=\operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{T}^\bullet,*\boldsymbol{H})$ in $D^b\operatorname{MTM}(X)$. Thus, we obtain a functor $f^!:D^b\operatorname{MTM}(Y)\longrightarrow D^b\operatorname{MTM}(X)$. Note that the underlying $\mathcal{D}_Y$-complex is naturally quasi-isomorphic to $f^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet$, where $f^!$ is the left adjoint of $f_\dagger:D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}(X)\longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}(Y)$. It is easy to check that $f^!$ is the right adjoint of $f_!$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_I^\vee$ denote the dual of $\mathbb{C}_I$. For $I=I_0\sqcup\{i\}$, we have a natural morphism $\mathcal{T}[!H(I)]\otimes\mathbb{C}_I^\vee\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}[!H(I_0)]\otimes\mathbb{C}_{I_0}^\vee$. For $m\geq0$, we set $\mathcal{C}^{-m}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet,!\boldsymbol{H}):=\bigoplus_{|I|=m}\mathcal{T}^p[!H(I)]\otimes\mathbb{C}_I$, and we obtain the double complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{T}^\bullet,!\boldsymbol{H})$. As in the previous case, the support of the cohomology of $\operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{T}^\bullet,!\boldsymbol{H})$ is contained in X. We set $f^*(\mathcal{T}^\bullet):=\operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{T}^\bullet,!\boldsymbol{H})\in D^b\operatorname{MTM}(X)$. It is easy to check that f^* is the left adjoint of $f_*=f_!$. The constructions are compatible with the integrable structure and the real structure.

Lemma 13.5 *We put $d:=d_Y-d_X$. We have natural isomorphisms $f^*\mathcal{U}_Y(p,q)\simeq\mathcal{U}_X(p-d,q)[d]$ and $f^!\mathcal{U}_Y(p,q)\simeq\mathcal{U}_X(p,q-d)[-d]$*

Proof We have $L^if^!\mathcal{U}_Y(p,q)=0$ unless $i=d$, and $L^if^*\mathcal{U}_Y(p,q)=0$ unless $i=-d$. Then, by using the computation in Subsection 4.3, we obtain the desired isomorphisms. $\blacksquare$

Let us consider the case that f is the projection of $X=Y\times Z$ to Y. We put $d_Z:=\dim Z$. We set

$$f^*\mathcal{T}:=\mathcal{T}\boxtimes\mathcal{U}_Z(d_Z,0)[-d_Z],\quad f^!\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}\boxtimes\mathcal{U}(0,d_Z)[d_Z].$$

Let us show that f^* is the left adjoint of f_*. We have only to construct natural transformations $\alpha:\mathrm{id}\longrightarrow f_*f^*$ and $\beta:f^*f_*\longrightarrow\mathrm{id}$ such that

$$\beta\circ f^*\alpha:f^*\mathcal{T}^\bullet\longrightarrow f^*f_*f^*\mathcal{T}^\bullet\longrightarrow f^*\mathcal{T}^\bullet,\qquad f_*\beta\circ\alpha:f_*\mathcal{N}^\bullet\longrightarrow f_*f^*f_*\mathcal{N}^\bullet\longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{N}^\bullet \tag{105}$$

are the identities. We have the following natural morphisms:

$$(\mathrm{tr},a_Z^*):\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{pt}}(0,0)\longrightarrow a_{Z*}\mathcal{U}_Z(d_Z,0)[-d_Z],\qquad(a_Z^{-1},\mathrm{tr}):a_{Z!}\mathcal{U}_Z(0,d_Z)[d_Z]\longrightarrow\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{pt}}(0,0)$$

Here $\mathrm{tr}:a_{Z!}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}[d_Z]\lambda^{d_Z}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$ is given by the trace, and $a_Z^{-1}:\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\longrightarrow a_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}[-d_Z]$ is given by the pull back. In particular, we obtain a natural transform $\alpha:\mathrm{id}\longrightarrow f_*f^*$. Note that the morphisms are compatible with natural integrable structures and real structures. For the construction of β, the following diagram is used:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z\times Y \arrow[r, "i"] & Z\times Z\times Y \arrow[r, "q_1"] \arrow[d, "q_2"'] & Z\times Y \arrow[d, "p_1"'] \\
 & Z\times Y \arrow[r, "p_2"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, i is induced by the diagonal $Z \longrightarrow Z\times Z$, q_j are induced by the projection $Z\times Z \longrightarrow Z$ onto the j-th component, and p_j are the projections. We have the following morphisms of complexes of mixed twistor D-modules:

$$f^*f_*\mathcal{T}^\bullet = p_2^*p_{1*}\mathcal{T}^\bullet \simeq q_{2*}q_1^*\mathcal{T}^\bullet \longrightarrow q_{2*}\bigl(i_*i^*q_1^*\mathcal{T}^\bullet\bigr) \simeq i^*q_1^*\mathcal{T}^\bullet \tag{106}$$

According to Lemma 13.5, we have a natural isomorphism $i^*\mathcal{U}_{Z\times Z}(d_Z,0)[-d_Z] \simeq \mathcal{U}_Z(0,0)$. It induces $i^*q_1^*\mathcal{T}^\bullet \simeq \mathcal{T}^\bullet$ in $D^b\operatorname{MTM}(Z\times Y)$. We define β as the composite of (106) with the isomorphism. Note that this construction is compatible with that in the case of D-modules. Then, we obtain that the transformations in (105) are the identities, because the transforms for the underlying D-modules are the identity. The construction is compatible with real structures and integrable structures. ∎

Tensor and inner homomorphism Let X be an algebraic variety. Let $\delta_X : X \longrightarrow X\times X$ be the diagonal morphism. We obtain the functors $\otimes$ and $R\mathcal{H}om$ on $D^b\operatorname{MTM}(X)$ in the standard ways:

$$\mathcal{T}_1\otimes\mathcal{T}_2 := \delta_X^*\bigl(\mathcal{T}_1\boxtimes\mathcal{T}_2\bigr),\quad R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{T}_1,\mathcal{T}_2) := \delta_X^!\bigl(\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{T}_1\boxtimes\mathcal{T}_2\bigr)$$

They are compatible with the corresponding functors on $D^b_{\mathrm{hol}}(X)$. They can be enriched with real and integrable structures.

14 Appendix: D-triples and their functoriality

14.1 D-triples and their push-forward

14.1.1 D-triple and D-complex-triple

Let us introduce the notions of D-triple and D-complex-triple, which is a variant of $\mathcal{R}$-triple in [45]. Let X be a complex manifold. We set $D_{X,\overline{X}} := D_X\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{\overline{X}}$, which is naturally a sheaf of algebras. Let M_i $(i=1,2)$ be D_X-modules. A hermitian pairing of M_1 and M_2 is a $D_{X,\overline{X}}$-homomorphism $C : M_1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$. Such a tuple (M_1,M_2,C) is called a D_X-triple. A morphism of D_X-triples $(M_1',M_2',C') \longrightarrow (M_1,M_2,C)$ is a pair of morphisms $\varphi_1 : M_1 \longrightarrow M_1'$ and $\varphi_2 : M_2' \longrightarrow M_2$ such that $C'\bigl(\varphi_1(m_1),\overline{m_2'}\bigr) = C\bigl(m_1,\overline{\varphi_2(m_2')}\bigr)$. Let $\mathcal{D}$-Tri(X) denote the category of D_X-triples. It is abelian. A D_X-triple (M_1,M_2,C) is called coherent (good, holonomic, etc.), if the underlying D_X-modules are coherent (good, holonomic, etc.).

D-complex-triple Let $M_i^\bullet$ $(i=1,2)$ be bounded complexes of D-modules. A hermitian pairing of $M_i^\bullet$ is a morphism of $D_X\otimes D_{\overline{X}}$-complexes $C : M_1^\bullet\otimes\overline{M_2^\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$. Namely, a tuple of morphisms $C^p : M_1^{-p}\otimes\overline{M_2^p} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$ such that

$$C^p(dx^{-p-1},y^p) + (-1)^{p+1}C_{p+1}(x^{-p-1},dy^p) = 0$$

Such $(M_1^\bullet,M_2^\bullet,C)$ is called a D-complex-triple. A morphism of D-complex-triples

$$(M_1^\bullet,M_2^\bullet,C_M) \longrightarrow (N_1^\bullet,N_2^\bullet,C_N)$$

is a pair of morphisms of $\mathcal{D}$-complexes $\varphi_1 : N_1^\bullet \longrightarrow M_1^\bullet$ and $\varphi_2 : M_2^\bullet \longrightarrow N_2^\bullet$ such that $C_M(\varphi_1(x),y) = C_N(x,\varphi_2(y))$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri(X) denote the category of D_X-complex-triples. It is abelian. A morphism in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri(X) is called a quasi-isomorphism, if the underlying morphisms of D-complexes are quasi isomorphisms.

Let $\mathfrak{T} = (M_1^\bullet,M_2^\bullet,C) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri$(X)$. Let $H^j(M_i^\bullet)$ be the j-th cohomology of the complexes $M_i^\bullet$. We have the induced D_X-triple $\bigl(H^{-j}(M_1^\bullet),H^j(M_2^\bullet),H^j(C)\bigr)$ denoted by $H^j(\mathfrak{T})$. We also have the induced D_X-complex-triple $\bigl(H^{-j}(M_1^\bullet)[j],H^j(M_2^\bullet)[-j],H^j(C)\bigr)$, denoted by $\boldsymbol{H}^j(\mathfrak{T})$.

Let $(M_1^\bullet, M_2^\bullet, C_M)$ and $(N_1^\bullet, N_2^\bullet, C_N)$ be D-complex-triples. Let $\varphi_i : M_i^\bullet \longrightarrow N_i^\bullet$ be quasi-isomorphisms. We say that C_M and C_N are the same under the quasi-isomorphisms, if $C_M(m_1, \overline{m}_2) = C_N\bigl(\varphi_1(m_1), \overline{\varphi_2(m_2)}\bigr)$. In this case, we have the following natural quasi-isomorphisms of D-complex-triples:

$$(M_1^\bullet, M_2^\bullet, C_M) \longrightarrow (M_1^\bullet, N_2^\bullet, C') \longleftarrow (N_1^\bullet, N_2^\bullet, C_N)$$

Here, $C'(m_1, \overline{n}_2) = C_N(\varphi_1(m_1), n_2)$.

Complex of D-triples A complex of D_X-triple consists of D_X-triples $\mathcal{T}^p$ $(p \in \mathbb{Z})$ and morphisms $\delta^p : \mathcal{T}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{p+1}$ such that $\delta^{p+1} \circ \delta^p = 0$. We have the descriptions $\mathcal{T}^p = (M_1^{-p}, M_2^p, C^p)$ and $\delta^p = (\delta_1^p, \delta_2^p)$, where $\delta_1^p : M_1^{-p-1} \longrightarrow M_1^{-p}$ and $\delta_2^p : M_2^p \longrightarrow M_2^{p+1}$. They give complexes $M_i^\bullet$, and satisfy

$$C^{p+1}(x^{-p-1}, \delta_2^p y^p) = C^p(\delta_1^p x^{-p-1}, y^p) \tag{107}$$

Let $\mathcal{C}\bigl(\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)\bigr)$ denote the category of bounded complexes of D_X-triples. For $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X))$, its j-th cohomology is denoted by $H^j\bigl(\mathcal{T}^\bullet\bigr)$.

Equivalence We put $\epsilon(p) := (-1)^{p(p-1)/2}$ for integers p. For $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}\bigl(\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)\bigr)$ with the above description, we define an object $\Psi_1(\mathcal{T}^\bullet) := \bigl(M_1^\bullet, M_2^\bullet, \widetilde{C}\bigr)$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-Tri}(X)$, where $\widetilde{C}^p := \epsilon(p)\, C^p$. Thus, we obtain a functor $\Psi_1 : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-Tri}(X)$. It is easy to check that Ψ_1 is an equivalence.

For $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X))$, the shift $\mathcal{T}[q]^\bullet$ is given in the ordinary way. Namely, $\mathcal{T}[q]^p = \mathcal{T}^{p+q}$ and the differentials $\widetilde{\delta}^p : \mathcal{T}[q]^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[q]^{p+1}$ are given by $(-1)^q \delta^{p+q}$. Correspondingly, for $\mathfrak{T} = (M_1^\bullet, M_2^\bullet, C) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-Tri}(X)$, we define $\mathfrak{T}[q] = (M_1[-q]^\bullet, M_2[q]^\bullet, C[q]) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-Tri}(X)$, where $C[q]^p : M_1[-q]^{-p} \otimes \overline{M}_2[q]^p \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$ is given by

$$\epsilon(p)\, \epsilon(p+q)\, C^{p+q} : M_1^{-p-q} \otimes \overline{M}_2^{p+q} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X.$$

We have $\epsilon(p)\epsilon(p+q) = \epsilon(q)\,(-1)^{pq}$.

D-double-complex-triple and total complex A D_X-double-complex-triple is a tuple $\bigl(M_1^{\bullet,\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet,\bullet}, C\bigr)$:

- $M_i^{\bullet,\bullet}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are double complexes of D_X-modules, i.e., they are $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded D-modules $\{M_i^{\boldsymbol{p}} \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with morphisms $d_j : M_i^{\boldsymbol{p}} \longrightarrow M_i^{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_j}$ $(i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2)$ such that $d_j \circ d_j = 0$ and $[d_j, d_m] = 0$. Here, $\boldsymbol{\delta}_1 = (1, 0)$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_2 = (0, 1)$.
- $C : M_1^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes \overline{M_2}^{\bullet,\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$ be a morphism of $D_X \otimes D_{\overline{X}}$-double-complexes, i.e., a tuple of $D_X \otimes D_{\overline{X}}$-morphisms $C^{\boldsymbol{p}} : M_1^{-\boldsymbol{p}} \otimes M_2^{\boldsymbol{p}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$ such that
$$C^{\boldsymbol{p}}(d_1 x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}, y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) + (-1)^{p_1+1} C^{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}(x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}, d_1 y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) = 0$$
$$C^{\boldsymbol{p}}(d_2 x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}, y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) + (-1)^{p_2+1} C^{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}(x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}, d_2 y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) = 0$$

Let $\mathcal{C}^{(2)}(\mathcal{D})\text{-Tri}(X)$ denote the category of D_X-double-complex-triples.

Let $(M_1^{\bullet,\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet,\bullet}, C)$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}^{(2)}(\mathcal{D})\text{-Tri}(X)$. We define the total complex object $\mathrm{Tot}(M_1^{\bullet,\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet,\bullet}, C)$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-Tri}(X)$ in the standard way. The underlying D-complexes are the total complexes $\mathrm{Tot}(M_i^{\bullet,\bullet})$, i.e., $\mathrm{Tot}(M_i^{\bullet,\bullet})^p = \bigoplus_{p_1+p_2=p} M_i^{\boldsymbol{p}}$, with the differential $\boldsymbol{dx^p} = d_1 x^{\boldsymbol{p}} + (-1)^{p_1} d_2 x^{\boldsymbol{p}}$. The pairings are given by

$$\widetilde{C}^p = \bigoplus_{p_1+p_2=p} (-1)^{p_1 p_2} C^{\boldsymbol{p}}.$$

Let us show that they give a D_X-complex-triple. We have only to check (107). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{C}(dx^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}, y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) + (-1)^{p_1+p_2+1} \widetilde{C}(x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}, dy^{\boldsymbol{p}}) \\
&\qquad = (-1)^{p_1 p_2} C^{\boldsymbol{p}}(d_1 x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}, y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) + (-1)^{p_1+p_2+1}(-1)^{(p_1+1)p_2} C^{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}(x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}, d_1 y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) \\
&\qquad\qquad = (-1)^{p_1 p_2}\bigl(C^{\boldsymbol{p}}(d_1 x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}, y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) + (-1)^{p_1+1} C^{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}(x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}, d_1 y^{\boldsymbol{p}})\bigr) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{C}^{\boldsymbol{p}}(dx^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}, y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) + (-1)^{p_1+p_2+1}\widetilde{C}^{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}(x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}, dy^{\boldsymbol{p}}) \\
&\qquad = (-1)^{p_1p_2}C^{\boldsymbol{p}}(d_2x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}, y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) + (-1)^{p_1+p_2+1}(-1)^{p_1(p_2+1)}C^{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}(x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}, d_2y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) \\
&\qquad = (-1)^{p_1p_2}\big(C^{\boldsymbol{p}}(d_2x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}, y^{\boldsymbol{p}}) + (-1)^{p_2+1}C^{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}(x^{-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_2}, d_2y^{\boldsymbol{p}})\big) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

We can easily deduce (107) from (108) and (109). Thus, we obtain a natural functor

$$\mathrm{Tot} : \mathcal{C}^{(2)}(\mathcal{D})\text{-Tri}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-Tri}(X).$$

14.1.2 Construction of the push-forward

Let $\mathcal{T} = (M_1, M_2, C)$ be a D_X-triple. Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds such that the restriction of f to the support of $\mathcal{T}$ is proper. As in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-triples [45], we shall construct $f_\dagger^{(0)}\mathcal{T} = (f_\dagger M_1, f_\dagger M_2, f_\dagger^{(0)}C)$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri(Y) and correspondingly $f_\dagger\mathcal{T}$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}$-Tri$(Y))$ i.e., $\Psi_1\big(f_\dagger\mathcal{T}\big) = f_\dagger^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$. We remark that this is a specialization of the push-forward for $\mathcal{R}$-triples given in [45].

Closed immersion If f is a closed immersion, we have $f_\dagger M_i = \omega_X \otimes f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}) \otimes_{D_X} M_i$. Let η_X and η_Y denote local generators of ω_X and ω_Y, respectively. We put $d_X := \dim X$ and $d_Y := \dim Y$. We set

$$f_\dagger^{(0)}\Big((\eta_X/\eta_Y)\cdot m_1, \overline{(\eta_X/\eta_Y)\cdot m_2}\Big) := \frac{1}{\eta_Y\overline{\eta}_Y} f_*\big(\eta_X\overline{\eta}_X \cdot C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)\big)\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_X-d_Y} \epsilon(d_X)\,\epsilon(d_Y) \tag{110}$$

Namely, for a test form $\varphi = \phi\cdot\eta_Y\overline{\eta}_Y$, we define

$$\Big\langle f_\dagger^{(0)}\Big((\eta_X/\eta_Y)\cdot m_1, \overline{(\eta_X/\eta_Y)\cdot m_2}\Big), \varphi\Big\rangle := \Big\langle C(m_1, \overline{m}_2),\, f^*\phi\,\eta_X\overline{\eta}_X\Big\rangle\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_X-d_Y} \epsilon(d_X)\,\epsilon(d_Y) \tag{111}$$

In this case, we have $f_\dagger C = f_\dagger^{(0)}C$.

Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \longrightarrow Z$ be closed immersions. We have natural isomorphisms $(g\circ f)_\dagger M_i \simeq g_\dagger\big(f_\dagger M_i\big)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. The following lemma is easy to see.

Lemma 14.1 *We have* $(g\circ f)_\dagger^{(0)}(C) = g_\dagger^{(0)}(f_\dagger^{(0)}C)$ *under the isomorphisms.* ∎

Remark 14.2 *If* $Y = \Delta^n$, $X = \{z_{\ell+1} = \cdots z_n = 0\}$, $\eta_X = dz_1\cdots dz_\ell$ *and* $\eta_Y = dz_1\cdots dz_n$, *we have*

$$\eta_X\,\overline{\eta}_X = \eta_Y\,\overline{\eta}_Y\Big/\prod_{i=\ell+1}^{n} dz_i\,d\overline{z}_i \times \epsilon(d_X)\,\epsilon(d_Y)$$

Hence, for a test form φ *on* Y, *we have*

$$\Big\langle f_\dagger^{(0)}C\big((\eta_X/\eta_Y)\,m_1,\, \overline{(\eta_X/\eta_Y)\,m_2}\big), \varphi\Big\rangle = \Big\langle C(m_1, \overline{m}_2),\, f^*\varphi/\prod_{i=\ell+1}^{n} dz_i d\overline{z}_i\Big\rangle\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_X-d_Y}$$

∎

Projection Let us consider the case $f : X = Z\times Y \longrightarrow Y$ is the projection. Set $d_Z = \dim Z$. We have $f_\dagger M_i = Rf_!\big(M\otimes\mathcal{E}_Z^\bullet[d_Z]\big)$. We set

$$f_\dagger^{(0)}C\big(\eta^{d_Z-p}\,m_1,\, \overline{\eta^{d_Z+p}m_2}\big) := \int \eta^{d_Z-p}\wedge\overline{\eta}^{d_Z+p}C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Z}\epsilon(d_Z)\,(-1)^{pd_Z}$$

We have the following:

$$f_\dagger C\big(\eta^{d_Z-p}\,m_1,\, \overline{\eta^{d_Z+p}m_2}\big) = \int \eta^{d_Z-p}\wedge\overline{\eta}^{d_Z+p}C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Z}\epsilon(p+d_Z)$$

Some compatibility For our later purpose, we give some lemmas on compatibility of the push-forward for closed immersion and projection (Lemma 14.3 and Lemma 14.4). Let $\ell \leq k$. We put $Y := \Delta^n$. Let X and Z be the submanifold of Y given as $X := \{z_{\ell+1} = \cdots = z_n = 0\}$ and $Z := \{z_{k+1} = \cdots = z_n = 0\}$. Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be the natural inclusion. Let $g : Y \longrightarrow Z$ be the projection forgetting the last components. The composite $g \circ f : X \longrightarrow Z$ is the natural inclusion.

For $p = 1, \ldots, n$, we set $\eta_p := dz_1 \cdots dz_p$. For $p_1 \leq p_2$, we set $\eta_{p_1,p_2} := \eta_{p_2}/\eta_{p_1}$. Let $(M_1, M_2, C) \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)$. We put $d_{Y/Z} := d_Y - d_Z$. We have

$$g_{\dagger}\big(f_{\dagger}M_i\big) \simeq g_*\Big(\Omega^{\bullet}_{Y/Z}[d_{Y/Z}] \otimes f_{\dagger}M_i\Big)$$

We have the quasi-isomorphism $g_{\dagger}\big(f_{\dagger}M_i\big) \simeq (g \circ f)_{\dagger}M_i$ induced by $\eta_{k,n} \otimes \big(m_i/\eta_{\ell,n}\big) \longmapsto m_i/\eta_{\ell,k}$.

Lemma 14.3 *We have* $g_{\dagger}^{(0)}(f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C) = (g \circ f)_{\dagger}^{(0)}C$ *under the quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\langle g_{\dagger}^{(0)}\big(f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C\big)\Big(\eta_{k,n}\,(m_1/\eta_{\ell,n}),\ \overline{\eta_{k,n}\,(m_2/\eta_{\ell,n})}\Big),\ \varphi\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{n-k} \epsilon(n-k) \int \eta_{k,n}\overline{\eta}_{k,n} f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C\Big(m_1/\eta_{\ell,n},\ \overline{m_2/\eta_{\ell,n}}\Big) g^*\varphi \\
&\qquad = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{n-k} \epsilon(n-k) \Big\langle f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C\big(m_1/\eta_{\ell,n}, \overline{m_2/\eta_{\ell,n}}\big),\ \eta_{k,n}\overline{\eta}_{k,n} g^*\varphi\Big\rangle \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{\ell-k} \epsilon(n-k)\,\epsilon(\ell)\,\epsilon(n)\Big\langle (\eta_n\overline{\eta}_n)^{-1} f_*\big(\eta_\ell\overline{\eta}_\ell C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\big),\ g^*\varphi\,\eta_{k,n}\overline{\eta}_{k,n}\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{\ell-k} \epsilon(n-k)\,\epsilon(\ell)\,\epsilon(n)\Big\langle \eta_\ell\overline{\eta}_\ell C(m_1,\overline{m}_2),\ f^*\Big(\frac{g^*\varphi\,\eta_{k,n}\overline{\eta}_{k,n}}{\eta_n\overline{\eta}_n}\Big)\Big\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

We also have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\langle (g \circ f)_{\dagger}^{(0)}C\big(m_1/\eta_{\ell,k},\ \overline{m_2/\eta_{\ell,k}}\big),\ \varphi\Big\rangle \\
&\qquad = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{\ell-k} \Big\langle (\eta_k\overline{\eta}_k)^{-1}(g\circ f)_*\big(\eta_\ell\overline{\eta}_\ell C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\big),\ \varphi\Big\rangle \times \epsilon(\ell)\,\epsilon(k)
\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

Let $\varphi = \eta_k\overline{\eta}_k\,\phi$. Then, we have

$$(g\circ f)^*\left(\frac{\varphi}{\eta_k\overline{\eta}_k}\right) = (g\circ f)^*\phi = (-1)^{k(n-k)} f^*\left(\frac{g^*(\eta_k\overline{\eta}_k\phi)\,\eta_{k,n}\overline{\eta}_{k,n}}{\eta_n\overline{\eta}_n}\right)$$

Because $(n-k)(n-k-1)/2 - n(n-1)/2 - k(k-1)/2 + k(n-k) \equiv 0$ modulo 2, we obtain $(g\circ f)_{\dagger}^{(0)}C = g_{\dagger}^{(0)}f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C$. Thus, we obtain Lemma 14.3. ▮

We consider another compatibility. Let Z be a complex manifold. Let us consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \times \Delta^k \arrow[r, "g_1"] \arrow[d, "f_1"'] & Z \times \Delta^{k+\ell} \arrow[d, "f_2"'] \\
\Delta^k \arrow[r, "g_2"] & \Delta^{k+\ell}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, g_i are the natural inclusions, and f_i are the projections. Let $(M_1, M_2, C) \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(Z \times \Delta^k)$ such that the support of M_i are proper with respect to f_1. We have natural isomorphisms $g_{2\dagger}\big(f_{1\dagger}M_i\big) \simeq f_{2\dagger}g_{1\dagger}M_i$.

Lemma 14.4 *We have* $g_{2\dagger}^{(0)} \circ f_{1\dagger}^{(0)}(C) = f_{2\dagger}^{(0)} \circ g_{1\dagger}^{(0)}(C)$ *under the isomorphisms.*

Proof We put $n=\dim Z$. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & g^{(0)}_{2\dagger}\bigl(f^{(0)}_{1\dagger}(C)\bigr)\Bigl((\eta_k/\eta_{k+\ell})\cdot\xi^{n-p}m_1,\ \overline{(\eta_k/\eta_{k+\ell})\,\xi^{n+p}m_2}\Bigr) \\ &\qquad =\Bigl(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Bigr)^{-\ell}(\eta_{k+\ell}\overline{\eta}_{k+\ell})^{-1}g_{2*}\Bigl(\eta_k\overline{\eta}_k\,f^{(0)}_{1\dagger}(C)(\xi^{n-p}m_1,\overline{\xi^{n+p}m_2})\Bigr)\times\epsilon(k+\ell)\,\epsilon(k) \\ &\qquad =\Bigl(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Bigr)^{n-\ell}(\eta_{k+\ell}\overline{\eta}_{k+\ell})^{-1}g_{2*}\Bigl(\eta_k\overline{\eta}_k\int_{f_1}\xi^{n-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+p}C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\Bigr)\epsilon(n)\,(-1)^{np}\,\epsilon(k+\ell)\,\epsilon(k) \end{aligned}\tag{114}$$

Let η_Z be a C^∞-local generator of ω_Z. We set $\eta'_m:=\eta_Z\cdot\eta_m$. We also have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & f^{(0)}_{2\dagger}\bigl(g^{(0)}_{2\dagger}C\bigr)\Bigl(\xi^{n-p}(\eta'_k/\eta'_{k+\ell})\,m_1,\ \overline{\xi^{n+p}(\eta'_k/\eta'_{k+\ell})m_2}\Bigr) \\ &\qquad =\Bigl(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Bigr)^{n}\int_{f_2}\xi^{n-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+p}g_{2\dagger}\bigl((\eta'_k/\eta'_{k+\ell})\,m_1,\ \overline{(\eta'_k/\eta'_{k+\ell})\,m_2}\bigr)\cdot\epsilon(n)\,(-1)^{-np} \\ &=\Bigl(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Bigr)^{n-\ell}\int_{f_2}\xi^{n-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+p}(\eta'_{k+\ell}\,\overline{\eta}'_{k+\ell})^{-1}g_{2*}\bigl(\eta'_k\,\overline{\eta}'_k\,C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\bigr)\epsilon(n)\,(-1)^{-np}\,\epsilon(n+k+\ell)\,\epsilon(n+k) \end{aligned}\tag{115}$$

We have the following equality modulo 2:

$$(k+\ell)(k+\ell-1)/2-k(k-1)/2-(n+k+\ell)(n+k+\ell-1)/2-(m+k)(m+k-1)/2\equiv k\ell+(n+k)\ell=n\ell$$

We have the following:

$$\Bigl\langle(\eta_{k+\ell}\overline{\eta}_{k+\ell})^{-1}g_{2*}\Bigl(\eta_k\overline{\eta}_k\int_{f_1}\xi^{n-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+p}C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\Bigr),\ \phi\eta_{k+\ell}\overline{\eta}_{k+\ell}\Bigr\rangle=\Bigl\langle\int_{f_1}\xi^{n-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+p}C(m_1,\overline{m}_2),\ g_2^*(\phi)\eta_k\overline{\eta}_k\Bigr\rangle\tag{116}$$

We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Bigl\langle\int_{f_2}\xi^{n-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+p}\Bigl((\eta'_{k+\ell}\overline{\eta}'_{k+\ell})^{-1}g_{1*}\bigl(\eta'_k\overline{\eta}'_k\cdot C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\bigr)\Bigr),\ \phi\cdot\eta_{k+\ell}\overline{\eta}_{k+\ell}\Bigr\rangle \\ &\qquad\qquad =\Bigl\langle g_{1*}\bigl(\eta'_k\overline{\eta}'_kC(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\bigr),\ \frac{f_2^*\phi\,\xi^{n-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+p}\eta_{k+\ell}\overline{\eta}_{k+\ell}}{\eta'_{k+\ell}\overline{\eta}'_{k+\ell}}\Bigr\rangle \end{aligned}\tag{117}$$

We have the description $\xi^{n-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+p}=A\eta_Z\overline{\eta}_Z$. Then, we have

$$\frac{f_2^*\phi\,\xi^{n-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+p}\eta_{k+\ell}\overline{\eta}_{k+\ell}}{\eta'_{k+\ell}\overline{\eta}'_{k+\ell}}=f_2^*\phi\,A\,(-1)^{n(k+\ell)}$$

Then, (117) is rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Bigl\langle C(m_1,\overline{m}_2),\ g_1^*(f_2^*\phi^*A(-1)^{n(k+\ell)})\eta'_k\overline{\eta}'_k\Bigr\rangle &=\Bigl\langle C(m_1,\overline{m}_2),\ g_1^*(f_2^*\phi^*A(-1)^{n\ell})\eta_Z\overline{\eta}_Z\eta_k\overline{\eta}_k\Bigr\rangle \\ &=(-1)^{n\ell}\Bigl\langle C(m_1,\overline{m}_2),\ \xi^{n-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+p}(g_2\circ f_1)^*\phi\,\eta_k\overline{\eta}_k\Bigr\rangle \end{aligned}\tag{118}$$

Thus, we obtain Lemma 14.4. ∎

Construction of the push-forward in the general case In the general case, we factor f into the closed immersion $f_1:X\longrightarrow X\times Y$ and the projection $f_2:X\times Y\longrightarrow Y$. Thus, we obtain a D-complex-triple $f^{(0)}_{2\dagger}\bigl(f^{(0)}_{1\dagger}(M_1,M_2,C)\bigr)$ on Y. We obtain the following lemma from Lemma 14.3 and Lemma 14.4.

Lemma 14.5 *If f is a closed immersion or a projection, $f^{(0)}_{2\dagger}\bigl(f^{(0)}_{1\dagger}(M_1,M_2,C)\bigr)$ is naturally isomorphic to the object $f^{(0)}_{\dagger}(M_1,M_2,C)$ given previously.* ∎

Push-forward of D-complex-triple Let $(M_1^{\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet}, C) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri$(X)$ such that the restriction of f to the support of M_i are proper. We have the following object in $\mathcal{C}^{(2)}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri$(Y)$:

$$\Bigl(f_{\dagger}(M_1^{\bullet})^{\bullet},\, f_{\dagger}(M_2^{\bullet})^{\bullet},\, f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C\Bigr)$$

By taking the total complex, we obtain an object in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri(Y), denoted by $f_{\dagger}^{(0)}(M_1^{\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet}, C)$. Correspondingly, we have the push-forward for complexes of D-triples.

Composition Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \longrightarrow Z$ be morphisms of complex manifolds. Let $(M_i^{\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet}, C)$ be a D_X-complex-triple such that the restriction of f and $g \circ f$ to the supports of M_i are proper. We have natural quasi-isomorphisms $(g \circ f)_{\dagger} M_i \simeq g_{\dagger}\bigl(f_{\dagger} M_i\bigr)$. The following lemma is implied in [45].

Lemma 14.6 *We have* $(g \circ f)_{\dagger}^{(0)} = g_{\dagger}^{(0)}(f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C)$ *under the quasi-isomorphisms.*

Proof By using Lemma 14.3 and Lemma 14.4, we can reduce the issue to the case that $f : W \times Z \times Y \longrightarrow Z \times Y$ and $g : Z \times Y \longrightarrow Y$ are the projections. We set $m := \dim X - \dim Y$ and $n := \dim Y - \dim Z$. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(g \circ f)_{\dagger}^{(0)} C\bigl(\xi^{n-q}\eta^{m-p}m_1, \overline{\xi^{n+q}\eta^{m+p}m_2}\bigr) \\
&\qquad = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{m+n} \int \xi^{n-q}\eta^{m-p}\overline{\xi^{n+q}\eta^{m+p}} C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)\, \epsilon(m+n)\, (-1)^{-(m+n)(p+q)}
\end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

We also have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{\dagger}^{(0)}\bigl(f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C\bigr)\Bigl(\xi^{n-q}\eta^{m-p}m_1,\, \overline{\xi^{n+q}\eta^{m+p}m_2}\Bigr) \times (-1)^{pq} \\
&\qquad = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{n} \int \xi^{n-q}\overline{\xi}^{n+q} f_{\dagger}^{(0)} C(\eta^{m-p}m_1,\, \overline{\eta^{m+p}m_2})\, \epsilon(n)\, (-1)^{-nq+pq} \\
&\quad = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{m+n} \int \xi^{n-q}\eta^{m-p}\overline{\xi}^{n+q}\overline{\eta}^{m+p} C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)\, \epsilon(m)\, \epsilon(n)\, (-1)^{-mp-nq+pq+(n+q)(m-p)}
\end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

We have the following equality modulo 2:

$$m(m-1)/2 + n(n-1)/2 + mp + nq + pq + (n+q)(m-p) \equiv (m+n)(m+n-1)/2 + (m+n)(p+q)$$

Thus, we obtain Lemma 14.6. ∎

Correspondence of left and right triples (Appendix) The correspondence between hermitian pairings for left and right D-modules are given as follows. Let C be a hermitian pairing $M_1 \times \overline{M_2} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$. The corresponding pairing of right D-modules $(\omega_X \otimes M_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{(\omega_X \otimes M_2)} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X^{d_X, d_X}$ is given as follows:

$$C^r\bigl(\eta_1 \otimes m_1,\, \overline{\eta_2 \otimes m_2}\bigr) := \eta_1\overline{\eta}_2\, C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)\, \epsilon(d_X)\, \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_X}$$

For a closed immersion $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, we should have $f_* C^r(\eta_X \otimes m_1,\, \overline{\eta_X \otimes m_2}) = (f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C)^r\bigl(\eta_X \otimes m_1,\, \overline{\eta_X \otimes m_2}\bigr)$. It implies the formula in the closed immersion, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Bigl\langle C(m_1, \overline{m}_2),\, f^*\phi\, \eta_X \overline{\eta}_X \Bigr\rangle\, \epsilon(d_X)\, \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_X} = \\
&\qquad \Bigl\langle f_* C^r(\eta_X \otimes m_1, \overline{\eta_X \otimes m_2}),\, \phi \Bigr\rangle = \Bigl\langle (f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C)^r(\eta_X \otimes m_1, \overline{\eta_X \otimes m_2}),\, \phi \Bigr\rangle \\
&\qquad\qquad = \Bigl\langle (f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C)\bigl((\eta_X/\eta_Y)m_1, \overline{(\eta_X/\eta_Y)m_2}\bigr),\, f^*\phi\, \eta_Y \overline{\eta}_Y \Bigr\rangle\, \epsilon(d_Y)\, \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Y}
\end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

14.1.3 Hermitian adjoint of D-complex-triple

Let $\mathcal{T}^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X))$. We define an object $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X))$ as follows. The p-th member $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})^p$ is $(\mathcal{T}^{-p})^*$. The differential $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})^p \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})^{p+1}$ is given by $\boldsymbol{D}(\delta_{-p-1})$. Then, $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$ gives a contravariant auto equivalence of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X))$.

By the equivalence Ψ_1, we obtain a contravariant auto equivalence $\boldsymbol{D}^{(0)\,\mathrm{herm}}$ on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-Tri}(X)$:

$$\boldsymbol{D}^{(0)\,\mathrm{herm}}(M_1^{\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet}, C) = (M_2^{\bullet}, M_1^{\bullet}, C^*)$$

Here, $(C^*)^p = (-1)^p \big(C^p\big)^*$.

Lemma 14.7 *Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complexes. Let $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri$(X)$ such that the restriction of f to the support of $\mathfrak{T}$ is proper. We have $f_{\dagger}^{(0)} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{(0)\,\mathrm{herm}}(\mathfrak{T}) = \boldsymbol{D}^{(0)\,\mathrm{herm}} \circ f_{\dagger}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{T})$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri(Y). As a consequence, for $\mathcal{T}^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}$-Tri$(X))$, we have $f_{\dagger} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet}) = \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ f_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}^{\bullet})$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}$-Tri$(Y))$.*

Proof We use the notation in the construction of push-forward in Subsection 14.1.2. Let us consider the case that f is a closed immersion. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\dagger}^{(0)}(C^*)\big((\eta_X/\eta_Y)\, m_2,\ \overline{(\eta_X/\eta_Y)\, m_1}\big) &= (\eta_Y\overline{\eta}_Y)^{-1}\, f_*\big(\eta_X\, \overline{\eta}_X\, C^*(m_2, \overline{m}_1)\big) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_X-d_Y} \epsilon(d_X)\, \epsilon(d_Y) \\
&= (\eta_Y\overline{\eta}_Y)^{-1} f_*\big(\eta_X\overline{\eta}_X\, \overline{C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)}\big) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_X-d_Y} \epsilon(d_X)\, \epsilon(d_Y) \\
&= \overline{(\overline{\eta}_Y\eta_Y)^{-1} f_*\big(\overline{\eta}_X\eta_X C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)\big) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_X-d_Y}} (-1)^{d_X-d_Y}\, \epsilon(d_X)\, \epsilon(d_Y) \\
&= \overline{(\eta_Y\overline{\eta}_Y)^{-1} f_*\big(\eta_X\overline{\eta}_X C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)\big) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_X-d_Y}} \epsilon(d_X)\, \epsilon(d_Y) \\
&= (f_{\dagger}C)^*\big((\eta_X/\eta_Y)\, m_1, \overline{(\eta_X/\eta_Y)\, m_2}\big)
\end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

Let us consider the case that $f : Z \times Y \longrightarrow Y$. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_{\dagger}^{(0)} C^*)(\eta^{m+p} m_2,\ \overline{\eta^{m-p} m_1}) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^m \int \eta^{m+p}\overline{\eta}^{m-p} C^*(m_2, \overline{m}_1)\, \epsilon(m)\, (-1)^{m(m-p)} \\
&= \overline{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^m (-1)^m \int \eta^{m-p}\overline{\eta}^{m+p} (-1)^{m-p} C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)\, \epsilon(m)\, (-1)^{m(m-p)}} \\
&= (-1)^p (f_{\dagger}C)^* (\eta^{m+p} m_2, \overline{\eta^{m-p} m_1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

Thus, we are done. ∎

14.1.4 Comparison with the naive push-forward

Trace morphism Let us observe that we have a natural morphism, called the trace morphism:

$$\mathrm{tr} : f_!\Big(\big(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}}\big) \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\Big) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_Y \tag{124}$$

Indeed, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\omega_X \otimes f^{-1}\big(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}\big) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \omega_{\overline{X}} \otimes f^{-1}\big(D_{\overline{Y}} \otimes \omega_{\overline{Y}}^{-1}\big)\Big) \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X \longrightarrow \\
&\qquad f^{-1}(D_{Y,\overline{Y}} \otimes \omega_{Y,\overline{Y}}) \otimes \Omega_X^{\bullet}[d_X] \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^{\bullet}[d_X] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X \longrightarrow f^{-1}(D_{Y,\overline{Y}} \otimes \omega_{Y,\overline{Y}}) \otimes \mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2d_X]
\end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

Here, we use the pairing $\Omega_X^{\bullet}[d_X] \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^{\bullet}[d_X] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2d_X]$ given as follows. We have the natural identification $\Omega_X^{\bullet}[d_X] \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^{\bullet}[d_X] \simeq \big(\Omega_X^{\bullet} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^{\bullet}\big)[2d_X]$ which is given by the multiplication of $(-1)^{pd_X}\epsilon(d_X)$ on $\Omega_X^{p+d_X} \otimes \Omega_{\overline{X}}^{q+d_X}$.

(See the appendix of this subsection.) We have the natural inclusion $\big(\Omega^{\bullet}_X \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}_{\overline{X}}\big)[2d_X] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X]$. The composition gives the desired pairing. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &f_!\Big(\big(D_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}}\big)\otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}}\mathfrak{Db}_X\Big) \longrightarrow \big(D_{Y,\overline{Y}}\otimes\omega^{-1}_{Y,\overline{Y}}\big)\otimes f_!(\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X]) \\ &\quad\xrightarrow{A}\big(D_{Y,\overline{Y}}\otimes\omega^{-1}_{Y,\overline{Y}}\big)\otimes\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_Y[2d_Y]\overset{B}{\simeq}\big(\omega_Y^{-1}\otimes D_Y\otimes\Omega^{\bullet}_Y[d_Y]\big)\otimes\big(\omega^{-1}_{\overline{Y}}\otimes D_{\overline{Y}}\otimes\Omega^{\bullet}_{\overline{Y}}[d_Y]\big)\otimes\mathfrak{Db}_Y\simeq\mathfrak{Db}_Y \end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

The morphism A is induced by the integration $f_!\mathfrak{Db}_X^{p+d_X,q+d_X}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_Y^{p+d_Y,q+d_Y}$ multiplied with $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_X-d_Y}$. For B, we use the identification as in the case of (125).

Naive push-forward of the pairing Let $C: M_1\times\overline{M}_2\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_X$. We have the following induced pairing:

$$\big(D_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^L_{D_X}M_1\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\big(D_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^L_{D_X}M_2\big)}\longrightarrow\Big(D_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}}\Big)\otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}}\mathfrak{Db}_X$$

Hence, we obtain the following pairing

$$f_{\dagger}M_1\otimes f_{\dagger}\overline{M}_2\longrightarrow f_!\Big(\big(D_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}}\Big)\otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}}\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X]\big)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}}\mathfrak{Db}_Y$$

It is denoted by $f_{\dagger}^{(1)}C$. We have the following comparison.

Lemma 14.8 *We have* $f_{\dagger}^{(1)}(C)=f_{\dagger}^{(0)}(C)$.

Proof Let us consider the case that f is a closed immersion. We have the following:

$$f_{\dagger}^{(1)}(C)\big((\eta_X/\eta_Y)\,m_1,\ \overline{(\eta_X/\eta_Y)\,m_2}\big)=(\eta_Y\,\overline{\eta}_Y)^{-1}f_*\big(\eta_X\overline{\eta}_X\,C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\big)\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Y-d_X}\epsilon(d_X)\,\epsilon(d_Y)$$

Here, $\epsilon(d_X)$ and $\epsilon(d_Y)$ appear by the identifications $\Omega^{\bullet}_X[d_X]\otimes\Omega^{\bullet}_{\overline{X}}[d_X]\simeq\big(\Omega^{\bullet}_X\otimes\Omega^{\bullet}_{\overline{X}}\big)[2d_X]$ and $\Omega^{\bullet}_Y[d_Y]\otimes\Omega^{\bullet}_{\overline{Y}}[d_Y]\simeq\big(\Omega^{\bullet}_Y\otimes\Omega^{\bullet}_{\overline{Y}}\big)[2d_Y]$. By definition, we have the following:

$$f_{\dagger}^{(0)}(C)\big((\eta_X/\eta_Y)\,m_1,\ \overline{(\eta_X/\eta_Y)\,m_2}\big)=(\eta_Y\overline{\eta}_Y)^{-1}f_*\big(\eta_X\overline{\eta}_X\,C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\big)\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_X-d_Y}\epsilon(d_X)\,\epsilon(d_Y) \tag{127}$$

Hence, we are done in this case.

Let us consider the case $f: X=Z\times Y\longrightarrow Y$. We have the identification

$$f_{\dagger}M\simeq f_{\dagger}(M\otimes\mathcal{E}^{\bullet}_Z[d_Z])\simeq f_{\dagger}\Big(M\otimes\mathcal{E}^{\bullet}_Z[d_Z]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y}f^{-1}\big(\Omega^{\bullet}_Y[d_Y]\otimes D_Y\otimes\omega_Y^{-1}\big)\Big)$$

Let $m=\dim Z$ and $n=\dim Y$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &f_{\dagger}^{(1)}C\Big(\eta_Y^{-1}\otimes(m_1\cdot\xi^{m-p}\,\eta_Y),\ \overline{\eta_Y^{-1}\otimes(m_2\cdot\xi^{m+p}\eta_Y)}\Big) \\ &\qquad=(\eta_Y\overline{\eta}_Y)^{-1}\int C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\,\xi^{m-p}\eta_Y\,\overline{\xi^{m+p}\eta_Y}\,(-1)^{pd_X}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^m\epsilon(d_X)\,\epsilon(d_Y) \\ &\qquad=(\eta_Y\overline{\eta}_Y)^{-1}\int C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\,\xi^{m-p}\overline{\xi}^{m+p}\eta_Y\overline{\eta}_Y(-1)^{n(m+p)+pd_X}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^m\epsilon(d_X)\,\epsilon(d_Y) \end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

We also have the following:

$$f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C(m_1\,\xi^{m-p},\ \overline{m_2\,\xi^{m+p}})=\int C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\,\xi^{m-p}\overline{\xi}^{m+p}(-1)^{mp}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^m\epsilon(m) \tag{129}$$

It is easy to check that (128) and (129) are equal. Hence, we have $f_{\dagger}^{(1)}C=f_{\dagger}C\epsilon(d_X)\,\epsilon(d_Y)$. ∎

14.1.5 Appendix: A rule for signature

Let A_i $(i = 1, \dots, L)$ be $\mathbb{C}_X$-complexes. For $\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^L$, we set $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{n}] := A_1[n_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes A_L[n_L]$. For an integer M, we put $\mathbb{Z}^L(M) := \bigl\{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^L \bigm| \sum n_i = M\bigr\}$. We shall construct isomorphisms $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{m}} : \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{m}] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{n}]$ for $\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^L(M)$, such that $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{m}} \circ \Phi_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{k}} = \Phi_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{k}}$ for $\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^L(M)$.

For $j = 1, \dots, L-1$, let $\boldsymbol{e}_j$ denote the element of $\mathbb{Z}^L$, such that i-th components are 1 $(i = j)$, -1 $(i = j+1)$, or 0 otherwise. We define $\Psi_{\boldsymbol{e}_j} : \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{n}] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{n} + \boldsymbol{e}_j]$ by

$$\Psi_{\boldsymbol{e}_j}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_L) = (-1)^{\sum_{i \leq j} \deg(a_i)} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_L$$

Here $\deg(a_i)$ denotes the degree of a_i in $A_i[n_i]$. We also define $\Psi_{-\boldsymbol{e}_j} : \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{n}] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{n} - \boldsymbol{e}_j]$ by

$$\Psi_{-\boldsymbol{e}_j}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_L) = (-1)^{\sum_{i \leq j} \deg(a_i)+1} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_L$$

We have $\Psi_{\boldsymbol{e}_j} \circ \Psi_{-\boldsymbol{e}_j} = \Psi_{-\boldsymbol{e}_j} \circ \Psi_{\boldsymbol{e}_j} = \mathrm{id}$. For an integer ℓ, we define $\Psi_{\ell\boldsymbol{e}_j} : \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{n}] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{n} + \ell\boldsymbol{e}_j]$ by $\Psi_{\ell\boldsymbol{e}_j} = \Psi^{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{e}_j}$ $(\ell \geq 0)$ or $\Psi_{\ell\boldsymbol{e}_j} = \Psi^{-\ell}_{-\boldsymbol{e}_j}$ $(\ell < 0)$. We have $\Psi_{\ell\boldsymbol{e}_j} \circ \Psi_{k\boldsymbol{e}_j} = \Psi_{(k+\ell)\boldsymbol{e}_j}$. We have the formula

$$\Psi_{\ell\boldsymbol{e}_j}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_L) = (-1)^{\sum_{i \leq j} \ell \deg(a_i)} \epsilon(\ell) a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_L$$

We can check the commutativity $\Psi_{\ell\boldsymbol{e}_j} \circ \Psi_{k\boldsymbol{e}_m} = \Psi_{k\boldsymbol{e}_m} \circ \Psi_{\ell\boldsymbol{e}_j}$ by a direct computation. Then, for $\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^L$, we set

$$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{m}} = \prod_{j=1}^{L} \Psi_{(n_j - m_j)\boldsymbol{e}_j}$$

In particular, we use the identification $A_1[n] \otimes A_2[m] \simeq A_1[n+m] \otimes A_2$ by

$$a_1 \otimes a_2 \longmapsto (-1)^{m \deg(a_1)} \epsilon(m) a_1 \otimes a_2.$$

Here, $\deg(a_1)$ means the degree of a_1 in $A_1[n]$.

14.2 Localization, twist and specialization of non-degenerate D-triple

14.2.1 Category of non-degenerate D-triples

For a D_X-module M, we have an object $\mathcal{C}_X M := R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M, \mathfrak{Db}_X)$ in the derived category of $D_{\overline{X}}$-complexes. If M is holonomic, we have the natural identification $\mathcal{C}_X M \simeq \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{C}_X M = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, \mathfrak{Db}_X)$, and it is holonomic. (See [44] and [47]. See also [41].)

A D_X-triple (M_1, M_2, C) is called holonomic, if M_i are holonomic. It is called non-degenerate, if moreover the induced morphism $\varphi_{C,1,2} : \overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X(M_1)$ is an isomorphism. The condition is equivalent to that the induced morphism $\varphi_{C,2,1} : M_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2)$ is an isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X) \subset \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)$ denote the full subcategory of non-degenerate D_X-triples (M_1, M_2, C). It is easy to check that $\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$ is an abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)$.

14.2.2 Localization

Let g be a holomorphic function on a complex manifold. Let $\mathcal{T} = (M_1, M_2, C) \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)$ be holonomic. As in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-triples, we have the uniquely induced pairings $C[!g] : M_1[*g] \times \overline{M_2[!g]} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$ and $C[*g] : M_1[!g] \times \overline{M_2[*g]} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$. (See Subsection 3.3.) Thus, we have $\mathcal{T}[!g] = \bigl(M_1[*g], M_2[!g], C[!g]\bigr)$ and $\mathcal{T}[*g] = \bigl(M_1[!g], M_2[*g], C[*g]\bigr)$.

Lemma 14.9 *If $\mathcal{T}$ is non-degenerate, $\mathcal{T}[!g]$ and $\mathcal{T}[*g]$ are also non-degenerate.*

Proof Let N be a holonomic D_X-module such that $N(*g) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2[*g])(*g)$. We have an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_X(N)(*g) \simeq \overline{M}_2(*g)$. Hence, we have a unique morphism $\overline{M}_2[!g] \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X(N)$. It induces $N \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2[!g])$. By this universal property, we obtain that $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2[!g]) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2[!g])[*g]$. Therefore, we obtain that the induced morphism $M_1[*g] \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2[!g])$ is an isomorphism. Namely, $\mathcal{T}[!g]$ is non-degenerate. Similarly, we obtain that $\mathcal{T}[*g]$ is non-degenerate. ∎

Lemma 14.10 *If* $g_1^{-1}(0)=g_2^{-1}(0)=:H$*, we have* $\mathcal{T}[\star g_1]=\mathcal{T}[\star g_2]$.

Proof We have $M[*g_1]=M[*g_2]$ for any holonomic D-module M. Hence, we have $M[!g_1]=M[!g_2]$ for any holonomic D-module. Hence, we have the coincidence of the underlying D_X-modules of $\mathcal{T}[\star g_i]$ $(i=1,2)$. Then, it is easy to deduce the coincidence of the pairings on X from the coincidence on $X\setminus H$. ∎

Let H be a hypersurface of X. By Lemma 14.10, we obtain hermitian pairings:

$$C[*H]:M_1[!H]\times\overline{M_2[*H]}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_X,\qquad C[!H]:M_1[*H]\times\overline{M_2[!H]}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_X.$$

Thus, we obtain $\mathcal{T}[*H]=\big(M_1[!H],M_2[*H],C[*H]\big)$ and $\mathcal{T}[!H]=\big(M_1[*H],M_2[!H],C[!H]\big)$ for holonomic $\mathcal{T}\in\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is non-degenerate, $\mathcal{T}[\star H]$ are also non-degenerate. It is easy to see the following.

Lemma 14.11 *We have* $\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}(\mathcal{T}[*H])=(\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}\mathcal{T})[!H]$ *and* $\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}(\mathcal{T}[!H])=(\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}\mathcal{T})[*H]$. ∎

14.2.3 Tensor with smooth triple

A D_X-module is called smooth, if it is coherent as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, which implies that it is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. A D_X-triple is called smooth, if the underlying D_X-modules are smooth. The values of the hermitian pairing is contained in the sheaf of C^∞-functions on X. Let $\mathcal{T}_i=(\mathcal{M}_{i,1},\mathcal{M}_{i,2},C_i)\in\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)$ $(i=1,2)$. If $\mathcal{T}_2$ is smooth, we naturally have an object $\mathcal{T}_1\otimes\mathcal{T}_2:=\big(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}\otimes\mathcal{M}_{2,1},\mathcal{M}_{2,1}\otimes\mathcal{M}_{2,2},C_1\otimes C_2\big)$ in $\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)$. We clearly have $\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}(\mathcal{T}_1\otimes\mathcal{T}_2)=\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}(\mathcal{T}_1)\otimes\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}(\mathcal{T}_2)$.

Lemma 14.12 *If* $\mathcal{T}_i$ *are non-degenerate,* $\mathcal{T}_1\otimes\mathcal{T}_2$ *is also non-degenerate.*

Proof We have only to consider the case that $\mathcal{T}_2=(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O},C_0)$. Then, the claim is clear. ∎

Let us consider a more general case. Let H be a hypersurface of X. A $D_X(*H)$-module is called smooth, if it is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X(*H)$-module. A smooth $D_X(*H)$-triple is a tuple of smooth $D_X(*H)$-modules V_i with a pairing $C_V:V_1\otimes\overline{V_2}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}_X^{\infty\,{\rm mod}\,H}$. It is called non-degenerate, if $(V_1,V_2,C_V)_{|X\setminus H}$ is non-degenerate.

Let $\mathcal{T}_V=(V_1,V_2,C_V)$ be a non-degenerate smooth $D_X(*H)$-triple. Let $\mathcal{T}=(M_1,M_2,C)\in\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$. We have the induced pairing $C\otimes C_V:(M_1\otimes V_1)\otimes\overline{(M_2\otimes V_2)}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_X^{{\rm mod}\,H}$, where $\mathfrak{Db}_X^{{\rm mod}\,H}$ denotes the sheaf of distributions on X with moderate growth along H. We obtain the hermitian pairings, as in Subsection 14.2.2:

$$(C\otimes C_V)[*H]:(M_1\otimes V_1)[!H]\otimes\overline{(M_2\otimes V_2)[*H]}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_X$$

$$(C\otimes C_V)[!H]:(M_1\otimes V_1)[*H]\otimes\overline{(M_2\otimes V_2)[!H]}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_X$$

Thus, we obtain hermitian pairings:

$$(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}_V)[*H]:=\big(M_1\otimes V_1[!H],M_2\otimes V_2[*H],C\otimes C_V[*H]\big)$$

$$(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}_V)[!H]:=\big(M_1\otimes V_1[*H],M_2\otimes V_2[!H],C\otimes C_V[!H]\big)$$

We clearly have $\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}\big((\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}_V)[!H]\big)=\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}(\mathcal{T}_V)[*H]$ and $\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}\big((\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}_V)[*H]\big)=\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{D}^{\rm herm}(\mathcal{T}_V)[!H]$.

Lemma 14.13 $(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}_V)[\star H]$ $(\star=*,!)$ *are also non-degenerate.*

Proof Let us consider the case $\star=*$. By the previous lemma, the restriction of the induced morphism $M_1\otimes V_1[!H]\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}_{\overline{X}}\big(M_2\otimes V_2[*H]\big)$ to $X\setminus H$ is an isomorphism. Hence, $M_1\otimes V_1[!H]\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}_{\overline{X}}\big(M_2\otimes V_2[*H]\big)$ is an isomorphism. The other case can be shown similarly. ∎

14.2.4 Beilinson's functor for D-triples

Let us consider a meromorphic flat bundle $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b} := \bigoplus_{a\leq j<b} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z}(*z)\, s^j$ on $(\mathbb{C}_z, 0)$ with a connection $z\partial_z s^j = s^{j+1}$. We have the non-degenerate hermitian pairing $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{-b+1,-a+1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}$ with values in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty\ \mathrm{mod}\,0}_{\mathbb{C}_z}$ given as follows:

$$\widetilde{C}(s^i, \overline{s}^j) = \frac{(\log|z|^2)^{-i-j}}{(-i-j)!}\chi_{i+j\leq 0}.$$

Here, $\chi_{i+j\leq 0}$ is 1 if $i+j\leq 0$, or 0 otherwise. For a holomorphic function g on X, we set $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_g := g^*\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}$. For a D_X-module M, we have a $D_X(*g)$-module $\Pi^{a,b}_g M := M\otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}_g$. We set $\Pi^{a,b}_{g\star}M := (\Pi^{a,b}_g M)[\star g]$ for $\star = *, !$.

Let $\mathcal{T} = (M_1, M_2, C)\in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)$. We obtain objects $\Pi^{a,b}_{g!}\mathcal{T} = \bigl(\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g*}M_1, \Pi^{a,b}_{g!}M_2, \Pi^{a,b}_{g!}C\bigr)$ and $\Pi^{a,b}_{g*}\mathcal{T} = \bigl(\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g!}M_1, \Pi^{a,b}_{g*}M_2, \Pi^{a,b}_{g*}C\bigr)$ in $\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)$. As in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-triples, we set

$$\Pi^{a,b}_{g*!}(\mathcal{T}) := \varprojlim_{N\to\infty} \mathrm{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi^{b,N}_{g!}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,N}_{g*}(\mathcal{T})\Bigr) \simeq \varinjlim_{N\to\infty} \mathrm{Ker}\Bigl(\Pi^{-N,b}_{g!}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \Pi^{-N,a}_{g*}(\mathcal{T})\Bigr)$$

If $\mathcal{T}$ is non-degenerate, $\Pi^{a,b}_{g\star}(\mathcal{T})$ $(\star = *, !)$ and $\Pi^{a,b}_{g*!}(\mathcal{T})$ are also non-degenerate.

In particular, we define $\psi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T}) := \Pi^{a,a}_{g*!}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\Xi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T}) := \Pi^{a,a+1}_{g*!}(\mathcal{T})$. As in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-triples, we define $\phi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})$ as the cohomology of the naturally obtained complex:

$$\mathcal{T}[!g] \longrightarrow \Xi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\oplus\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[*g]$$

As in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-triples, we can recover $\mathcal{T}$ as the cohomology of the following complex:

$$\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \Xi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\oplus\phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$$

If $\mathcal{T}$ is non-degenerate, $\psi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$, $\Xi^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ are also non-degenerate. It is easy to see the following.

Lemma 14.14 *We have* $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\circ\Pi^{a,b}_{g*!} = \Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g*!}\circ\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$. *In particular, we have* $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\circ\Xi^{(a)}_g = \Xi^{(-a)}_g\circ\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\circ\psi^{(a)}_g = \psi^{(-a+1)}_g\circ\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$. *We also have* $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\circ\phi^{(0)}_g = \Xi^{(0)}_g\circ\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$. ∎

14.3 De Rham functor

14.3.1 $\mathbb{C}_X$-complex-triple

Let $I^{\bullet}_X$ be a $\mathbb{C}_X$-complex. A $(\mathbb{C}_X, I^{\bullet}_X)$-complex-triple is a tuple $(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}_1, \mathcal{F}^{\bullet}_2, C)$, where $\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}_i$ are $\mathbb{C}_X$-complexes, and $C : \mathcal{F}^{\bullet}_1\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}_2 \longrightarrow I^{\bullet}_X$ is a morphism of $\mathbb{C}_X$-complexes, i.e., $dC(x^i\otimes y^j) = C(dx^i\otimes y^j) + (-1)^i C(x^i\otimes dy^j)$.

Let $I^{\bullet,\bullet}_X$ be a $\mathbb{C}_X$-double-complex. A $(\mathbb{C}_X, I^{\bullet,\bullet}_X)$-double-complex-triple is a tuple $(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet,\bullet}_1, \mathcal{F}^{\bullet,\bullet}_2, C)$, where $\mathcal{F}^{\bullet,\bullet}_i$ are $\mathbb{C}_X$-double complexes, and $C : \mathcal{F}^{\bullet,\bullet}_1\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\bullet,\bullet}_2 \longrightarrow I^{\bullet,\bullet}_X$ be a morphism of double complexes, i.e.,

$$d_1 C(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) = C(d_1 x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + (-1)^{p_1} C(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, d_1 y^{\boldsymbol{q}})$$
$$d_2 C(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) = C(d_2 x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + (-1)^{p_2} C(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, d_2 y^{\boldsymbol{q}})$$

Let $J^{\bullet}_X$ be the total complex of $I^{\bullet,\bullet}_X$. For a $(\mathbb{C}_X, I^{\bullet,\bullet}_X)$-double-complex-triple $(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet,\bullet}_1, \mathcal{F}^{\bullet,\bullet}_2, C)$, we have the associated $(\mathbb{C}_X, J^{\bullet}_X)$-complex-triple, given as follows. The underlying $\mathbb{C}_X$-complexes are the total complexes of $\mathcal{F}^{\bullet,\bullet}_i$. We set $\widetilde{C}(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) := (-1)^{p_2 q_1} C(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}})$. We can check that they give a $(\mathbb{C}_X, I_X)$-triple by a direct computation, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\widetilde{C}(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) &= d_1\widetilde{C}(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + (-1)^{p+q_1} d_2\widetilde{C}(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) = (-1)^{p_2 q_1} dC(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + (-1)^{p_1+p_2+p_2 q_1} dC(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) \\
&= (-1)^{p_2 q_1}\Bigl(C(d_1 x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + (-1)^{p_1} C(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, d_1 y^{\boldsymbol{q}})\Bigr) + (-1)^{p_1+q_1+p_2 q_1}\Bigl(C(d_2 x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + (-1)^{p_2} C(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, d_2 y^{\boldsymbol{q}})\Bigr) \\
&= (-1)^{p_2 q_1} C(d_1 x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + (-1)^{p_1+p_2+(q_1+1)p_2} C(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, d_1 y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{(p_2+1)q_2} C\bigl((-1)^{p_1} d_2 x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}\bigr) + (-1)^{p_1+p_2+p_2 q_1} C(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, (-1)^{q_1} d_2 y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) \\
&= \widetilde{C}(d_1 x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + \widetilde{C}((-1)^{p_1} d_2 x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + (-1)^{p_1+p_2}\Bigl(\widetilde{C}(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, d_1 y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + (-1)^{p_2 q_1} C(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, (-1)^{q_1} d_2 y^{\boldsymbol{q}})\Bigr) \\
&= \widetilde{C}(dx^{\boldsymbol{p}}, y^{\boldsymbol{q}}) + (-1)^{p_1+p_2}\widetilde{C}(x^{\boldsymbol{p}}, dy^{\boldsymbol{q}}) = 0 \qquad (130)
\end{aligned}$$

14.3.2 The de Rham functor for D_X-complex-triple

We put $\omega_X^{top} := \mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2d_X]$. For a D_X-triple (M_1, M_2, C), we define the pairing $\mathrm{DR}(C) : \mathrm{DR}(M_1) \otimes \overline{\mathrm{DR}(M_2)} \longrightarrow \omega_X^{top}$ as follows:

$$\mathrm{DR}(C)(\eta^{d_X+p}\, m_1, \overline{\eta^{d_X+q}\, m_2}) := \eta^{d_X+p}\overline{\eta}^{d_X+q}\, C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)\, \epsilon(d_X)(-1)^{pd_X}$$

(See Subsection 14.1.5.) Thus, we obtain $(\mathbb{C}_X, \omega_X^{top})$-complex-triple.

Let $(M_1^{\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet}, C)$ be a D_X-complex-triple. By the de Rham functor, we have the induced $(\mathbb{C}_X, \omega_X^{top})$-double-complex-triple $\bigl(\mathrm{DR}(M_1^{\bullet}), \mathrm{DR}(M_2^{\bullet}), \mathrm{DR}(C)\bigr)$. Thus, we obtain $(\mathbb{C}_X, \omega_X^{top})$-complex-triple

$$\mathrm{DR}(M_1^{\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet}, C) := \mathrm{Tot}\bigl(\mathrm{DR}(M_1^{\bullet}), \mathrm{DR}(M_2^{\bullet}), \mathrm{DR}(C)\bigr).$$

We have the following compatibility with the Hermitian adjoint.

Lemma 14.15 *Let (M_1, M_2, C) be a D_X-triple. We have $(-1)^{d_X}\overline{\mathrm{DR}(C)} \circ \mathrm{ex} = \mathrm{DR}(C^*)$, where* ex *denotes the exchange map $A^{\bullet} \otimes B^{\bullet} \longrightarrow B^{\bullet} \otimes A^{\bullet}$ given by $a \otimes b \longmapsto (-1)^{\deg(a)\deg(b)} b \otimes a$.*

Proof We have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{DR}(C^*)\bigl(\eta^{d_X+p} m_2, \overline{\eta^{d_X+q} m_1}\bigr) &= \eta^{d_X+p}\overline{\eta}^{d_X+q}\, C^*\bigl(m_2, \overline{m}_1\bigr)\, \epsilon(d_X)\,(-1)^{pd_X} \\ &= \overline{\eta}^{d_X+q}\eta^{d_X+p}\overline{C(m_1, \overline{m}_2)}\epsilon(d_X)\,(-1)^{(p+d_X)(q+d_X)+pd_X} \\ &= \overline{\mathrm{DR}(C)(\eta^{d_X+q} m_1, \overline{\eta^{d_X+p} m_2})}\,(-1)^{qd_X+pd_X+(p+d_X)(q+d_X)} \\ &= \overline{\mathrm{DR}(C)(\eta^{q+d_X}\, m_1, \overline{\eta^{p+d_X}\, m_2})}\,(-1)^{d_X+pq} \end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

Thus, we are done. ∎

14.3.3 The de Rham functor and the push-forward

Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. We have the trace morphism $\mathrm{tr} : f_! \omega_X^{top} \longrightarrow \omega_Y^{top}$, which is given by the natural integral $f_! \mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2d_X] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_Y^{\bullet}[2d_Y]$ multiplied with $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_X-d_Y}$. Let $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, C)$ be a c-soft $(\mathbb{C}_X, \omega_X^{top})$-complex-triple. The following naturally induced pairing is denoted by $f_* C$:

$$f_! \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes f_! \mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow f_! \omega_X^{top} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} \omega_Y^{top}$$

Thus, we obtain a $(\mathbb{C}_Y, \omega_Y^{top})$-complex triple $(f_! \mathcal{F}_1, f_! \mathcal{F}_2, f_* C)$.

Proposition 14.16 *Let $\mathfrak{T} = (M_1^{\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet}, C) \in \mathcal{D}\text{-}Tri(X)$ such that the restriction of f to the support of $\mathfrak{F}$ is proper. We have a natural quasi-isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}_Y\, f_{\dagger}^{(0)}(M_1^{\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet}, C) \simeq f_* \circ \mathrm{DR}_X(M_1^{\bullet}, M_2^{\bullet}, C)$.*

Proof We have the standard quasi-isomorphisms of the underlying complexes. We have only to compare the pairings. We have only to consider the issue for a D_X-triple (M_1, M_2, C). Let us argue the case that f is a closed immersion. Let η_N be a local generator of ω_Y / ω_X. The quasi-isomorphism $f_*\, \mathrm{DR}_X(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{DR}_Y(f_{\dagger} M)$ is given by $\xi \otimes m \longmapsto \eta_N \cdot \xi \otimes (m/\eta_N)$. Let $\ell = d_Y - d_X$. Then, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Bigl\langle \mathrm{DR}\, \iota_{\dagger} C\bigl(\eta_N\, \xi^p \otimes (m_1/\eta_N), \overline{\eta_N\, \xi^q (m_2/\eta_N)}\bigr), \phi \Bigr\rangle \\ &\qquad = \Bigl\langle \iota_{\dagger} C(m_1/\eta_N, \overline{m_2/\eta_N}), \eta_N \overline{\eta}_N \xi^p \overline{\xi}^q\, \phi \Bigr\rangle (-1)^{d_Y(d_Y+1)/2+(p+\ell)d_Y+\ell p} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{-\ell} \\ &\qquad = \Bigl\langle C(m_1, \overline{m}_2), \iota^* \xi^p \overline{\xi}^q \phi \Bigr\rangle (-1)^{d_Y(d_Y+1)/2+(p+\ell)d_Y+p\ell+(d_X-d_Y)(d_X-d_Y+1)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{-\ell} \\ &\qquad = \Bigl\langle \mathrm{DR}(C)(\xi^p\, m_1, \overline{\xi^q\, m_2}), \phi \Bigr\rangle (-1)^{d_X(d_X+1)/2+pd_X+d_Y(d_Y+1)/2+(p+\ell)d_Y+p\ell+(d_Y-d_X)(d_Y-d_X-1)/2} \end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

We have the following modulo 2:

$$d_X(d_X-1)/2+d_X+pd_X+d_Y(d_Y-1)/2+d_Y+(p+\ell)d_Y+p\ell+(d_Y-d_X)(d_Y-d_X-1)/2\equiv 0$$

Hence, we are done in this case.

Let us consider the case $f : X = Z\times Y \longrightarrow Y$. Let $\dim Z = m$ and $\dim Y = n$. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{DR}_Y(f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C)\bigl([m_1\eta^{m-p}]\,\omega^r,\,\overline{[m_2\eta^{m+p}]\,\omega^s}\bigr)\,(-1)^{p(n-r)}\\
&\qquad= f_{\dagger}^{(0)}C\bigl([m_1\eta^{m-p}],\,\overline{[m_2\eta^{m+p}]}\bigr)\cdot\omega^r\overline{\omega}^s(-1)^{n(n+1)/2+nr}(-1)^{p(n-r)}\\
&= \int \eta^{m-p}\overline{\eta}^{m+p}C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\,\omega^r\overline{\omega}^s(-1)^{m(m-1)/2-pm}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^m(-1)^{n(n+1)/2+nr}(-1)^{p(n-r)}\\
&= \int \eta^{m-p}\omega^r\overline{\eta}^{m+p}\overline{\omega}^sC(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\,(-1)^{m(m-1)/2+n(n+1)/2+r(m+p)-pm+nr+p(n-r)}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^m
\end{aligned}\tag{133}$$

We also have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{DR}(C)\bigl(m_1\eta^{m-p}\omega^r,\,\overline{m_2\eta^{m+p}\omega^s}\bigr)=\\
&\qquad\eta^{m-p}\omega^r\overline{\eta^{m+p}\omega^s}C(m_1,\overline{m}_2)(-1)^{(m+n)(m+n+1)/2+(m+n)(r+m-p)}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^m
\end{aligned}\tag{134}$$

It is easy to check that (133) and (134) are equal. Hence, we have $\mathrm{DR}(F_{\dagger}C)=F_*\,\mathrm{DR}(C)$. ▮

14.4 Dual of D-triples

14.4.1 Dual for non-degenerate D-triples

We set $d_X := \dim X$. For a D_X-module M, we have an object $\boldsymbol{D}_XM := R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M, D_X\otimes\omega_X^{-1})[d_X]$ in the derived category $D^b(D_X)$. If M is holonomic, we have a natural identification $\boldsymbol{D}_XM\simeq\mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}_XM$, and it is holonomic. Because

$$\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\,\mathcal{C}_X(M)\simeq\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}\bigl(M,\mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,\bullet}\bigr)[d_X],\quad \mathrm{DR}_X\,\boldsymbol{D}_XM\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M,\mathcal{O}_X)[d_X]$$

we have a natural isomorphism $\nu_M : \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\mathcal{C}_X(M)\simeq\mathrm{DR}_X\,\boldsymbol{D}_XM$ in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$, induced by the canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,\bullet}\simeq\mathcal{O}_X$ in $D^b(D_X)$.

Let $(M_1,M_2,C)\in\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$. We have the induced isomorphism $\varphi_{C,1,2} : \overline{M}_2\simeq\mathcal{C}_X(M_1)$. Hence, we have an isomorphism $\nu_{M_1}\circ\mathrm{DR}\,\varphi_{C,1,2} : \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2)\simeq\mathrm{DR}_X\,\boldsymbol{D}_X(M_1)$. Similarly, we have an induced isomorphism $\nu_{\overline{M}_2}\circ\mathrm{DR}(\varphi_{C,2,1}) : \mathrm{DR}_X(M_1)\simeq\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\,\boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2)$. We will prove the following proposition in Subsection 14.5.

Theorem 14.17 *There uniquely exists a non-degenerate hermitian pairing $\boldsymbol{D}C : \boldsymbol{D}_XM_1\times\boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{X}}\overline{M}_2\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_X$ such that the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DR}_X\,\boldsymbol{D}_XM_1\otimes\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\,\boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{X}}\overline{M}_2 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{DR}(\boldsymbol{D}C)"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \omega_X^{top} \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2)\otimes\mathrm{DR}_X(M_1) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{DR}(C)\circ\mathrm{ex}"] & \omega_X^{top}
\end{tikzcd}\tag{135}$$

Here, the left vertical isomorphism is induced by $\nu_{M_1}\circ\mathrm{DR}_X(\varphi_{C,1,2})$ and $\nu_{\overline{M}_2}\circ\mathrm{DR}_X(\varphi_{C,2,1})$, and ex *denotes the isomorphism $A^{\bullet}\otimes B^{\bullet}\simeq B^{\bullet}\otimes A^{\bullet}$ given by $x\otimes y\longmapsto(-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}y\otimes x$.*

The correspondence $\mathcal{T}=(M_1,M_2,C)\longmapsto\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}:=(\boldsymbol{D}M_1,\boldsymbol{D}M_2,\boldsymbol{D}C)$ gives a contravariant functor on $\mathcal{D}$-Tri$^{nd}(X)$. We have $\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{D}C)=C$ under the natural identifications $\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{D}M_i)=M_i$.

Note that we have only to show the existence of a hermitian pairing $\boldsymbol{D}C$ such that the diagram (135) is commutative. The other claims immediately follow.

Example 14.18 *Let us consider the case that M_i $(i=1,2)$ are smooth D-modules, i.e., the case in which M_i are locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. Let L_i $(i=1,2)$ be the corresponding local systems. Then,* $\mathrm{DR}_X(M_i)\simeq L_i[d_X]$. *The non-degenerate hermitian pairing $C: M_1\times\overline{M}_2\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_X$ corresponds to a non-degenerate hermitian pairing $C_0: L_1\otimes\overline{L}_2\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}_X$. We have the dual $C_0^\vee: L_1^\vee\otimes\overline{L}_2^\vee\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}_X$. The dual $\boldsymbol{D}C$ corresponds to $(-1)^{d_X}C_0^\vee$.* ∎

Remark 14.19 *The dual on $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ is also denoted by $\boldsymbol{D}$, i.e., $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}):=R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\big(\mathcal{F},\omega_X^{top}\big)$ for $\mathcal{F}\in D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$. We have a morphism* $\mathrm{DR}_X(M_1)\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2)\big)$ *in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ induced by* $\mathrm{DR}(C)$. *It is equal to $\nu_{\overline{M}_2}\circ\varphi_{C,2,1}$.*

We have the induced pairing $\mathrm{DR}(C)\circ\mathrm{ex}:\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2)\times\mathrm{DR}_X(M_1)\longrightarrow\omega_X^{top}$. *It induces*

$$(-1)^{d_X}\nu_{M_1}\circ\varphi_{C,1,2}:\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2)\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}_X(M_1).$$

Note the signature. ∎

Appendix Let us recall the dual functor for D-modules, very briefly. See [23], [48], [49] and [51] for more details. Let X be a complex manifold, and let H be a hypersurface.

Let N be a left-$D_{X(*H)}$-bi-module, i.e., it is equipped with mutually commuting two $D_{X(*H)}$-actions ρ_i $(i=1,2)$. The left $D_{X(*H)}$-module by ρ_i is denoted by (N,ρ_i). For a $D_{X(*H)}$-module L, let $\mathcal{H}om_{D_{X(*H)}}(L^\bullet,N^{\rho_1,\rho_2})$ denote the sheaf of $D_{X(*H)}$-homomorphisms $L\longrightarrow(N,\rho_1)$. It is equipped with the $D_{X(*H)}$-action induced by ρ_2. Note that, for a $D_{X(*H)}$-complex L, we have the naturally defined $D_{X(*H)}$-homomorphism

$$L^\bullet\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{D_{X(*H)}}\Big(\mathcal{H}om_{D_{X(*H)}}\big(L^\bullet,N^{\rho_2,\rho_1}\big),N^{\rho_1,\rho_2}\Big)\tag{136}$$

given by $x\longmapsto\big(F\longmapsto(-1)^{|x|\,|F|}F(x)\big)$.

We have the natural two $D_{X(*H)}$-action on $D_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_X^{-1}$. The left multiplication is denoted by ℓ. Let r denote the action induced by the right multiplication. More generally, for a left $D_{X(*H)}$-module N, we have two induced left $D_{X(*H)}$-module structure on $N\otimes D_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_X^{-1}$. One is given by ℓ and the left D-action on N, which is denoted by ℓ. The other is induced by the right multiplication, denoted by r. We have the automorphism of $\Phi_N: N\otimes D_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_X^{-1}$, which exchanges ℓ and r, as in [48].

Let $\mathcal{G}^\bullet$ be a left-$D_{X(*H)}$-injective resolution of $\mathcal{O}_X(*H)[d_X]$. Let M be a coherent $D_{X(*H)}$-module. We define

$$\boldsymbol{D}M:=\mathcal{H}om_{D_{X(*H)}}\Big(M,\big(\mathcal{G}^\bullet\otimes D_{X(*H)}\otimes\omega_X^{-1}\big)^{\ell,r}\Big).$$

The morphism as in (136) with $\Phi_{\mathcal{G}^\bullet}$ induces an isomorphism $M\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\circ\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M)$.

Let us consider the case $H=\emptyset$. For a perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, let $\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{F}):=R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{F},\omega_X^{top})$. If M is holonomic, we have the naturally defined isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}_X\,\boldsymbol{D}_X(M)\simeq\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathbb{C}_X}\,\mathrm{DR}_X(M)$. See [51] for more details on this isomorphism. We can deduce that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DR}_X\,\boldsymbol{D}_X\circ\boldsymbol{D}_X M \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathbb{C}_X}\circ\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathbb{C}_X}\,\mathrm{DR}_X M \\
\mathrm{DR}_X M \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "="] & \mathrm{DR}_X M \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical arrows are induced by $\boldsymbol{D}\circ\boldsymbol{D}\simeq\mathrm{id}$, and the upper horizontal arrow is induced by the exchange of the functors DR and $\boldsymbol{D}$.

14.4.2 Dual of D-complex-triple

Let $\mathcal{T}^\bullet\in\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}(X))$. Assuming Theorem 14.17, we define an object $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}^{nd}(X))$. Its p-th member is given by

$$\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)^p=\big(\boldsymbol{D}M_1^p,\boldsymbol{D}M_2^{-p},(-1)^p\boldsymbol{D}C^{-p}\big).$$

The differential $\widetilde{\delta}^p : \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)^p \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)^{p+1}$ is given by

$$\boldsymbol{D}(M_1^p) \xleftarrow{(-1)^p\boldsymbol{D}(\delta_{-p-1,1})} \boldsymbol{D}(M_1^{p+1}), \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{D}(M_2^{-p}) \xrightarrow{(-1)^{p+1}\boldsymbol{D}(\delta_{-p-1,2})} \boldsymbol{D}(M_2^{-p-1}).$$

Note that the signature is adjusted so that the underlying D-complexes are $\boldsymbol{D}(M_i^\bullet)$.

By the equivalence Ψ_1, we obtain a contravariant auto equivalence $\boldsymbol{D}$ on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri$^{nd}(X)$. We have

$$\boldsymbol{D}(M_1^\bullet, M_2^\bullet, C) = (\boldsymbol{D}M_1^\bullet, \boldsymbol{D}M_2^\bullet, \boldsymbol{D}C),$$

where $(\boldsymbol{D}C)^p = \boldsymbol{D}(C^{-p})$.

14.4.3 Compatibility of the push-forward and the dual

To state the stability for push-forward, we introduce the notion of dual for D-complex-triples. Let $\mathfrak{T} = (M_1^\bullet, M_2^\bullet, C) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$-Tri$(X)$ be such that each $H^p(\mathfrak{T}) \in \mathcal{D}$-Tri$^{nd}(X)$. We say that $\mathfrak{T}$ has a dual $\boldsymbol{D}\mathfrak{T}$, if there exists a pairing $\boldsymbol{D}C : \boldsymbol{D}M_1^\bullet \times \overline{\boldsymbol{D}M_2^\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$ such that the following is commutative in $D_c^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Tot}\mathrm{DR}_X\, \boldsymbol{D}_X M_1^\bullet \otimes \operatorname{Tot}\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\, \boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{X}}\overline{M}_2^\bullet \arrow[r, "\mathrm{DR}(\boldsymbol{D}C)"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \omega_X^{top} \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\operatorname{Tot}\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{M}_2^\bullet) \otimes \operatorname{Tot}\mathrm{DR}_X(M_1^\bullet) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{DR}(C)\circ\mathrm{ex}"] & \omega_X^{top}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the left vertical arrow is induced as in the diagram (135).

We have the following stability of the category of non-degenerate pairing with respect to push-forward, and the compatibility of dual and push-forward, which we will prove in Subsection 14.5.

Theorem 14.20 *Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let $\mathcal{T} = (M_1, M_2, C) \in \mathcal{D}$-Tri$^{nd}(X)$. Assume that the restriction of F to the support of M_i are proper. Then, we have $\boldsymbol{H}^p F_\dagger^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}$-Tri$^{nd}(Y)$, and $F_\dagger^{(0)}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$ gives a dual of $F_\dagger^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$ in the above sense under the natural quasi-isomorphism of the D-complexes. In particular, $\boldsymbol{H}^j F_\dagger^{(0)}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$ is a dual of $\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{H}^{-j}F_\dagger^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$ under the natural isomorphism of the D-modules.*

(See Subsection 14.1 for $F_\dagger^{(0)}$.) As a consequence, we obtain the following for D-triples.

Theorem 14.21 *Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let $\mathcal{T} = (M_1, M_2, C) \in \mathcal{D}$-Tri$^{nd}(X)$. Assume that the restriction of F to the support of $\mathcal{T}$ is proper. Then, $F_\dagger^j\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}$-Tri$^{nd}(Y)$ for any j. Moreover, we have $F_\dagger^j\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}[-j] \simeq \boldsymbol{D}\big(F_\dagger^{-j}\mathcal{T}[j]\big)$, i.e., we have $F_\dagger^{-j}\boldsymbol{D}C = (-1)^j\boldsymbol{D}F_\dagger^jC$ under the natural identifications $F_\dagger^j\boldsymbol{D}M_1 \simeq \boldsymbol{D}F_\dagger^{-j}M_1$ and $F_\dagger^{-j}\boldsymbol{D}M_2 \simeq \boldsymbol{D}F_\dagger^jM_2$.*

Corollary 14.22 *Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}$-Tri$^{nd}(X))$. Assume that the restriction of F to the support of $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ is proper. Then, we have $H^j\big(F_\dagger(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)\big) \in \mathcal{D}$-Tri$^{nd}(Y)$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\Big(H^j\big(F_\dagger(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)\big)[-j]\Big) \simeq H^{-j}\big(F_\dagger\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}^\bullet\big)[j]$.* ▮

14.4.4 Compatibility with other functors

For $\mathcal{T} = (M_1, M_2, C) \in \mathcal{D}$-Tri$(X)$, let $\mathcal{T}^* := (M_2, M_1, C^*)$, where $C^*(m_2, \overline{m_1}) = \overline{C(m_1, \overline{m_2})}$. Once we know Theorem 14.17, it is easy to obtain the compatibility with the Hermitian adjoint and the dual.

Proposition 14.23 *If $\mathcal{T}$ is a non-degenerate holonomic, we have $\boldsymbol{D}(C^*) = (\boldsymbol{D}C)^*$, i.e., $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}^*) \simeq (\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T})^*$.* ▮

Once we know Theorem 14.17, it is easy to obtain the compatibility with the localization in Subsection 14.2.2.

Proposition 14.24 *Let H be a hypersurface of X. For $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(X)$, we have natural isomorphisms*

$$\boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{T}[!H]\big) \simeq \big(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}\big)[*H], \qquad \boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{T}[*H]\big) \simeq \big(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}\big)[!H].$$

Proof Let us show $\boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{T}[!H]\big) \simeq \big(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}\big)[*H]$. We have only to compare the pairings $\boldsymbol{D}\big(C[!H]\big)$ and $\big(\boldsymbol{D}C\big)[*H]$ under the natural identifications $\boldsymbol{D}\big(M_1[*H]\big) \simeq \big(\boldsymbol{D}M_1\big)[!H]$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\big(M_2[!H]\big) \simeq \big(\boldsymbol{D}M_2\big)[*H]$. It is easy to compare their restrictions to $X \setminus H$. We obtain the comparison on X by the uniqueness of the extension. ▮

Let us consider the compatibility with the tensor product with a non-degenerate smooth D-triple in Subsection 14.2.3. Let $\mathcal{T}_V = (V_1, V_2, C)$ be a smooth D_X-triple. If C is non-degenerate, the tuple $\mathcal{T}_V$ is locally isomorphic to a direct sum of the trivial pairing $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}, C_0)$, where $C_0(f, \overline{g}) = f\,\overline{g}$. We put $V_i^{\vee} := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(V_i, \mathcal{O}_X\big)$. If C is non-degenerate, we have the induced pairing $C^{\vee} : V_1^{\vee} \otimes \overline{V_2^{\vee}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$. In this case, for $\mathcal{T} = (V_1, V_2, C)$, the dual $(V_1^{\vee}, V_2^{\vee}, C^{\vee})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{T}_V^{\vee}$. Once we know Theorem 14.17, the following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 14.25 *Let $\mathcal{T}_i \in \mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(X)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Assume that $\mathcal{T}_2$ is smooth. Then, we naturally have*

$$\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_2) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}_1) \otimes \mathcal{T}_2^{\vee}.$$

Proof We have the natural isomorphisms of the underlying holonomic D-modules, which is reviewed in the appendix below. For the comparison of the pairings, we have only to consider the case $\mathcal{T}_2 = (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}, C_0)$, and then it is clear. ▮

Let us consider a more general case. Let H be a hypersurface of X. Let $\mathcal{T}_V = (V_1, V_2, C)$ be a smooth $D_{X(*H)}$-triple. We define the dual $\mathcal{T}_V^{\vee}$ as in the case of smooth D_X-triple. Once we know Theorem 14.17, the following lemma is easy to see.

Lemma 14.26 *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{T}_V$ be a smooth non-degenerate $D_{X(*H)}$-triple. Then, we have natural isomorphisms:*

$$\boldsymbol{D}\big((\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_V)[!t]\big) \simeq \big(\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{T}_V^{\vee}\big)[*t], \qquad \boldsymbol{D}\big((\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_V)[*t]\big) \simeq \big(\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{T}_V^{\vee}\big)[!t].$$

Proof The underlying D-modules of $\boldsymbol{D}\big((\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}_V)[*t]\big)$ and $\big(\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{T}_V^{\vee}\big)[!t]$ are naturally isomorphic. (See the remark below.) For comparison of the pairings, we have only to compare their restrictions to $X \setminus H$, which follows from Lemma 14.25. The other case can be checked similarly. ▮

Remark 14.27 *Let X and H be as above. Let M be a holonomic $D_X(*H)$-module. Let V be a smooth $D_X(*H)$-module. We have the natural isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(*H)} V) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(*H)} V^{\vee}$, given as follows. We have two naturally induced left D-module structure ℓ and r on $V^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (D_{X(*H)} \otimes \omega_X^{-1})$, as explained in the appendix of Subsection 14.4.1. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M \otimes V) &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{D_{X(*H)}}\big(M \otimes V, (D_{X(*H)} \otimes \omega_X^{-1})^{\ell,r}\big)[d_X] \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{D_{X(*H)}}\big(M, (V^{\vee} \otimes D_{X(*H)} \otimes \omega_X^{-1})^{\ell,r}\big)[d_X] \qquad (137)\end{aligned}$$

By $\Phi_{V^{\vee}}$, it is isomorphic to

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{D_{X(*H)}}\big(M, (V^{\vee} \otimes D_{X(*H)} \otimes \omega_X^{-1})^{r,\ell}\big)[d_X] \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{D_{X(*H)}}\big(M, (D_{X(*H)} \otimes \omega_X^{-1})^{r,\ell}\big) \otimes V^{\vee}[d_X].$$

By $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_X(*H)}$, it is isomorphic to $R\mathcal{H}om_{D_{X(*H)}}\big(M, (D_{X(*H)} \otimes \omega_X^{-1})^{\ell,r}\big) \otimes V^{\vee}[d_X]$. We obtain the desired isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M \otimes V) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M) \otimes V^{\vee}$. We can deduce $\boldsymbol{D}_X(M \otimes V) \simeq \big(\boldsymbol{D}_X(M) \otimes V\big)[!H]$ and $\boldsymbol{D}_X\big((M \otimes V)[!H]\big) \simeq \big(\boldsymbol{D}_X(M) \otimes V\big)$. ▮

Let us observe the compatibility of the dual and Beilinson's functors. We have the perfect pairing $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b} \times \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{-b+1,-a+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_z}(*z)$ given as follows:

$$\big\langle f(s), g(s) \big\rangle = \operatorname*{Res}_{s=0}\Big(f(s)g(-s)\frac{ds}{s}\Big)$$

It gives an identification of the dual of $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b}$ with $\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{-b+1,-a+1}$. As in Subsection 2.3, we can check

$$\big(\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{-b-1,-a-1},\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b},\widetilde{C}_{\mathbb{I}}\big)^{\vee}\simeq\big(\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{a,b},\widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^{-b+1,-a+1},\widetilde{C}_{\mathbb{I}}\big).$$

Then, once we know Theorem 14.17, we obtain the compatibility of the dual and Beilinson's functors in Subsection 14.2.4.

Proposition 14.28 *Let $\mathcal{T}\in\mathcal{D}\text{-}Tri^{nd}(X)$.*

- *We have $\boldsymbol{D}\big(\Pi^{a,b}_{g*!}(\mathcal{T})\big)\simeq\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g*!}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T})$.*
- *In particular, $\psi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T})$, $\Xi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})$ are non-degenerate, and we have natural isomorphisms:*

$$\boldsymbol{D}\psi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T})\simeq\psi^{(-a+1)}_g(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}),\quad \boldsymbol{D}\Xi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T})\simeq\Xi^{(-a)}_g(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}),\quad \boldsymbol{D}\phi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\simeq\phi^{(0)}_g(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}).$$

Proof By Lemma 14.26, $\Pi^{a,N}_{g\star}(\mathcal{T})$ are non-degenerate. Hence, we obtain that $\Pi^{a,b}_{g*!}\mathcal{T}$ are non-degenerate. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi^{-N+1,-b+1}_{g!}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \Pi^{-N+1,-a+1}_{g*}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g*!}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}) \arrow[d] \\
\boldsymbol{D}\Pi^{b,N}_{g*}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r] & \boldsymbol{D}\Pi^{a,N}_{g!}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r] & \boldsymbol{D}\Pi^{a,b}_{g*!}(\mathcal{T})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, we obtain $\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g*!}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T})\simeq\boldsymbol{D}\Pi^{a,b}_{g*!}(\mathcal{T})$. ▮

14.4.5 Push-forward and dual of D-modules (Appendix)

We recall some details on the compatibility of the push-forward and the dual. (See [23] and [51].) We give some isomorphisms directly without using the projection formula, just for our understanding.

Preliminary Let Y be a complex manifold. We have two natural left D_Y-actions ℓ and r on $D_Y\otimes\omega_Y^{-1}$, as in the appendix of Subsection 14.4.1. We have a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear morphism $\Psi:D_Y\otimes\omega_Y^{-1}\longrightarrow D_Y\otimes\omega_Y^{-1}$ determined by $\Psi\circ r=\ell\circ\Psi$ and $\Psi\circ\ell=r\circ\Psi$.

We set $\mathcal{M}_Y:=(D_Y\otimes\omega_Y^{-1})({}^{\ell}\!\otimes^{\ell}_{\mathcal{O}_Y})(D_Y\otimes\omega_Y^{-1})$, where we use the $\mathcal{O}$-action induced by ℓ for the tensor product. We have the natural left D_Y-action $\ell\otimes\ell$: For $v\in\Theta_Y$, we have $(\ell\otimes\ell)(v)(P_1\otimes P_2)=vP_1\otimes P_2+P_1\otimes vP_2$. We also have the left D_Y-actions r_i $(i=1,2)$: For $Q\in D_Y$, we have $r_1(Q)(P_1\otimes P_2)=\big(r(Q)(P_1)\big)\otimes P_2$ and $r_2(Q)(P_1\otimes P_2)=P_1\otimes\big(r(Q)(P_2)\big)$

We have a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $F:\mathcal{M}_Y\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_Y$ determined by the conditions $r_2\circ F=F\circ r_2$ and $(\ell\otimes\ell)\circ F=F\circ r_1$. We have the formula $F(m_1\otimes m_2)=(\ell\otimes\ell)\big(\Psi(m_1)\big)(1\otimes m_2)$.

Lemma 14.29 *We have $r_1\circ F=F\circ(\ell\otimes\ell)$.*

Proof We have only to show the formula for the action of $v\in\Theta_Y$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(vm_1\otimes m_2+m_1\otimes vm_2)&=(\ell\otimes\ell)\big(\Psi(vm_1)\big)(1\otimes m_2)+(\ell\otimes\ell)\big(\Psi(m_1)\big)(1\otimes vm_2)\\
&=(\ell\otimes\ell)\big(\Psi(m_1)\cdot(-v)\big)(1\otimes m_2)+(\ell\otimes\ell)\big(\Psi(m_1)\big)(1\otimes vm_2)\\
&=(\ell\otimes\ell)\big(\Psi(m_1)\big)(-v\otimes m_2-1\otimes vm_2)+(\ell\otimes\ell)\big(\Psi(m_1)\big)(1\otimes vm_2)\\
&=(\ell\otimes\ell)\big(\Psi(m_1)\big)\circ r_1(v)(1\otimes m_2)=r_1(v)\circ F(m_1\otimes m_2). \qquad (138)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we are done. ▮

We set $\mathcal{M}_{1,Y}:=(D_Y\otimes\omega_Y^{-1})({}^{r}\!\otimes^{\ell}_{\mathcal{O}_Y})(D_Y\otimes\omega_Y^{-1})$. For the tensor product, the $\mathcal{O}_Y$-action on the first factor is induced by r, and that on the second factor is induced by ℓ. We have the natural left actions $r\otimes\ell$, ℓ_1 and r_2: For $v\in\Theta_Y$, we have $(r\otimes\ell)(v)(m_1\otimes m_2)=\big(r_1(v)m_1\big)\otimes m_2+m_1\otimes v\,m_2$, $\ell_1(v)(m_1\otimes m_2)=vm_1\otimes m_2$ and $r_2(v)(m_1\otimes m_2)=m_1\otimes\big(r(v)(m_2)\big)$. We have a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $G:\mathcal{M}_Y\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_{1,Y}$ determined by the conditions $G\circ r_2=r_2\circ G$ and $G\circ(\ell\otimes\ell)=\ell_1\circ G$.

Corollary 14.30 *We have* $G \circ r_1 = (r \otimes \ell) \circ G$. ∎

Remark 14.31 *Let* G *be the morphism in Corollary* 14.30. *We take "*$\omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y}$*" by using* r_2. *Then,* G *induces the identity* $D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1} \longrightarrow D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}$. ∎

A morphism Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. We have two natural left $f^{-1}(D_Y)$-actions on

$$D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \bigl(\mathcal{O}_X[d_X] \otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})\bigr).$$

One is induced by that on $D_{Y\leftarrow X}$, denoted by κ_1. The other is induced by that on $f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})$, denoted by κ_2. We have two natural left $f^{-1}(D_Y)$-actions on

$$\bigl(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \mathcal{O}_X[d_X]\bigr) \otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}).$$

One is induced by those on $D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \mathcal{O}_X[d_X]$ and $f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})$ denoted by κ'_1, and the other is induced by that on $f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})$, denoted by κ'_2.

Lemma 14.32 *We have a natural* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear isomorphism*

$$F : D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \bigl(\mathcal{O}_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})\bigr) \simeq \bigl(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \mathcal{O}_X[d_X]\bigr) \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})$$

such that $F \circ \kappa_i = \kappa'_i \circ F$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

Proof We have $D_{Y\leftarrow X} = \omega_X \otimes f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}) \simeq \bigl(\Omega^\bullet_X[d_X] \otimes D_X\bigr) \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})$. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \bigl(\mathcal{O}_X[d_X] \otimes^\ell_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})\bigr) \\
&\qquad \simeq \Bigl(\Omega^\bullet_X[d_X] \otimes D_X \otimes^\ell_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})\Bigr) \otimes_{D_X} \Bigl(\mathcal{O}_X[d_X] \otimes^\ell_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})\Bigr) \\
&\qquad\qquad \simeq \Omega^\bullet_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_Y\bigr)[d_X]
\end{aligned} \tag{139}$$

Let us look at the last term in (139). The first tensor product is taken for the $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$-action, induced by the D_Y-action $\ell \otimes \ell$-action on $\mathcal{M}_Y$. We also have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bigl(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \mathcal{O}_X[d_X]\bigr) \otimes^\ell_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}) \\
&\qquad \simeq \Bigl(\bigl(\Omega^\bullet_X[d_X] \otimes D_X \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})\bigr) \otimes_{D_X} \mathcal{O}_X[d_X]\Bigr) \otimes^\ell_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}\bigl(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}\bigr) \\
&\qquad\qquad \simeq \Omega^\bullet_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}\Bigl(\mathcal{M}_{1,Y}\Bigr)[d_X]
\end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

Here, the first tensor product is taken for the $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$-action induced by the D_Y-action ℓ_1-action on $\mathcal{M}_{1,Y}$. Then, we obtain Lemma 14.32 from Corollary 14.30. ∎

Compatibility of the push-forward and the dual Recall that we have the trace morphism $f_\dagger(\mathcal{O}_X[d_X]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y[d_Y]$, induced by the trace $f_!\mathfrak{Db}^\bullet_X[2d_X] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}^\bullet_Y[2d_Y]$. Indeed,

$$f_\dagger\bigl(\mathcal{O}_X[d_X]\bigr) \longrightarrow f_!\bigl(\mathfrak{Db}^\bullet_X[2d_X]\bigr) \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}^\bullet_Y[2d_Y] \otimes D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y[d_Y] \tag{141}$$

From Lemma 14.32 and (141), we obtain the following trace morphism

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_*\bigl(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} (\mathcal{O}_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}))\bigr) \simeq f_*\Bigl((D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \mathcal{O}_X[d_X])\Bigr) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} (D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}) \\
&\qquad\qquad \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y[d_Y] \otimes D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1} = D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}[d_Y]
\end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

By using this trace morphism (142), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f_\dagger \circ \boldsymbol{D} M &\simeq f_*\Bigl(R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\bigl(M,\, \mathcal{O}_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})\bigr)\Bigr) \\
&\longrightarrow f_*\Bigl(R\mathcal{H}om_{f^{-1}(D_Y)}\Bigl(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} M,\, D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \bigl(\mathcal{O}_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})\bigr)\Bigr)\Bigr) \\
&\qquad \longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\bigl(f_\dagger M,\, f_*(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} (\mathcal{O}_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})))\bigr) \\
&\qquad\qquad \longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\bigl(f_\dagger M,\, D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}[d_Y]\bigr) \simeq \boldsymbol{D} f_\dagger M
\end{aligned} \tag{143}$$

The composite is an isomorphism, if M is good. See [23] for example.

Remark 14.33 *We obtain the following morphism by taking "$\omega \otimes^L_{D_Y}$" with the D_Y-action r_2:*

$$\omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} \Bigl(f_*\bigl(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \mathcal{O}_X[d_X]\bigr) \otimes D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}\Bigr) \longrightarrow \omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} \bigl(\mathcal{O}_Y[d_Y] \otimes D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}\bigr) = \mathcal{O}_Y[d_Y]$$

It is the same as the trace morphism $f_\dagger \mathcal{O}_X[d_X] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y[d_Y]$. ■

Compatibility of the de Rham functor, the push-forward and the dual Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. For simplicity, we assume that f is proper. We have the following diagram of the functors from the category of holonomic D_X-modules to derived category of the cohomologically constructible complexes on Y:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DR}_Y \circ f_\dagger \circ \boldsymbol{D} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \mathrm{DR}_Y \circ \boldsymbol{D} \circ f_\dagger \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
f_* \circ \boldsymbol{D} \circ \mathrm{DR}_X \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \boldsymbol{D} \circ f_* \circ \mathrm{DR}_X
\end{tikzcd} \tag{144}$$

Proposition 14.34 *The diagram* (144) *is commutative.*

Proof Let $N = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1})[d_X]$. We have

$$\mathrm{DR}_Y\, f_\dagger \boldsymbol{D} M = \omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} f_* R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M, N)$$

The morphism $\mathrm{DR}_Y\, f_\dagger \boldsymbol{D} M \longrightarrow \mathrm{DR}_Y\, \boldsymbol{D} f_\dagger M \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D} f_\dagger\, \mathrm{DR}_X\, M$ is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} f_* R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M, N) \arrow[r] & \omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}(f_\dagger M, f_\dagger N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_Y}(\mathrm{DR}_Y\, f_\dagger M, \mathrm{DR}_Y\, f_\dagger N) \arrow[d] \\
 & \omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}(f_\dagger M, D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}[d_Y]) \arrow[r] & R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_Y}(\mathrm{DR}_Y\, f_\dagger M, \mathrm{DR}_Y\, \mathcal{O}_Y[d_Y]) \arrow[d] \\
 & & R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_Y}(f_*\, \mathrm{DR}_X\, M, \mathrm{DR}_Y\, \mathcal{O}_Y[d_Y])
\end{tikzcd}$$

The morphism $\mathrm{DR}_Y\, f_\dagger \boldsymbol{D} M \longrightarrow f_* \boldsymbol{D}\, \mathrm{DR}_X\, M \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D} f_*\, \mathrm{DR}_X\, M$ is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} f_* \mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M, N) \arrow[r] & \omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathrm{DR}\, M, \mathrm{DR}\, N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_Y}(f_*\, \mathrm{DR}\, M, f_*\, \mathrm{DR}\, N) \arrow[d] \\
 & f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathrm{DR}\, M, f^{-1}\omega_Y \otimes^L_{f^{-1}D_Y} \mathrm{DR}\, N) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_Y}(f_*\, \mathrm{DR}\, M, \omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} f_*\, \mathrm{DR}\, N) \arrow[d] \\
 & & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_Y}(f_*\, \mathrm{DR}\, M, \mathrm{DR}_Y\, \mathcal{O}_Y[d_Y])
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then, by using Remark 14.33, we obtain the claim of the lemma. ■

Corollary 14.35 *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(X)$. The following diagram of natural isomorphisms is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DR}_Y \circ H^j f_\dagger \circ \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{DR}_Y \, \boldsymbol{D} \circ H^{-j} f_\dagger(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[d] \\
H^j f_* \circ \boldsymbol{D} \circ \mathrm{DR}_X(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r] & \boldsymbol{D} H^{-j} f_* \circ \mathrm{DR}_X(\mathcal{T})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the cohomology for $\mathbb{C}$-complexes are taken with respect to the middle perversity.

Proof We have only to check it for the underlying D-complexes and $\mathbb{C}$-complexes, which have already been done in Proposition 14.34. ∎

14.5 Proof of Theorem 14.17 and Theorem 14.20

14.5.1 Preliminary

We recall the following lemma, which will be used implicitly.

Lemma 14.36 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras on X. Let $\mathcal{L}_1$ be an $\mathcal{A}$-module, and let $\mathcal{L}_2$ be an $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})$-injective module. Then, $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)$ is $\mathcal{B}$-injective.*

Proof Let $J_1 \longrightarrow J_2$ be a monomorphism of $\mathcal{B}$-modules. Let $J_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)$ be a $\mathcal{B}$-morphism. Note that $J_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}_1 \longrightarrow J_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}_1$ is injective. Hence, we have a morphism $J_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ whose restriction to $J_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1$ is equal to the given morphism. It means we obtain $J_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{f^{-1}(D_Y)}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)$ whose restriction to J_1 is equal to the given morphism. ∎

14.5.2 Push forward and the functor $\mathcal{C}_X$

Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. Let M be a holonomic D_X-module such that the restriction of F to $\operatorname{Supp} M$ is proper. We have the natural morphism $F_\dagger \mathcal{C}_X(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_Y F_\dagger(M)$ given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
RF_! R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\Bigl(M,\, D_{\overline{Y} \leftarrow \overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\Bigr) \longrightarrow RF_! R\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}D_Y}\Bigl(D_{Y \leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} M,\, D_{Y \leftarrow X, \overline{Y} \leftarrow \overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\Bigr) \\
\longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\Bigl(F_\dagger M,\, RF_!\bigl(D_{Y \leftarrow X, \overline{Y} \leftarrow \overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\bigr)\Bigr) \longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\bigl(F_\dagger M, \mathfrak{Db}_Y\bigr) \quad (145)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the trace map (124) in the last map. Recall the compatibility of push-forward and de Rham functor $\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}} \circ F_\dagger \simeq F_! \circ \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}$ given as follows:

$$\omega_Y \otimes^L_{D_Y} F_!(D_{Y \leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} M) \simeq F_!\bigl(F^{-1}(\omega_Y) \otimes^L_{F^{-1}(D_Y)} D_{Y \leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} M\bigr) \simeq F_!\bigl(\omega_X \otimes^L_{D_X} M\bigr)$$

Hence, we have the induced morphism

$$F_! \, \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}} \mathcal{C}_X M \simeq \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}} \, F_\dagger \mathcal{C}_X M \longrightarrow \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}} \mathcal{C}_Y F_\dagger M. \qquad (146)$$

We also have the following isomorphism:

$$F_! \, \mathrm{DR}_X \, \boldsymbol{D}_X M \simeq \mathrm{DR}_Y \, F_\dagger \boldsymbol{D}_X M \longrightarrow \mathrm{DR}_Y \, \boldsymbol{D}_Y F_\dagger M. \qquad (147)$$

(See [23] for the compatibility $\boldsymbol{D}_X \circ \mathrm{DR}_X \simeq \mathrm{DR}_X \circ \boldsymbol{D}_X$.) We shall show the following lemma.

Lemma 14.37 *The following diagram in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_Y)$ is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_! \, \mathrm{DR}_X \, \boldsymbol{D}_X M_1 \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & F_! \, \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}} \mathcal{C}_X M_1 \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{DR}_Y \, \boldsymbol{D}_Y F_\dagger M_1 \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}} \mathcal{C}_Y F_\dagger M_1
\end{tikzcd} \qquad (148)$$

The vertical arrows are given by (146) *and* (147). *In particular, the morphism $F_\dagger \mathcal{C}_X(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_Y F_\dagger(M)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof We consider objects $C_1^X := \mathcal{O}_X[d_X]$ and $C_2^X := \omega_{\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}$ in $D^b(D_X)$. We have expressions

$$\mathrm{DR}_X\, \boldsymbol{D}_X(M) = R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}\big(M,\, C_1^X\big), \qquad \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\mathcal{C}_X(M) = R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}\big(M,\, C_2^X\big).$$

We have the natural isomorphism $\eta_X : C_1^X \simeq C_2^X$ in $D^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ which induces the isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}_X\, \boldsymbol{D}_X(M) \simeq \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\mathcal{C}_X(M)$. We have the trace morphisms $\mathrm{tr} : F_\dagger C_i^X \longrightarrow C_i^Y$ in $D^b(D_Y)$, and the following diagram is commutative in $D^b(D_Y)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_\dagger C_1^X \arrow[r, "F_\dagger \eta_X"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{tr}"'] & F_\dagger C_2^X \arrow[d, "\mathrm{tr}"'] \\ C_1^Y \arrow[r, "\eta_Y"] & C_2^Y \end{tikzcd} \tag{149}$$

Lemma 14.38 *The morphisms $F_\dagger\mathcal{C}_X(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_Y F_\dagger(M)$ and $F_\dagger \boldsymbol{D}_X(M) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_Y F_\dagger(M)$ are given as the composition of the following natural morphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} F_! R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\big(M, C_i^X\big) &\longrightarrow F_! R\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}(D_Y)}\big(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} M,\, D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} C_i^X\big) \\ &\longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\big(F_\dagger(M),\, F_\dagger(C_i^X)\big) \longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\big(F_\dagger(M),\, C_i^Y\big) \end{aligned} \tag{150}$$

Proof Let us consider the claim for $\mathcal{C}$. The claim for $\boldsymbol{D}$ can be argued similarly. Let $\mathcal{I}_1^\bullet$ be a $D_X \otimes F^{-1}(D_{\overline{Y}})$-injective resolution of $D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X$ obtained by the Godement construction. Then, $F_!\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\big(M, \mathcal{I}_1^\bullet\big)$ represents $F_! R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M, D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X)$.

We remark that $\omega_{\overline{Y}}$ is represented by $\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}} := \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{Y}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}}} D_{\overline{Y}}[d_Y]$, and $\Omega^j_{\overline{Y}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}}} D_{\overline{Y}}$ are right locally $D_{\overline{Y}}$-free modules. Then, $F^{-1}(\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}}) \otimes_{F^{-1}D_{\overline{Y}}} \mathcal{I}_1^\bullet$ is a D_X-injective resolution of $F^{-1}\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}} \otimes_{F^{-1}D_{\overline{Y}}} D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X \simeq \omega_{\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X$. Hence, the isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, F_\dagger \mathcal{C}_X M \simeq F_!\, \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\mathcal{C}_X M$ is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}} \otimes_{D_{\overline{Y}}} F_! R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\big(M,\, D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\big) &\simeq F_! R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\big(M,\, F^{-1}\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}} \otimes_{F^{-1}D_{\overline{Y}}} D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\big) \\ &\simeq F_! R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\big(M, \omega_{\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\big) \end{aligned} \tag{151}$$

The morphism $\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, F_\dagger\mathcal{C}_X(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\mathcal{C}_Y F_\dagger(M)$ is the composition of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}} \otimes_{D_{\overline{Y}}} F_! R\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\big(M,\, D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\big) \longrightarrow \\ &\qquad \omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}} \otimes_{D_{\overline{Y}}} F_! R\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}D_Y}\big(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} M,\, D_{Y\leftarrow X,\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\big) \longrightarrow \\ &\qquad \omega_{\overline{Y}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{Y}}} R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\Big(F_*(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} M),\, F_!\big(D_{Y\leftarrow X,\overline{Y}\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}\big)\Big) \longrightarrow \\ &\qquad\qquad \omega_{\overline{Y}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{Y}}} R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\big(F_\dagger M,\, \mathfrak{Db}_Y\big) \end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

Let A_i denote the i-th term in (150). Let B_i denote the i-th term in (152). For each $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have a natural morphism $B_i \longrightarrow A_i$ given as in (151). We also have a natural isomorphism $B_4 \simeq A_4$. We have only to show the commutativity of the following diagrams for $i = 1, 2, 3$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} B_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & B_{i+1} \arrow[d] \\ A_i \arrow[r] & A_{i+1} \end{tikzcd} \tag{153}$$

Let us consider the diagram (153) with $i = 1, 2$. Let $\mathcal{I}_1^\bullet$ and $\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}}$ be as above. We take a resolution $D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}$ of $D_{Y\leftarrow X}$, which is D_X-flat and c-soft with respect to F. We take a $F^{-1}(D_{Y,\overline{Y}})$-injective resolution $\mathcal{I}_2^\bullet$ of $D_{Y\leftarrow X,\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X$ (see the proof of Lemma 14.39, for example), and a morphism $D^f_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{D_X} \mathcal{I}_1^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_2^\bullet$, which represents the natural isomorphism

$$D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} \big(D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}\big) \longrightarrow D_{Y\leftarrow X,\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X.$$

Note that $F^{-1}(\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}})\otimes_{F^{-1}D_{\overline{Y}}}\mathcal{I}_2^{\bullet}$ is an $F^{-1}(D_Y)$-injective resolution of $D_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^L_{D_X}\bigl(\omega_{\overline{X}}\otimes^L_{D_{\overline{X}}}\mathfrak{Db}_X\bigr)$. Hence, the diagram (153) with $i=1$ is represented by the following diagram, which is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}}\otimes_{D_{\overline{Y}}}F_!\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\bigl(M,\mathcal{I}_1^{\bullet}\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}}\otimes_{D_{\overline{Y}}}F_!\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}(D_Y)}\bigl(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes M,\mathcal{I}_2^{\bullet}\bigr) \arrow[d] \\
F_!\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\bigl(M,F^{-1}(\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}})\otimes_{F^{-1}D_{\overline{Y}}}\mathcal{I}_1^{\bullet}\bigr) \arrow[r] & F_!\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}D_Y}\bigl(D^{\sim}_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes_{D_X}M,F^{-1}(\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}})\otimes_{F^{-1}D_{\overline{Y}}}\mathcal{I}_2^{\bullet}\bigr)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The diagram (153) with $i=2$ is expressed by the following diagram, which is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}}\otimes_{D_{\overline{Y}}}F_!\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}(D_Y)}\bigl(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes M,\mathcal{I}_2^{\bullet}\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}}\otimes_{D_{\overline{Y}}}\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\bigl(F_{\dagger}(M),F_!\mathcal{I}_2^{\bullet}\bigr) \arrow[d] \\
F_!\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}D_Y}\bigl(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes_{D_X}M,F^{-1}(\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}})\otimes_{F^{-1}D_{\overline{Y}}}\mathcal{I}_2^{\bullet}\bigr) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\Bigl(F_{\dagger}M,F_!\bigl(F^{-1}(\omega^{\sim}_{\overline{Y}})\otimes_{F^{-1}D_{\overline{Y}}}\mathcal{I}_2^{\bullet}\bigr)\Bigr)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The diagram (153) with $i=3$ is commutative because of the construction of the trace morphism. Thus, the proof of Lemma 14.38 is finished. ∎

Now, Lemma 14.37 follows from Lemma 14.38 and the commutativity of (149). ∎

14.5.3 Pairing on the push-forward

Let $(M_1,M_2,C)\in\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$. Let $F:X\longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism such that the restriction of F to $\operatorname{Supp}M_i$ are proper. We have $F_{\dagger}\varphi_{C,1,2}:F_{\dagger}\overline{M}_2\longrightarrow F_{\dagger}\mathcal{C}_XM_1$, and the morphism $b:F_{\dagger}\mathcal{C}_XM_1\simeq\mathcal{C}_YF_{\dagger}M_1$ in Subsection 14.5.2. We also have $\varphi_{F^{(1)}_{\dagger}C,1,2}:F_{\dagger}\overline{M}_2\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}_YF_{\dagger}M_1$.

Lemma 14.39 *We have* $b\circ F_{\dagger}\varphi_{C,1,2}=\varphi_{F^{(1)}_{\dagger}C,1,2}$.

Proof We set $D^f_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}}:=F^{-1}(D_{\overline{Y}}\otimes\omega^{-1}_{\overline{Y}})\otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}}}\mathcal{E}^{\bullet}_{\overline{X}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}}D_{\overline{X}}[d_X]$. We use the notation $D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}$ in a similar meaning. It naturally gives a resolution of $D_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}}$, which is $D_{\overline{X}}$-flat and c-soft with respect to F. Then, $\varphi_{F^{(1)}_{\dagger}C,1,2}$ is represented as the composition of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_!\bigl(D^f_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}}\otimes_{D_{\overline{X}}}\overline{M}_2\bigr)&\longrightarrow F_!\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}D_Y}\bigl(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes_{D_X}M_1,F^{-1}(D_{Y,\overline{Y}}\otimes\omega^{-1}_{Y,\overline{Y}})\otimes_{F^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,\overline{Y}})}\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_X\bigr)[2d_X]\\
&\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\Bigl(F_*\bigl(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes_{D_X}M_1\bigr),D_{Y,\overline{Y}}\otimes\omega^{-1}_{Y,\overline{Y}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,\overline{Y}}}F_!\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_X\Bigr)[2d_X]\\
&\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\Bigl(F_*\bigl(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes_{D_X}M_1\bigr),\mathfrak{Db}_Y\Bigr)
\end{aligned}\tag{154}$$

We take an $F^{-1}(D_{Y,\overline{Y}})$-injective resolution $\mathcal{J}_1^{\bullet}$ of $F^{-1}(D_{Y,\overline{Y}}\otimes\omega^{-1}_{Y,\overline{Y}})\otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{Y,\overline{Y}}}\mathfrak{Db}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X]$ which is naturally isomorphic to $D_{Y\leftarrow X,\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}}\otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}}\mathfrak{Db}_X$ in $D^b(F^{-1}(D_{Y,\overline{Y}}))$. We take a $D_{Y,\overline{Y}}$-injective resolution $\mathcal{J}_2^{\bullet}$ of $\mathfrak{Db}_Y$, and a morphism $F_!\mathcal{J}_1^{\bullet}\longrightarrow\mathcal{J}_2^{\bullet}$ such that the following diagram in $D^b(D_{Y,\overline{Y}})$ is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_!\Bigl(F^{-1}(D_{Y,\overline{Y}}\otimes\omega_{Y,\overline{Y}})\otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{Y,\overline{Y}}}\mathfrak{Db}_X\Bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{Db}_Y \arrow[d] \\
F_!\mathcal{J}_1^{\bullet} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{J}_2^{\bullet}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then, $\varphi_{F^{(1)}_{\dagger}C,1,2}$ is represented by the composition of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_!\bigl(D^f_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}}\otimes_{D_{\overline{X}}}\overline{M}_2\bigr)&\longrightarrow F_!\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}(D_Y)}\Bigl(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes_{D_X}M_1,\mathcal{J}_1^{\bullet}\Bigr)\\
&\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\Bigl(F_!\bigl(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes_{D_X}M_1\bigr),\,F_!\mathcal{J}_1^{\bullet}\Bigr)\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\Bigl(F_!\bigl(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes_{D_X}M_1\bigr),\,\mathcal{J}_2^{\bullet}\Bigr)
\end{aligned}\tag{155}$$

Hence, we obtain the following factorization of $\varphi_{F_\dagger^{(1)}C,1,2}$:

$$F_\dagger \overline{M}_2 \xrightarrow{A} F_! R\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}(D_Y)}\big(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} M_1,\, D_{Y\leftarrow X,\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\big) \\ \xrightarrow{A_1} R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\Big(F_\dagger M_1,\, F_\dagger\big(D_{Y\leftarrow X,\overline{Y},\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\big)\Big) \xrightarrow{A_2} R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Y}\big(F_\dagger M_1, \mathfrak{Db}_Y\big) \quad (156)$$

Let us look at the morphism A. It is obtained as the push-forward of the composition A' of the following morphisms:

$$D^f_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes_{D_{\overline{Y}}} \overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}(D_Y)}\Big(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{D_X} M_1,\, F^{-1}\big(D_{Y,\overline{Y}} \otimes \omega_{Y,\overline{Y}}\big) \otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{Y,\overline{Y}}} \mathfrak{Db}^\bullet_X\Big)[2d_X] \\ \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}(D_Y)}\big(D^f_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{D_X} M_1, \mathcal{J}_1^\bullet\big) \quad (157)$$

We set $D^g_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} := F^{-1}(D_{\overline{Y}} \otimes \omega_{\overline{Y}}^{-1}) \otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}}} \Omega^\bullet_{\overline{X}} \otimes D_{\overline{X}}[d_X]$ and $D^g_{Y\leftarrow X} := F^{-1}(D_Y \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}) \otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^\bullet_X \otimes D_X[d_X]$. We have $\big(D^g_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D^g_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}}\big) \otimes_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X = F^{-1}(D_{Y,\overline{Y}} \otimes \omega^{-1}_{Y,\overline{Y}}) \otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{Y,\overline{Y}}} \mathfrak{Db}^\bullet_X[2d_X]$. In $D^b(F^{-1}D_{\overline{Y}})$, the morphism A' is represented by the composition A'' of the following morphisms:

$$D^g_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes_{D_{\overline{Y}}} \overline{M}_2 \xrightarrow{B_0} \mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}(D_Y)}\Big(D^g_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{D_X} M_1,\, F^{-1}\big(D_{Y,\overline{Y}} \otimes \omega_{Y,\overline{Y}}\big) \otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{Y,\overline{Y}}} \mathfrak{Db}^\bullet_X\Big)[2d_X] \\ \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}(D_Y)}\big(D^g_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{D_X} M_1, \mathcal{J}_1^\bullet\big) \quad (158)$$

We take a $D_X \otimes F^{-1}(D_{\overline{Y}})$-injective resolution $\mathcal{J}_3^\bullet$ of $D^g_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X$. We have a morphism $D^g_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{D_X} \mathcal{J}_3^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_1^\bullet$, which represents the natural isomorphism

$$D^g_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{D_X} \big(D^g_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes_{D_{\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}\big) \simeq F^{-1}(D_{Y,\overline{Y}} \otimes \omega^{-1}_{Y,\overline{Y}}) \otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{Y,\overline{Y}}} \mathfrak{Db}^\bullet_X[2d_X].$$

The composition of the following morphisms is denoted by B_1:

$$D^g_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes_{D_{\overline{X}}} \overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\big(M_1, D^g_{\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes \mathfrak{Db}_X\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M_1, \mathcal{J}_3^\bullet)$$

Here, the first one is induced by $\varphi_{C,1,2} : \overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M_1, \mathfrak{Db}_X)$. The composite of the following morphisms is denoted by B_2:

$$\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M_1, \mathcal{J}_3^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}D_Y}\big(D^g_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{D_X} M_1,\, D^g_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{D_X} \mathcal{J}_3^\bullet\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}D_Y}\big(D^g_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes_{D_X} M_1,\, \mathcal{J}_1^\bullet\big)$$

The composite $B_2 \circ B_1$ factors through B_0. Hence, we have $B_2 \circ B_1 = A''$ in $D^b(F^{-1}D_Y)$. It means that the morphism A is factorized into

$$F_\dagger \overline{M}_2 \xrightarrow{F_\dagger \varphi_{C,1,2}} F_\dagger \mathcal{C}_X M_1 \xrightarrow{B_3} F_! R\mathcal{H}om_{F^{-1}(D_Y)}\Big(D_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{D_X} M_1,\, D_{Y\leftarrow X,\overline{Y}\leftarrow\overline{X}} \otimes^L_{D_{X,\overline{X}}} \mathfrak{Db}_X\Big)$$

We have $A_2 \circ A_1 \circ B_3 = b$. Thus, the proof of Lemma 14.39 is finished. ▮

We have the following commutative diagram as the compatibility of the de Rham functor and the push-forward:

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_! \operatorname{DR}_{\overline{X}} \overline{M}_2 \arrow[r, "F_! \operatorname{DR} \varphi_{C,1,2}", "\simeq"'] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & F_! \operatorname{DR}_{\overline{X}} \mathcal{C}_X M_1 \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\ \operatorname{DR}_{\overline{Y}} F_\dagger \overline{M}_2 \arrow[r, "\operatorname{DR} F_\dagger \varphi_{C,1,2}", "\simeq"'] & \operatorname{DR}_{\overline{Y}} F_\dagger \mathcal{C}_X M_1 \end{tikzcd} \quad (159)$$

Corollary 14.40 *We have* $F_\dagger^j(M_1, M_2, C) \in \mathcal{D}\text{-}Tri^{nd}(Y)$.

Proof By Lemma 14.37, Lemma 14.39 and the commutative diagram (159), we obtain that the induced morphism $\operatorname{DR} \varphi_{F_\dagger^{(1)}C,1,2} : \operatorname{DR}_{\overline{Y}} F_\dagger \overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \operatorname{DR}_{\overline{Y}} \mathcal{C}_Y F_\dagger M_1$ is an isomorphism. Because $F_\dagger^{(1)}C$ and $F_\dagger C$ are equal up to the signature, we obtain the corollary. ▮

14.5.4 Duality and push-forward

Let $\mathcal{T} = (M_1, M_2, C) \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$. Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism such that its restriction to $\operatorname{Supp} M_i$ are proper. Assume that there exists a non-degenerate hermitian pairing $\boldsymbol{D}_X C : \boldsymbol{D}_X M_1 \times \boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{X}} \overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$, which is a dual of C. We have the induced pairings

$$F_{\dagger}^{(0)} C : F_{\dagger} M_1 \times F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_Y$$

$$F_{\dagger}^{(0)} \boldsymbol{D}_X C : F_{\dagger} \boldsymbol{D}_X M_1 \times F_{\dagger} \boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{X}} \overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_Y$$

Recall we have a natural equivalence $F_{\dagger} \boldsymbol{D} M_i \simeq \boldsymbol{D} F_{\dagger} M_i$, under which we have the induced pairing

$$F_{\dagger}^{(0)} \boldsymbol{D}_X C : \boldsymbol{D}_Y F_{\dagger} M_1 \times \boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{Y}} F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_Y.$$

Lemma 14.41 *The dual* $\boldsymbol{D}_Y F_{\dagger}^{(0)} C$ *exists, and we have* $\boldsymbol{D}_Y F_{\dagger}^{(0)} C = F_{\dagger}^{(0)} \boldsymbol{D}_X C$.

Proof We shall compare the following morphisms

$$\mathrm{DR}\, F_{\dagger}^{(0)} \boldsymbol{D} C : \mathrm{DR}_Y\, \boldsymbol{D}_Y F_{\dagger} M_1 \otimes \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, \boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{Y}} F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2 \longrightarrow \omega_Y^{top} \tag{160}$$

$$\mathrm{DR}\, F_{\dagger}^{(0)} C \circ \mathrm{ex} : \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\big(F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2\big) \otimes \mathrm{DR}_Y\big(F_{\dagger} M_1\big) \longrightarrow \omega_Y^{top} \tag{161}$$

We have an identification Υ_1 of $\mathrm{DR}_Y\, \boldsymbol{D}_Y F_{\dagger} M_1 \otimes \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, \boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{Y}} F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2$ and $\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\big(F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2\big) \otimes \mathrm{DR}_Y\big(F_{\dagger} M_1\big)$ given by the following isomorphisms:

$$\nu_{F_{\dagger} M_1} \circ \mathrm{DR}(\varphi_{F_{\dagger}^{(0)} C,1,2}) : \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2 \simeq \mathrm{DR}_Y\, \boldsymbol{D}_Y F_{\dagger} M_1$$

$$\nu_{F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2} \circ \mathrm{DR}(\varphi_{F_{\dagger}^{(0)} C,2,1}) : \mathrm{DR}_Y\, F_{\dagger} M_1 \simeq \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, \boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{Y}} F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2$$

We have only to show that (160) and (161) are equal under the identification Υ_1.

We have another identification Υ_2 of $\mathrm{DR}_Y\, \boldsymbol{D}_Y F_{\dagger} M_1 \otimes \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, \boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{Y}} F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2$ and $\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\big(F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2\big) \otimes \mathrm{DR}_Y\big(F_{\dagger} M_1\big)$. Indeed, we have the isomorphism $\kappa_{1,2} : \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2 \simeq \mathrm{DR}_Y\, \boldsymbol{D}_Y F_{\dagger} M_1$ induced by $\nu_{M_1} \circ \mathrm{DR}(\varphi_{C,1,2})$, given as follows:

$$\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2 \simeq F_{\dagger}\, \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\, \overline{M}_2 \simeq F_{\dagger}\, \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}} \mathcal{C}_X M_1 \simeq F_{\dagger}\, \mathrm{DR}_X\, \boldsymbol{D}_X M_1 \simeq \mathrm{DR}_Y\, \boldsymbol{D}_Y F_{\dagger} M_1 \tag{162}$$

Similarly, we have the isomorphism $\kappa_{2,1} : \mathrm{DR}_Y\, F_{\dagger} M_1 \simeq \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, \boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{Y}} F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2$. The identification Υ_2 is induced by $\kappa_{1,2}$ and $\kappa_{2,1}$. We can check that (160) and (161) are equal under the identification Υ_2. Hence, we have only to show $\nu_{F_{\dagger}^{j} M_1} \circ \mathrm{DR}\, \varphi_{F_{\dagger}^{(1)} C,1,2} = \kappa_{1,2}$ and $\nu_{F_{\dagger}^{-j} \overline{M}_2} \circ \mathrm{DR}\, \varphi_{F_{\dagger}^{(1)} C,2,1} = \kappa_{2,1}$. We have only to show the commutativity of the following diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\dagger}\, \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\, \overline{M}_2 \arrow[r, "F_{\dagger}\, \mathrm{DR}\, \varphi_C"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & F_{\dagger}\, \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}} \mathcal{C}_X M_1 \arrow[r, "F_{\dagger} \nu_{M_1}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & F_{\dagger}\, \mathrm{DR}_X\, \boldsymbol{D}_X M_1 \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}}\, F_{\dagger} \overline{M}_2 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{DR}\, \varphi_{F_{\dagger}^{(0)} C}"] & \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{Y}} \mathcal{C}_Y F_{\dagger} M_1 \arrow[r, "\nu_{F_{\dagger} M_1}"] & \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\, \boldsymbol{D}_{\overline{X}} F_{\dagger} M_1
\end{tikzcd} \tag{163}$$

The commutativity of the left square follows from Lemma 14.39 and the commutativity of (159). The commutativity of the right square is given in Lemma 14.37. Thus, we obtain Lemma 14.41. ▮

14.5.5 Canonical prolongation of good meromorphic flat bundles

Let V_1 be a good meromorphic flat bundle on (X, D). We obtain a good meromorphic flat bundle $\mathcal{C}_X(V_1)(*D)$ on $(\overline{X}, \overline{D})$, and a good meromorphic flat bundle $V_2 := \overline{\mathcal{C}_X(V_1)(*D)}$ on (X, D).

Lemma 14.42 *The natural isomorphism* $\overline{\mathcal{C}_X(V_1)_{|X \setminus D}} \simeq V_{2|X \setminus D}$ *is uniquely extended to isomorphisms*

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}_X(V_1)} \simeq V_2[!D], \qquad \overline{\mathcal{C}_X(V_1[!D])} \simeq V_2.$$

Proof We set $\mathcal{M} := \overline{\mathcal{C}_X(V_1)}$. We have $\mathcal{M}(*D) = V_2$. Let $\mathcal{M}'$ be any holonomic D_X-module such that $\mathcal{M}'(*D) = V_2$. We have a unique morphism $\varphi_1 : \overline{\mathcal{C}_X(\mathcal{M}')} \longrightarrow V_1$ such that $\varphi_{1|X\setminus D} = \mathrm{id}$. Hence, we have a morphism $\varphi_2 : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ such that $\varphi_{2|X\setminus D} = \mathrm{id}$. It means $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}[!D] = V_2[!D]$ by the universal property of $V_2[!D]$. We obtain the other isomorphism similarly. ▮

Hence, for integers m, we obtain non-degenerate holonomic hermitian pairings

$$\mathcal{T}_!(V_1, V_2, m) := \big(V_1[*D], V_2[!D], (-1)^m C_1\big), \quad \mathcal{T}_*(V_1, V_2, m) := \big(V_1[!D], V_2[*D], (-1)^m C_2\big).$$

Here C_i are non-degenerate hermitian pairings corresponding to the isomorphisms in Lemma 14.42.

Let us consider their dual. By using the description of the Stokes structure, we have $V_2^\vee = \overline{\mathcal{C}_X(V_1^\vee)(*D)}$. Hence, we have $\mathcal{T}_\star(V_1^\vee, V_2^\vee, m)$ for $\star = *, !$. We have the canonical isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{D}_X(V_i) \simeq V_i^\vee[!D]$ and $\boldsymbol{D}_X(V_i[!D]) \simeq V_i^\vee$.

Lemma 14.43 *$\mathcal{T}_\star(V_1, V_2, m)$ have duals, and we have*

$$\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}_*(V_1, V_2, m) = \mathcal{T}_!(V_1^\vee, V_2^\vee, m + d_X), \qquad \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}_!(V_1, V_2, m) = \mathcal{T}_*(V_1^\vee, V_2^\vee, m + d_X).$$

Proof Let $\pi : \widetilde{X}(D) \longrightarrow X$ be the real blow up. Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ be the local system on $\widetilde{X}(D)$ with the Stokes structure associated to V_i. Let $\mathcal{L}_i^\vee$ be the local system on $\widetilde{X}(D)$ with the Stokes structure associated to $V_i^\vee$.

We have the associated constructible sheaves $\mathcal{L}_i^{<D}$ and $\mathcal{L}_i^{\leq D}$, from $\mathcal{L}_i$. (See [40] for the notation.) Similarly, we have $\mathcal{L}_i^{\vee\,<D}$ and $\mathcal{L}_i^{\vee\,\leq D}$, from $\mathcal{L}_i^\vee$. We have natural identifications $\boldsymbol{D}_{\widetilde{X}(D)}\mathcal{L}_i^{<D}[d_X] \simeq \mathcal{L}_i^{\vee\,\leq D}[d_X]$ and $\boldsymbol{D}_{\widetilde{X}(D)}\mathcal{L}_i^{\leq D}[d_X] \simeq \mathcal{L}_i^{\vee\,<D}[d_X]$. We have naturally defined non-degenerate pairings $\mathcal{L}_1^{<D}[d_X] \times \overline{\mathcal{L}}_2^{\leq D}[d_X] \longrightarrow \omega_{\widetilde{X}(D)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_1^{\leq D}[d_X] \times \overline{\mathcal{L}}_2^{<D}[d_X] \longrightarrow \omega_{\widetilde{X}(D)}$. We also have $\mathcal{L}_1^{\vee<D}[d_X] \times \overline{\mathcal{L}}_2^{\vee\leq D}[d_X] \longrightarrow \omega_{\widetilde{X}(D)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_1^{\vee\leq D}[d_X] \times \overline{\mathcal{L}}_2^{\vee<D}[d_X] \longrightarrow \omega_{\widetilde{X}(D)}$.

Let us look at the isomorphisms $\mathrm{DR}_X V_1[!D] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\overline{V}_2$ and $\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\overline{V}_2 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}_X V_1[!D]$ induced by the pairing $V_1[!D] \times \overline{V}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$. The morphism $\mathrm{DR}_X V_1[!D] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\overline{V}_2$ is factorized as follows:

$$\mathrm{DR}_X V_1[!D] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\big(\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\overline{V}_2, \mathfrak{Db}_X^\bullet\big)[2d_X] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\overline{V}_2$$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DR}_X^{<D} V_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\big(\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}^{\leq D}\overline{V}_2, \Omega_X^{\bullet\,<D}\big)[2d_X] \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{DR}_X V_1[!D] \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\big(\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}^{\leq D}\overline{V}_2, \mathfrak{Db}_X^\bullet\big)[2d_X]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, the isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}_X V_1[!D] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\overline{V}_2$ is obtained as the push-forward of the unique isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_1^{<D}[d_X] \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_{\widetilde{X}(D)}\overline{\mathcal{L}}_2^{\leq D}[d_X]$ whose restriction to $X \setminus D$ is the identity.

The morphism $\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\overline{V}_2 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}} V_1[!D]$ is represented as the composition of the following morphisms

$$\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\overline{V}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\big(\mathrm{DR}_X^{<D} V_1, \mathcal{E}_X^\bullet\big)[2d_X] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\big(\mathrm{DR}_X^{<D} V_1, \mathfrak{Db}_X^\bullet\big)[2d_X] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}_X^{<D} V_1$$

Hence, the isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}\overline{V}_2 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}_X V_1[!D]$ is obtained as the push-forward of the unique isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_2^{\leq D}[d_X] \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_{\widetilde{X}(D)}\mathcal{L}_1^{<D}[d_X] = \mathcal{L}_1^{\vee\leq D}[d_X]$ whose restriction to $X \setminus D$ is the identity.

Let us consider the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DR}_X(V_1^\vee) \times \mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{V}_2[!D]) \arrow[r, "A_2"] \arrow[d, "A_1"'] & \omega_X^{top} \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\mathrm{DR}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{V}_2) \times \mathrm{DR}_X(V_1[!D]) \arrow[r, "(-1)^{d_X} A_3"] & \omega_X^{top}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{164}$$

The identification A_1 and the pairings A_i $(i = 2, 3)$ are obtained as the push forward of the identification and the pairings on the real blow up. Hence, the diagram (164) is commutative. ▮

Let g be a holomorphic function on X such that $g^{-1}(0) = D$. Combined with Beilinson construction, we obtain the following non-degenerate hermitian pairings, which are mutually dual:

$$\Pi^{a,b}_{g!}C_1 : \Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g*}V_1 \times \overline{\Pi^{a,b}_{g!}V_2} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X, \quad (-1)^{d_X}\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g*}C_2 : \Pi^{a,b}_{g!}V_1^{\vee} \times \overline{\Pi^{-b+1,-a+1}_{g*}V_2^{\vee}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$$

We obtain the following non-degenerate hermitian pairings, which are mutually dual:

$$\Xi^{(a)}_g C_1 : \Xi^{(-a)}_g V_1 \times \overline{\Xi^{(a)}_g V_2} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X, \qquad (-1)^{d_X}\Xi^{(-a)}_g C_2 : \Xi^{(a)}_g V_1^{\vee} \times \overline{\Xi^{(-a)}_g V_2^{\vee}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$$

We also obtain the following hermitian pairings which are mutually dual:

$$\psi^{(a)}_g C_1 : \psi^{(-a+1)}_g V_1 \times \overline{\psi^{(a)}_g V_2} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X, \qquad (-1)^{d_X}\psi^{(-a+1)}_g C_2 : \psi^{(a)}_g V_1^{\vee} \times \overline{\psi^{(-a+1)}_g V_2^{\vee}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X$$

Namely, there exist $\boldsymbol{D}\psi^{(a)}_g\mathcal{T}_{\star}(V_1, V_2)$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\Xi^{(a)}_g\mathcal{T}_{\star}(V_1, V_2)$.

14.5.6 Special case

Let $\mathcal{T} = (M_1, M_2, C) \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$. Assume that there exists a good cell $\mathcal{C} = (Z, U, \varphi, V_1)$ for M_1 at $P \in \operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{T}$. Let us show that, on a small neighbourhood X_P of P, there exists a dual pairing $\boldsymbol{D}C : \boldsymbol{D}M_{1|X_P} \times \boldsymbol{D}M_{2|X_P} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_{X_P}$.

Let $V_2 := \overline{\mathcal{C}_Z(V_1)}(*D_Z)$. Because $M_2 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}_X(M_1)}$ is an isomorphism, the tuple (Z, U, φ, V_2) is a good cell of M_2. We have the objects $\mathcal{T}_{V!} := (V_{1*}, V_{2!}, C_{1!}) \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(Z)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{V*} := (V_{1!}, V_{2*}, C_{1*}) \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(Z)$. We have the morphisms $\varphi_{\dagger}V_{1!} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \varphi_{\dagger}V_{1*}$. By applying the functor $\overline{\mathcal{C}_X}$, we obtain $\varphi_{\dagger}V_{2!} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2 \longrightarrow \varphi_{\dagger}V_{2*}$. By construction of the pairings, we obtain the morphisms $\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{V!} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{V*}$. Let g be a cell function for (Z, U, φ, V_1), and we put $g_Z := g \circ \varphi$. Recall that we obtain $\phi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$ as the cohomology of the complex $\varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{V!} \longrightarrow \varphi_{\dagger}\Xi^{(0)}_{g_Z}\mathcal{T}_V \oplus \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \varphi_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{V*}$.

By using the assumption of the induction on the dimension of the support, we have the dual $\boldsymbol{D}\phi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$ of $\phi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T}$. We also have the duals $\boldsymbol{D}\varphi_{\dagger}\psi^{(a)}_{g_Z}\mathcal{T}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\varphi_{\dagger}\Xi^{(a)}_{g_Z}\mathcal{T}$. We define a non-degenerate hermitian pairing $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$ as the cohomology of the complex:

$$\boldsymbol{D}\varphi_{\dagger}\psi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T}_V \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\varphi_{\dagger}\Xi^{(0)}_{g_Z}\mathcal{T}_V \oplus \boldsymbol{D}\phi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\varphi_{\dagger}\psi^{(1)}_g\mathcal{T}_V$$

It is easy to check $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$ gives a dual of $\mathcal{T}$.

Corollary 14.44 *Assume the claim of Theorem* 14.17 *holds for non-degenerate pairings such that the dimensions of the support are less than n.*

Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$ with $\dim\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{T} = n$. *If there exists a good cell at any point of $P \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists a dual of $\mathcal{T}$.* ▮

14.5.7 End of the proof

Let V_1 be a meromorphic flat bundle on (X, D), which is not necessarily good. We have a non-degenerate pairing $\mathcal{T} = \bigl(V_1, \overline{\mathcal{C}_X(V_1)}, C\bigr)$, where C is the naturally defined pairing. By applying Corollary 14.44 and resolution of turning points ([27], [28], see also [37] and [38]), we obtain the existence of a dual of $\mathcal{T}$. Similarly, we have a dual of $(V_{1!}, \overline{\mathcal{C}_X(V_{1!})}, C')$. Then, by using the argument in Subsection 14.5.6 for cells which are not necessarily good, we can finish the proof of Theorem 14.17. Then, we obtain Theorem 14.20 from Corollary 14.40 and Lemma 14.41. ▮

14.6 Real structure

14.6.1 Real structure of non-degenerate D-triple

We define an auto equivalence $\widetilde{\gamma}^* := \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \boldsymbol{D} = \boldsymbol{D} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$ on $\mathcal{C}\bigl(\mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}^{nd}(X)\bigr)$. Similarly, we define $\widetilde{\gamma}^{(0)*} := \boldsymbol{D}^{(0)\,\mathrm{herm}} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{(0)} = \boldsymbol{D}^{(0)} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{(0)\,\mathrm{herm}}$ on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}^{nd}(X)$. We have $\Psi_1 \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^{(0)*} = \widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \Psi_1$. We obtain the following proposition from Lemma 14.7 and Theorem 14.17.

Proposition 14.45 *Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifold. Let $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}\bigl(\mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(X)\bigr)$ such that the restriction of f to the support of $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ is proper. Then, we have the following natural isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(Y))$:*

$$H^j\bigl(F_\dagger\bigl(\widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T}^\bullet\bigr)\bigr)[-j] \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^*\Bigl(H^jF_\dagger\bigl(\mathcal{T}^\bullet\bigr)[-j]\Bigr)$$

Correspondingly, for $\mathfrak{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(X)$, we have the following natural isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(Y)$:

$$\boldsymbol{H}^j\bigl(F_\dagger^{(0)}(\widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathfrak{T})\bigr) \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^*\boldsymbol{H}^jF_\dagger^{(0)}(\mathfrak{T})$$

$\blacksquare$

A real structure of $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}\bigl(\mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}^{nd}(X)\bigr)$ is defined to be an isomorphism $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T}^\bullet \simeq \mathcal{T}^\bullet$ such that $\kappa \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^*\kappa = \mathrm{id}$. Similarly, a real structure of $\mathfrak{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}^{nd}(X)$ is defined to be an isomorphism $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathfrak{T} \simeq \mathfrak{T}$ such that $\kappa \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^*\kappa = \mathrm{id}$. A real structure of $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}\bigl(\mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}^{nd}(X)\bigr)$ naturally corresponds to a real structure of $\Psi_1\bigl(\mathcal{T}^\bullet\bigr) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}^{nd}(X)$.

For our later purpose, we give the compatibility with a shift functor. For $\mathfrak{T} = (M_1^\bullet, M_2^\bullet, C) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}(X)$, we put

$$\mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathfrak{T}) := (M_1^\bullet[-\ell], M_2^\bullet[\ell], C[\ell]),$$

where $C[\ell]^p(x^{-p-\ell}, y^{p+\ell}) = (-1)^{\ell p}\epsilon(\ell)\, C^{\ell+p}(x^{-p-\ell}, y^{p+\ell})$ for $x^{-p-\ell} \in M_1^{-p-\ell} = M_1[-\ell]^{-p}$ and $y^{p+\ell} \in M_2^{p+\ell} = M_2[\ell]^p$. (See Subsection 14.1.5 for our convention on the signature related with the tensor and shift.)

Lemma 14.46 *We have $\kappa_{1,\ell} : \mathcal{S}_{-\ell} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \simeq \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell$ and $\kappa_{2,\ell} : \mathcal{S}_{-\ell} \circ \boldsymbol{D} \simeq \boldsymbol{D} \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell$. As a consequence, we have $\kappa_{3,\ell} : \mathcal{S}_{-\ell} \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell$. They satisfy $\kappa_{a,\ell+m} = \kappa_{a,\ell} \circ \kappa_{a,m}$.*

Proof We have $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathfrak{T}) = \bigl(M_2^\bullet[\ell], M_1^\bullet[-\ell], (C[\ell])^*\bigr)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{-\ell} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathfrak{T}) = \bigl(M_2^\bullet[\ell], M_1^\bullet[-\ell], (C^*)[-\ell]\bigr)$. Let us compare the pairings $(C[\ell])^*$ and $(C^*)[-\ell]$, under the natural identifications of the D-complexes. We have

$$(C[\ell])^*\bigl(x^{-p}, y^p\bigr) = \overline{C[\ell](y^p, x^{-p})}\,(-1)^{\ell+p} = \overline{C(y^p, x^{-p})}\,(-1)^{\ell(p+\ell)}\,\epsilon(\ell)\,(-1)^{\ell+p}$$

We also have the following:

$$(C^*)[-\ell](x^{-p}, y^p) = C^*(x^{-p}, y^p)\,(-1)^{\ell(p+\ell)}\,\epsilon(-\ell) = \overline{C(y^p, x^{-p})}\,(-1)^p(-1)^{\ell(p+\ell)}\,\epsilon(-\ell)$$

Hence, we obtain $(C[\ell])^*$ and $(C^*)[-\ell]$.

We have $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathfrak{T}) = \bigl(\boldsymbol{D}(M_1^\bullet[-\ell]), \boldsymbol{D}(M_2^\bullet[\ell]), \boldsymbol{D}(C[\ell])\bigr)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\boldsymbol{D}(\mathfrak{T}) = \bigl(\boldsymbol{D}(M_1^\bullet)[\ell], \boldsymbol{D}(M_2^\bullet)[-\ell], \boldsymbol{D}(C)[-\ell]\bigr)$. We have natural identifications $\boldsymbol{D}(M_1^\bullet[-\ell])^{-p} = \boldsymbol{D}(M_1^\bullet[-\ell]^p) = \boldsymbol{D}(M_1^{p-\ell})$ and $\boldsymbol{D}(M_1^\bullet)[\ell]^{-p} = \boldsymbol{D}(M_1^\bullet)^{-p+\ell} = \boldsymbol{D}(M_1^{p-\ell})$. We also have $\boldsymbol{D}(M_2^\bullet[\ell])^p = \boldsymbol{D}(M_2^\bullet[\ell]^{-p}) = \boldsymbol{D}(M_2^{-p+\ell})$ and $\boldsymbol{D}(M_2^\bullet)[-\ell]^p = \boldsymbol{D}(M_2^\bullet)^{p-\ell} = \boldsymbol{D}(M_2^{-p+\ell})$. Under the natural identifications, we have $\boldsymbol{D}(C[\ell])^p = (-1)^\ell\bigl(\boldsymbol{D}(C)[-\ell]\bigr)^p$. Indeed, we have the following equalities:

$$\boldsymbol{D}(C[\ell])^p = \boldsymbol{D}\bigl((C[\ell])^{-p}\bigr) = (-1)^{\ell(\ell-1)/2}\boldsymbol{D}(C^{-p+\ell}) \tag{165}$$

$$\bigl(\boldsymbol{D}(C)[-\ell]\bigr)^p = (-1)^{\ell(\ell+1)/2}(\boldsymbol{D}C)^{p-\ell} = (-1)^{\ell(\ell+1)/2}\boldsymbol{D}(C^{-p+\ell}) \tag{166}$$

The isomorphism $\kappa_{2,\ell}$ is given by the following morphisms:

$$\boldsymbol{D}(M_1^\bullet)[\ell]^{-p} \xleftarrow{(-1)^{\ell p}\epsilon(-\ell)} \boldsymbol{D}(M_1^\bullet[-\ell])^{-p}, \qquad \boldsymbol{D}(M_2^\bullet)[-\ell]^p \xrightarrow{(-1)^{\ell p}\epsilon(\ell)} \boldsymbol{D}(M_2^\bullet[\ell])^p$$

(See [10]. Our convention is slightly different from that in [26].) It is easy to check that they give the desired isomorphism. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 14.47 *A real structure of $\mathfrak{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-}\mathit{Tri}(X)$ naturally induces a real structure of $\mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathfrak{T})$.* $\blacksquare$

14.6.2 Descriptions of real perverse sheaves

Preliminary Let X be a d_X-dimensional complex manifold. We set $a_X^!\mathbb{C} := \mathbb{C}_X[2d_X]$ with a naturally defined real structure. To simplify the notation, we use the same symbol to denote the $\mathbb{C}_X$-injective resolution. For a cohomologically $\mathbb{C}_X$-constructible complex $\mathcal{F}$, we set $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}) := R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{F}, a_X^!\mathbb{C})$. We have a natural identification $\boldsymbol{D}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq \overline{\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}}$.

Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism. We use the trace morphism $F_*(a_X^!\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow a_Y^!\mathbb{C}$, induced by the identification $a_X^!\mathbb{C}_X \simeq \mathfrak{Db}_X^\bullet[2d_X]$ via the quasi isomorphism given by $s \longmapsto (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_X} s$. The trace morphism is compatible with the real structures of $a_X^!\mathbb{C}$ and $a_Y^!\mathbb{C}$. We have the Verdier duality $F_*\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}F_*\mathcal{F}$ for a cohomologically constructible $\mathbb{C}_X$-complex $\mathcal{F}$ in $D_c^b(\mathbb{C}_Y)$, and the following natural diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_*\boldsymbol{D}\overline{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \boldsymbol{D}F_*\overline{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\overline{F_*\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \overline{\boldsymbol{D}F_*\mathcal{F}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be cohomologically $\mathbb{C}$-constructible complexes on X with a morphism $C : \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow a_X^!\mathbb{C}$. It is equivalent to a morphism $\Psi_C : \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2$. We have the induced pairings:

$$\overline{C} : \overline{\mathcal{F}}_1 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}}_2 \longrightarrow a_X^!\mathbb{C}, \quad C \circ \mathrm{ex} : \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow a_X^!\mathbb{C}$$

We have $\Psi_{\overline{C}} = \overline{\Psi_C}$ under the natural isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2 \simeq \overline{\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2}$. We have $\Psi_{C\circ\mathrm{ex}} = \boldsymbol{D}\Psi_C$ under the natural isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_2 \simeq \boldsymbol{D} \circ \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2$.

If C is non-degenerate, i.e., Ψ_C is a quasi-isomorphism, then we have the induced non-degenerate pairing $\boldsymbol{D}'C : \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow a_X^!\mathbb{C}$ in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ given as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow a_X^!\mathbb{C}$$

By construction, we have $\Psi_{\boldsymbol{D}'C} = \Psi_C^{-1}$.

Some equivalences For a field K, let $\mathrm{Per}(K_X)$ be the category of K_X-perverse sheaves. Let $\mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{C}_X, \mathbb{R})$ be the category of $\mathbb{C}_X$-perverse sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ with real structure ρ, i.e., $\rho : \overline{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \mathcal{F}$ such that $\rho \circ \overline{\rho} = \mathrm{id}$. A morphism $(\mathcal{F}_1, \rho_1) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_2, \rho_2)$ is a morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ in $\mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ such that $\varphi \circ \rho_1 = \rho_2 \circ \varphi$. We have a natural equivalence $\mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{R}_X) \simeq \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{C}_X, \mathbb{R})$ given by $\mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R} \longmapsto \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with $\rho(x \otimes \alpha) = x \otimes \overline{\alpha}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_1(X)$ be the category of $\mathbb{C}_X$-perverse sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ with a pairing $C : \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow a_X^!\mathbb{C}_X$ such that $\overline{\boldsymbol{D}'C} = C$. A morphism $\varphi : (\mathcal{F}_1, C_1) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_2, C_2)$ in $\mathcal{C}_1(X)$ is defined to be a morphism $\mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ in $\mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ such that $C_2\big(x, \overline{\varphi}(y)\big) = C_1\big(\boldsymbol{D}\varphi(x), y\big)$. For a projective morphism $F : X \longrightarrow Y$, we have the naturally defined pairing $F_\dagger^j C : \boldsymbol{D}F_\dagger^j\mathcal{F} \otimes F_\dagger^j\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow a_Y^!\mathbb{C}$, and $F_\dagger^j(\mathcal{F}, C) := (F_\dagger^j(\mathcal{F}), F_\dagger^j C)$ is an object in $\mathcal{C}_1(Y)$.

We have the natural functor $\Gamma_{1,X} : \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{C}_X, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_1(X)$ given as follows. Let $(\mathcal{F}, \rho) \in \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{C}_X, \mathbb{R})$. We have the induced non-degenerate pairing $C_\rho : \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow a_X^!\mathbb{C}_X$:

$$\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{D}\rho\otimes\mathrm{id}} \boldsymbol{D}\overline{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{\kappa} a_X^!\mathbb{C}_X$$

The morphism κ is naturally given one. It is easy to check $\overline{\boldsymbol{D}'C_\rho} = C_\rho$. We define $\Gamma_{1,X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho) := (\mathcal{F}, C_\rho)$. It is compatible with proper push-forward, i.e., for a proper morphism $F : X \longrightarrow Y$, we have $F_* \circ \Gamma_{1,X} \simeq \Gamma_{1,Y} \circ F_*$.

Lemma 14.48 *The functor* $\Gamma_{1,X} : \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{C}_X, \mathbb{R}_X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_1(X)$ *is an equivalence.*

Proof The condition $C = \overline{\boldsymbol{D}'C}$ is equivalent to $\Psi_C = \overline{\Psi_C^{-1}}$. Hence, the functor is essentially surjective. ∎

Let $\mathbb{C}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})\text{-Tri}(X)$ denote the full subcategory of objects $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, C)$ such that (i) $\mathcal{F}_i$ are perverse, (ii) C are non-degenerate. We define contravariant auto equivalences $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}$ on $\widetilde{\gamma}^*$. For $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, C) \in \mathbb{C}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$, we set

$$\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, C) := (\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_1, \overline{C \circ \mathrm{ex}}), \quad \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, C) := (\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1, \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2, \boldsymbol{D}' \circ \mathrm{ex})$$

We define $\widetilde{\gamma}^* := \boldsymbol{D} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} = \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \boldsymbol{D}$ on $\mathbb{C}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$. We have

$$\widetilde{\gamma}^*\big(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, C\big) = \big(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2, \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1, \overline{\boldsymbol{D}'C}\big)$$

A real structure of $\mathcal{T}$ is an isomorphism $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathcal{T}$ such that $\kappa \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^*(\kappa) = \mathrm{id}$. Let $\mathbb{C}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the category of such $(\mathcal{T}, \kappa)$. Morphisms are defined naturally.

We have a natural functor $\Gamma_{2,X} : \mathcal{C}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X, \mathbb{R})$ given as follows. Let $(\mathcal{F}, C) \in \mathcal{C}_1(X)$. We obtain $\mathcal{T} := (\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}, C)$ with an isomorphism $\kappa = (\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}) : \widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathcal{T}$. They give an object in $\mathbb{C}\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X, \mathbb{R})$ denoted by $\Gamma_{2,X}(\mathcal{F}, C)$. It is compatible with proper push-forward. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 14.49 *The functor* $\Gamma_{2,X} : \mathcal{C}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(X, \mathbb{R})$ *is an equivalence.* ▮

Example Let us look at an example. Let $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathbb{C}[d_X]$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Let e_i correspond to $1[d_X]$. We consider a pairing $C : \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}_2} \longrightarrow a_X^! \mathbb{C}_X$ given by $C(e_1, \overline{e}_2) = u$, where u correspond to $1[2d_X]$ in $a_X^! \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}[2d_X]$. We have $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_i = \mathbb{C}\, e_i^\vee$, where $e_i^\vee \otimes e_i \longmapsto u$. Then, $\overline{\boldsymbol{D}'C} : \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \overline{\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1} \longrightarrow a_X^! \mathbb{C}$ is given by $\overline{\boldsymbol{D}'C}(e_2^\vee, e_1^\vee) = (-1)^{d_X} u$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2$ is given by $e_1 \longmapsto \overline{e}_2^\vee$, and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1$ is given by $\overline{e}_2 \longmapsto (-1)^{d_X} e_1^\vee$. Let $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) : (\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2, \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1, \overline{\boldsymbol{D}'C}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, C)$ be given by $\kappa_1(e_1) = e_2^\vee$ and $\kappa_2(e_1^\vee) = (-1)^{d_X} e_2$. The induced map

$$\gamma^*\kappa : \Big(\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1), \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2), \overline{(\boldsymbol{D}'(\overline{\boldsymbol{D}'C}))}\Big) \longrightarrow (\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1, \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2, \overline{\boldsymbol{D}'C})$$

given by $(\gamma^*\kappa)_1(e_2^\vee) = (-1)^{d_X} (e_1^\vee)^\vee$ and $(\gamma^*\kappa)_2(e_1^\vee) = (e_2^\vee)^\vee$. Note the natural identification $(e_i^\vee)^\vee = (-1)^{d_X} e_i$. Hence, we have $\kappa \circ \gamma^*\kappa = \mathrm{id}$.

Enhancement Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})\text{-Tri}(X)$ be the full subcategory of the objects $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, C)$ such that (i) $\mathcal{F}_i$ are cohomologically constructible complexes, (ii) the induced morphism $\mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2$ is an isomorphism. We have the naturally defined contravariant auto equivalences $\boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$ on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$. We set $\widetilde{\gamma}^* := \boldsymbol{D} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} = \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \boldsymbol{D}$. A real structure of an object $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$ is an isomorphism $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathfrak{F} \simeq \mathfrak{F}$ such that $\kappa \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^*\kappa = \mathrm{id}$.

Let $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, C) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$. Let $H^j(\mathcal{F}_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ denote the j-th perverse cohomology. We have the naturally induced pairing $H^j(C) : H^{-j}(\mathcal{F}_1)[j] \otimes H^j(\mathcal{F}_2)[-j] \longrightarrow a_X^! \mathbb{C}$. We set $\boldsymbol{H}^j\big(\mathfrak{F}\big) := \big(H^{-j}(\mathcal{F}_1)[j], H^j(\mathcal{F}_2)[-j], H^j(C)\big)$. Thus, we obtain a functor $\boldsymbol{H}^j : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})\text{-Tri}^{nd}(X)$. We have natural isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{H}^j \circ \boldsymbol{D} \simeq \boldsymbol{D} \circ \boldsymbol{H}^{-j}$, $\boldsymbol{H}^j \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \simeq \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \boldsymbol{H}^{-j}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}^j \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \boldsymbol{H}^{-j}$.

If $\mathfrak{F}$ is equipped with a real structure, $\boldsymbol{H}^j(\mathfrak{F})$ are equipped with induced real structures. It is equivalent to a real perverse sheaf.

We consider the compatibility with the shift functor. We set $\mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathfrak{F}) = (\mathcal{F}_1[-\ell], \mathcal{F}_2[\ell], C[\ell])$, where

$$C[\ell](x^{i-\ell}, y^{j+\ell}) = (-1)^{\ell i} \epsilon(\ell)\, C(x^{i-\ell}, y^{j+\ell}).$$

(See Subsection 14.1.5 for our convention on the signature related with the shift and the tensor product.)

Lemma 14.50 *We have* $\nu_{1,\ell} : \mathcal{S}_{-\ell} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \simeq \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell$ *and* $\nu_{2,\ell} : \mathcal{S}_{-\ell} \circ \boldsymbol{D} \simeq \boldsymbol{D} \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell$. *As a consequence, we have* $\nu_{3,\ell} : \mathcal{S}_{-\ell} \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell$. *They satisfy* $\nu_{a,\ell+m} = \nu_{a,\ell} \circ \nu_{a,m}$.

Proof We have $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathfrak{F}) = (\mathcal{F}_2[\ell], \mathcal{F}_1[-\ell], \overline{C[\ell] \circ \mathrm{ex}})$ and $\mathcal{S}_{-\ell} \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathfrak{F}) = (\mathcal{F}_2[\ell], \mathcal{F}_1[-\ell], \overline{C \circ \mathrm{ex}}[-\ell])$. The isomorphism $\nu_{1,\ell}$ is given by the natural identifications of the underlying $\mathbb{C}_X$-complexes. Let us compare the pairings. We have

$$\overline{C[\ell] \circ \mathrm{ex}}(x^i, y^j) = \overline{C[\ell](y^j, x^i)}(-1)^{(\ell+i)(\ell+j)} = \overline{C(y^j, x^i)}\,(-1)^{\ell(j+\ell)}\,\epsilon(\ell)\,(-1)^{(\ell+i)(\ell+j)}$$

We also have

$$\overline{C \circ \mathrm{ex}}[-\ell](x^i, y^j) = \overline{C \circ \mathrm{ex}}(x^i, y^j)(-1)^{\ell(i+\ell)}\,\epsilon(-\ell) = \overline{C(y^j, x^i)}(-1)^{ij+\ell(i+\ell)}\,\epsilon(-\ell)$$

Hence, we have $\overline{C[\ell] \circ \mathrm{ex}} = \overline{C \circ \mathrm{ex}}[-\ell]$.

We have the following:

$$\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\boldsymbol{D}(\mathfrak{F}) = \big((\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1)[\ell], (\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_2)[-\ell], (\boldsymbol{D}'C \circ \mathrm{ex})[-\ell]\big), \qquad \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{S}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{F}) = \big(\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_1[-\ell]), \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_2[\ell]), \boldsymbol{D}'\big(C[\ell]\big) \circ \mathrm{ex}\big)$$

We shall construct a quasi isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_1)[\ell] \simeq \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_1[-\ell])$, following [10]. We have the natural pairing $C_{nat} : \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow a_X^!\mathbb{C}$. We have the induced pairing $C_{nat}[-\ell] : \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_1)[\ell] \otimes \mathcal{F}_1[-\ell] \longrightarrow a_X^!\mathbb{C}$. It induces $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_1)[\ell] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{F}_1[-\ell]\big)$. Explicitly, it is given as the multiplication of $(-1)^{p\ell}\epsilon(\ell)$ on $\big(\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_1)[\ell]\big)^p$. In the same way, we have the natural isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_2)[-\ell] \simeq \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_2[\ell])$. Let us show that they give the desired isomorphism $\nu_{2,\ell}$. We have $(\boldsymbol{D}'C \circ \mathrm{ex})[-\ell] = (\boldsymbol{D}'C[\ell]) \circ \mathrm{ex}$. Indeed, we have the following:

$$\boldsymbol{D}'C \circ \mathrm{ex}[-\ell](x^i, y^j) = \epsilon(-\ell)(-1)^{\ell(i+\ell)}\boldsymbol{D}'C \circ \mathrm{ex}(x^i, y^j) = \epsilon(-\ell)\,(-1)^{\ell(i+\ell)+ij}\,\boldsymbol{D}'C(y^j, x^i)$$

$$\boldsymbol{D}'C[\ell] \circ \mathrm{ex}(x^i, y^j) = (-1)^{(\ell+i)(\ell+j)}\boldsymbol{D}'C[\ell](y^j, x^i) = (-1)^{(\ell+i)(\ell+j)}\,\epsilon(\ell)\,(-1)^{\ell(\ell+j)}\boldsymbol{D}'(y^j, x^i)$$

Let $\Psi_C : \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2)$ be the morphism induced by C. It induces $\Psi_C[-\ell] : \mathcal{F}_1[-\ell] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2)[-\ell] \simeq \boldsymbol{D}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2[\ell])$. It is equal to $\Psi_{C[\ell]}$. Similarly, we have $\Psi_{C\circ\mathrm{ex}} : \overline{\mathcal{F}}_2 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{F}_1)$. Note that $\Psi_{C\circ\mathrm{ex}}[\ell] = \Psi_{C\circ\mathrm{ex}[-\ell]} = \Psi_{C[\ell]\circ\mathrm{ex}}$. Hence, we obtain $\boldsymbol{D}'(C[\ell]) = (\boldsymbol{D}'C)[\ell]$, and we obtain the claim of the lemma. ∎

Corollary 14.51 *A real structure of* $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$*-Tri*$(X)$ *naturally induces a real structure of* $\mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathfrak{F})$*.* ∎

14.6.3 Compatibility with the de Rham functor

Proposition 14.52 *Let* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}$*-Tri*$^{nd}(X)$*. We have natural isomorphisms* $\boldsymbol{D} \circ \mathrm{DR}_X(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathrm{DR}_X \circ \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T})$ *and* $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \mathrm{DR}_X(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathrm{DR}_X \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T})$*.*

Proof We obtain the isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \circ \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathrm{DR} \circ \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}(\mathcal{T})$ from Lemma 14.15. Note that the conjugates for the natural real structures of $\mathfrak{Db}_X^\bullet[2d_X]$ and $a_X^!\mathbb{C}$ have the difference of the signature $(-1)^{d_X}$. We obtain the isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D} \circ \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathrm{DR} \circ \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T})$ from the definition. Note the signature in Remark 14.19. The signature $(-1)^{d_X}$ also appears from the difference from the identifications $\boldsymbol{D}_X\big(\overline{\mathrm{DR}_X(M_2)}\big) \simeq \overline{\boldsymbol{D}_X\,\mathrm{DR}_X(M_2)}$ for $\mathfrak{Db}_X^\bullet[2d_X]$ and $a_X^!\mathbb{C}_X$. ∎

Corollary 14.53 *We have* $\widetilde{\gamma}^*\,\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathrm{DR}\,\widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T}$*. In particular, the de Rham functor gives a functor*

$$\mathcal{D}\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(X, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{-}\mathit{Tri}^{nd}(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

∎

Enhancement We consider the de Rham functor $\mathrm{DR} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})\text{-}\mathrm{Tri}(X)$.

Lemma 14.54 *We have* $\mathcal{S}_\ell \circ \mathrm{DR} = \mathrm{DR} \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell$*.*

Proof We give only some remarks. The identity $\mathrm{Tot}\big(\mathrm{DR}(M_i^\bullet[\ell])\big)^p = \bigoplus_{k+j=p} \mathrm{DR}(M_i^{k+\ell})^j = \mathrm{Tot}\big(\mathrm{DR}(M_i^\bullet)[\ell]\big)^p$ gives an isomorphism of complexes $\mathrm{Tot}\,\mathrm{DR}(M_i^\bullet)[\ell] \simeq \mathrm{Tot}\,\mathrm{DR}(M_i^\bullet[\ell])$. We can compare the pairings by a direct computation. ∎

Lemma 14.55 *Let* $M^\bullet$ *be a complex of holonomic D-modules. The following diagram of the natural quasi-isomorphisms is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{S}_\ell\,\mathrm{DR}(M) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\boldsymbol{D}M \arrow[r] & \mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{S}_\ell(M)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof We take an D_X-injective resolution I_X of $\omega_X[2d_X]$. Then, $\boldsymbol{D}M^\bullet$ is expressed by $\mathrm{Tot}\,\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M^\bullet, I_X \otimes D_X \otimes \omega_X)$. and $\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}M^\bullet$ is expressed by $C_1^\bullet := \mathrm{Tot}\,\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}(M^\bullet, I_X)$. The isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\boldsymbol{D}M^\bullet \simeq \mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D} \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell M^\bullet$ is expressed by the multiplication of $\epsilon(\ell)\,(-1)^{p\ell}$ on C_1^p. $\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}(M^\bullet) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}(M^\bullet)$ is represented by the natural morphism:

$$C_1^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\big(\mathrm{Tot}\,\mathrm{DR}(M_1^\bullet), \mathrm{Tot}\,\mathrm{DR}(I_X)\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\big(\mathrm{Tot}\,\mathrm{DR}(M_1^\bullet), J_X\big) =: C_2^\bullet$$

Here, J_X is an injective resolution of $\mathrm{Tot}\,\mathrm{DR}(I_X)$. The isomorphism $\mathcal{S}_{-\ell} \circ \boldsymbol{D} \circ \mathrm{DR}(M^\bullet) \simeq \boldsymbol{D} \circ \mathcal{S}_\ell\,\mathrm{DR}(M^\bullet)$ is represented by the multiplication of $\epsilon(\ell)\,(-1)^p$ on C_2^p. Hence, we obtain the desired commutativity. ∎

Proposition 14.56 *We have natural isomorphisms* $\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \simeq \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\,\mathrm{DR}$ *and* $\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D} \simeq \boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}$. *As a consequence, we have* $\mathrm{DR} \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \mathrm{DR}$.

Proof We have the natural isomorphism of the underlying complexes. We have only to compare the pairings. Then, we have only to show it for $\mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathcal{T})$ ($\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$) where $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X)$. Then, we can reduce the issue to Proposition 14.52 by using Lemma 14.46, Lemma 14.50 and Lemma 14.55. ▮

We also obtain the commutativity of the following diagrams of the natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{S}_\ell\,\mathrm{DR} \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\boldsymbol{D} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{S}_\ell
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\,\mathrm{DR} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\mathcal{S}_\ell\,\mathrm{DR} \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}\mathcal{S}_\ell
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\widetilde{\gamma}^*\,\mathrm{DR} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{S}_\ell\,\mathrm{DR} \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{S}_{-\ell}\widetilde{\gamma}^* \arrow[r] & \mathrm{DR}\,\widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{S}_\ell
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Proposition 14.34, the following is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DR}_Y \circ F_\dagger \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{DR}_Y \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ F_\dagger \arrow[d] \\
F_* \circ \widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ \mathrm{DR}_X \arrow[r] & \widetilde{\gamma}^* \circ F_* \circ \mathrm{DR}_X
\end{tikzcd}$$

Functoriality of the real structure Let $\mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X,\mathbb{R})$ be the category of non-degenerate D-triples with real structures. We have the following functoriality.

Proposition 14.57

- *$\mathcal{D}$-Tri$(X,\mathbb{R})$ is equipped with the functors* $\boldsymbol{D}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{herm}}$.
- *For a hypersurface $H \subset X$, we have the exact functors $[*H]$ and $[!H]$ on $\mathcal{D}$-Tri$(X,\mathbb{R})$, which are compatible with the corresponding functors on $\mathcal{D}$-Tri(X).*
- *For a holomorphic function g on X, we have Beilinson's functors $\Pi^{a,b}_{g,*!}$ on $\mathcal{D}$-Tri$(X,\mathbb{R})$, compatible with those on $\mathcal{D}$-Tri(X).*
- *For a proper morphism $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, we have the cohomological functor $H^j f_\dagger : \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X,\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(Y,\mathbb{R})$ compatible with $H^j f_\dagger : \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\text{-Tri}(Y)$. It is compatible with the push-forward of the underlying real perverse sheaves.* ▮

Remark 14.58 *Let $(\mathcal{T},\kappa) \in \mathcal{D}$-Tri$(X,\mathbb{R})$. Note that the underlying D-modules M_i are equipped with $\mathbb{R}$-pre-Betti structures, i.e., $\mathbb{R}$-structure on* $\mathrm{DR}(M_i)$. *It seems to give a $\mathbb{R}$-Betti structure of M_i in the sense of* [40]. *The details will be given elsewhere.* ▮

14.6.4 Basic example

We consider the D-triple $\mathcal{U}_Z = (\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z, C_0)$, where $C_0(f,\overline{g}) = f\cdot\overline{g}$. We have $\widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{U}_Z = \bigl(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Z, \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Z, \boldsymbol{D}C_0\bigr)$. Let $\nu : \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Z \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$ be the isomorphism determined by the following condition. We have the natural identification of $H^{-d_Z}\bigl(\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Z\bigr)$ and the sheaf of flat sections of $\mathcal{O}_Z$. We have the natural isomorphism:

$$H^{-d_Z}\bigl(\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Z\bigr) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}\bigl(H^{-d_Z}(\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Z),\, H^{-d_Z}(\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Z)\bigr)$$

Then, ν induces $H^{-d_Z}(\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Z) \simeq H^{-d_Z}(\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Z)$ given by $\mathrm{id} \longmapsto 1$. We remark that the composition of

$$\mathcal{O}_Z \simeq \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Z) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Z \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$$

is $(-1)^{d_Z}$. In other words, $(-1)^{d_Z}\nu$ can be identified with $\boldsymbol{D}\nu$. See also the appendix below. The following proposition is clear.

Proposition 14.59 *Let a be a complex number such that $|a|=1$. Then, $(a\,\nu,(-1)^{d_Z}\overline{a}\,\nu^{-1}):\gamma^*\mathcal{U}_Z\simeq\mathcal{U}_Z$ gives a real structure.* ▮

Let us consider more specific real structures of the shifts of $\mathcal{U}_Z$.

Natural real structures of $\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z]$ and $\mathcal{U}_Z[-d_Z]$ We have the natural identification $H^0\bigl(\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z]\bigr)$ with the sheaf of flat sections $\mathcal{O}_Z$. We have the natural identification

$$H^0\bigl(\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z])\bigr)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{D_Z}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z],\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]\bigr)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}\bigl(\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z],\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]\bigr)$$

We have the unique isomorphism $\mu:\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z])\simeq\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z]$ which induces $H^0\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z])\simeq H^0(\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z])$ given by $\mathrm{id}\longmapsto 1$. We have the induced morphism $\boldsymbol{D}\mu:\boldsymbol{D}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z]\bigr)\simeq\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]$. Note the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]) \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z] \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_Z)[-d_Z] \arrow[r, "\epsilon(-d_Z)\nu"] & \mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{167}$$

Here, the left vertical arrow is given by the exchange of the dual and the shift. (It is given by the multiplication of $(-1)^{pd_X}\epsilon(d_X)$ on the degree part.) We also have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z]) \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{D}\mu"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z] \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_Z)[d_Z] \arrow[r, "\epsilon(d_Z)\,\nu"] & \mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{168}$$

Here, the left vertical arrow is given by the exchange of the dual and the shift. The commutativity follows from the commutativity of (167).

Proposition 14.60 *The pair $\bigl(\mu,(\boldsymbol{D}\mu)^{-1}\bigr)$ is a real structure of $\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z]$ obtained as the shift of the real structure $\bigl(\epsilon(-d_Z)\,\nu,\epsilon(d_Z)\,\nu^{-1}\bigr)$ of $\mathcal{U}_Z$. The pair $\bigl(\boldsymbol{D}\mu,\mu^{-1}\bigr)$ is a real structure of $\mathcal{U}_Z[-d_Z]$ obtained as the shift of the real structure $\bigl(\epsilon(d_Z)\nu,\epsilon(-d_Z)\,\nu^{-1}\bigr)$ of $\mathcal{U}_Z$.* ▮

Trace morphism For simplicity, we assume that Z is proper. Let us look at the push-forward $a_{Z*}\mathcal{U}_Z=(a_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z,a_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z,a_{Z*}C_0)$ as the D-triples. Let us observe that we have natural morphisms $a^0_{Z*}\bigl(\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z]\bigr)\longrightarrow\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{pt}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{pt}}\longrightarrow a^0_{Z*}\bigl(\mathcal{U}_Z[-d_Z]\bigr)$. We have

$$a_{Z*}C_0(\eta^{d_Z-p}m_1,\overline{\eta^{d_Z+p}m_2}):=\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Z}\int\eta^{d_Z-p}\overline{\eta}^{d_Z+p}C_0(m_1,\overline{m}_2)\,\epsilon(p+d_Z)$$

In particular, $a^{d_Z}_{Z*}\mathcal{U}_Z=\bigl(a^{-d_Z}_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z,\,a^{d_Z}_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z,\,C_1\bigr)$, where

$$C_1(1,\overline{\eta}^{2d_Z})=\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Z}\int\overline{\eta}^{2d_Z}(-1)^{d_Z}=\overline{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Z}\int\eta^{2d_Z}}=C_0(1,\mathrm{tr}(\overline{\eta}^{2d_Z}))$$

We also have $a^{-d_Z}_{Z*}\mathcal{U}_Z=\bigl(a^{d_Z}_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z,\,a^{-d_Z}_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z,\,C_2\bigr)$, where

$$C_2(\eta^{2d_Z},1)=\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Z}\int\eta^{2d_Z}=C_0\bigl(\mathrm{tr}(\eta^{2d_Z}),1\bigr)$$

Hence, we have the morphisms

$$(a_Z^{-1},\mathrm{tr}):a^0_{Z*}\bigl(\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z]\bigr)\longrightarrow\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{pt}},\qquad(\mathrm{tr},a_Z^{-1}):\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{pt}}\longrightarrow a^0_{Z*}\bigl(\mathcal{U}_Z[-d_Z]\bigr) \tag{169}$$

The natural real structure of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{pt}}$ is given by $(\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id})$.

Proposition 14.61 *The morphisms in* (169) *are compatible with the real structures.*

Proof Let us consider the dual of $\mathrm{tr}: a^{d_Z}_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We consider Φ_1 induced as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\big(a^0_{Z\dagger}(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z])\big)^\vee \arrow[d, "B", "\simeq"'] & \mathbb{C}^\vee \arrow[l, "\mathrm{tr}^\vee"'] \arrow[d, "A", "\simeq"'] \\
a^0_{Z\dagger}\big(\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z])\big) & \mathbb{C} \arrow[l, "\Phi_1"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, A is induced by the natural multiplication $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$, and B is given by the compatibility of the dual and the push-forward. We have the identification:

$$a^0_{Z\dagger}\big(\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]\big) \simeq a^0_{Z*}\mathcal{H}om_{D_Z}\big(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z],\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]\big) \tag{170}$$

We have $\Phi_1(1) = \mathrm{id}$ under the identification (170). Indeed, the identity is mapped to the trace by the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_Z^{-0} R\mathcal{H}om_{D_Z}(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z],\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]) \longrightarrow a^0_Z R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_Z}\big(\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z],\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]\big)\\
&\qquad\longrightarrow \big[R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}}\big(a_{Z*}\,\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{O}_Z)[d_Z],\, a_{Z*}\,\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{O}_Z)[d_Z]\big)\big]^0 \longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}}\big(a^{d_Z}_{Z*}\,\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{O}_Z),\,\mathbb{C}\big)
\end{aligned} \tag{171}$$

Hence, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
a^0_{Z\dagger}(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z])^\vee \arrow[r, "B"] & a^0_{Z\dagger}\boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]\big) \arrow[r, "a_{Z\dagger}\mu"] & a^0_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z] \\
\mathbb{C}^\vee \arrow[u, "\mathrm{tr}^\vee"] \arrow[r, "A"] & \mathbb{C} \arrow[u, "\Phi_1"] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & \mathbb{C} \arrow[u, "a_Z^{-1}"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{172}$$

Let us consider the dual of $a_Z^{-1}:\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow a^0_{Z\dagger}\big(\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z]\big)$. Let Φ_2 be determined by the commutativity of the left square in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
a^0_{Z\dagger}\big(\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z]\big)^\vee \arrow[r, "B'"] \arrow[d, "(a_Z^{-1})^\vee"'] & a^0_{Z\dagger}\big(\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z])\big) \arrow[r, "a_{Z\dagger}\boldsymbol{D}\mu"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_2"'] & a^0_{Z\dagger}\big(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]\big) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{tr}"'] \\
\mathbb{C}^\vee \arrow[r, "A'"] & \mathbb{C} \arrow[r, "="] & \mathbb{C}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{173}$$

Here, A' is induced by the natural pairing $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$, and B' is induced by the exchange of the push-forward and the dual. Let us observe that the right square in (173) is also commutative. For that purpose, we have only to show $(a_{Z\dagger}\mu\circ B)^\vee = (a_{Z\dagger}\boldsymbol{D}\mu\circ B')^\vee$ under the natural identification $(a^0_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z])^{\vee\vee}\simeq a^0_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]$. By a simple diagram chasing, it can be reduced to the following:

- $\mu\circ(\boldsymbol{D}\mu)^{-1}$ is the natural isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]\simeq\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z]$.
- The natural isomorphisms $a_{Z\dagger}\simeq a_{Z\dagger}\boldsymbol{D}\circ\boldsymbol{D}$ and $a_{Z\dagger}\simeq\boldsymbol{D}\circ\boldsymbol{D}a_{Z\dagger}$ are compatible with the identification $a_{Z\dagger}\boldsymbol{D}\circ\boldsymbol{D}\simeq\boldsymbol{D}\circ a_{Z\dagger}\circ\boldsymbol{D}\simeq\boldsymbol{D}\circ\boldsymbol{D}a_{Z\dagger}$.

Then, we obtain the claim of Proposition 14.61. ∎

The real structure of the underlying perverse sheaves Let us look at the induced real structure of $\mathbb{C}$-complex-triples. We have

$$\Psi_1\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z] = \big(\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z],\,\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z],\,(-1)^{d_Z(d_Z+1)/2}C_0\big)$$

The double complex $\mathrm{DR}\,\Psi_1\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z]$ is given as follows: $\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z])\simeq\mathbb{C}[0]$, $\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z])\simeq\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{DR}\big((-1)^{d_Z(d_Z+1)/2}C_0\big)(\alpha\otimes\overline{\beta\,e}) &= \alpha\overline{\beta}(-1)^{d_Z(d_Z+1)/2}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Z}(-1)^{d_Z(d_Z+1)/2}\\
&= \alpha\overline{\left(\overline{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Z}\right)}(-1)^{d_Z}
\end{aligned} \tag{174}$$

Then, we have

$$\operatorname{Tot}\mathrm{DR}\bigl(\Psi_1\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z]\bigr)\simeq\bigl(\mathbb{C}[0],\mathbb{C}[2d_Z],C_1\bigr)$$

where $C_1\bigl(\alpha,\,\beta(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_Z}e\bigr)=\alpha\overline{\beta}$. We remark that $(-1)^{d_Z}$ appears when we take the total complex. The real structure $\gamma^*\operatorname{Tot}\mathrm{DR}(\Psi_1\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z])\simeq\operatorname{Tot}\mathrm{DR}(\Psi_1\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z])$ is given by $(\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id})$. It induces the real structure $\mathbb{R}(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_Z}\,e\subset\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]$.

We have $\Psi_1\mathcal{U}_Z[-d_Z]=\bigl(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z],\,\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z],\,(-1)^{d_Z(d_Z-1)/2}C_0\bigr)$. The double complex $\mathrm{DR}\,\Psi_1\mathcal{U}_Z[d_Z]$ is given as follows: $\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{O}_Z[d_Z])\simeq\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]$, $\mathrm{DR}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_Z[-d_Z]\bigr)\simeq\mathbb{C}[0]$ and

$$\mathrm{DR}\bigl((-1)^{d_Z(d_Z-1)/2}C_0\bigr)(\alpha e\otimes\overline{\beta})=\alpha\overline{\beta}\cdot(-1)^{d_Z(d_Z-1)/2}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Z}(-1)^{d_Z(d_Z+1)/2}=\alpha\overline{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{d_Z}(-1)^{d_Z}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Tot}\mathrm{DR}(\Psi_1\mathcal{U}_Z[-d_Z])\simeq\Bigl(\mathbb{C}[2d_Z],\,\mathbb{C}[0],\,C_2\Bigr),\qquad C_2\bigl(\alpha(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_Z}e,\,\beta\bigr)=\alpha\overline{\beta}.$$

The real structure $\gamma^*\operatorname{Tot}\mathrm{DR}(\Psi_1\mathcal{U}_Z[-d_Z])\simeq\operatorname{Tot}\mathrm{DR}(\Psi_1\mathcal{U}_Z[-d_Z])$ is given by $(\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id})$. It gives the real structure $\mathbb{R}\cdot1\subset\mathbb{C}[0]$.

Let us directly compute the real structures of the underlying perverse sheaves of $\mathcal{U}_Z$ associated to

$$\kappa_1=\bigl(\epsilon(-d_Z)\nu,\epsilon(d_Z)\nu^{-1}\bigr),\qquad\kappa_2=\bigl(\epsilon(d_Z)\nu,\epsilon(-d_Z)\nu^{-1}\bigr),$$

although we can describe them as the shift. Note that under $\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]\simeq\mathfrak{Db}^\bullet_X[2d_Z]$ with the twisted trace morphisms, the real structure of $\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]$ is given by $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_Z}\mathbb{R}[2d_Z]\subset\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]$. It gives the identification

$$H^{-d_Z}\operatorname{Hom}\bigl(\overline{\mathbb{C}[d_Z]},\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]\bigr)\simeq\overline{H^{-d_Z}\operatorname{Hom}\bigl(\mathbb{C}[d_Z],\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]\bigr)}.$$

Let $f\in H^{-d_Z}\operatorname{Hom}\bigl(\mathbb{C}[d_Z],\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]\bigr)$ be the identity. It sends $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_Z}[d_Z]$ to $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_Z}[2d_Z]$.

The pairing and the first component of κ_1 maps f to

$$\overline{f}\in\overline{H^{-d_Z}\operatorname{Hom}\bigl(\mathbb{C}[d_Z],\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]\bigr)}.$$

Hence, the real structure of $H^{-d_Z}\operatorname{Hom}\bigl(\mathbb{C}[d_Z],\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]\bigr)$ is $\mathbb{R}\,f$, and the real structure of $\mathbb{C}[d_Z]$ is given by $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_Z}\mathbb{R}[d_Z]\subset\mathbb{C}[d_Z]$. The pairing of the first component of κ_2 maps to f to $(-1)^{d_Z}f$. Hence, the real structure of $H^{-d_Z}\operatorname{Hom}\bigl(\mathbb{C}[d_Z],\mathbb{C}[2d_Z]\bigr)$ is $\mathbb{R}(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d_Z}f$, and the real structure of $\mathbb{C}[d_Z]$ is given by $\mathbb{R}[d_Z]\subset\mathbb{C}[d_Z]$.

Appendix We use the identification $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{O}_Z\simeq\mathcal{O}_Z$ given as follows:

$$D_X\otimes\Theta^\bullet_X\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\Bigl(D_X\otimes\Theta^\bullet_X,\,\bigl(D_X\otimes\omega_X^{-1}[d_X]\bigr)^{\ell,r}\Bigr)$$

$$P\otimes\tau\longmapsto\Bigl(Q\otimes\omega\longmapsto(-1)^{|\tau|\,|\omega|}C(Q\otimes\omega,P\otimes\tau)\Bigr)$$

Here, $C(Q\otimes\omega,P\otimes\tau)=\ell(Q)r(P)(\omega\wedge\tau)\,(-1)^{|\tau|}$. Note that the induced morphism $\mathcal{O}_X\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\bigl(D_X\otimes\omega_X^{-1},D_X\otimes\omega_X^{-1}\bigr)$ sends 1 to the identity. By taking tensor product $\omega_X\otimes_{D_X}$, we obtain

$$\omega_X\otimes\Theta^\bullet_X\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\bigl(D_X\otimes\Theta^\bullet_X,\mathcal{O}_X[d_X]\bigr)\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{D_X}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathcal{O}_X[d_X]\bigr)$$

Let η be a local generator of ω_X. Then, $\eta\otimes\eta^{-1}$ is sent to $(-1)^{d_X}$. Hence, the induced isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[d_X]\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om\bigl(\mathbb{C}[d_X],\mathbb{C}[2d_X]\bigr)$ maps $1[d_X]$ to $(-1)^{d_X}f$.

References

[1] A. Beilinson, *On the derived category of perverse sheaves.* In *K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986)*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1289**, Springer, Berlin, (1987), 27–41.

[2] A. Beilinson, *How to glue perverse sheaves*, In *K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986)*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1289**, Springer, Berlin, (1987), 42–51.

[3] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), Astérisque, **100**, (1982), 5–171.

[4] J.-E. Björk, *Analytic D-modules and applications*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, (1993).

[5] E. Cattani, and A. Kaplan, *Polarized mixed Hodge structures and the local monodromy of variation of Hodge structure*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 101–115.

[6] E. Cattani, A. Kaplan and W. Schmid, *Degeneration of Hodge structures*, Ann. of Math. **123** (1986), 457–535.

[7] E. Cattani, A. Kaplan and W. Schmid, L^2 *and intersection cohomologies for a polarized variation of Hodge structure,* Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 217–252.

[8] P. Deligne, *Théorème de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **35** (1968) 259–278.

[9] P. Deligne, *Équation différentielles à points singuliers réguliers,* Lectures Notes in Maths., vol. **163**, Springer, 1970.

[10] P. Deligne, *Cohomologie á supports propres*, in SGA4.

[11] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. I.* Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome 1, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, (1971), 425–430.

[12] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. II.* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **40** (1971), 5–57.

[13] P. Deligne, B. Malgrange, J-P. Ramis, *Singularités Irrégulières*, Documents Mathématiques **5**, Société Mathématique de France, 2007.

[14] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, (1977)

[15] C. Hertling, *tt^* geometry, Frobenius manifolds, their connections, and the construction for singularities,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **555** (2003), 77–161.

[16] C. Hertling and C. Sevenheck, *Nilpotent orbits of a generalization of Hodge structures*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **609** (2007), 23–80.

[17] C. Hertling and C. Sevenheck, *Limits of families of Brieskorn lattices and compactified classifying spaces,* math:0805.4777

[18] C. Hertling and C. Sevenheck, *Twistor structures, tt^*-geometry and singularity theory,* In *From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt^*-geometry,* Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **78**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2008), 49–73. math:0807.2199

[19] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi and T. Tanisaki, *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, **236**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008.

[20] B. Iversen, *Cohomology of sheaves*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[21] M. Kashiwara, *Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations*, IN: *Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982)* Lecture Notes in Math., **1016**, Springer, Berlin, (1983). 134–142.

[22] M. Kashiwara, *A study of variation of mixed Hodge structure*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **22** (1986), 991–1024.

[23] M. Kashiwara, *D-modules and microlocal calculus*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 217. Iwanami Series in Modern Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2003

[24] M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai, *The Poincaré lemma for variations of polarized Hodge structure*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **23** (1987), 345–407.

[25] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990

[26] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[27] K. Kedlaya, *Good formal structures for flat meromorphic connections, I; Surfaces*, arXiv:0811.0190

[28] K. Kedlaya, *Good formal structures for flat meromorphic connections, II: Excellent schemes,* arXiv:1001.0544

[29] R. MacPherson, K. Vilonen, *Elementary construction of perverse sheaves,* Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 403–435.

[30] B. Malgrange, *Ideals of differentiable functions*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics **3**, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, Oxford University Press, London, 1967.

[31] B. Malgrange, *Polynômes de Bernstein-Sato et cohomologie évanescente*, IN: *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II, III (Luminy, 1981)*, Astérisque, **101-102**, (1983), 243–267.

[32] B. Malgrange, *Équations différentielles à coefficients polynomiaux,* Progress in Mathematics, **96**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991.

[33] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of tame nilpotent harmonic bundles with trivial parabolic structure*, J. Diff. Geometry, **62**, (2002), 351–559.

[34] T. Mochizuki, *Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmoinc bundles and an application* Astérisque **309** (2006)

[35] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor D-modules I, II*, Mem. AMS. **185** (2007)

[36] T. Mochizuki, *Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmonic bundles II*, Geometry&Topology **13**, (2009), 359–455, math.DG/0602266

[37] T. Mochizuki, *Good formal structure for meromorphic flat connections on smooth projective surfaces*, In *Algebraic Analysis and Around*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **54**, (2009), 223–253. math:0803.1346

[38] T. Mochizuki, *Wild harmonic bundles and wild pure twistor D-modules*, math:0803.1344, Version 3

[39] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of variation of pure polarized TERP structures*, math:0811.1384

[40] T. Mochizuki, *Holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module with Betti structure*, math:1001.2336

[41] T. Mochizuki, *The Stokes structure of good meromorphic flat bundle*, to appear in Journal of the Inst. of Math. Jussieu.

[42] C. Peters and J. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structure*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[43] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis.* Second edition. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, (1991).

[44] C. Sabbah, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers irréguliers et phénomène de Stokes en dimension* 2, Astérisque, **263**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2000.

[45] C. Sabbah, *Polarizable twistor D-modules*, Astérisque, **300**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, (2005).

[46] C. Sabbah, *Wild twistor D-modules*, in *Algebraic analysis and around*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **54**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, (2009), 293–353, math.AG/0803.0287

[47] C. Sabbah, *Introduction to Stokes structures*, arXiv:0912.2762

[48] M. Saito, *Modules de Hodge polarisables*, Publ. RIMS., **24** (1988), 849–995.

[49] M. Saito, *Duality for vanishing cycle functors*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **25** (1989), 889–921.

[50] M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. RIMS., **26**, (1990), 221-333.

[51] M. Saito, *Induced D-modules and differential complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **117** (1989), 361–387.

[52] W. Schmid, *Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping*, Invent. Math. **22** (1973), 211–319.

[53] C. Simpson, *Mixed twistor structures*, math.AG/9705006.

[54] C. Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Publ. I.H.E.S., **75** (1992), 5–95.

[55] J. Steenbrink and S. Zucker, *Variation of mixed Hodge structure. I*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), 489–542.

[56] S. Zucker, *Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients: L^2 cohomology in the Poincaré metric*, Ann of Math. (2) **109** (1979), 415–476.

[57] S. Zucker, *Variation of mixed Hodge structure. II.* Invent. Math. **80** (1985), 543–565.

[58] J-L. Verdier, *Extension of a perverse sheaf over a closed subspace.* IN: *Differential systems and singularities (Luminy, 1983).* Astérisque **130** (1985), 210–217.

Address
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan,
takuro@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp